Islamorada, Village of Islands
LAND USE VILLAGE COUNCIL MEETING

July 24, 2025 - 5:30 PM
Founders Park Community Center
87000 Overseas Highway
Islamorada, FL 33036

Virtual participation is available to the public. Please see the last page of the agenda for participation details.

AGENDA

I. CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

III. AGENDA: Requests for Deletion / Emergency Additions

IV. MAYOR / COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS

V. VILLAGE ATTORNEY / VILLAGE MANAGER COMMUNICATIONS

VI. PUBLIC COMMENT

This is general public comment. It provides an opportunity for the public to speak about matters that are pertinent to the Village but not scheduled elsewhere on the agenda. The mayor opens public comment on agenda items throughout the meeting.)

VII. ORDINANCES

A. Ordinance Amending Policy 1-3.1.3: Institute a Program for Transfer of Development Rights - **FIRST READING TAB A** Jennifer DeBoisbriand , Planning Director, Daniel Parobok, Senior Environmental Planner/Biologist, Rebecca Jetton, Village Consultant

AN ORDINANCE OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA, AMENDING POLICY 1-3.1.3: INSTITUTE A PROGRAM FOR TRANSFER OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS; PROVIDING FOR THE TRANSMITTAL OF THIS ORDINANCE TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE UPON APPROVAL OF THIS ORDINANCE BY THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

B. Ordinance Amending Code Sections 30-504 and 30-506 - Transfer of Development Rights - **FIRST READING TAB B** Jennifer DeBoisbriand , Planning Director, Daniel Parobok, Senior Environmental Planner/Biologist, Rebecca Jetton, Village Consultant

AN ORDINANCE OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA, AMENDING VILLAGE CODE SECTIONS 30-504, TRANSFER PROCEDURE AND SECTION 30-506, TRANSFER OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS FOR RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS AND DENSITY; PROVIDING FOR THE TRANSMITTAL OF THIS ORDINANCE TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE UPON APPROVAL OF THIS ORDINANCE BY THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

VIII. QUASI-JUDICIAL

A. Public Hearing - 73501 Overseas Hwy Minor Amendment to PLCUP20220140 Notice of Intent to Issue an Approval **TAB C** Emily Hernandez, Planner

A RESOLUTION OF THE VILLAGE COUNCIL OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA, CONSIDERING ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL NO. PLCUP20250012 FILED BY RUSSEL A. YAGEL, AGENT FOR LOWER MATECUMBE BEACH PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION (APPELLANT) RELATING TO AN ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION BY THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING (AMENDMENT TO MINOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT) FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 73501 OVERSEAS HWY, HAVING REAL ESTATE NUMBER 00388620-000000, ON LOWER MATECUMBE KEY; AS LEGALLY DESCRIBED HEREIN; PROVIDING FOR THE TRANSMITTAL OF THIS RESOLUTION TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE UPON THE APPROVAL OF THIS RESOLUTION FROM THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

B. Ordinance to Amend the Future Land Use Map from Residential Conservation (RC) to Mixed Use (MU) for the Property at 76800 Overseas Hwy. - **FIRST READING TAB D** Jennifer DeBoisbriand , Planning Director

AN ORDINANCE OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA, CONSIDERING THE REQUEST OF TY HARRIS PA, AGENT FOR LEBEN FAMILY LLLP TO AMEND THE VILLAGE'S FUTURE LAND USE MAP FROM RESIDENTIAL CONSERVATION (RC) TO MIXED USE (MU) FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, AT 76800 OVERSEAS HIGHWAY LOCATED ON LOWER MATECUMBE KEY, WITH REAL ESTATE NUMBER 00396950-000200 AND TO ESTABLISH A NEW SUB-AREA POLICY UNDER OBJECTIVE 1-2.11, AS LEGALLY DESCRIBED HEREIN, AS LEGALLY DESCRIBED HEREIN; PROVIDING FOR THE TRANSMITTAL OF THIS ORDINANCE TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE UPON THE APPROVAL OF THIS ORDINANCE BY THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

C. Ordinance to amend the official Zoning Map from Native Residential (NR) to Neighborhood Commercial (NC)) for the Property at 76800

Overseas Hwy. - **FIRST READING TAB E** Jennifer DeBoisbriand , Planning Director

AN ORDINANCE OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA, CONSIDERING THE REQUEST OF TY HARRIS PA, AGENT FOR LEBEN FAMILY LLLP, TO AMEND THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP FROM NATIVE RESIDENTIAL (NR) TO NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL (NC) FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY AT 76800 OVERSEAS HIGHWAY LOCATED ON LOWER MATECUMBE KEY, WITH REAL ESTATE NUMBER 00396950-000200, AS LEGALLY DESCRIBED HEREIN; PROVIDING FOR THE TRANSMITTAL OF THIS ORDINANCE TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE UPON THE APPROVAL OF THIS ORDINANCE BY THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

D. Public Hearing - 80951 Old Hwy- Variance Rear Yard Setback **TAB F** Jennifer DeBoisbriand , Planning Director

A RESOLUTION OF THE VILLAGE COUNCIL OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA, CONSIDERING THE REQUEST OF PATRICIA ESSLINGER FOR A VARIANCE FROM THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 30-691(E)(4)(C) – REAR YARD SETBACK, TO ALLOW FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF AN ADDITION WITHIN THE REAR YARD SETBACK FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 80951 OLD HWY ON UPPER MATECUMBE KEY, WITHIN THE SR (SETTLERS RESIDENTIAL) ZONING DISTRICT, WITH REAL ESTATE NUMBER 00096200-000000, AS LEGALLY DESCRIBED HEREIN; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

E. Administrative Appeal of Dwelling Unit Determination for 82925 and 82929 Overseas Hwy **TAB G** Jennifer DeBoisbriand , Planning Director

A RESOLUTION OF THE VILLAGE COUNCIL OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA, CONSIDERING ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL OF NO. PLPRE20230193 FILED BY JOANNE M. PEREIRA, AGENT FOR GINO AND PIERA BOLOGNA (APPELLANT) RELATING TO A DWELLING UNIT DETERMINATION BY THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 82925 OVERSEAS HWY AND 82929 OVERSEAS HWY, HAVING REAL ESTATE NUMBERS 00403630-000000 AND 00403640-000000, ON UPPER MATECUMBE KEY; AS LEGALLY DESCRIBED HEREIN; PROVIDING FOR THE TRANSMITTAL OF THIS RESOLUTION TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE UPON THE APPROVAL OF THIS RESOLUTION FROM THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

IX. MOTIONS

X. ADJOURNMENT

Options for Viewing the Village Council Meeting:
The public is encouraged to watch the meeting on Monroe County's MCTV Comcast Channel 77. Alternatively, the public may view the meeting streamed live on the Village website from their personal computer, tablet or phone via the following link: https://www.islamorada.fl.us/departments/communications/live_village_broadcast_meeting.php

[PUBLIC_PARTICPATION]

Option 1: Email your comments.
1. Public comment should be submitted via email to: public.comment@islamorada.fl.us
2. The email should contain "Public Comment" in the subject line.
3. The name and address of the submitter shall be included in the email.
4. Public comment should be submitted by 9 a.m. the day before the meeting. Public comment will be sent to the Village Councilmembers for consideration prior to the meeting. Public comments will not be read during the meeting.

Option 2: Call in During the Meeting.
1. If phoning in, dial 305-224-1968 and enter the webinar **ID: 911 0656 4166** followed by #. When the Mayor opens public comment pertaining to the agenda item you are interested in dial ***9** to be recognized by the Zoom meeting monitor. The Monitor will call you by the last four digits of your phone number. Please be sure to unmute your phone when you are called upon.

2. If watching online via Zoom: Open the Zoom webinar link https://zoom.us/j/91106564166 and follow the prompts to join the webinar. When the Mayor opens public comment use the "raise your hand" feature to be recognized by the meeting monitor. Public comments will be heard in the order in which they are received.

ADA Assistance:
These meetings are open to the public. In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, all persons who are disabled and who need special accommodations to participate in this meeting because of that disability should contact the ADA Coordinator at (305) 664-6448 or by email at ADA@islamorada.fl.us at least 48 hours before the scheduled meeting.

[VERBATIM_RECORD]

Council Communication

To: Mayor and Village Council
From: Jennifer DeBoisbriand , Planning Director, Daniel Parobok, Senior Environmental Planner/Biologist, Rebecca Jetton, Village Consultant
Date: July 24, 2025
SUBJECT: **Ordinance Amending Policy 1-3.1.3: Institute a Program for Transfer of Development Rights - FIRST READING TAB A**

Background:
Section 30-504 and 30-506 implements Policy 1-3.1.1 of the Village Comprehensive Plan which regulates the transfer of development rights from one parcel to another location. The code and plan provides for three types of transfers:

1. The transfer of density from vacant non-residential and residential lots of record to vacant non-residential and residential lots of record that are non-conforming as to density, to permit the development of the non-conforming receiver site.

2. The off-site transfer of existing non-residential floor area from any property to any property located in either the Mixed Use (commercial) Future Land Use Map Category and zoned for non-residential uses or Industrial Future Land Use Map Category; and

3. The off-site transfer of existing residential dwelling units, Transferable ROGO Building Rights Exemptions Certificates and of building permits for residential dwellings to residential property.

Recently the Council has asked staff to look at the types of transfers and having the ability to transfer within in land use categories as long as the use is permitted and to provide some flexibility in the habitat section so that parcels that have multiple habitats have more ability to be developed in the disturbed areas.

This is the companion Comp Plan change to the code amendment.

Analysis:
The current supply of allocations for new residential dwellings in the Village will be exhausted in 2026. While a plan is being developed to potentially allocate new dwelling units to the Florida Keys, it is unlikely this will occur prior to January 2026. As the staff collects data and analyzes new perspectives for addressing future development of the remaining vacant parcels, it is important to make available mechanisms to allow limited development utilizing existing development rights.

Recently, there have been requests for transfer of existing building rights to offsite locations where there has been debate regarding the classes of habitat. The attached amendment is

proposed to facilitate transfer of building rights while maintaining the protection of Class 1 Habitat which includes low, moderate, and high-quality hardwood hammocks.

Staff proposes a code amendment which will allow the transfer of existing buildings rights from Class I, II, and III parcels to area(s) of receiver parcels containing Class II or Class III habitat classifications provided that the receiver site meets the following criteria:
1. Lawfully scarified areas of receiver parcel are large enough to accommodate the principal use and accessory uses, along with sufficient access to the site may be developed provided that no Class I habitat is altered or removed; and
2. Transfer shall not result in the alteration, removal, or decrease of Class I habitat. Removal of native vegetation within Class I habitat classifications is strictly prohibited.

The proposed ordinance:
- Requires that an applicant provides a recorded Declaration of Restrictions and Grant of Conservation Easement prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy;
- Prohibits transfer to Conservation Land Use District;
- Eliminates the detailed list of sender/receiver zoning districts and allows transfer between residential districts and non-residential districts provided the residential use is a permitted use.
- Eliminates the prohibition on transferring into a V zone.

Consistency with Comprehensive Plan
Policy 1-1.1.3: Ensure Orderly Transition In Residential Densities And Intensities
Residential densities and intensities shall be transitioned in a manner compatible with available public services and level of service standards, natural features, and existing and anticipated future development.

Policy 1-4.2.1: Implement Comprehensive Plan Through Land Development Regulations
Land Development Regulations shall contain at a minimum, the following:
1. Procedures for site plan review including criteria for considering minor and major conditional uses;
2. Administrative procedures by which to manage key programs to implement the goals, objectives and policies of this Comprehensive Plan;
3. Details regarding the application and award process for the Non-Residential Building Permit Allocation System;
4. A program including criteria and procedures for the consideration of all residential and non-residential Transferable Development Rights, plus development of mechanisms for tracking and coordinating recordation of TDRs with relevant agencies;
5. Criteria and procedures for considering beneficial uses and vested rights;
6. Zoning District Maps, as well as permitted uses, bulk regulations and site plan requirements for each Zoning District;
7. Regulations for the outdoor storage and use of property;
8. Landscape standards;
9. Off-street parking, loading and driveway standards;
10. Signage regulations;
11. Special use regulations including adult uses, home occupations, wireless communications facilities, excursion boats, vacation rentals, group homes, liveaboard vessels, outdoor uses, seaplanes and helistop landing areas;
12. Environmental regulations including docks and shoreline uses, turtle nesting protection, creation of a habitat analysis, stormwater management, wastewater, floodplain management,

and historical and archaeological sites; and
13. Procedures for the consideration of proposed changes to the Future Land Use Map or text of the Comprehensive Plan.

OBJECTIVE 1-4.3: COASTAL AREA POPULATION DENSITIES AND HURRICANE EVACUATION PLANS

Islamorada, Village of Islands shall enforce Land Development Regulations which ensure that future land uses shall be compatible with the Future Land Use Element and the character of the Village. The Land Development Regulations shall include administrative procedures which ensure that Village land use decisions impacting population density within the coastal high hazard area are coordinated with the Monroe County Hurricane Evacuation Plan and applicable regional or State hurricane evacuation plans.

At its meeting on June 3, 2025 the LPA voted unanimously to recommend approval of this ordinance.

Budget Impact:
None

Staff Impact:
Staff impact is limited to processing applications.

Recommendation:
Staff recommends that the Local Planning Agency recommend approval of the proposed Ordinance to the Village Council.

Attachments: 1. Comp Plan_5-37-25 (6-27-25)

ORDINANCE NO. 25- ___

AN ORDINANCE OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA, AMENDING POLICY 1-3.1.3: INSTITUTE A PROGRAM FOR TRANSFER OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS OF THE ISLAMORADA COMPREHENSIVE PLAN BY AMENDING PROCEDURES AND ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA FOR THE TRANSFER OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS; PROVIDING FOR THE TRANSMITTAL OF THIS ORDINANCE TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE UPON APPROVAL OF THIS ORDINANCE BY THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

WHEREAS, pursuant to Chapter 163, Part II, Florida Statutes, on December 6, 2001, Islamorada, Village of Islands, Florida (the "Village") adopted the Village Comprehensive Plan (the "Comprehensive Plan"); and

WHEREAS, Section 163.3191, Florida Statutes, directs local governments to periodically assess the status of the adopted comprehensive plan in adequately addressing changing conditions; and

WHEREAS, the Village desires to facilitate the transfer of development rights between parcels with compatible habitats and/or habitat areas; and

WHEREAS, the Village Council desires to amend the Comprehensive Plan in order to revise procedures and eligibility criteria for the transfer of development rights from and to properties within the Village ("Amendment"); and

WHEREAS, the Village has conducted duly noticed public hearings for the Comprehensive Plan Amendment pursuant to Section 163.3184(11), Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 163.3174, Florida Statutes and Sections 30-101 of the Village Code, the Local Planning Agency publicly considered this Ordinance during a duly noticed public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the provisions of this Ordinance are consistent with the Village Comprehensive Plan and the Principles for Guiding Development in the Florida Keys Area of Critical State Concern; and

WHEREAS this Ordinance is enacted to conduct the purpose and intent of, and exercise the authority set out in, the Community Planning Act; and

WHEREAS the Village Council finds that this Ordinance is in the best interest and welfare of the residents of the Town.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE VILLAGE COUNCIL OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA AS FOLLOWS:[1]

Section 1. **Recitals.** The above recitals are true, correct, and incorporated herein by this reference.

Section 2. **Comprehensive Plan Amendments Adopted.** Section 1-1, entitled "Future Land Use Goals, Objectives and Implementing Policies" is hereby amended to read as follows:

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN OF ISLAMORADA, FLORIDA

CHAPTER 1 – FUTURE LAND USE ELEMENT

*** * ***

[1] Coding: ~~Strikethrough words~~ are deletions to the existing words. Underlined words are additions to the existing words. Changes between first and second reading are indicated with highlighted ~~double strikethrough~~ and double underline.

Section 1-2: Future Land Use Map

* * *

Objective 1-3.1: Implement Limited Growth Objectives and Policies

* * *

Policy 1-3.1.3: Institute a Program for Transfer of Development Rights (TDRs). Islamorada, Village of Islands, shall through Land Development Regulations maintain a program to facilitate the transfer of a variety of development rights within the Village's boundaries, to achieve the following:

1. Protect environmental resources in balance with protection of property rights;
2. Facilitate redevelopment and revitalize the Village Activity Centers by concentrating mixed use activities;
3. Encourage redevelopment of commercial enterprises and permit limited new commercial development;
4. Protect housing affordability and encourage provision of new affordable housing units throughout the Village;
5. Redistribute existing residential units to achieve planned densities and retire development rights without increasing the overall amount of development. Notwithstanding, affordable housing may be provided only through the Building Permit Allocation System on the sender site in instances following the transfer of nonresidential floor area; and affordable housing and/or nonresidential floor area may be provided only through the Building Permit Allocation System on the sender site in instances following the transfer of hotel or

motel units; but in neither case may the sender or receiver site exceed the permitted density or intensity

6. Protect environmentally sensitive sites through removal of existing dwelling units or rights based on allocated densities and intensities;
7. Encourage the placement of conservation easements on environmentally sensitive or flood prone parcels of land; and
8. Further the public good and the goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.

The Land Development Regulations shall provide for the use of TDRs to transfer nonresidential and residential development rights. Three (3) types of development rights may be transferable as conditional uses, as follows:

1. The transfer of density from vacant non-residential and residential lots of record to vacant non-residential and residential lots of record that are non-conforming as to density, to permit the development of the non-conforming receiver site;
2. The off-site transfer of existing non-residential floor area from any property to any property located in either the Mixed Use (MU) Future Land Use Map Category and zoned for non-residential uses or Industrial (I) Future Land Use Map Category; and
3. Off-site redevelopment of existing residential dwelling units, Transferable ROGO Building Rights-Exemptions (TRE) Certificates and of building permits for residential dwelling units.

Off-site redevelopment of all residential building rights~~TDRs~~, including building permits for residential dwelling units and TREs at a minimum, be subject to the following transfer conditions:

1. Both sender and receiver sites shall be in future land use categories that permit residential uses;

~~2. The structure cannot be placed in a VE zone on the receiver site;~~

~~3~~2. The receiver site shall be the same or less environmentally sensitive than the sender site, according to a habitat analysis conducted by a certified biologist, unless otherwise exempted or limited by the Planning and Development Services Director and pursuant to habitat classifications described in Policy 6-1.7.8, whereby the following conditions apply:

a. Transfer shall be permitted from Class I parcels to Class II or Class III parcels;

b. Transfer shall be permitted from Class II parcels to Class II or Class III parcels; ~~and~~

c. Transfer shall be permitted from Class III parcels to Class III parcels.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, lawfully existing Class II, Class III, and scarified areas of receiver parcels which are large enough to accommodate the principal use and accessory uses, along with sufficient access may be developed provided that no Class I habitat is altered or removed. The transfer of development rights shall not result in the alteration, removal, or decrease of Class I habitat. Removal of native vegetation within Class I habitat classifications is prohibited.

~~4. The receiver site shall be located in the same or less density restrictive Future Land Use Map category than the sender site, except that affordable residential TDR's located on a Residential High (RH) sender site may be transferable to a lower density Residential Medium (RM) receiver site. Notwithstanding the above residential TDR dwelling unit and density restrictions, existing dwelling units located on a Mixed Use (MU) sender site may be transferable to an Airport (A) or Residential Medium (RM) receiver site provided the subject receiver site does not contain hammock and meets minimum lot area requirements;~~

3. Residential dwelling unit TDRs may be transferred to properties within any future land use category where residential development is permitted. A Grant of Conservation Easement Agreement (GOCEA) shall be placed by the owner of the sender site, prohibiting any future development on all hammock areas of the property. No transfer shall be allowed to areas designated as Conservation (C) on the Future Land Use Map. Non-residential development TDRs may be transferred from properties in any non-residential future land use categories to properties in any non-residential future land use categories.

~~5~~4. Eligible receiver sites shall demonstrate compliance with all applicable criteria set forth in the Land Development Regulations.

~~6~~5. Regardless of on-site allocated densities available on a parcel of land, exercising the Transfer of Building Rights TDR process to remove units, or transfer building permits from a parcel shall, result in a permanent reduction of density, and no additional units to those remaining on the sender site after the transfer takes place shall be permitted on the sender site. Notwithstanding, affordable housing and/or nonresidential floor area may be provided only through the Building Permit Allocation System in instances following the transfer of a hotel or motel unit, but in no case may the sender or receiver site exceed the permitted density or intensity. Each TDR shall require that a Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions be placed on the sender site, restricting densities to the number of units remaining on the sender site after the transfer takes place. If no density remains, a Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions shall be placed by the owner of the sender site on the property, restricting all further development rights; and

~~7~~6. The following restrictions on residential transfers shall apply:

a. Hotel and motel unit ~~TDRs~~ Transfer of Building Rights (TDR) shall be transferable only to hotel and motel units;

b. Market rate shall be transferable only to market rate units or affordable units;

c. Affordable TDRs, including mobile homes from approved and mobile home parks, shall be transferable only as ~~an~~ affordable deed restricted units, in accordance with affordability standards to be adopted through the Land Development Regulations.

For all non-residential TDR's, the off-site transfer of legally existing nonresidential floor area shall be subject to the following transfer conditions:

1. All properties designated either Mixed Use (MU) and zoned for non-residential uses or Industrial (I) shall be eligible as sender and receiver sites for transfers of non-residential floor area.
2. As a condition of the transfer, eligible receiver sites shall demonstrate compliance with all applicable criteria set forth in the Land Development Regulations; and
3. A Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions shall be placed on the sender site prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy at the receiver site, indicating the recorded amount~~ed~~ of non-residential floor area remaining following the transfer and a Grant of Conservation Easement Agreement (GOCEA) shall be placed by the owner of the sender site, prohibiting any future development on all hammock areas of the property.

Section 3. Transmittal. Pursuant to Sections 163.3184, Florida Statutes, the Village Clerk is authorized to forward a copy of this Ordinance to the State Department of Commerce.

Section 4. **Severability.** The provisions of this Ordinance are declared to be severable and if any section, sentence, clause of phrase of this Ordinance shall for any reason be held to be invalid or unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining sections, sentences, clauses, and phrases of this Ordinance but they shall remain in effect, it being the legislative intent that this Ordinance shall stand withstand the invalidity of any part.

Section 5. **Conflicts.** All Sections or parts of Sections of the Code of Ordinances, all ordinances or parts of ordinances, and all Resolutions, or parts of Resolutions, in conflict with this Ordinance are repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 6. **Effective Date.** This Ordinance shall not become effective until a Notice of Intent has been issued by the State Department of Commerce finding the Comprehensive Plan Amendment to be "in compliance" as defined in Section 163.3184(1)(b), Florida Statutes. If timely challenged, the Comprehensive Plan Amendment shall not become effective until Department of Commerce or the Administration Commission enters a final order determining the adopted Comprehensive Plan Amendments to be "in compliance."

[Remainder of the page intentionally left blank.]

The foregoing Ordinance was offered by _____, who moved its adoption on first reading. This motion was seconded by _____, and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Mayor Sharon Mahoney	____
Vice Mayor Don Horton	____
Councilwoman Deb Gillis	____
Councilman Steve Friedman	____
Councilwoman Anna Richards	____

PASSED on first reading this _____ day of _____, 2025.

The foregoing Ordinance was offered by _____, who moved its adoption on second reading. This motion was seconded by _____, and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Mayor Sharon Mahoney	____
Vice Mayor Don Horton	____
Councilwoman Deb Gillis	____
Councilman Steve Friedman	____
Councilwoman Anna Richards	____

PASSED AND ADOPTED on the second reading this _____ day of _____, 2025.

_____
SHARON MAHONEY, MAYOR

ATTEST:

_____
MARNE MCGRATH, VILLAGE CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY
FOR THE SOLE USE AND BENEFIT OF
ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS ONLY

_____
JOHN J. QUICK, VILLAGE ATTORNEY

Council Communication

To: Mayor and Village Council
From: Jennifer DeBoisbriand , Planning Director, Daniel Parobok, Senior Environmental Planner/Biologist, Rebecca Jetton, Village Consultant
Date: July 24, 2025
SUBJECT: **Ordinance Amending Code Sections 30-504 and 30-506 - Transfer of Development Rights - FIRST READING TAB B**

Background:
Section 30-504 and 30-506 implements Policy 1-3.1.1 of the Village Comprehensive Plan which regulates the transfer of development rights from one parcel to another location. The code and plan provides for three types of transfers:

1. The transfer of density from vacant non-residential and residential lots of record to vacant non-residential and residential lots of record that are non-conforming as to density, to permit the development of the non-conforming receiver site.

2. The off-site transfer of existing non-residential floor area from any property to any property located in either the Mixed Use (commercial) Future Land Use Map Category and zoned for non-residential uses or Industrial Future Land Use Map Category; and

3. The off-site transfer of existing residential dwelling units, Transferable ROGO Building Rights Exemptions Certificates and of building permits for residential dwellings to residential property.

Recently the Council has asked staff to look at the types of transfers and having the ability to transfer within in land use categories as long as the use is permitted and to provide some flexibility in the habitat section so that parcels that have multiple habitats have more ability to be developed in the disturbed areas.

Analysis:
The current supply of allocations for new residential dwellings in the Village will be exhausted in 2026. While a plan is being developed to potentially allocate new dwelling units to the Florida Keys, it is unlikely this will occur prior to January 2026. As the staff collects data and analyzes new perspectives for addressing future development of the remaining vacant parcels, it is important to make available mechanisms to allow limited development utilizing existing development rights.

Recently, there have been requests for transfer of existing building rights to offsite locations where there has been debate regarding the classes of habitat. The attached amendment is proposed to facilitate transfer of building rights while maintaining the protection of Class 1

Habitat which includes low, moderate, and high-quality hardwood hammocks.

Staff proposes a code amendment which will allow the transfer of existing buildings rights from Class I, II, and III parcels to area(s) of receiver parcels containing Class II or Class III habitat classifications provided that the receiver site meets the following criteria:
1. Lawfully scarified areas of receiver parcel are large enough to accommodate the principal use and accessory uses, along with sufficient access to the site may be developed provided that no Class I habitat is altered or removed; and
2. Transfer shall not result in the alteration, removal, or decrease of Class I habitat. Removal of native vegetation within Class I habitat classifications is strictly prohibited.

The proposed ordinance:
- Requires that an applicant provides a recorded Declaration of Restrictions and Grant of Conservation Easement prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy;
- Prohibits transfer to Conservation Land Use District;
- Eliminates the detailed list of sender/receiver zoning districts and allows transfer between residential districts and non-residential districts provided the residential use is a permitted use.

- Eliminates the prohibition of transferring into a V zone.

Consistency with Comprehensive Plan
Policy 1-1.1.3: Ensure Orderly Transition In Residential Densities And Intensities
Residential densities and intensities shall be transitioned in a manner compatible with available public services and level of service standards, natural features, and existing and anticipated future development.

Policy 1-4.2.1: Implement Comprehensive Plan Through Land Development Regulations
Land Development Regulations shall contain at a minimum, the following:
1. Procedures for site plan review including criteria for considering minor and major conditional uses;
2. Administrative procedures by which to manage key programs to implement the goals, objectives and policies of this Comprehensive Plan;
3. Details regarding the application and award process for the Non-Residential Building Permit Allocation System;
4. A program including criteria and procedures for the consideration of all residential and non-residential Transferable Development Rights, plus development of mechanisms for tracking and coordinating recordation of TDRs with relevant agencies;
5. Criteria and procedures for considering beneficial uses and vested rights;
6. Zoning District Maps, as well as permitted uses, bulk regulations and site plan requirements for each Zoning District;
7. Regulations for the outdoor storage and use of property;
8. Landscape standards;
9. Off-street parking, loading and driveway standards;
10. Signage regulations;
11. Special use regulations including adult uses, home occupations, wireless communications facilities, excursion boats, vacation rentals, group homes, liveaboard vessels, outdoor uses, seaplanes and helistop landing areas;
12. Environmental regulations including docks and shoreline uses, turtle nesting protection, creation of a habitat analysis, stormwater management, wastewater, floodplain management,

and historical and archaeological sites; and
13. Procedures for the consideration of proposed changes to the Future Land Use Map or text of the Comprehensive Plan.

OBJECTIVE 1-4.3: COASTAL AREA POPULATION DENSITIES AND HURRICANE EVACUATION PLANS

Islamorada, Village of Islands shall enforce Land Development Regulations which ensure that future land uses shall be compatible with the Future Land Use Element and the character of the Village. The Land Development Regulations shall include administrative procedures which ensure that Village land use decisions impacting population density within the coastal high hazard area are coordinated with the Monroe County Hurricane Evacuation Plan and applicable regional or State hurricane evacuation plans.

At its meeting on June 3, 2025 the LPA voted unanimously to recommend approval of this ordinance.

Budget Impact:
None

Staff Impact:
Staff impact will be limited to processing applications.

Recommendation:
Staff recommends that the Local Planning Agency recommend approval of the proposed Ordinance to the Village Council.

Attachments: 1. TDR Code_ 5-27-25 (6-27-25)

ORDINANCE NO. 25- ___

AN ORDINANCE OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA, AMENDING VILLAGE CODE SECTIONS 30-504, "TRANSFER PROCEDURE," AND 30-506, "TRANSFER OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS FOR RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS AND DENSITY," TO AMEND PROCEDURES AND ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA FOR THE TRANSFER OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS (TDRS); PROVIDING FOR THE TRANSMITTAL OF THIS ORDINANCE TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR INCLUSION IN THE CODE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE UPON APPROVAL OF THIS ORDINANCE BY THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

WHEREAS, Islamorada, Village of Islands (the "Village") has established Land Development Regulations ("LDRs") to properly guide development and protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public; and

WHEREAS, Section 163.3202, Florida Statutes, directs local governments to update land development regulations to be consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan; and

WHEREAS, Section 163.3202, Florida Statutes, encourages the use of innovative land development regulations which include provisions such as transfer of development rights, incentives, and inclusionary zoning, planned-unit development, impact fees, and performance zoning; and

WHEREAS, the Village desires to facilitate the transfer of development rights between parcels with compatible habitats and/or habitat areas and the transfer of existing residential dwelling unit development rights, as delineated under Section 30-503(c); and

WHEREAS, the Village Council desires to amend the Village's Land Development Regulations in order to amend procedures and eligibility criteria for the transfer of development rights; and

WHEREAS, the Village has conducted duly noticed public hearings for the Land Development Regulation Amendment pursuant to Section 163.3184(11), Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 163.3171, Florida Statutes and Sections 30-101 of the Village Code, the Local Planning Agency publicly considered this Ordinance during a duly noticed public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the provisions of this Ordinance are consistent with the Village Comprehensive Plan and the Principles for Guiding Development in the Florida Keys Area of Critical State Concern; and

WHEREAS, this Ordinance is enacted to carry out the purpose and intent of, and exercise the authority set out in, the Community Planning Act; and

WHEREAS, the Village Council finds that the adoption of this Ordinance is in the best interest of the Village and does comply with all applicable laws, as well as promotes the general health, safety, and welfare of the Village residents.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE VILLAGE COUNCIL OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Recitals. The above recitals are true, correct, and incorporated herein by this reference.

Section 2. Amendment to the Code. That Division 12, "Transfer of Development Rights," of Article IV, "Administrative Procedures," of Chapter 30, "Land Development Regulations," of the Village Code is hereby amended as follows: [1]

[1] Coding: ~~Strikethrough words~~ are deletions to the existing words. Underlined words are additions to the existing words. Changes between first and second reading are indicated with highlighted ~~double strikethrough~~ and double underline.

CHAPTER 30 – LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS

* * *

ARTICLE IV – ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES

* * *

DIVISION 12 – TRANSFER OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS

* * *

Section 30-504 Transfer Procedure.

(a) The applicant(s) must provide documentation of ownership of sender and receiver sites through the form of a deed or other legal documentation as approved by the village attorney. For the purposes of this division, sender site and receiver site shall mean those parcels of land as they legally existed on November 29, 2007.

(b) The receiver site shall be the same or less environmentally sensitive than the sender site, according to a vegetation survey and/or a habitat analysis conducted by a certified biologist, and verified unless otherwise exempted or limited by the director of planning and development services or his designee, pursuant to habitat classifications described in subsection 30-1616(b)(2) of this Code, whereby the following conditions additionally apply:

(1) Transfer shall be permitted from Class I parcels to Class II or Class III parcels;

(2) Transfer shall be permitted from Class II parcels to Class II or Class III parcels and

(3) Transfer shall be permitted from Class III parcels to Class III parcels.

Notwithstanding the habitat classification, lawfully existing Class II, Class III, and scarified areas of receiver parcels which are large enough to accommodate the principal use and accessory uses,

along with sufficient drive-way access may be developed provided that no Class I habitat is altered or removed. The transfer of development rights shall not result in the alteration, removal, or decrease of Class I habitat. Removal of native vegetation within Class I habitat classifications is strictly prohibited.

(c) Eligible receiver sites shall demonstrate compliance with all applicable criteria set forth in this chapter.

(d) An application for transfer of development rights shall be submitted to the director of planning and development services, in accordance with the provisions of this section, accompanied by a cost recovery fee as established, and may be amended by resolution, by the village council. The application shall contain the information required on a form provided by the director of planning and development services.

(e) No application for transfer of development rights (TDRs) shall be processed if the sender or receiver site has any open permits or active code violations; all bonds, assessments, back village taxes, fees and liens (other than mortgages) affecting the lot(s) shall be paid in full prior to approval of transfer of development rights.

(f) After receipt of a complete application for transfer of development rights, the director of planning and development services shall initiate a review of the application to determine compliance with this division and chapter. The director of planning and development services and/or the village council, in accordance with the procedures, standards, and limitations of this chapter, shall approve, approve with conditions, or deny an application for transfer of development rights (TDRs).

* * *

Section 30-506 Transfer of Development Rights (TDRs) For Residential Dwelling Units and Density.

Off-site redevelopment of all residential TDRs, including Transferable ROGO Exemption (TRE) Certificates and building permit allocations for residential dwelling units, shall, at a minimum, be subject to the following transfer conditions:

(a) Dwelling units and density.

(1) The transfer of four or less dwelling units shall be reviewed using the procedures for minor conditional use review pursuant to section 30-217 and shall be noticed pursuant to the procedures in subsection 30—213(i), except that hotels and motels shall not be considered a residential use;

(2) The transfer of five or more dwelling units shall be reviewed using the procedures for major conditional use review pursuant to section 30-218 and shall be noticed pursuant to the procedures in subsection 30-213(i), except that hotels and motels shall not be considered a residential use; and

(3) Both sender and receiver sites shall be in zoning districts that permit dwelling units and shall be subject to the following restrictions for the transfer of dwelling units:

a. Residential dwelling units may be transferred from any land use district to any land use district in which residential dwelling units are a permitted use, provided that the transfer of residential dwelling units would not otherwise make the property nonconforming under the existing zoning district regulations;

b. A Grant of Conservation Easement Agreement (GOCEA), as approved by the village attorney, shall be placed by the owner of the sender site, prohibiting

any future development on all hammock areas of the property; and

c. No transfer shall be allowed into the Conservation Land Use District.

~~Residential Sender Sites~~	~~Zoning Districts Eligible as Receiver Sites~~
~~Conservation (C)~~	~~NR, RE, R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, VC, TC, NC, I*,TA, M*~~
~~Native Residential (NR)~~	~~NR, RE, R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH,SR, VC, TC, NC, I*,TA, M*~~
~~Residential Estate (RE)~~	~~RE, R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, VC, TC, NC, I*,TA, M*~~
~~Residential Single Family (R1) and (R1M)~~	~~R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, VC, TC, NC, I*,TA, M*~~
~~Residential Mobile Home (RMH)~~	~~R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, VC, TC, NC, I*,TA, M*~~
~~Residential Duplex (R2)~~	~~R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, VC, TC, NC, I*,TA, M*~~
~~Residential Triplex (R3)~~	~~R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, VC, TC, NC, I*,TA, M*~~
~~Residential Fourplex (R4)~~	~~R4, MF, MH, SR, VC, TC, NC, I*,TA, M*~~
~~Multifamily (MF)~~	~~R1**, R1M**, R2**, R3**, R4**, MF, MH, SR, VC, TC, NC, I*,TA, M*~~
~~Mobile Home Park (MH)~~	~~R1**, R1M**, R2**, R3**, R4**, MH**, SR**, VC**, TC**, HC**, NC**, I*, M*~~
~~Settlers Residential (SR)~~	~~RE, R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, VC, TC, I*, TA,M*~~
~~Village Center (VC)~~	~~RE, R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, VC, TC, NC, I*,TA, M*~~
~~Tourist Commercial (TC)~~	~~RE, R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, VC, TC, NC, I*, TA, M*~~
~~Commercial Fishing (CF)~~	~~RE, R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, VC, TC, CF, MR, NC, I*, TA, M*~~
~~Marine Use (MR)~~	~~RE, R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, VC, TC, MR, NC, I*, M*~~
~~Highway Commercial (HC)~~	~~RE, R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, VC, TC, HC, NC, I*, M*~~
~~Neighborhood Commercial (NC)~~	~~RE, R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, VC, NC, I*, M*~~
~~Industrial (I)*~~	~~I*, M*~~

~~Tavernaero Airstrip (TA)~~	~~MF, MH, SR, , I*,TA, M*~~
~~Public and Semi-Public Services (PS)~~	~~R1**, R1M**, R2**, R3**, R4**, MH**, SR**, VC**, TC**, HC**, NC**, I*, M*~~
~~Mariculture (M)~~	~~M*~~

~~*Limited to a caretaker's cottage.~~
~~**Limited to affordable residential dwelling unit~~

(4) The transfer of residential density off-site shall be in the amount of 0.25 per acre and in conformance with the permitted zoning districts in the following table:

Residential Sender Sites	Zoning Districts Eligible as Receiver Sites
Conservation (C)	NR, RE, R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, TA, VC,TC,CF,MR,HC,NC
Native Residential (NR)	NR, RE, R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, TA, VC,TC,CF,MR,HC,NC
Residential Estate (RE)	RE, R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, TA, VC, TC, CF, MR, HC, NC
Residential Single Family (R1) and (R1M)	R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, TA, VC, TC, CF, MR, HC, NC
Residential Mobile Home (RMH)	R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, TA, VC, TC, CF, MR, HC, NC
Residential Duplex (R2)	R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, TA, VC, TC, CF, MR, HC, NC
Residential Triplex (R3)	R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, TA, VC, TC, CF, MR, HC, NC
Residential Fourplex (R4)	R4,MF,MH,SR,TA,VC,TC,CF,MR,HC,NC
Multifamily (MF)	R1**, R1M**, R2**, R3**, R4**, MF, MH, SR, TA, VC, TC, CF, MR,

	HC, NC
Mobile Home Park (MH)	R1**, R1M**, R2**, R3**, R4**, MH**, SR**, VC**, TC**, CF**, MR**, HC**, NC**
Settlers Residential (SR)+	R1, R1M, RMH, R2, R3, R4, MF, MH, SR, TA, VC, TC, CF, MR, HC, NC
Tavernaero Airstrip (TA)	MF,MH,SR,TA,VC,TC,CF,MR,HC,NC

**Limited to affordable residential dwelling unit.

+ Limited to lots of record within Residential Medium Use FLUM.

Note: Receiver sites within mixed-use zoning districts are only permitted to apply off-site residential density to the residential component of a development.

(b) *Hotel/motel units.*

(1) The transfer of four or less hotel or motel unit(s) shall be reviewed using the procedures for minor conditional use review pursuant to section 30-217 and shall be noticed pursuant to the procedures in subsection 30-213(h).

(2) The transfer of five or more hotel or motel units shall be reviewed using the procedures for major conditional use review pursuant to section 30-218 and shall be noticed pursuant to the procedures in subsection 30-213(i).

(3) The receiver site shall be located in either the village center (VC), Tourist commercial (TC), ~~or~~ Highway Commercial (HC) Zoning District, or Neighborhood Commercial (NC) Zoning District.

(c) Development of a receiver site shall not exceed the greater of either one market rate

dwelling unit or the densities provided for within division 2 of article V of this chapter. Additionally, the maximum amount of transferable area of off-site residential density that can be transferred from a sender site and credited to a receiver site is calculated by and equal to the pre-existing lot area of the receiver site, expressed in acreage or square footage, prior to any transfer, that can be credited to the receiver site. A development right in the form of off-site residential density that has been successfully transferred and officially credited to a receiver site is known as transferred residential density credit (TRDC).

~~(d) The structure cannot be placed in a VE flood zone on the receiver site.~~

~~(e)~~(d) Regardless of the on-site allocated densities available on a lot, exercising the TDR process to remove dwelling units, transfer building permits or density from a lot shall, result in a permanent reduction of density, and no additional density to that remaining on the sender site after the transfer takes place shall be permitted on the sender site. Notwithstanding the foregoing, affordable housing and/or nonresidential floor area may be provided only through the Building Permit Allocation System in instances following the transfer of a hotel or motel unit, but in no case shall the sender or receiver site exceed the permitted density or intensity provided for within division 2 of article V of this chapter. Each TDR shall require that a declaration of covenants, conditions and restrictions, as approved by the village attorney, be placed on the sender site, restricting densities to the number of market-rate, hotel or motel units remaining on the sender site after the transfer takes place.

~~(f)~~(e) Following the transfer of a hotel or motel unit(s) from a sender site, affordable housing and/or nonresidential floor area in accordance with article IV, division 11 of this

chapter, may be developed on the sender site by either the conversion of an existing structure or portion thereof, or the construction of a new structure. A new or existing structure shall be brought into compliance with all applicable sections of this chapter and the Florida Building Code. This option may only be utilized provided that the applicant applies for and is granted an allocation award for an affordable residential dwelling unit and/or nonresidential floor area from the Building Permit Allocation System in accordance with article IV, division 11 of this chapter. In no case shall development of the sender site or the receiver site exceed the permitted density or intensity provided for within division 2 of article V of this chapter. Furthermore, the transfer or conversion to affordable housing and/or nonresidential floor area on the sender site shall be completed prior to the issuance of a building permit on the receiver site. If the above option is not utilized, the applicant shall be required to demolish the transferred hotel or motel unit(s) on the sender site.

~~(g)~~(f) A grant of conservation easement agreement (GOCEA), as approved by the village attorney, shall be placed by the owner of the sender site on all areas of the sender site determined to be tropical hardwood hammock and restricting further residential development rights. The sender site shall be required to comply with the landscaping standards pursuant to a restoration plan consistent with article V, division 6 of this chapter and approved by the director of planning and development services. Documentation of the recorded GOCEA must be submitted prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy on the receiver site.

~~(h)~~(g) The following restrictions on residential dwelling unit transfers shall apply:

(1) Hotel and motel unit TDRs shall be transferable only to hotel and motel units, and

furthermore parcels not containing any shoreline shall be prohibited from transferring to parcels containing shorelines;

(2) Market rate dwelling unit TDRs shall be transferable only to market rate dwelling units or affordable dwelling units, and furthermore parcels not containing any shoreline shall be prohibited from transferring to parcels containing shorelines; and

(3) Affordable dwelling unit TDRs, including all mobile homes and recreational vehicle spaces from approved mobile home parks, shall be transferable only as affordable dwelling units, in accordance with affordability standards and definitions as specified in section 30-32 of this Code.

Section 3. **Transmittal.** Pursuant to Sections 380.05(6), Florida Statutes, the Village Clerk is authorized to forward a copy of this Ordinance to the State Department of Commerce.

Section 4. **Conflicts.** All Sections or parts of Sections of the Code of Ordinances, all ordinances or parts of ordinances, and all resolutions or parts of resolutions, in conflict with this Ordinance are repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 5. **Severability.** The provisions of this Ordinance are declared to be severable and if any section, sentence, clause of phrase of this Ordinance shall for any reason be held to be invalid or unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining sections, sentences, clauses, and phrases of this Ordinance but they shall remain in effect, it being the legislative intent that this Ordinance shall stand notwithstanding the invalidity of any part.

Section 6. **Inclusion in the Code.** It is the intention of the Village Council and it is hereby ordained the provisions of this Ordinance shall become and be made part of the Village

of Islamorada Code, that sections of this Ordinance may be renumbered or re-lettered to accomplish such intentions, and that the word "Ordinance" shall be changed to "Section" or other appropriate word.

Section 7. **Effective Date.** This Ordinance shall not become effective until a final Order has been issued by the State Land Planning Agency finding the Land Development Regulation in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan and the Principles for Guiding Development. If timely challenged, the Land Development Regulation does not become effective until the challenge to the order is resolved pursuant to Chapter 120, Florida Statutes.

The foregoing Ordinance was offered by _____, who moved its adoption on first reading. This motion was seconded by _____, and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Mayor Sharon Mahoney	____
Vice Mayor Don Horton	____
Councilwoman Deb Gillis	____
Councilman Steve Friedman	____
Vacant	____

PASSED on first reading this _____ day of _____, 2025.

[Remainder of the page intentionally left blank.]

The foregoing Ordinance was offered by _____, who moved its adoption on second reading. This motion was seconded by _____, and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Mayor Sharon Mahoney	____
Vice Mayor Don Horton	____
Councilwoman Deb Gillis	____
Councilman Steve Friedman	____
Vacant	____

PASSED AND ADOPTED on the second reading this _____ day of _____, 2025.

_____
SHARON MAHONEY, MAYOR

ATTEST:

_____
MARNE MCGRATH, VILLAGE CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY
FOR THE SOLE USE AND BENEFIT OF
ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS ONLY

_____
JOHN J. QUICK, VILLAGE ATTORNEY


Council Communication

To: Mayor and Village Council
From: Emily Hernandez, Planner
Date: July 24, 2025
SUBJECT: **Public Hearing - 73501 Overseas Hwy Minor Amendment to PLCUP20220140 Notice of Intent to Issue an Approval TAB C**

Background:
On January 22, 2025 Caloosa SMI LLC and it's named agent, Ty Harris, P.A., submitted an application for a Minor Deviation to amend the conditions imposed by a Minor Conditional Use permit for the former location of the Safari Lounge located at 75301 Overseas Hwy. The Minor Conditional Use permit was issued with conditions on April 25, 2023 for outdoor seating on the veranda. On March 3, 2025 the Village mailed notices to property owners within 300 feet informing them of the Director's intent to issue Minor Conditional Use permit with amendments having determined that the project has met all the requirements for said approval.

The amendments to the conditions included removing the following conditions: (a) The operating hours for seating customers at the Safari Lounge will be Sunday-Thursday 11am – 9pm and Friday and Saturday 11am – 10pm; (b) The Safari Lounge shall not play amplified music on the veranda at any time; and (c) There shall be no live music at the Safari Lounge. A condition restricting all special events and/or parties from being hosted at and/or operated by the Safari Lounge was amended to restrict such events and/or parties to a maximum of 12 per calendar year.

On or about March 19, 2025, the Planning and Development Services Department received a request for a public hearing from Russel A. Yagel on behalf of adjacent property owners and/or their homeowners association (Lower Matecumbe Beach Property Owners Association). Pursuant to the Village Code of Ordinances (the "Code"), a public hearing before the Village Council was scheduled, adjacent property owners were notified, the hearing was properly advertised, and the property was posted.
The portion of the property where the restaurant is located is approximately 24,671 square feet in size. The property is zoned Tourist Commercial (TC) and within the Mixed Use (MU) Future Land Use Map designation (FLUM). The property is located on the south side of Overseas Hwy and the restaurant is located at the southwestern tip of the Caloosa Cove Marina property, abutting the edge of the Caloosa Cove Resort to the north and east and the open water shoreline to the south.
The use is a permitted use in the Tourist Commercial Zoning District.





Analysis:

Pursuant to Sec 30-216, The Planning Director may approve minor deviations from a development permit for conditional use. Authorized minor deviations are those that allow minor redesign or change to a project that would not substantially change or increase the originally anticipated impacts.

Conditional uses are those are generally compatible with the other uses permitted in a zoning district, but that require individual review of their location, design, configuration, and intensity and density of use and structures and may require the imposition of conditions pertinent thereto to ensure the appropriateness and compatibility of the use at a particular location.

Pursuant to Sec 30-217(c), at the public hearing, the village council shall consider the application, the relevant support materials, the director's recommendations, and public testimony given at the hearing. If at any time during the public hearing the village council determines that the application is based upon incomplete or inaccurate information or misstatements of fact, it may deny the application or refer the application back to the director for further review and revised recommendations.

At the close of the public hearing, the village council by not less than a majority of a quorum present shall approve, approve with conditions, or deny the application by resolution, based on the standards in this chapter.

Pursuant to Code Section 30-216(d), when considering an application for a Conditional Use, the Director and Village Council shall consider the following factors, and no conditional use shall be approved which fails to meet any standard below. Failure to comply with any

standard shall be deemed adverse to the public interest:

(1) The proposed conditional use is consistent with the purposes, goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan, including standards for building and structural intensities and densities, and intensities of use;

The proposed minor deviation is within the Tourist Commercial (TC) Zoning District and Mixed Use (MU) FLUM Category. The Applicant's proposal meets the general criteria of Objective 1-2.4 of the Comprehensive Plan relating to the type and scope of uses that are permitted within the Mixed Use (MU) FLUM category and Policies 1-2.4.1, 1-2.4.3 and 1-2.4.6 where existing structures, business types and locations lend themselves to compatible coexistence with residential uses.
Policy 1-2.4.3: - Commercial Uses Located Outside of Village Activity Centers.
Redevelopment shall be encouraged for commercial structures and uses designated MU and located outside of the Village Activity Centers, but only to the extent that impacts generated by the new structure and use are equal to or less than that generated by the previous structure and use. Commercial uses adjoining residential canals, except commercial fishing uses, shall be limited to fully enclosed uses of medium to low intensity. In lieu of commercial development, vacant properties shall be allowed, one (1) single family home or a transfer of development rights associated with the property, providing that such transfer restricts all future development options as further implemented by standards in the Land Development Regulations.
Policy 1-2.4.1: Guide the Location of Commercial Uses and Revitalize Commercial Areas.
Mixed Use (MU) is the only FLUM category in which commercial uses shall be permitted. The general pattern of commercial land uses in MU shall:
1. Prevent negative impacts on the fragile coastal ecosystem by directing commercial development away from environmentally sensitive lands and critical habitat;
2. Revitalize all existing commercial areas and further distinguish Village Activity Centers;
3. Restrict the scale and intensity of commercial development outside of the Village Activity Centers and other appropriate areas in the Village;
4. Promote safe and efficient vehicle, cyclist and pedestrian movement;
5. Prevent or minimize Village costs to provide infrastructure;
6. Avoid encroachment of incompatible commercial activity into established residential neighborhoods;
7. Enhance the unique character of the Village's commercial land uses through incentives for bufferyards and landscaping; and
8. Facilitate within the Village Activity Centers, the creation of aesthetically pleasing commercial spaces outdoors, as places for social leisure and interaction, while limiting light industrial uses, outdoor storage and sales as a primary use of land, and outdoor retail sales as an accessory use of land.
Policy 1-2.4.3: Commercial Uses Located Outside of Village Activity Centers
Redevelopment shall be encouraged for commercial structures and uses designated MU and located outside of the Village Activity Centers, but only to the extent that impacts generated by the new structure and use are equal to or less than that generated by the previous structure and use. Commercial uses adjoining residential canals, except commercial fishing uses, shall be limited to fully enclosed uses of medium to low intensity. In lieu of commercial development, vacant properties shall be allowed, one (1) single family home or a transfer of development rights associated with the property, providing that such transfer restricts all future development options as further implemented by standards in the Land Development Regulations.
Policy 1-2.4.6: Establish Zoning District Criteria for MU.

The following criteria shall be used as guidelines for designating Zoning Districts within the Mixed Use FLUM category:
1. Residential Zoning Districts: In general, residential Zoning Districts shall be established where there are existing residential neighborhoods within the Village Activity Centers.
2. Commercial Zoning Districts: In general, commercial Zoning Districts shall be established where there are existing commercial uses, including single vacant parcels of land located between two (2) existing commercial uses.
3. Integrated Use Zoning Districts: Integrated use Zoning Districts, which encourage commercial and residential uses to co-locate on the same parcel or within the same building shall be established to maintain and promote affordable, workforce and employee housing. Appropriate locations for integrated use zoning shall include, in general, areas where such uses already exist, the core areas of the Village Activity Centers and other select locations along U.S. 1 where existing structures, business types and locations lend themselves to compatible co-existence with residential uses.
4. School and Recreational Zoning Districts: These Zoning Districts shall be established to accommodate existing or proposed school or recreational uses.

The proposed Minor Deviation from the Minor Conditional Use is consistent with the above policies. A restaurant/lounge has existed in the same location for decades without the conditions that were placed on the new restaurant/lounge. The project is compatible with the surrounding commercial uses and will not negatively impact level of service standards.
IN COMPLIANCE.

(2) The proposed conditional use complies with all relevant and appropriate portions of the LDRs;

Landscaping Standards:
NOT APPLICABLE
Parking:
NOT APPLICABLE

(3) The proposed conditional use is compatible and generally consistent with the uses and character of the land surrounding and in the vicinity of the land proposed for development;

The proposed minor deviation is compatible and consistent with the uses and character of the land surrounding and in the vicinity of the land proposed for development.
IN COMPLIANCE

(4) The design of the proposed conditional use minimizes adverse effects, including visual impact and intensity of the proposed use on adjacent lands;

The historic location of the restaurant remains unchanged. Intensity has been addressed by imposing a condition that limits the amount of special events and/or parties held at or by the restaurant operator to twelve (12) per calendar year.
IN COMPLIANCE

(5) The Proposed Development complies with Division 5 (Concurrency Management) [of Article IV of the LDRs];

The proposed minor deviation does not entail the further development of any part of the property.

IN COMPLIANCE

(6) The proposed conditional use minimizes environmental impacts, including but not limited to water, air, stormwater management, wildlife, vegetation, wetlands and the natural functioning of the environment; and,

There are no environmentally sensitive habitats on the subject property.
NOT APPLICABLE

(7) The proposed conditional use will result in logical, timely and orderly development patterns.

The minor deviation does not involve any new development.
NOT APPLICABLE

Budget Impact:
None.

Staff Impact:
None.

Recommendation:
Staff has reviewed the request for Minor Deviation to the Minor Conditional Use and has determined that the application is consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the comprehensive plan and complies with applicable provisions of the Code of Ordinances. Staff recommends that the Village Council APPROVE the proposed Minor Deviation application subject to the following Conditions of Approval:
1. This minor conditional use is for restaurant seating. The total gross floor area inside will be limited to 2,456 square feet. The outdoor seating is limited to the veranda with 682 square feet and a maximum occupancy of 42 seats as shown on the site plan attached.
2. All parking shall remain on site and under no circumstances shall there be parking within the right of way for U.S. 1
3. There shall be a maximum of twelve (12) special events and/or parties hosted at and/or operated by the Safari Lounge per calendar year.
4. Daily the Applicant with be responsible for maintaining a trash free environment on the veranda.
5. The Village reserves the right to rescind in whole or part any aspect of this Minor Conditional Use permit in the event violation of these conditions occurs.
6. Except as otherwise provided herein, applicant/owner agrees to comply in all respects with any applicable Federal, State, or local rules and regulations.
7. Based on the shared parking analysis provided to the Village, all parking shall remain on site. In the event that issues arise, the Village Council reserves the right to require additional on-site parking or other reasonable solution after a public hearing process.
8. All lighting shall comply in all respects with Village requirements including Chapter 30, Article VII, Division 3 (Sea Turtle Nesting Protection).

Attachments:
1. Recorded NOA_Minor Conditional Use
2. NOI_PropertyOwners_Minor Deviation
3. HLY to Village requesting hearing

4. Safari Lounge Reso

This instrument prepared by and return to:
Islamorada, Village of Islands
Planning and Development Services Department
86800 Overseas Highway
Islamorada, Florida 33036

Doc # 2416367 Bk# 3223 Pg# 1458
Recorded 5/3/2023 8:44 AM Page 1 of 3

Filed and Recorded in Official Records of
MONROE COUNTY KEVIN MADOK, CPA

Notice of Approval: Minor Conditional Use (PLCUP20220140)

Project Name:	Caloosa Cove – Outdoor Seating
Parcel Number:	00388620-000000
Project Owner:	Caloosa SMI, LLC
Agent:	Eric Metz
Project #:	**PLCUP20220140**
Zoning District Designation:	Tourist Commercial (TC)
Property Address:	73501 Overseas Highway on Lower Matecumbe Key
Project Summary:	2,456 square foot restaurant with 682 square feet of outdoor seating
Date of Approval:	April 28, 2023
Date of Expiration:	April 28, 2025

Pursuant to Section 30-217 of the Islamorada, Village of Islands Code of Ordinances (Code), Minor Conditional Use Approval is required before the issuance of Building Permits. The Director of Planning has determined that the Site Plan prepared for the project meets the requirements for Site Plan Approval and in accordance with Section 30-215(f)(1) of the Code, this letter shall serve as the Notice of Intent to issue Minor Conditional Use Approval, subject to the following Conditions of Approval:

1. This minor conditional use is for a restaurant with outdoor seating. The total gross floor area inside will be limited to 2,456 square feet. The outdoor seating is limited to the veranda with 682 square feet and a maximum occupancy of 42 seats as shown on the site plan attached.
2. The operating hours for seating customers at the Safari Lounge will be Sunday-Thursday 11am – 9pm and Friday and Saturday 11 am – 10 pm.
3. The Safari Lounge shall not play amplified music on the veranda at any time.
4. There shall be no live music at the Safari Lounge.
5. All parking shall remain on site and under no circumstances shall there be parking within the right of way for U.S. 1.
6. There shall be no special events and/or parties hosted at and/or operated by the Safari Lounge.
7. Daily the Applicant will be responsible for maintaining a trash free environment on the veranda.
8. The Village reserves the right to rescind in whole or part any aspect of this Minor Conditional Use in the event violation of these conditions occurs.
9. Except as otherwise provided herein, applicant/owner agrees to comply in all respects with any applicable Federal, State, or local rules and regulations.
10. Based on the shared parking analysis provided to the Village, all parking shall remain on site. If issues arise, the Villages Council reserves the right to request additional on-site parking or other reasonable solution after a public hearing process.
11. All lighting shall comply in all respects with Village requirements including Chapter 30, Article VII, Division 3 (Sea Turtle Nesting Protection).

A copy of the approved site plan, Exhibit A, shall be submitted with all applications for development for the subject property.

Pursuant to Section 30-215(i), issuance of Site Plan Approval shall be deemed to authorize only the site configuration, layout, and level of impacts as submitted on plans dated March 21, 2023 (attached) unless the site plan is rescinded or revoked as provided in Chapter 30. Permitted uses may occur in conjunction with or in place of the approved uses. Site Plan Approval shall run with the land. Issuance of Site Plan Approval shall be deemed to authorize the Building Official to approve an application for a building permit if the applicant has complied with all other relevant portions of Chapter 30 and the Code.

Signed, witnessed, and executed on this 28thth day of April 2023.

WITNESS:

Jamie Terry

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:

Jennifer DeBoisbriand

STATE OF FLORIDA
)SS
COUNTY OF MONROE

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by Jennifer DeBoisbriand as Planning Director of Islamorada, Village of Islands, Florida, a Municipal Corporation. She is personally known to me.


Witness my signature and official seal this 28th day of April 2023 in the aforesaid County and State.

SEAL:

Stephanie Conde
Comm.:HH 189981
Expires: Nov. 27, 2025
Notary Public - State of Florida

NOTARY PUBLIC, State of Florida

Print Name: Stephanie Conde



SQARE FOOT DATA
2,456 SF - Interior/GFA
554 SF Veranda (Ocean View)
128 SF Veranda (Parking Area View)
0 SF Sand / Beach Area

3,138 SF

OCCUPANCY DATA
62 Occ Interior (Per Plan)
3 Occ Kitchen (Per Plan)
34 Occ Veranda (Ocean View) (Per Seating Layout)
8 Occ Veranda (Parking Area View) (Per Seating Layout)
---
107 Occ - Veranda + Interior
0 Occ on Sand/Ground Level
---
107 Occ Total

1 SITE PLAN
SCALE 1/8" = 1'-0"

INTERIOR x ARCHITE

AA26023447 - IB26001

OFFICE ADDRESS
7255 NE 4TH AVENUE
SUITE 110-1
MIAMI, FL 33138

PHONE (305) 434 0323

CONSULTANTS
SHANNON SCOTT
STEVEN GIOVARR

PROJECT IDENTIFIC

SAFARI RESTAURANT
COMMERCIAL PROJECT

ORIGINAL ISSUE D
00/00/00
REVISION LOG

PROJECT NUMBER XS
DRAWN BY SS
COPYRIGHT
SHEET TITLE
SITE PLAN
SHEET NUMBER
IN-002

ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS
PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT
86800 Overseas Highway • Islamorada, Florida 33036 • 305-664-6400 • www.islamorada.fl.us

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

March 3, 2025

PROPERTY OWNERS (ADJACENT TO OR WITHIN 300 FT)

Notice of Intent to Issue Conditional Use Permit PLCUP20250012

Please be advised that Islamorada, Village of Islands Staff has reviewed an application submitted by Ty Harris for Conditional Use Permit Approval for amending operating conditions only. The proposed project is located at 73501 Overseas Highway on Lower Matecumbe Key having real estate number 00388620-000000.

Pursuant to provisions contained in Chapter 30, Article IV of the Islamorada, Village of Islands Code of Ordinances (Code), this letter shall serve as the Notice of Intent to issue Conditional Use Permit Approval, subject to the following Conditions:

Conditions:

1 This minor conditional use is for restaurant seating. The total gross floor area inside will be limited to 2,456 square feet. The outdoor seating is limited to the veranda with 682 square feet and a maximum occupancy of 42 seats as shown on the site plan attached.

2 All parking shall remain on site and under no circumstances shall there be parking within the right of way for U.S. 1

3 There shall be maximum of twelve (12) special events and/or parties hosted at and/or operated by the Safari Lounge per calendar year.

4 Daily the Applicant will be responsible for maintaining a trash free environment on the veranda.

5 The Village reserves the right to rescind in whole or part any aspect of this Minor Conditional Use in the event violation of these conditions occurs.

6 Except as otherwise provided herein, applicant/owner agrees to comply in all respects with any applicable Federal, State, or local rules and regulations.

7 Based on the shared parking analysis provided to the Village, all parking shall remain on site. In the event that issues arise, the Village Council reserves the right to require additional on-site parking or other reasonable solution after a public hearing process.

8 All lighting shall comply in all respects with Village requirements including Chapter 30, Article VII, Division 3 (Sea Turtle Nesting Protection)

A copy of the Conditional Use Permit application may be reviewed at Village Hall, Islamorada Professional Center, 86800 Overseas Highway, Islamorada Village of Islands, Florida, between the hours of 8:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M.

Pursuant to the Code, the applicant or adjacent property owners may request that a public hearing be held regarding the intent to approve. Requests must be made by to the Director of Planning and received within thirty (30) days of the date of this notice at the sole cost of the person requesting the public hearing. If a public hearing is requested, the Village shall schedule a public hearing of the Village Council. The hearing shall be conducted in accordance with Division 3 of Article IV of Chapter 30 of the Village Code. If no public hearing is requested within thirty (30) days of this notice, the Director of Planning shall issue a Notice of Approval.

Sincerely,

Emily Hernandez

Emily Hernandez
Planner
86800 Overseas Highway, Islamorada, FL 33036
305-664-6424
emily.hernandez@islamorada.fl.us

HL&Y
Hershoff, Lupino & Yagel, LLP
Attorneys At Law

www.HLYlaw.com

Attorneys at Law
Jay A. Hershoff
James S. Lupino
Russell A. Yagel
Jessica L. Rothenberg
Robert C. Stober
Deborah A. Serafini
Victoria G. Miranda
Joanne M. Pereira

All Correspondence To:
88539 Overseas Highway
Tavernier, Florida 33070
(305) 852-8440 Fax (305) 852-8848

Miami Office
9350 S. Dixie Highway, Suite 1470
Miami, Florida 33156

March 19, 2025

Via Email Transmission
jennifer.deboisbriand@islamorada.fl.us

Jennifer DeBoisbriand
Planning Director
Islamorada, Village of Islands
86800 Overseas Highway
Islamorada, FL 33036

RE: Notice of Intent to Issue Conditional Use Permit
Permit No.: PLCUP20250012

Dear Jennifer:

We represent certain adjacent property owners and/or their homeowners association (Lower Matecumbe Beach Property Owners Association) with property adjacent to the proposed project located at 73501 Overseas Highway on Lower Matecumbe Key having Parcel ID Number: 00388620-000000. With respect to the Notice of Intent to Issue Conditional Use Permit PLCUP20250012, on behalf of the aforesaid, we are requesting a public hearing be held regarding the intent to approve.

Kindly acknowledge receipt of this request, and please advise of the date such hearing shall be held.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Russell A. Yagel, Esq.
RAY/tld

RESOLUTION NO. 25-

A RESOLUTION OF THE VILLAGE COUNCIL OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA, CONSIDERING ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL NO. PLCUP20250012 FILED BY RUSSEL A. YAGEL, AGENT FOR LOWER MATECUMBE BEACH PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION (APPELLANT) RELATING TO AN ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION BY THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING (AMMENDMENT TO MINOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT) FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 73501 OVERSEAS HWY, HAVING REAL ESTATE NUMBER 00388620-000000, ON LOWER MATECUMBE KEY; AS LEGALLY DESCRIBED HEREIN; PROVIDING FOR THE TRANSMITTAL OF THIS RESOLUTION TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE UPON THE APPROVAL OF THIS RESOLUTION FROM THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

WHEREAS, Caloosa SMI LLC (the "Applicant") has submitted an application for Minor Deviationto a Minor Conditional Use pursuant to Section 30-216 of the Code of Ordinances (the "Code") of Islamorada, Village of Islands, Florida (the "Village") for the modification of the Minor Conditional Use approval PLCUP20220140. The business is located at 73501 Overseas Highway on Lower Matecumbe Key, having real estate number 00388620-000000 (the "Property"); and

WHEREAS, property owners within 300 feet of the Property were sent a copy of the Planning Director's (the "Director") Notice of Intent to Issue (NOI) a Minor Deviation of a Minor Conditional Use with conditions March 3, 2025, and the Applicant posted a sign on the Property on March 3, 2025; and

WHEREAS, on or about March 19, 2025, Russel A. Yagel on behalf of adjacent property owners and/or their homeowners association (Lower Matecumbe Beach

Property Owners Association) requested a public hearing relating to the Director's NOI; and

WHEREAS, on May 8, 2025, a duly noticed public hearing was held by the Village Council to consider the Director's intent to issue a Minor Deviation to the Minor Conditional Use (PLCUP20250012).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE VILLAGE COUNCIL OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Recitals. The above recitals are true and correct and incorporated into this Resolution by this reference.

Section 2. Findings of Fact.

The Village Council, having considered the testimony and evidence presented by all parties, including Village Staff, does hereby find and determine:

(1) The Application for a Minor Deviation to the Minor Conditional Use **does/does not meet** the requirements set forth in Code Section 30-216 and **has/has not** demonstrated through competent substantial evidence that the criteria for granting the Request have been met; and

(2) The Village Council **approves/denies** the Request submitted by the Applicant, which is attached as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by this reference, for the following described property:

64S RGE 36E PT TRACT A LOWER MATECUMBE BEACH 3.15 AC LOWER MATECUMBE KEY

Section 3. Conclusions of Law.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact, the Village Council does hereby make the following Conclusions of Law:

(1) The Request has been processed in accordance with the Village Comprehensive Plan and the Code; and

(2) In rendering its decision, as reflected in this Resolution, the Village Council has:

(a) Accorded procedural due process; and

(b) Observed the essential requirements of the law; and

(c) Supported its decision by competent substantial evidence contained within the record.

Section 4. Effective Date.

This Resolution shall not take effect until after both thirty (30) days following the date it is filed with the Village Clerk, during which time the Request herein shall be subject to appeal as provided in the Code; and following the thirty (30) days, this resolution shall not be effective or acted upon by the Applicant until forty-five (45) days following the rendition to the Department of Commerce (DOC), pursuant to Chapter 9J-1 of the Florida Administrative Code. During those forty-five (45) days, the DOC may appeal this resolution to the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission, and that such an appeal stays the effectiveness of this Resolution until the appeal is resolved by agreement or order.

Motion to adopt by_____, second by_____.

FINAL VOTE AT ADOPTION

VILLAGE COUNCIL OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS:

Mayor Sharon Mahoney

Vice Mayor Don Horon

Councilmember Deb Gillis

Councilmember Anna Richards

Councilmember Steve Friedman

PASSED AND ADOPTED ON MAY 8, 2025.

_____
SHARON MAHONEY, MAYOR

ATTEST:

_____
MARNE MCGRATH, VILLAGE CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY
FOR THE USE AND BENEFIT OF
ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS ONLY

_____
JOHN J. QUICK, INTERIM VILLAGE ATTORNEY

Council Communication

To: Mayor and Village Council
From: Jennifer DeBoisbriand , Planning Director
Date: July 24, 2025
SUBJECT: **Ordinance to Amend the Future Land Use Map from Residential Conservation (RC) to Mixed Use (MU) for the Property at 76800 Overseas Hwy. - FIRST READING TAB D**

Background:
The applicant, Ty Harris, PA on behalf of the property owner Leben Family LLP, has submitted a map amendment application requesting an approval for a Future Land Use Map (FLUM) Amendment from Residential Conservation (RC) to Mixed-Use (MU) designation on the subject property, located at 76800 Overseas Highway (RE #00396950-000200), comprising 3.2 acres. The current use of the property is an abandoned tennis center with retail shop. This FLUM amendment has a companion application for a Zoning Map Amendment, which proposes a change from Native Residential (NR) District to Neighborhood Commercial (NC) District, and the FLUM amendment cannot be approved without approval of the companion Zoning Map Amendment. The applicant's agent has submitted a needs analysis detailing the map amendment request.

The subject property currently has an existing Residential Conservation (RC) Future Land Use designation. The property is to the North, South and West is designated Conservation (C). The properties to the East across Overseas Highway are designated Mixed Use (MU) and Residential Conservation (RC). The character of the surrounding area is mixed use with residential uses and conservation uses.

The property is disturbed in the area that has been developed and has hammock surrounding the disturbed area. The applicant has submitted a vegetation survey and habitat analysis on the undisturbed area. According to this survey the area is classified as HIGH quality tropical hardwood hammock. The property is not recognized as habitat to any state or federal listed animal species. A portion of the property is within the Florida Forever Boundary. Previously the Village had expressed interest in including the entirety of the property on the Florida Forever Boundary list and the property owner was not amenable to that idea. Pursuant to the Village's GIS data and records the parcel is defined as hammock and scarified.

Analysis:
Areas designated MU on the Future Land Use Map recognizes the prevalent and historical mixed-use pattern of development in the Village. The MU designation shall accommodate a mix of commercial and residential uses, which may be located in the same building, limited public and semi-public uses, recreational facilities, schools, marinas, tourist-oriented facilities, and supportive community facilities ancillary to the permitted uses, pursuant to the standards

in the Comprehensive Plan and the Land Development Regulations [Ref. Comprehensive Plan Objective 1-2.4].

The proposed FLUM amendment would alter the development potential of the subject property. The following table is a comparison of the maximum residential density and non-residential intensity [or floor area ratio (FAR)] between the existing RC FLUM and the proposed MU FLUM.

Existing FLUM Category	Maximum Residential Density	Maximum Non-Residential Intensity (FAR)
Residential Conservation (RC)		
Single Family	1 Units per 4 acres+1	-
Proposed FLUM Category	**Maximum Residential Density**	**Maximum Non-Residential Intensity (FAR)**
Mixed Use (MU) Residential		
Residential Density Allowance	6 units per acre	-
With TDRs	12 units per acre	-
Affordable Housing	15 units per acre	-
Mixed Use (MU) Nonresidential		
Outside Village Activity Centers	-	.25
Outside Village Activity Centers with TDRs	-	.35
Inside Village Activity Centers	-	.35
Working Waterfronts	-	.35

Maximum Residential Density: The proposed MU FLUM designation would allow market-rate residential density based on acreage (6 units per acre); and therefore, the minimal lot size to support one (1) market-rate residential unit would be 7,260 square feet or 0.16 acre. The MU FLUM category allows affordable housing at an allocated density of 15 units per acre that requires a minimum of 2,904 square feet to support one unit. If approved, the proposed FLUM amendment would result in an increase of either eighteen (18) market-rate residential dwelling units or up to forty-five (45) deed-restricted affordable residential dwelling units on the property.

Maximum Non-Residential Intensity (FAR): The proposed MU FLUM category would allow nonresidential intensity of 0.25 FAR for areas outside of the Village Activity Centers, and up to 0.35 FAR for areas inside the Village Activity Centers, Working Waterfronts and through utilizing the transfer of development rights (TDRs).

Impact on Public Facilities:

Wastewater: The Village has adopted level of service (LOS) standards for wastewater management systems as required by Federal and State regulations. Currently, any permitted or replacement on-site wastewater treatment facility with a design flow less than or equal to 100,000 gallons per day (GPD) within the Village must comply with the 10/10/10/1 Best Available Technology (BAT) standard, as well as require approval from the Monroe County Health Department and/or the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Any new development associated with the proposed FLUM amendment would be subject to this

provision or would be mandated to hook up to a central sewer system.

Potable Water: The Village has adopted LOS standards for potable water. The LOS standard for the Village are 371.7 gallons per equivalent residential unit (ERU) per day. Based on water use data provided by FKAA, the current amount of water consumed by an ERU in Monroe County is 219.42 gallons per day (GPD). Therefore, the actual demand is lower than the Village's LOS standard for ERUs of 371.7 GPD. At this time it is not apparent if the proposed FLUM amendment is projected to increase the existing potable water LOS below the adopted standard, as provided in Comprehensive Plan Policies 4-1.1.3 and 9-1.2.3.

Solid Waste: The Village has adopted LOS standards for solid waste management. Pursuant to Comprehensive Plan Policies 4-1.1.4 and 9-1.2.3, minimum disposal quantity is 12.2 pounds per day per equivalent residential unit (ERU). The Village's solid waste LOS also requires that sufficient capacity shall be available at a solid waste disposal site to accommodate all existing and approved development for a period of three years from the projected date of completion of the proposed development or use. Islamorada has no facilities within its jurisdiction for the disposal of solid waste. All solid waste generated from the Village is currently managed through a contract with Island Disposal and disposed of through existing authorized Monroe County and Miami-Dade County solid waste facilities.

Stormwater: The Village has adopted LOS standards for stormwater management as currently mandated by State agencies, as defined in the Village's adopted Stormwater Management Master Plan, and as implemented in the Village's LDRs and Stormwater Design Criteria Technical Manual. Any development associated with the proposed FLUM amendment would be subject to these standards and is required to meet or exceed them as a condition of development approval.

Recreation and Open Space: The Village has adopted a minimum LOS standard for recreation and open space of 3.79 acres per 1,000 population, pursuant to Comprehensive Plan Policy 9-1.2.3. According to the Village's most recent Public Facilities Capacity Assessment, there is an estimated functional population of 11,280 persons in 2012. Therefore, 42.75 acres of recreation and open space are required to meet the adopted LOS. The existing supply of recreation and open space area in the Village is 132.3 acres, which exceeds the adopted LOS standard and provides for 11.73 acres per 1,000 persons. Therefore, the proposed FLUM amendment is not projected to decrease the existing recreation and open space LOS below the adopted standards, as provided in Comprehensive Plan Policy 9-1.2.3.

Roadways: The Village has adopted minimum LOS standards for roadways, pursuant to Comprehensive Plan Policy 9-1.2.3. The Property is located adjacent to Overseas Highway which shall have sufficient available capacity to operate at or above LOS as measured by peak hours volumes at all intersections including but not limited to all intersections of U.S.1. Schools: The Comprehensive Plan does not establish a LOS standard for schools, but Monroe County and state law require that school classroom capacity be available to accommodate all school-age children generated by proposed development or use. According to the 2012 Monroe County Public Facilities Capacity Assessment Report, all schools have adequate capacity to serve the growth anticipated in 2012 at the County's adopted LOS standard. At this time it is not apparent if the FLUM Amendment will impact the Level of Service in the Schools.

Compatibility with Comprehensive Plan Policies:

Policy 1-2.1.9, Promote Low and Medium Intensity and Varied Commercial Designations

Policy 1-2.1.14, Criteria for Future Land Use Map (FLUM) Amendments
Policy 1-2.4, Recognize Mixed Use Development Patterns
Policy 1-2.4.1, Guide The Location of Commercial uses and Revitalize Commercial Areas
Policy 1-2.4.5: Standards Applicable to Non-Residential Uses in the MU Designation

Comprehensive Plan Policy 1-2.1.9, Promote Low and Medium Intensity and Varied Commercial Designations, states that Islamorada, Village of Islands, shall maintain specific criteria for amending the Future Land Use Map that are consistent with the general procedures delineated in Chapter 163.3177, 163.3184 and 163.3189, Florida Statutes and the principles for guiding development in areas of critical state concern. The Village Council shall make its determination on proposed FLUM amendments on legitimate public purpose based on one or more of the following factors; however, in no event shall an amendment be approved which would result in an adverse community change.

Comprehensive Plan Policy 1-2.1.14, Criteria for Future Land Use Map (FLUM) Amendments, states that Islamorada, Village of Islands, shall maintain specific criteria for amending the Future Land Use Map that are consistent with the general procedures delineated in Chapter 163.3177, 163.3184 and 163.3189, Florida Statutes and the principles for guiding development in areas of critical state concern. The Village Council shall make its determination on proposed FLUM amendments on legitimate public purpose based on one or more of the following factors; however, in no event shall an amendment be approved which would result in an adverse community change.

It is the staff's opinion that is request is not compatible with the two policies as it does result in an adverse community change. The applicant argues that the Tennis Center predates the Comprehensive Plan. However, the Tennis Center has been abandoned for a period of more than 365 days and loses any non-conforming status. Allowing a FLUM change to mixed use would adversely affect the area of Lower Matecumbe by opening the property up to the option of commercial development.

Comprehensive Plan Objective 1-2.4, Recognize Mixed Use Development Patterns, states: "Areas designated Mixed Use (MU) on the Future Land Use Map recognizes the prevalent and historical mixed-use pattern of development in the Village. The MU category shall accommodate a mix of commercial and residential uses, which may be located in the same building, limited public and semi-public uses, recreational facilities, schools, marinas, tourist-oriented facilities, and supportive community facilities ancillary to the permitted uses, pursuant to the standards in the Comprehensive Plan and the Land Development Regulations."

The proposed map amendment would not be consistent with the historical trend of a mixed-use development pattern within the Village. While the properties along Overseas Highway contain mixed uses, including commercial, recreation and residential uses, this area of the Village has historically been more residential in nature.

Comprehensive Plan Policy 1-2.4.1, Guide The Location Of Commercial Uses And Revitalize Commercial Areas, states Mixed Use (MU) is the only FLUM category in which commercial uses shall be permitted. The general pattern of commercial land uses in MU shall:
1. Prevent negative impacts on the fragile coastal ecosystem by directing commercial development away from environmentally sensitive lands and critical habitat;
2. Revitalize all existing commercial areas and further distinguish Village Activity Centers;
3. Restrict the scale and intensity of commercial development outside of the Village Activity

Centers and other appropriate areas in the Village;
4. Promote safe and efficient vehicle, cyclist and pedestrian movement;
5. Prevent or minimize Village costs to provide infrastructure;
6. Avoid encroachment of incompatible commercial activity into established residential neighborhoods;
7. Enhance the unique character of the Village's commercial land uses through incentives for bufferyards and landscaping; and
8. Facilitate within the Village Activity Centers, the creation of aesthetically pleasing commercial spaces outdoors, as places for social leisure and interaction, while limiting light industrial uses, outdoor storage and sales as a primary use of land, and outdoor retail sales as an accessory use of land.
The proposed amendment would not be consistent with this policy in that it could negatively impact an area of High Quality Hammock.

Comprehensive Plan Policy 1-2.4.5, Standards Applicable to Non-Residential Uses in the MU Designation, states, “The Floor Area Ratio (FAR) for MU designated parcels outside of the Village Activity Centers shall not exceed 0.25, except that an FAR up to 0.35 may be allowed on designated receiver sites through the transfer of development rights and for Working Waterfronts parcels, as provided for in the Land Development Regulations. FAR within the Village Activity Centers and on Working Waterfronts parcels shall not exceed 0.35 FAR. The Land Development Regulations shall establish criteria governing the appropriate mass and scale of structures, trip generation, design and location of access and egress facilities, off-street parking and safe pedestrian facilities in MU. Design techniques such as landscaping, screening and buffering shall be applied to ensure land use compatibility within MU areas.”

Any possible future development associated with the proposed FLUM amendment would be required to comply with all applicable portions of the Land Development Regulations, including but not limited to landscaping, buffering and off-street parking. Therefore, the proposed amendment is consistent with Policy 1-2.4.5.

Compatibility with the Principles for Guiding Development:

The following shall be the principles with which any plan amendments must be consistent pursuant to the Florida Keys Area of Critical State Concern designation as set out in Chapter 380.0552(7), Florida Statutes.

Please note, the criterion is provided in italics and staff response is provided immediately below. The Applicant has provided his responses to the criteria in his analysis attached.

(a) Strengthening local government capabilities for managing land use and development so that local government is able to achieve these objectives without the continuation of the area of critical state concern designation.

Village Staff Response:
The proposed FLUM is consistent with Principle (a) of the Principles for Guiding Development as any redevelopment would be limited to an already scarified area.

(b) Protecting shoreline and marine resources, including mangroves, coral reef formations, seagrass beds, wetlands, fish and wildlife, and their habitat.

Village Staff Response:
The proposed FLUM is consistent with Principle (b) of the Principles for Guiding Development as there would be no negative impact on shoreline or marine resources.

(c) Protecting upland resources, tropical biological communities, freshwater wetlands, native tropical vegetation (for example, hardwood hammocks and pinelands), dune ridges and beaches, wildlife, and their habitat.

Village Staff Response:
It is not clear if the proposed FLUM is consistent with Principle (c) of the Principles for Guiding Development. The property does have upland resources that could be impacted.

(d) Ensuring the maximum well-being of the Florida Keys and its citizens through sound economic development.

Village Staff Response:
The proposed FLUM is consistent with Principle (d) of the Principles for Guiding Development. Any potential future redevelopment of the subject property would support the maximum well-being of the Florida Keys citizens and the economic health of the area.

(e) Limiting the adverse impacts of development on the quality of water throughout the Florida Keys.

Village Staff Response:
The proposed FLUM is consistent with Principle (e) of the Principles for Guiding Development as there is no impact to the quality of water throughout the Florida Keys. Any development would be required to adhere to all applicable land development regulations including the implementation of stormwater regulations which aid in protecting near shore water quality.

(f) Enhancing natural scenic resources, promote the aesthetic benefits of the natural environment, and ensure that development is compatible with the unique historic character of the Florida Keys.

Village Staff Response:
The proposed FLUM is consistent with Principle (f) of the Principles for Guiding Development. The proposed FLUM amendment will ensure that the subject property meets the standards of review of the Comprehensive Plan and the Land Development Regulations (LDRs), including but not limited to: requirements for minimum open space; habitat preservation, concurrency management and level of service (LOS) standards for transportation, infrastructure, wastewater, stormwater and other public services; off-street parking and internal circulation; required setbacks; landscaping, dedicated conservation easements for existing habitat; on-site and off-site improvements and design amenities required to achieve land use compatibility for the surrounding land uses and zoning districts.

(g) Protecting the historical heritage of the Florida Keys.

Village Staff Response:
The proposed FLUM is consistent with Principle (g) of the Principles for Guiding Development as there are no known archaeological or historical artifacts on the Property.

(h) Protecting the value, efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and amortized life of existing and proposed major public investments, including:

1. The Florida Keys Aqueduct and water supply facilities;
2. Sewage collection, treatment and disposal facilities;
3. Solid waste treatment, collection and disposal facilities;
4. Key West Naval Air Station and other military facilities;
5. Transportation facilities;
6. Federal parks, wildlife refuges, and marine sanctuaries;
7. State parks, recreation facilities, aquatic preserves, and other publicly owned properties;
8. City electric service and the Florida Keys Electric Co-op; and
9. Other utilities, as appropriate.

Village Staff Response:
The proposed FLUM is consistent with Principle (h) of the Principles for Guiding Development. The proposed FLUM amendment would not adversely impact the value, efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and amortized life of existing and proposed major public investments.

(i) Protecting and improving water quality by providing for the construction, operation, maintenance, and replacement of stormwater management facilities; central sewage collection; treatment and disposal facilities; and the installation and proper operation and maintenance of onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems.

Village Staff Response:
The proposed FLUM is consistent with Principle (i) of the Principles for Guiding Development. The proposed FLUM amendment would not adversely affect storm water management facilities, central sewage collection, treatment and disposal facilities, or the installation and proper operation and maintenance of onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems. Coordination with all utilities will be required during any permitting process.

(j) Ensuring the improvement of nearshore water quality by requiring the construction and operation of wastewater management facilities that meet the requirements of § 381.0065(4)(1) and 403.086(10), as applicable, and by directing growth to areas served by central wastewater treatment facilities through permit allocation systems.

Village Staff Response:
The proposed FLUM is consistent with Principle (j) of the Principles for Guiding Development. Any proposed development as a result of the proposed FLUM amendment would be required to be consistent with the requirements of § 381.0065(4)(1) and 403.086(10), Florida Statutes, and the Village's Comprehensive Plan and Wastewater Master Plan.

(k) Limiting the adverse impacts of public investments on the environmental resources of the Florida Keys.

Village Staff Response:
The proposed FLUM amendment could have adverse impacts on the environmental resources of the Florida Keys depending on any future development.

(l) To make available adequate affordable housing for all sectors of the population of the Florida Keys.

Village Staff Response:
The proposed FLUM is consistent with Principle (l) of the Principles for Guiding Development. The proposed FLUM amendment would allow for development of workforce/affordable housing or affordable housing impact fees.

(m) To provide adequate alternatives for the protection of public safety and welfare in the event of a natural or manmade disaster and for a post-disaster reconstruction plan.

Village Staff Response:
The proposed FLUM is consistent with Principle (m) of the Principles for Guiding Development. The proposed FLUM amendment would not affect the provision of adequate alternatives for the protection of public safety and welfare in the event of a natural or manmade disaster and for a post-disaster reconstruction plan. Furthermore, all structures built within the Village must meet the requirements of the Village's Code of Ordinances and the Florida Building Code.

(n) To protect the public health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of the Florida Keys and maintain the Florida Keys as a unique Florida resource.

Village Staff Response:
The proposed FLUM is consistent with Principle (n) of the Principles for Guiding Development. The proposed FLUM amendment would not negatively affect the health, safety, or welfare of the citizens of the Florida Keys.

After the first meeting with LPA, the Applicant and staff met and discussed concerns regarding the possible proliferation of uses and how to make the site more compatible to the surrounding area. We are proposing to include Policy 1-2.11.7 Lower Matecumbe Key Mixed Use Area 2 (LMKMUA2). This new sub-area policy would limit the uses of this property to public and private recreational uses and storage. The Applicant would still record a Conservation Easement on the environmentally sensitive areas of the property.

At its meeting on June 3, 2025 the LPA unanimously voted to recommend approval of this ordinance.

Budget Impact:
None

Staff Impact:
None

Recommendation:
Staff has reviewed the request for FLUM Amendment from Residential Conservation to Mixed Use with the creation of the sub-area Policy 1-2.11.7 and determined that the request does meet the criteria. Therefore, staff does recommend approval of the map amendment and the creation of the new subarea policy.

Attachments:
1. 76800 OSH FLUM ORD
2. Exhibit A - 76800 Subarea
3. 76800 CC Back up
4. 76800 OS Hwy -Habitat Analysis

ORDINANCE NO. 25-

AN ORDINANCE OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA, CONSIDERING THE REQUEST OF TY HARRIS PA, AGENT FOR LEBEN FAMILY LLLP TO AMEND THE VILLAGE'S FUTURE LAND USE MAP FROM RESIDENTIAL CONSERVATION (RC) TO MIXED USE (MU) FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, AT 76800 OVERSEAS HIGHWAY LOCATED ON LOWER MATECUMBE KEY, WITH REAL ESTATE NUMBER 00396950-000200 AND TO ESTABLISH A NEW SUB-AREA POLICY UNDER OBJECTIVE 1-2.11, AS LEGALLY DESCRIBED HEREIN, AS LEGALLY DESCRIBED HEREIN; PROVIDING FOR THE TRANSMITTAL OF THIS ORDINANCE TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE UPON THE APPROVAL OF THIS ORDINANCE BY THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

WHEREAS, the Official Future Land Use Map of Islamorada, Village of Islands (the "Village") became effective April 30, 2002; and

WHEREAS, the applicant, Leben Family LLLP, has requested an official Future Land Use Map amendment from Residential Conservation (RC) to Mixed Use (MU) for a parcel consisting of approximately 3.2 acres of land, with Real Estate number 0096950-000200, as legally described below; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 166.041, Florida Statutes and Sections 30-101 and 30-213 of the Village Code of Ordinances (the "Code"), the Village Local Planning Agency publicly considered the Future Land Use Map Amendment during a duly noticed public hearing held on June 3, 2025; and

WHEREAS, the Local Planning Agency and Village Council desire to also create a new Policy 1-2.11.7: Lower Matecumbe Key Mixed Use Area 2 (LMKMUA2): The Future Land use Map designation on the subject property shall be LMMUA2 and shall be limited to the following uses:

public and private recreational uses and storage uses only. All other uses allowed in the Mixed Use FLUM will be specifically excluded; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Section 166.041, Florida Statutes, and Section 30-213 of the Village Code, notice of the public hearings has been given for the proposed adoption of this Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Village Council of Islamorada, Village of Islands (the "Village Council") finds that the adoption of the Official Future Land Use Map Amendment is in the best interest of the Village and does comply with all applicable laws, as well as promotes the general health, safety, and welfare of the Village residents; and

WHEREAS, the Village Council has determined that the proposed Future Land Use Map Amendment is consistent with the Village Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Village Council desires to adopt the proposed Future Land Use Map Amendment in accordance with State law.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE VILLAGE COUNCIL OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Recitals. The above recitals are true and correct and incorporated herein by this reference.

Section 2. Approval/Denial of Future Land Use Map Amendment. The Official Future Land Use Map Amendment is hereby **approved/denied** as part of the Official Future Land Use Map of the Village. A copy of the Official Future Land Use Map Amendment is attached as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by this reference, for the following described property:

PT NELY 653 FT OF SWLY 1725 FT OF TR B (3.2AC) MATECUMBE OCEAN BAY SEC 2 LOWER MATECUMBE KEY PB2-98

Section 3. Transmittal. The Village Clerk is hereby authorized to forward a copy of this Ordinance to the State Department of Commerce ("Commerce") for approval in accordance with Section 380.05(6), Florida Statutes.

Section 4. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall not become effective until approved pursuant to Final Order by the Florida Department of Commerce (Commerce) pursuant to Section 163.3184, Florida Statutes or if the Final Order is challenged, until the challenge to the order is resolved pursuant to Chapter 380.05, Florida Statutes.

The foregoing Ordinance was offered by_____, who moved for its adoption on first reading. This motion was seconded by_____, and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Mayor Sharon Mahoney
Vice Mayor Don Horton
Councilman Steve Friedman
Councilwoman Deb Gillis
Councilwoman Anna Richards

PASSED/FAILED on the first reading this 24th day of July 2025.

[Remainder of this page intentionally left blank]

The foregoing Ordinance was offered by _____, who moved for its adoption on second reading. This motion was seconded by _____, and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Mayor Sharon Mahoney
Vice Mayor Don Horton
Councilman Steve Friedman
Councilwoman Deb Gillis
Councilwoman Anna Richards

PASSED AND ADOPTED on the second reading this _____ day of _____, 2024.

_____
Sharon Mahoney, Mayor

ATTEST:

_____
MARNE MCGRATH, VILLAGE CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY
FOR THE USE AND BENEFIT OF
ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS ONLY

_____
JOHN J. QUICK, VILLAGE ATTORNEY

Policy 1-2.11.7: Lower Matecumbe Key Mixed Use Area 2 (LMKMUA 2)

Only the land uses listed in paragraphs 1 to 2 below shall be allowed on the parcel identified in the table following as Lower Matecumbe Key Mixed Use Area-2 (LMKMUA 2):

Real Estate Number	Legal Description	Total Acreage/Square Footage	FLUM Designation
00396950-000200	PT NELY 653 FT OF SWLY 1725 FT OF TRACT B (3.2 AC) MATECUMBE OCEAN BAY SEC 2 PLAT BOOK 2-98	3.2 ACRES	LMKMUA2

1. Public and private recreational uses.
2. Storage uses.

Islamorada, Village of Islands,
Planning and Development Services Department

APPLICATION FOR MAP AMENDMENT

Pursuant to Chapter 30, Article IV, Divisions 8 & 9

	Application Type	Application Fee	Deposit	Total Fee Due
☑	Future Land Use and Zoning	$5,120.00	$1,000.00	$6,120.00
☐	Zoning Only	$2,500.00	$1,000.00	$3,500.00

✓ Request concurrent Future Land Use and Zoning Hearings pursuant to 163.3184(12), Florida Statutes

An application must be deemed complete and in compliance with the Village Code by Staff prior to the items being scheduled for review. See the Submittal Requirements below for a list of required submittals and documents.

APPLICANT / AGENT (if applicable): Property owner must submit a **notarized** letter authorizing the applicant/agent to act on their behalf including the agent's name, address and phone number.

Name: Ty Harris PA
Mailing Address: 110 Plantation Shores Drive Tavernier FL 33070
Primary Phone: 386 956 8776 Fax: N/A
Email: tyharrispa@gmail.com

PROPERTY OWNER:

Name: Leben Family LLP c/oRayme Lyn Suarez, Esq. (Guardian) for Mary Leben (Ward)
Mailing Address: 92400 Overseas Highway STE 7 Tavernier FL 33070
Primary Phone: See agent Fax: _____
Email: See agent

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY: If in metes and bounds, attach legal description on separate sheet.

Physical Address: 76800 Overseas Hwy Mile Marker: 76.8
Lot: portion Tract B Block: Ocean Bay Sec 2 Subdivision: Matecumbe Ocean Bay
☐ Plantation Key ☐ Windley Key ☐ Upper Matecumbe Key ☑ Lower Matecumbe Key
Real Estate (RE) Number: 00396950-000200 Alternate Key: 8647603

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION:

Total Land Area: 3.2+/- AC Square Feet _____ Acres
Existing Use of Property: Tennic Center w retail pro shop
Has an Application for Map Amendment been submitted for this site within the past two (2) years? ☐ Yes ☑ No
If yes, provide name and date of application: _____

PROPOSED MAP AMENDMENT:

Current Future Land Use Map Category: RC Current Zoning District: NR
Proposed Future Land Use Map Category: MU Proposed Zoning District: HC

SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS:

☑ **Correct application fee** (Total Fee Due)

☑ **Notarized agent authorization letter**, if applicable, including the agent's name, address and phone number authorizing the applicant/agent to act on all property owners' behalf.

☑ **Proof of ownership** e.g. warranty deed, lease or pending sale contract.

☑ **Property record card** from the Monroe County Property Appraiser.

☑ **Copy of current Future Land Use Map** clearly marking the boundaries of the property. Map may be request from Planning and Development Service Department prior to application submittal.

☑ **Copy of current Official Zoning Map** clearly marking the boundaries of the property. Map may be request from Planning and Development Service Department prior to application submittal.

☑ **Photographs** of the site taken from adjacent streets.

☑ **Signed and sealed survey** prepared by a Florida registered surveyor. The survey shall include elevations and location of all existing structures, paved areas, location of all utility structures, all easements, all bodies of water on and adjacent to the site, docks, piers, mean high water line and total acreage by habitat (**please provide a digital copy in addition to the signed and sealed survey).**

☑ **Data and analysis (including a land use need analysis)** pursuant to Comprehensive Plan Policy 1-2.1.13, demonstrating there is a need for the amount of development allowed by the proposed FLUM designations in order to accommodate the Comprehensive Plan's projected population growth within the planning timeframe of the Comprehensive Plan.

☑ **Explanation** of how the application satisfies each of the applicable criteria in the Land Development Regulations, Comprehensive Plan and Principles for Guiding Development pursuant to § 380.0552(7), Florida Statutes. See **Attachment A** for list of criteria.

If deemed necessary to complete a full review of the application, the Planning and Development Services Department reserves the right to request additional information.

I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in this application, and that to the best of my knowledge such information is true, complete and accurate. I certify that all information required has been provided.

Digital signatures do not require notarization when they can be verified by a Trusted Certificate issued by a third-party Certificate Authority. If you are not using a "verifiable digital signature", print this document and have it notarized.

[signature] 7/24/24

Signature of Applicant **Date**

Print Name: Ty Harris

STATE OF Florida

COUNTY OF Monroe

Sworn to and subscribed before me by means of ✓ physical appearance or ____ online notarization, this 24th day of July, 2024, by Ty Harris (name of person signing the application) as agent (type of authority e.g. officer, manager / member, trustee, attorney in fact) for _____ (name of entity or party on behalf of whom application was executed).

[signature]

Signature of Notary Public

FAUSTA J. MOREIRA NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF FLORIDA My Comm. Expires March 26, 2027 No. HH 378490

SEAL:

☐ Personally Known ☑ Produced Identification Type of ID FL DL

ATTACHMENT A

Future Land Use Map (FLUM) Amendment Criteria. The applicant shall submit all information required to adequately address the filing requirements adopted by the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity ("DEO"). In addition, the applicant shall submit all other information necessary to address the comprehensive planning criteria of the Village.

Pursuant to the DEO State Coordinated Review Submittal Guidelines, in the case of FLUM amendments, the following information must be provided:

1. A description of the availability of and the demand on the following public facilities: sanitary sewer, solid waste, drainage, potable water, traffic circulation, schools and recreation, as appropriate; and
2. Information regarding the compatibility of the proposed land use amendments with the Comprehensive Plan future land use element objectives and policies, and those of other affected elements.

All proposed FLUM amendments must be consistent with the Principles for Guiding Development within the Florida Keys Area of Critical State Concern, pursuant to § 380.0552(7), Florida Statutes. The Principles are listed below.

(a) Strengthening local government capabilities for managing land use and development so that local government is able to achieve these objectives without continuing the area of critical state concern designation.

(b) Protecting shoreline and marine resources, including mangroves, coral reef formations, seagrass beds, wetlands, fish and wildlife, and their habitat.

(c) Protecting upland resources, tropical biological communities, freshwater wetlands, native tropical vegetation (for example, hardwood hammocks and pinelands), dune ridges and beaches, wildlife, and their habitat.

(d) Ensuring the maximum well-being of the Florida Keys and its citizens through sound economic development.

(e) Limiting the adverse impacts of development on the quality of water throughout the Florida Keys.

(f) Enhancing natural scenic resources, promoting the aesthetic benefits of the natural environment, and ensuring that development is compatible with the unique historic character of the Florida Keys.

(g) Protecting the historical heritage of the Florida Keys.

(h) Protecting the value, efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and amortized life of existing and proposed major public investments, including:

1. The Florida Keys Aqueduct and water supply facilities;
2. Sewage collection, treatment, and disposal facilities;
3. Solid waste treatment, collection, and disposal facilities;
4. Key West Naval Air Station and other military facilities;
5. Transportation facilities;
6. Federal parks, wildlife refuges, and marine sanctuaries;
7. State parks, recreation facilities, aquatic preserves, and other publicly owned properties;
8. City electric service and the Florida Keys Electric Co-op; and
9. Other utilities, as appropriate.

(i) Protecting and improving water quality by providing for the construction, operation, maintenance, and replacement of stormwater management facilities; central sewage collection; treatment and disposal facilities; and the installation and proper operation and maintenance of onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems.

(j) Ensuring the improvement of nearshore water quality by requiring the construction and operation of wastewater management facilities that meet the requirements of § 381.0065(4)(l) and 403.086(10), as applicable, and by directing growth to areas served by central wastewater treatment facilities through permit allocation systems.

(k) Limiting the adverse impacts of public investments on the environmental resources of the Florida Keys.

(l) Making available adequate affordable housing for all sectors of the population of the Florida Keys.

(m) Providing adequate alternatives for the protection of public safety and welfare in the event of a natural or manmade disaster and for a post-disaster reconstruction plan.

(n) Protecting the public health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of the Florida Keys and maintaining the Florida Keys as a unique Florida resource.

The Village Council shall make its determination on proposed FLUM amendments on legitimate public purpose based on one or more of the following factors:

1. Demand for the proposed FLUM category in the village in relation to the amount of land currently assigned the FLUM designation and available to accommodate that demand;
2. Compatibility of the site's physical, geological, hydrological and other environmental features, with the uses permitted in the proposed FLUM category;
3. Data errors, including errors in mapping, vegetative types and natural features described in the comprehensive plan;
4. New issues;
5. Recognition of a need for additional detail or comprehensiveness

However, in no event shall an amendment be approved which will result in an adverse community change.

Official Zoning Map Amendment Criteria. The purpose of this application is to provide a means for changing the Official Zoning Map. It is not intended to relieve particular hardships, nor to confer special privileges or rights on any person, nor to permit a change in community character, as analyzed in the Comprehensive Plan, but only to make necessary adjustments in light of changed conditions. In determining whether to grant a requested amendment, the Village Council shall consider the factors set forth below and the consistency of the proposed amendment with the provisions and intent of the Comprehensive Plan.

The Village Council must find that the application is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, that the applicant has complied with all procedural requirements of the Code and that the maintenance of the existing zoning on the property does not accomplish a legitimate public purpose. The Village Council shall make its determination on legitimate public purpose based on one or more of the following factors:

1. Demand for the proposed zoning district in the Village in relation to the amount of land currently zoned and available to accommodate that demand;
2. Compatibility of the site's physical, geological, hydrological and other environmental features, with the uses permitted in the proposed zoning district;
3. Data errors, including errors in mapping, vegetative types and natural features described in the Comprehensive Plan;
4. New issues;
5. Recognition of a need for additional detail or comprehensiveness; or
6. Compatibility of the proposed district with the property surrounding the site of the requested rezoning and any applicable neighborhood or redevelopment plan.

However, in no event shall an amendment be approved which will result in an adverse community change.



Monroe County 2010-2030

Population Projections

March 15, 2011



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Title	Page
1.0	*Background - Forecast Approach*	2
2.0	*ROGO Based Permanent Population Series*	2
3.0	*Analysis of Permanent Population Data*	2
4.0	*Analysis of Seasonal Population Data*	3
5.0	*Seasonality*	4
6.0	*Methodological Flaw in MCPD and FKAA Regarding Seasonal Shift*	8
7.0	*Seasonality in Retail Sales*	10
8.0	*Long term Trends in Retail Sales and Traffic*	12
9.0	*Countywide Functional Population*	14
10.0	*Determination of Unincorporated Area Functional Population*	18
11.0	*Unincorporated Population in Upper/Middle/Lower Keys*	20

Appendix 1 – Monroe County Planning Department Population Study

Appendix 2 - FKAA Seasonal Methodology

Appendix 3 - County Population with Seasonal Replacement Calculations

Appendix 4 – Response to DCA Review Notes/Request for Additional Information

1.0 Background - Forecast Approach

The population forecast was prepared[1] for unincorporated Monroe County through year 2030 for the update of the County's Comprehensive Plan. Population is identified according to upper/middle/lower (UML) keys. It is based on the countywide functional population control total forecast through 2030; functional population is the sum of permanent plus seasonal population.

The Keith and Schnars (K&S) team begins with a permanent population forecast and a seasonal population forecast at the county level. The seasonal population series is based on the Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority (FKAA) data series. This series includes estimates of seasonal residences, RV's, hotel/motel, camps, boat liveaboards, mobile home, and other. The Department of Community Affairs (DCA) has recommended using the FKAA series for the purposes of estimating the seasonal population component, with appropriate updates to the methodology.

The permanent population series is the latest published by the University of Florida, Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR). In as much as ROGO has been in place since 1993, BEBR population projections reflect a ROGO constrained growth trend. This means permanent population growth projections implicitly assume the continuation of the ROGO constraint and the effects of the ROGO constraint are implicitly embedded in the history.

2.0 ROGO Based Permanent Population Series

The ROGO based permanent population series will be used in the Comp Plan update as one component of the functional population. At the county level, for control totals, DCA has recommended using the latest BEBR annual estimates and the BEBR Medium series population projections from PS 156, published March 2010 for permanent population estimates.

3.0 Analysis of Permanent Population Data

University of Florida annual population estimates for municipalities and unincorporated areas indicates permanent population fell in the Keys from 2006-2008, with some a return to growth evidenced in 2009. The effect of the short term decline is to drive the long term population projections down. Thus, both recent history and future projections from BEBR suggest a downward trend in permanent population. This is reflected in the resulting Functional Population series shown in Figure 1. This series represents the sum of the most recent BEBR permanent projection and the FKAA seasonal projection.

[1] The population forecast was prepared by Fishkind and Associates with support from Keith and Schnars, P.A.




Figure 1 – Preliminary Functional Population Projection

4.0 Analysis of Seasonal Population Data

There is ongoing ROGO based residential growth and there is a substantial inventory of non-conforming, substandard, live-aboard and RV camp housing. Substandard, non-conforming units are being gradually removed from inventory, however, not at a rate fast enough to net out all residential growth. It is our view a portion of the permanent population losses have occurred as a result of the recession, a rise in foreclosures, depletion of affordable housing and increased unemployment. Nearly 3,500 units have been foreclosed throughout the Keys since 2005. The rise in home prices and threat of hurricanes has also contributed in our view to some permanent population loss. Losses associated with some of these conditions may be temporary, resulting in renewed growth after the recession. The BEBR annual permanent population estimate for 2009 indicated, net positive permanent population growth in 2009 and small losses in 2010.

On the other hand, of all the new single family housing growth in Monroe County since 1999, nearly 70% has been in non-homesteaded units. It is likely this is a function of both growth in seasonal population as well as permanent population loss, which may cause once permanently occupied existing units to become non-homesteaded. This latter aspect represents a shift from existing permanent population to seasonal population and is why the non-homesteaded mix is so high.

5.0 Seasonality

Seasonal population is one component of Functional population. K&S has researched three functional population series. The three functional series in this analysis include permanent populations based on Census, BEBR and FKAA. In each of these scenarios the same Seasonal series, from FKAA, is used. The FKAA seasonal series is the seasonal series developed by Monroe County Planning Department (MCPD). The detailed methodology for the Seasonal series is found in the MCPD report included as Appendix 1. The FKAA seasonal series methodology generated from the MCPD report is found in **Appendix 2**.

As permanent population has fallen we must examine whether and the degree to which it is replaced by seasonal population. The American Communities Survey from 2005, 2008 and the Census 2000 data indicate a substantial increase in housing units held for seasonal use. These data indicate the number of seasonal units has risen from 12,628 in 2000 to 15,262 in 2005 to 19,195 in 2008 (**Table 2**). This is an increase of 6,567 seasonal units. This would represent a shift into seasonal population by as much as 16,418 persons. During the same period permanently occupied units have fallen from 35,086 to 29,084, some 6,002 units or a decline of 15,005 persons (**Table 1**). Based on the ACS and Census data, the loss in permanent population is approximately equivalent to the gain in seasonal population since year 2000.

Table 1- H1 – Vacant and Occupied Unit Counts

Table H1. Housing Units by Vacancy Status and Tenure by Units in Structure, 2000 - Monroe County

Monroe County Units in Structure	Occupied Units			Vacant Units	Total Units	Vacant %
	Owner	Renter	Total			
1, detached	13,866	3,496	17,362	6,850	24,212	28.29%
1, attached	1,045	1,503	2,548	1,655	4,203	39.38%
2	480	1,598	2,078	453	2,531	17.90%
3 or 4	306	1,875	2,181	589	2,770	21.26%
5 to 9	215	1,042	1,257	897	2,154	41.64%
10 to 19	403	425	828	899	1,727	52.06%
20 to 49	375	180	555	1,039	1,594	65.18%
50 or more	346	1,043	1,389	444	1,833	24.22%
Mobile home	4,468	1,945	6,413	3,401	9,814	34.65%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	396	79	475	304	779	39.02%
Total	21,900	13,186	35,086	16,531	51,617	32.03%

Table H1. Housing Units by Vacancy Status and Tenure by Units in Structure, 2005 - Monroe County

Monroe County Units in Structure	Occupied Units			Vacant Units	Total Units	Vacant %
	Owner	Renter	Total			
1, detached	16,618	2,024	18,642	7,448	26,090	28.55%
1, attached	1,427	2,106	3,533	2,978	6,511	45.74%
2	621	973	1,594	636	2,230	28.52%
3 or 4	52	1,521	1,573	638	2,211	28.86%
5 to 9	458	482	940	1,440	2,380	60.50%
10 to 19	597	46	643	505	1,148	43.99%
20 to 49	132	1,125	1,257	1,198	2,455	48.80%
50 or more	435	532	967	203	1,170	17.35%
Mobile home	2,876	1,485	4,361	3,904	8,265	47.24%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	405	46	451	0	451	0.00%
Total	23,621	10,340	33,961	18,950	52,911	35.81%

Table H1. Housing Units by Vacancy Status and Tenure by Units in Structure, 2008 - Monroe County

Monroe County Units in Structure	Occupied Units			Vacant Units	Total Units	Vacant %
	Owner	Renter	Total			
1, detached	15,019	3,344	18,363	10,351	28,714	36.05%
1, attached	490	1,233	1,723	3,209	4,932	65.06%
2	371	803	1,174	764	1,938	39.42%
3 or 4	283	699	982	1,581	2,563	61.69%
5 to 9	272	845	1,117	2,158	3,275	65.89%
10 to 19	170	202	372	1,011	1,383	73.10%
20 to 49	161	56	217	828	1,045	79.23%
50 or more	463	504	967	816	1,783	45.77%
Mobile home	2,739	1,121	3,860	4,011	7,871	50.96%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	164	145	309	0	309	0.00%
Total	20,132	8,952	29,084	24,729	53,813	45.95%

Table 2-H3

Table H3. Number of Vacant Units by Vacancy Status, 2000 - Monroe County

Monroe County Vacancy Status	Vacant Units	%
For rent	1,663	10.06%
For sale only	759	4.59%
Rented or sold, not occupied	304	1.84%
For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	12,628	76.39%
For migrant workers	48	0.29%
Other vacant	1,129	6.83%
Total	16,531	100.00%

Table H3. Number of Vacant Units by Vacancy Status, 2005 - Monroe County

Monroe County Vacancy Status	Vacant Units	%
For rent	943	4.98%
Rented, not occupied	458	2.42%
For sale only	448	2.36%
Sold, not occupied	123	0.65%
For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	15,738	83.05%
For migrant workers	0	0.00%
Other vacant	1,240	6.54%
Total	18,950	100.00%

Table H3. Number of Vacant Units by Vacancy Status, 2008 - Monroe County

Monroe County Vacancy Status	Vacant Units	%
For rent	1,581	6.43%
Rented, not occupied	53	0.22%
For sale only	1,545	6.28%
Sold, not occupied	441	1.79%
For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	19,195	78.03%
For migrant workers	0	0.00%
Other vacant	1,914	7.78%
Total	24,729	100.53%

Source: US Census and American Communities Survey;
Prepared by the South Florida Regional Planning Council

Contributing to the support of the seasonal increase phenomenon is the rate of foreclosures and the Monroe County Property Appraiser data regarding homestead exemptions. It is generally believed non-homesteaded properties represent seasonal vacant, second homes, or for-rent units. Population in these should be distinguished from

short-term tourist visitors. However, in times of high foreclosure rates, a shift to non-homestead may represent a temporary loss in permanent population.

During the housing bubble from 2003-2008 in fact non-homesteaded units did rise. This coincided with a rise in foreclosures, as well as speculative investing and reported permanent population losses. There were 3,431 foreclosures in Monroe County from 2005-2009.

During the 2000-2009 period, total homesteaded units increased from 16,005 to 16,698 units, a net increase of 693 units. Non-homesteaded units moved from 20,784 to 22,197, a net increase of 1,413 units. This compares with the 3,431 foreclosures from 2005-2009, recognizing it is likely as much as half of the foreclosed units may have been resold since the initial foreclosures which began in 2005, and some tendency to for those units to return to a homesteaded status. By 2009, after speculative investing ceased, the share of non-homesteaded properties went back down, falling to 2003 levels. This is consistent with the expectation of resold foreclosures regaining homesteads (**Figure 2**). Also, as noted, permanent population increased during 2009 according to BEBR, supporting an increase in permanent population.

The non-homestead rate for all units is now 57.1%. This is essentially the same rate both pre and post bubble. Single family non-homestead rates began to move up more closely in concert with rising foreclosures (**Figure 2**). This supports our belief a considerable portion of permanent population losses may be attributable to foreclosures arising from the speculative housing bubble, and thus temporary. The expectation is some permanent population may return to these units over the course of the planning horizon – thus permanent population may increase over this period in substantially greater numbers than the growth in new housing units. To the degree this condition occurs, the BEBR medium series permanent population projection will be in error and will under-project permanent population growth. Planning for this contingency in the face of an unknown resolution to thousands of foreclosures is necessary. Thus, reflecting the population associated with portions of these foreclosed units as non-homestead and seasonal population will also correct and compensate for this potential longer term problem with the BEBR projection.




Figure 2- Monroe County – Foreclosures and The Percent of Non-Homestead Residential Units

There has been an increase in vacant units from 2005-2009. During this period both the Census and BEBR indicated permanent population loss. From 2005 to 2008 the ACS indicated an increase in seasonal vacancy of 3,457 units. During the 2005-2009 period, foreclosure data indicated there were 3,431 foreclosures, as noted earlier. Thus, the ACS data indicates, on net, the permanent population losses and associated housing vacancy is being shifted into seasonal units. Further, it is believed there is associated seasonal (non-permanent population) associated with these vacant units. With a reported permanent population growth in 2009 and increasing homestead exemptions in 2009 on one hand and coinciding numbers of foreclosures and seasonal increase through ACS, it is equally possible in our view, the permanent population loss is temporary and due as much to the end of the housing bubble, foreclosures and rising unemployment as it is due to a shift from permanent to seasonal residency. It is likely both conditions exist and are occurring.

6.0 Methodological Flaw in MCPD and FKAA Regarding Seasonal Shift

The MCPD seasonal population methodology and the FKAA seasonal population methodology did not contemplate or recognize the shift of the existing population base from permanent to seasonal. The MCPD methodology considered residential unit growth only and the depletion of available buildable lots, when contemplating the future level of either permanent or seasonal populations. This is partly due to the MCPD methodology not having the benefit of the data beyond year 2000 which shows a more pronounced shift among existing units from permanent/homesteaded units to non-homesteaded units, and the American Communities Survey data, which supports the seasonal shift.

The failure to consider or incorporate the shift in the existing population base is the reason for the change that has been made to the previously approved FKAA population methodology in this update. The methodological change employed here is to both shift some of the projected dwelling unit growth into seasonal residences ***and*** account for the loss of existing permanent residents through an offsetting shift to seasonal residents. Further, in the MCPD analysis, rather than showing seasonal population increasing as it has been, on pages 32 and 33 of the MCPD report it states an assumption was employed causing the seasonal population to decline in a similar fashion to anticipated permanent population decline.

The MCPD methodology employed an assumption called the "drag factor" of .1% per year to reduce the growth of seasonal populations over time. The "drag factor" is used to illustrate the assumption of seasonal population declines from the historic actual. However there is no analysis or data which is presented to support the use of the "drag factor", nor the .1% level of the "drag factor" with respect to seasonal populations. Further there is no mention or discussion in the MCPD analysis which recognizes that the loss in the existing permanent population base may be offset by an increase in the seasonal population. The current population projections in this report correct this methodological flaw.

The discussion of the "drag factor" assumption is found in the MCPD permanent population methodology on page 30 and is excerpted below:

> A "drag" factor was applied to each year's percent increase figures by PAED. This drag factor increases by .1% each year over the ten year period, so that by 2015, the rate of increase in permanent resident population by PAED will have declined by 1.1%. This drag factor was employed as an assumption that the availability of building permits will decline as the carrying capacity of the Keys is reached. It is also likely that the availability of building sites will also decline in the early years of the twenty-first century. Analysis has shown that a 1.1% decline in mean percentage increases in population over a ten year period would not significantly affect the validity of the population forecasts. It is important to note this methodology reveals the beginnings of a decline in the total number of permanent residents in unincorporated Monroe County by 2014.

Source: Appendix 1; MCPD, Pg 30 re: discussion of permanent population

The Remainder of This Page Intentionally Blank

The use of the "drag factor" assumption as applied to growth in seasonal population is found in the methodology on page 33 is excerpted below:

> A similar methodology was employed to determine the seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population by PAED from 2005 to 2015. Essentially, the average percent increase figure was applied to the previous year's population figures. The product of this equation was then added to the previous year's population to estimate the following year's total seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population. A "drag" factor was applied to each year's percent increase figures by PAED (similar to the one applied to the permanent resident population figures). This drag factor increases by .1% each year over the ten year period, so that by 2015, the percent increase in seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population by PAED will have declined by 1.1%. It is important to note this methodology reveals the beginnings of a decline in the total number of seasonal residents and visitors in vacation rentals in unincorporated Monroe County by 2013, one year prior to similar declines expected for the permanent resident population forecasts.

Source: Appendix 1, MCPD; Pg 33 re: discussion of seasonal population

In this analysis the attempt has been made to analyze and determine whether and to what degree the existing permanent base has shifted to seasonal population, and to correct the population projections to reflect this phenomenon.

7.0 Seasonality in Retail Sales

In a further test of seasonal conditions K&S examined historic monthly retail sales from 1998-2009 to determine if seasonal trends were becoming more pronounced. In this investigation we conducted a regression analysis using inflation adjusted monthly gross retail sales for Monroe County as the dependent variable. The econometric model developed tests both the effects of cyclical economic conditions and seasonal variations as predictors of retail sales. We further tested this model to determine if, over time, the seasonal pattern became more pronounced.

The model's initial results indicate seasonal patterns are the strongest and most significant predictor of monthly retail sales. Cyclical factors including Gross Domestic Product, gasoline prices, unemployment and housing starts are also all significant predictors of retail sales. It is these cyclical factors which led to the 2006-2009 overall decline in sales. Thus these declines are not permanent as would be the case with a loss in permanent and seasonal population. Despite population losses in Monroe County estimated by BEBR for year 2010, retail sales did not decline, further validating the cyclical nature of sales.

The second part of the test indicates that the seasonal pattern did not increase over time compared with the average seasonality. The econometric model results are shown below in Table 3. These results indicate the overall predictive ability of the model is very high (R2=.963). Further, the seasonal dummy variable (SeasDum1), which tests seasonality over the historic test period, is highly significant (t=11.2) and finally, a second set of seasonal dummy variables to test for additional seasonality after 2005 and also for

additional peak season seasonality after 2005 (SeasDum2 and SeasDum3) both resulted in a lack of significance (t=.27 and t=.16 respectively). Thus, we conclude seasonal sales patterns are not changing nor were they becoming more pronounced from 2005-2009 compared with the 1998-2004 period. We believe this supports the theory that permanent population losses has been offset and replaced by a new type of seasonal population which spends, travels and behaves similarly to permanent population. This could be the case if a) homeowners lose a home to foreclosure and are forced to rent causing a shift to non-homesteaded (seasonal) units but still remain present in the market or b) newly built seasonal units are rented out for increasing periods and durations throughout the year, mimicking a "permanent" household. Anecdotal evidence supports both these conditions are presently occurring.

Other significant variables in the model include Gross Domestic Product, national gasoline prices, national housing starts and national unemployment rates. Interestingly, the change in Monroe County permanent population growth was not a significant predictor of retails sales, despite permanent population losses and a decline in of sales in 2007, 2008 and 2009. In such a case one would expect negative population growth would cause retail sales declines. Because this was not evident in the statistical analysis, our belief that the decline in retail sales was cyclical garners greater support. Thus we conclude economic recession and seasonal activity determine sales volumes but declining permanent population, which is not statistically significant, does not.

Again, the retail model analysis supports the view as permanent population is lost; it has been replaced by longer duration seasonal population or renters with no change in retail spending patterns. This would explain that while permanent population falls, there may be limited change in functional population due to seasonal replacement, as evidenced by retail sales.

Table 3 - Econometric Test Results for Increasing Seasonality

	SUMMARY OUTPUT			
	Regression Statistics			
	Multiple R	0.981816		
	R Square	0.9639626		
	Adjusted R S	0.9447427		
	Standard Err	9209659		
	Observations	24		
	ANOVA			
		df	*SS*	*MS*
	Regression	8	3.40318E+16	4.25E+15
	Residual	15	1.27227E+15	8.48E+13
	Total	23	3.53041E+16	
		Coefficients	*Standard Error*	*t Stat*
	Intercept	14947330	70400081.75	0.21232
GDP00	X Variable 1	28577.724	8869.439916	3.222044
WGAS	X Variable 2	-136177.6	110696.9446	-1.23018
HUSTQ	X Variable 3	27846.359	6935.672302	4.014947
RUQ	X Variable 4	-8224690	2684043.539	-3.06429
SeasDum1	X Variable 5	60680019	5395979.787	11.24541
SeasDum2	X Variable 6	2884055.9	10598795.93	0.272112
SeasDum3	X Variable 7	1368525.4	8297684.899	0.164929
Pop Ch	X Variable 8	1473.2782	2520.714671	0.584468

Table 4 - Florida Keys Visitors

Florida Keys Visitor Person-Trip Estimates

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Key West Overnight Visitors	1,309,559	1,303,633	1,046,111	1,063,752	1,094,647	1,112,978	1,165,327
Key West Day Trippers	242,268	241,172	237,460	196,794	202,510	205,901	206,263
Cruise Ship Passengers	1,067,222	934,070	925,795	888,183	816,919	739,218	859,409
Total Key West Visitors	2,619,049	2,478,875	2,209,366	2,148,729	2,114,076	2,058,097	2,230,999
All Keys Overnight Visitors	2,425,110	2,414,135	1,937,244	2,030,062	2,089,021	2,169,565	2,092,732
All Keys Day Trippers	448,645	446,615	439,742	375,561	386,469	401,369	370,414
Cruise Ship Passengers *(Key West Only)*	1,067,222	934,070	925,795	888,183	816,919	739,218	859,409
Total All Keys Visitors	3,940,977	3,794,820	3,302,781	3,293,806	3,292,409	3,310,152	3,322,555
Monroe County Lodging Occupancy %:	72.2%	71.2%	70.0%	66.5%	68.1%	67.5%	70.3%

Sources: Monroe County Tourist Development Council; Smith Travel Research

Further, the seasonal stability and long term growth trend in retail sales is not attributed to additional retail spending by tourists or cruise ship passengers. Cruise ship and other tourists have declined over the past six years (**Table 4**) indicating the underlying retail sales growth pattern does not appear to be tourist related.

8.0 Long term Trends in Retail Sales and Traffic

Retail sales have been trending up on an inflation adjusted basis over time (**Figure 3**). Total trend based growth is about 4% from 1998-2009. The declines in sales in 2007, 2008 and 2009 are as a result of rising gasoline prices in 2008 which reduced trips and travel and the cyclical effects of recession. As the recession ended retail sales stabilized and sales through November 2010 indicated no further loss in year over year retail sales countywide, despite a decline in permanent population in 2010 as reported by BEBR annual population estimates.




Figure 3 - Monroe County Annual Retail Sales (inflation adjusted)

Similarly, in average daily traffic flows, the long term trend has been gradually increasing. Total trend based growth is about 6% (see Figure 4). This is generally consistent with and of similar magnitude to the trend in retail sales data. Based on sales and traffic it appears that the permanent population losses are being replaced by seasonal residents and there is no permanent net decline in economic activity (sales and traffic), as would be suggested by sustained permanent population losses without replacement.




Figure 4 - Annual Average Daily Traffic Counts (Average of Selected Count Stations)

The trend analysis of traffic data was examined in a variety of ways. These included selection of data stations to reflect only single locations per Key and data for which the entire history was available in a consistent fashion. This prevented overweighting by location or the effects of changing data collection methodologies. The trend analysis was conducted looking at 1999-2009, as well as 1999-2007 (eliminating the most recent highly volatile recession years and the period of highly volatile gasoline prices). A third approach was taken comparing the average of traffic counts from 1999-2002 with 2006-2009. The average of these three methods results in a 6% growth rate over time.

The overall statistical significance of the trend line from 1999-2007 improved substantially over the 1999-2009 trend line through removal of the volatile outlying data points, however the magnitude of the trend line increase did not substantially change. After careful review of the traffic data we conclude the increasing trend in traffic counts is both valid and significant. This means traffic activity is not declining despite a decline in permanent population. We conclude then the growth in traffic is supported by a shift from permanent to seasonal population.

9.0 Countywide Functional Population

The best available data in our view indicates a loss in permanent population with likely replacement through an increase in seasonal residents. Further, both sales and traffic are trending up by similar magnitude suggesting there is limited growth, and no sustained decline in economic activity. This volume of limited growth is consistent with growth which occurs under the ROGO cap.

American Communities Survey data and homestead exemption data support the growth of seasonal population. Total non-homesteaded units are 57% today compared with 55% in 1998. Among single family units only, the non-homestead share has risen from 45% in 1998 to 49% in 2009. For single family units, 70% of the growth since 1998 has been in non-homestead units, and the American Communities Survey indicates a substantial rise in seasonal households during the 2000-2008 time period. This supports an increase in non-permanent/seasonal occupancy, which offsets the permanent population losses.

As a decline in permanent population has occurred, there is a real increase in non-permanent/seasonal replacement as evidenced by an increase in the trend in auto-traffic volume, an increase in the trend in inflation adjusted retail sales, an increase in non-homesteaded residential properties, and an increase in seasonal population shown in the American Communities Survey.

A complicating aspect is the 2008 permanent population figures from both the annual Census population and American Communities Survey population data which indicate permanent population in the Keys is now between 70,000 and 72,000; this is well below the BEBR 2009 estimate of 78,000. There is some probability the April 2010 Census count may indicate a sharply reduced permanent population level. With the understanding that permanent losses do appear to be replaced by seasonal population, and some losses in permanent population may be temporary and cyclical due to recession and foreclosures, if all of the recent Census or ACS based permanent losses were shifted into the seasonal population, the resulting 2030 functional population would still likely demonstrate small levels of growth consistent with the ROGO allocations.

Based on this analysis, K&S prepared a county level functional population series to reflect these conditions. This series begins with the medium series population projection from the BEBR medium series projection, PS156, published in 2010. Next, the FKAA seasonal series through 2025 was used and to this was applied the 2000-2025 CAGR growth rate of the FKAA seasonal series to extend the series from 2025 to 2030. In addition, from 2006-2030 K&S has added 70% of the permanent population loss as forecast by BEBR to the seasonal population. Further, we have added the equivalent of 70% of the ROGO growth to seasonal population to the FKAA seasonal series, reflecting the seasonal shift component not evidenced in FKAA's original data. The resulting functional population is seen in **Figure 5** and **Table 7.**

K&S believes this data series is the most reflective of actual economic and market conditions and is most representative of the long term functional population outlook. Our findings are based on extensive analysis of multiple data series. K&S recommends the use of this functional population data series for use in the 2010 EAR comp plan update.

It is also noted, for units shifting to seasonal status and for seasonal population associated with ROGO growth, a persons-per-household figure of 2.7 was used. On pages 34 and 36 of the MCPD population report in **Appendix 1**, it is noted the measures of seasonal persons per household were greater than 2.7.

Further supporting the use of 2.7 persons per household for seasonal population, Property Appraiser data (**Table 5**) suggests a steady increase in persons per household through year 2008, as described by an increase in the number of bedrooms per unit. This increase in bedrooms also suggests an increase in overall unit size; however, the Monroe County Property Appraiser data for unit size is unavailable. K&S believes seasonally occupied homes and rentals have a greater number of bedrooms and higher person per household occupancy due to the increasing size of newly built units. Newly built units today are primarily non-homesteaded and likely to have a higher proportion of seasonal residents. For these reasons, as well as the data analysis presented in the original MCPD population study, 2.7 persons per household was used for seasonal household size.

Table 5 - Average Number of Bedrooms per Single Family Unit by Year Built

2.1	Pre-1970
2.4	1970-1989
2.5	1990-1999
2.8	2000-2008

Source: Monroe Co. Property Appraiser, Fishkind & Associates, Inc.

The preliminary population series shown in **Figure 5** represents the BEBR medium series projection plus the FKAA seasonal series. The Final Revised series is recommended for use. This series represents this same data as the preliminary with the addition of the seasonal replacement population, which offsets the permanent population loss. The Final series is the recommended series and corrects the FKAA methodological flaw. This flaw finds the original MCPD study looked only at growth and did not contemplate the shift of the existing population from permanent to seasonal.




Figure 5 – Recommended Functional Population

The Remainder of This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Table 7- Recommended Functional Population Series


Monroe County Population Projection Seasonal Replacement Series			
YEAR	Permanent	Seasonal	Functional
2000	79,589	73,491	153,080
2001	80,011	73,540	153,551
2002	80,434	73,589	154,023
2003	80,856	73,639	154,495
2004	81,236	73,688	154,924
2005	82,413	73,737	156,150
2006	80,510	75,228	155,738
2007	78,987	76,453	155,440
2008	76,081	78,647	154,728
2009	77,925	77,516	155,441
2010	76,887	78,401	155,288
2011	78,080	77,974	156,054
2012	77,960	78,431	156,391
2013	77,840	78,887	156,727
2014	77,720	79,343	157,063
2015	77,600	79,800	157,400
2016	77,460	80,270	157,730
2017	77,320	80,740	158,060
2018	77,180	81,211	158,391
2019	77,040	81,681	158,721
2020	76,900	82,151	159,051
2021	76,760	82,622	159,382
2022	76,620	83,092	159,712
2023	76,480	83,562	160,042
2024	76,340	84,033	160,373
2025	76,200	84,503	160,703
2026	76,060	84,973	161,033
2027	75,920	85,444	161,364
2028	75,780	85,914	161,694
2029	75,640	86,384	162,024
2030	75,500	86,855	162,355

Source: Fishkind & Associates, Inc.; Fl Keys Aqueduct Authority; Univ. FL BEBR, PS 156 and annual estimates

10.0 Determination of Unincorporated Area Functional Population

Permanent Population

The unincorporated population as a share of countywide population has increased from 45.3 percent in 2002 to 46.5 percent in 2009. **Figure 6** shows the 10 year trend in the unincorporated share, based on the University of Florida annual population estimates.



Source: University of Florida, Annual Population Estimates

Figure 6 - Unincorporated Population Share of Countywide Population

The unincorporated permanent share has increased in the past three years likely due to foreclosures and conversions from permanent to seasonal in incorporated areas. As foreclosures abate, the change in unincorporated permanent population as a share of countywide totals will stabilize. Further, the permanent population share in the unincorporated area is likely to fall as unoccupied homes in municipal areas once again become occupied and come under ownership. Based on this discussion, for the future estimates of permanent population as a share of countywide totals we have used 46 percent as the stabilized figure representing the unincorporated share of permanent population over time.

Seasonal Population

The unincorporated areas contain 46 percent of all non-homesteaded residential units in Monroe County, based on 2009 Monroe County Property Appraiser Tax Roll data. This represents some 11,664 units. Using seasonal persons per household size of 2.7 the seasonal population in residential housing units is 29,160.

In addition, the unincorporated areas contain 27 percent of all hotel motel space in Monroe County. This converts to an estimated 2,197 hotel/motel rooms within the unincorporated area. With average annual hotel occupancy of 69.8% (based on Smith Travel Hotel Trends Reports 2003-2010) and average persons per room of 2.73, the hotel based seasonal population in the unincorporated areas is 5,558.

Further, the original MCPD/FKAA population methodology did not include the functional population associated with Keys Tourist Day Trips. This is a daily number which increases the functional population. Based on the average share of annual unincorporated day trips divided by 365, the number of unincorporated day trippers represents an addition of 325 persons to the functional population, as described in **Table 8**.

Table 8 – Day Trippers As A Component of Unincorporated Seasonal Population

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
All Keys Day Trippers	448,645	446,615	439,742	375,561	386,469	401,369	370,414
Key West Day Trippers	242,268	241,172	237,460	196,794	202,510	205,901	206,263
All Else Keys Day Trippers	206,377	205,443	202,282	178,767	183,959	195,468	164,151
Other Municipal Day Trip	69,659	69,344	68,277	60,340	62,093	65,977	55,407
Uninc Keys Day Tripers	136,718	136,099	134,005	118,427	121,866	129,491	108,744
Functional Day Trippers	***375***	***373***	***367***	***324***	***334***	***355***	***298***

Source: Monroe County Tourist Development Council, Fishkind & Associates, Inc.

Combining the household based seasonal populations, hotel based seasonal population, day trippers, and adjusting for non-standard dwelling units populations including those in camps, liveaboards and other, it is estimated there are 35,053 seasonal residents throughout unincorporated Monroe County, in 2009. This represents 45 percent of the 2009 countywide seasonal population as shown in Table 4. Applying this percentage to the countywide seasonal population projection yields a seasonal population in the unincorporated areas of 39,226 by year 2030. **Table 9** provides the permanent, seasonal and functional population for unincorporated Monroe County, through year 2030.

Total unincorporated functional population is expected to increase from 71,311 in year 2009 to 73,956 by year 2030. This represents an increase of 2,645 persons over the entire planning horizon. **Table 9** provides the determination of unincorporated permanent and seasonal population.

Table 9- Unincorporated Functional Population Series

	Unincorporated Population Projection Seasonal Replacement Series		
	Permanent	Seasonal	Functional
2000	36,036	33,241	69,277
2001	36,250	33,263	69,512
2002	36,452	33,285	69,737
2003	36,543	33,307	69,850
2004	36,606	33,329	69,935
2005	37,164	33,351	70,515
2006	36,466	34,019	70,485
2007	35,749	34,568	70,317
2008	34,788	35,550	70,338
2009	36,268	35,043	71,311
2010	35,368	35,440	70,808
2011	35,917	35,249	71,166
2012	35,862	35,453	71,315
2013	35,806	35,658	71,464
2014	35,751	35,862	71,613
2015	35,696	36,067	71,763
2016	35,632	36,277	71,909
2017	35,567	36,488	72,055
2018	35,503	36,698	72,201
2019	35,438	36,909	72,348
2020	35,374	37,120	72,494
2021	35,310	37,330	72,640
2022	35,245	37,541	72,786
2023	35,181	37,752	72,933
2024	35,116	37,962	73,079
2025	35,052	38,173	73,225
2026	34,988	38,384	73,371
2027	34,923	38,594	73,518
2028	34,859	38,805	73,664
2029	34,794	39,016	73,810
2030	34,730	39,226	73,956

Source: Fishkind & Associates, Inc.

11.0 Unincorporated Population in Upper/Middle/Lower Keys

Permanent Population - Upper/Middle/Lower

With the functional unincorporated population determined, the distribution between upper, middle and lower regions is based on the permanent population, ratio of seasonal to permanent population plus the distribution of hotel/motels by sub-area.

Permanent population is based on the on-line service I-Site which provides updated block group information based on US Census data (**Table 10**). The distribution of permanent population based on these data is shown in **Table 11.**

Table 10 - Unincorporated Monroe County-Distribution of Permanent Population

	Upper Keys	Middle Keys	Lower Keys	Total
Population (1990)	44%	3%	52%	100%
Population (2000)	42%	3%	55%	100%
Population (2009)	41%	3%	56%	100%
Population (2014)	40%	3%	57%	100%

Source: Fishkind & Associates, Inc.; I-Site online demographic database

The Remainder of This Page Left Intentionally Left Blank

Table 11 – Permanent Population Distribution by Sub-Area

	Unincorporated Permanent Population Projection Seasonal Replacement Series		
	Upper	Middle	Lower
2000	15,135	1,081	19,820
2001	15,185	1,087	19,978
2002	15,229	1,094	20,130
2003	15,226	1,096	20,221
2004	15,212	1,098	20,296
2005	15,402	1,115	20,647
2006	15,073	1,094	20,299
2007	14,737	1,072	19,940
2008	14,263	1,044	19,481
2009	14,797	1,088	20,383
2010	14,430	1,061	19,877
2011	14,654	1,078	20,185
2012	14,632	1,076	20,154
2013	14,609	1,074	20,123
2014	14,586	1,073	20,092
2015	14,564	1,071	20,061
2016	14,538	1,069	20,025
2017	14,511	1,067	19,989
2018	14,485	1,065	19,953
2019	14,459	1,063	19,916
2020	14,433	1,061	19,880
2021	14,406	1,059	19,844
2022	14,380	1,057	19,808
2023	14,354	1,055	19,772
2024	14,327	1,053	19,735
2025	14,301	1,052	19,699
2026	14,275	1,050	19,663
2027	14,249	1,048	19,627
2028	14,222	1,046	19,591
2029	14,196	1,044	19,554
2030	14,170	1,042	19,518

Source: Fishkind & Associates, Inc.

Seasonal Population - Upper/Middle/Lower

Seasonal population within the upper/middle/lower unincorporated areas is estimated based on the ratio of unincorporated seasonal population to unincorporated permanent population, multiplied by the permanent population distributions by sub-area (**Table 12**).

Table 12- Seasonal Population Distribution by Sub-Area

Unincorporated Seasonal Population Projection			
	Seasonal Replacement Series		
	Upper	Middle	Lower
2000	14,048	1,056	18,137
2001	14,020	1,057	18,185
2002	13,993	1,058	18,234
2003	13,966	1,058	18,283
2004	13,938	1,059	18,332
2005	13,910	1,060	18,381
2006	14,150	1,080	18,789
2007	14,339	1,096	19,133
2008	14,665	1,126	19,759
2009	14,388	1,111	19,545
2010	14,550	1,122	19,768
2011	14,472	1,117	19,660
2012	14,555	1,123	19,775
2013	14,639	1,129	19,890
2014	14,722	1,135	20,005
2015	14,806	1,141	20,120
2016	14,891	1,148	20,238
2017	14,977	1,154	20,357
2018	15,063	1,160	20,475
2019	15,149	1,167	20,593
2020	15,235	1,173	20,712
2021	15,321	1,179	20,830
2022	15,407	1,185	20,948
2023	15,493	1,192	21,067
2024	15,579	1,198	21,185
2025	15,665	1,204	21,304
2026	15,751	1,211	21,422
2027	15,837	1,217	21,540
2028	15,923	1,223	21,659
2029	16,009	1,230	21,777
2030	16,095	1,236	21,896

Source: Fishkind & Associates, Inc.

Based on these data we are able to determine the functional population for unincorporated Keys according to the upper/middle/lower sub-areas of the unincorporated portion of Monroe County. **Table 13** presents the functional population for unincorporated Monroe and the sub-areas.

Table 13 – Unincorporated Functional Population Distribution by Sub-Area


Unincorporated Functional Population Projection Seasonal Replacement Series				
	Upper	Middle	Lower	TOTAL
2000	29,183	2,138	37,957	69,277
2001	29,205	2,145	38,163	69,512
2002	29,222	2,151	38,364	69,737
2003	29,192	2,155	38,504	69,850
2004	29,150	2,157	38,628	69,935
2005	29,313	2,175	39,027	70,515
2006	29,222	2,174	39,089	70,485
2007	29,075	2,169	39,073	70,317
2008	28,928	2,169	39,240	70,338
2009	29,185	2,199	39,927	71,311
2010	28,980	2,183	39,645	70,808
2011	29,126	2,194	39,846	71,166
2012	29,187	2,199	39,929	71,315
2013	29,248	2,203	40,013	71,464
2014	29,309	2,208	40,097	71,613
2015	29,370	2,212	40,181	71,763
2016	29,429	2,217	40,263	71,909
2017	29,489	2,221	40,345	72,055
2018	29,549	2,225	40,427	72,201
2019	29,608	2,230	40,510	72,348
2020	29,668	2,234	40,592	72,494
2021	29,728	2,238	40,674	72,640
2022	29,787	2,243	40,756	72,786
2023	29,847	2,247	40,838	72,933
2024	29,907	2,252	40,921	73,079
2025	29,966	2,256	41,003	73,225
2026	30,026	2,260	41,085	73,371
2027	30,086	2,265	41,167	73,518
2028	30,145	2,269	41,249	73,664
2029	30,205	2,274	41,332	73,810
2030	30,265	2,278	41,414	73,956

Source: Fishkind & Associates, Inc.

APPENDIX 1

MONROE COUNTY POPULATION

ESTIMATES & FORECASTS 1990 TO 2015



February, 2000

Monroe County Planning Department

MONROE COUNTY POPULATION
ESTIMATES AND FORECASTS 1990 TO 2015
February, 2000

Board of County Commissioners

Mayor Shirley Freeman, District 3
Mayor Pro Tem George Neugent, District 2
Commissioner Wilhelmina Harvey, District 1
Commissioner Nora Williams, District 4
Commissioner Mary Kay Reich, District 5

Planning Commission

Lynn Mapes, Chairman
Billy Gorsuch, Vice Chairman
Sullins Stuart
P. Morgan Hill
Scott Marr

Director of Growth Management

Timothy J. McGarry, AICP

Planning Director

K. Marlene Conaway

Project Manager

Chad Meadows, AICP

Questions, comments or requests for additional copies may be forwarded to the Monroe County Planning Department:
2798 Overseas Highway Suite 410 Marathon, FL 33050
(305) 289-2500 Fax: (305) 289-2536

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report will present and discuss the population estimates and forecasts for Monroe County for the years 1990 to 2015. The report considers the functional, permanent resident, and peak seasonal populations. Information on the population in both incorporated and unincorporated areas of the county is presented. This report was developed to update the tables on population found in the Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan Technical Document. The report has five major sections: background, analysis, methodology, assumptions, and appendices. The report also contains twelve summary charts on population trends in the County.

The background section explains why this report was prepared, and how the information is organized. It also presents some preliminary information on how to interpret the information.

The analysis section indicates that the functional population is expected to grow by more than 16,000 people from 1990 to 2015, an increase of almost eleven percent (11%) over the twenty-five year period. In 1999, over sixty percent (60%) of the functional population is located within unincorporated portions of Monroe County, but by 2000, the incorporated portions of the county will contain fifty-three percent (53%) of total functional population. Throughout the years under study, the Upper Keys sub-district will have the largest portion of the functional population in unincorporated Monroe County, however, the Lower Keys is expected to have the highest growth rates over the twenty-five year period. In terms of the composition of the functional population, there are more permanent residents than the peak seasonal population on any given evening. However, permanent resident figures are annual while peak seasonal population figures are for any given evening. If seasonal population figures were annual, they would far exceed permanent resident population figures. The growth rates for permanent residents are expected to

out pace the growth rates in the peak seasonal population as a percentage of the functional population. PAED 4a is expected to have the largest numerical increases in population as well as the highest rates of population increase for permanent residents. PAED 22 is expected to have the slowest growth rates. The drag factors applied to the permanent and seasonal resident data indicate that these population's growth rates will begin to level-off and decline after the year 2014 if current trends continue.

The methodology section explains the various steps taken to prepare and present the data contained in the report.

The assumptions section explains the assumptions made in the preparation of these estimates and forecasts, and why the assumptions had to be made.

The appendices contain the summary tables of all the data developed as a part of this report. There are eleven appendices, one containing original population data, one containing PAED maps, eight containing data developed for this report, and one containing footnotes on the data collected.

The information in this report is the best available, but as new data and/or dimensions of the population become apparent, the Planning Department may update it. Errata sheets may also be prepared from time to time. Any questions regarding this data or analysis can be directed to the Monroe County Planning Department.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY i

BACKGROUND 1

GENERAL ANALYSIS 4

METHODOLOGY 24

ASSUMPTIONS 40

APPENDICES 46

Appendix 1: Tables 2.28, 2.29, & 2.30 from the Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan Technical Document

Appendix 2: Monroe County Planning Area Enumeration District (PAED) Maps

Appendix 3: Summary Table of Permanent, Seasonal, & Functional Population 1990-2015

Appendix 4: Summary Table of Permanent Resident Population 1990-2015

Appendix 5: Summary Table of Peak Number of Seasonal Residents and Visitors by PAED 1990-2015

Appendix 6: Table of Peak Population in Seasonal Residences

Appendix 7: Table of Peak Population in Hotels & Motels

Appendix 8: Table of Peak Population in Campsites & Recreational Vehicles

Appendix 9: Table of Peak Population Staying with Friends/Relatives

Appendix 10: Table of Peak Population Staying on Live Aboard Vessels

Appendix 11: Table Footnotes

LIST OF FIGURES

1. FUNCTIONAL POPULATION OF MONROE COUNTY 1990-2015..... 5
2. GRAPH OF FUNCTIONAL POPULATION IN MONROE COUNTY 1990-2015..... 6
3. DISTRIBUTION OF FUNCTIONAL POPULATION IN MONROE COUNTY 1999-2015..... 7
4. FUNCTIONAL POPULATION IN UNINCORPORATED MONROE COUNTY BY SUB-DISTRICT 1990-2015..... 8
5. PERCENT CHANGE IN UNINCORPORATED MONROE COUNTY BY SUB-DISTRICT 1990-2015..... 10
6. GRAPH OF PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION IN MONROE COUNTY 1990-2015..... 12
7. GRAPH OF PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION IN MONROE COUNTY 1990-2015..... 14
8. TRENDS IN PERMANENT VERSUS SEASONAL POPULATION FOR MONROE COUNTY 1990-2015..... 15
9. CHANGE IN THE POPULATION COMPOSITION OF MONROE COUNTY 1990-2015..... 17
10. POPULATION COMPARISON BETWEEN INCORPORATED AND UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF MONROE COUNTY 1990-2015..... 18
11. POPULATION COMPARISON BY UNINCORPORATED SUB-DISTRICT 1990-2015..... 19
12. PAED POPULATION FROM 2000 TO 2015 RANKED BY CHANGE IN FUNCTIONAL POPULATION..... 21

BACKGROUND

This report is an update of Monroe County's official population estimates currently contained within Tables 2.28, 2.29, and 2.30 of the Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan Technical Document. These tables are attached as Appendix 1. The information presented in the tables was compiled in 1991 as part of the data and analysis required for the preparation of the Monroe County Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan. The data was drawn from a variety of sources, including the 1990 Census of Population, previous Monroe County planning documents, the work of several consultants, and studies prepared by the State of Florida.

The information contained within the Tables 2.28, 2.29, and 2.30 is organized by Planning Area Enumeration District, or PAED. These PAEDs are the combination of the previously utilized Monroe County "Planning Areas" and the US Census Bureau's "Enumeration Districts". These two units of geographical analysis were combined by the Planning Department in 1987 for ease of use. A map of the PAEDs is included as Appendix 2.

The information in Tables 2.28, 2.29, and 2.30 deals with the time span from 1990 up to 2010, and is summarized by sub-district (Upper, Middle, and Lower Keys). It is important to note that the tables do not include population information for Key West, Key Colony Beach, and Layton.

Table 2.28 presents information on the number of permanent residents. These are people who live in unincorporated Monroe County throughout the year. Table 2.29 quantifies the seasonal population in unincorporated Monroe County. This is the *peak* number of people in unincorporated Monroe County who are seasonal residents and visitors on *any given night*. Table 2.30

represents the functional population. The functional population is the sum of permanent residents and the peak number of seasonal residents and visitors.

During 1999, the Planning Department updated the population estimates and forecasts to ensure that the numbers are as accurate as possible. This report contains the results of that update.

The updated Monroe County population estimates and forecasts are contained within several appendices to this report. Appendix 3 is the summary table showing the permanent resident, peak seasonal resident/visitor, and functional population by PAED for Monroe County from 1990 to 2015. Appendix 3 is composed of information taken from the tables in Appendices 4 and 5. Appendix 4 is the permanent resident population table, and Appendix 5 is the summary table for the peak number of seasonal residents and visitors in Monroe County. The information in the table in Appendix 5 comes from five subsidiary tables:

- Appendix 6: Table of Peak Number of Seasonal Residents
- Appendix 7: Table of Peak Number of People in Hotels & Motels
- Appendix 8: Table of Peak Number of People in Campsites & Recreational Vehicles
- Appendix 9: Table of Peak Number of People Staying with Friends/Relatives
- Appendix 10: Table of Peak Number of People on Live Aboard Vessels

Appendix 11 is a series of footnotes relating to the tables found in Appendices 4-10. Each of these appendices will be discussed in greater detail in the Methodology Section of this report.

Each table of data found in the appendices has some common features. Each column in a table is numbered at its top. Below this column number is the column's heading, which describes what the data in the column represents. Below the heading of some of the columns is an operation shown in parenthesis "( )", which describes the calculations performed to derive the data in the column. The numbers in parenthesis correspond to the column numbers in the table. For example, the column heading for column # 6 may read "*Total Population (4 x 5)*". This means that the numbers found in column 6 were derived by multiplying the numbers in column 4 by the numbers in column 5.

The data found in a table is the result of an equation, not the verbatim typing of a particular number. As a result, the numbers found in the tables are carried out several decimal places, but have been rounded by the computer for display purposes. As a result, some of the numbers found in the tables may not add up. This is a function of the rounding performed by the computer, not an error in the equations.

One item to note in the tables is that four of the PAEDs (12a, 12b, 13, and 14) are removed from the unincorporated Monroe County population figures after 1997 to account for the incorporation of Islamorada, Village of Islands. Likewise, the data for three additional PAEDs (7, 8, and 9) are removed from the unincorporated Monroe County population figures after 1999 to account for the incorporation of Marathon. Another item of note is that the population found in the mainland portion of Monroe County and the Dry Tortugas is not included in these estimates and forecasts. Neither of these areas is included within a PAED, and both are under the jurisdiction of the National Park Service.

GENERAL ANALYSIS

This section of the report presents an analysis of the population estimates and forecasts. This section will provide the following:

- a discussion of the functional population trends in Monroe County
- a comparison of the permanent resident and peak seasonal populations
- an analysis of the fastest and slowest growing areas of Unincorporated Monroe County

Functional Population Trends in Monroe County


This section will examine the functional population trends for all of Monroe County, including the incorporated areas. This section will also explore the different trends in functional population between the incorporated and unincorporated areas, and compare regional trends in functional population inside the unincorporated areas. The functional population is the sum of the number of permanent residents plus the peak number of seasonal residents and visitors in Monroe County on any given evening.

Functional Population of Monroe County 1990-2015


Year	Functional Population	Numerical Change	Percent Change
1990	149,348	*	*
1995	154,255	4,907	3.29%
2000	159,113	4,857	3.15%
2005	162,041	2,929	1.84%
2010	164,769	2,727	1.68%
2015	165,366	597	0.36%

According to the table above, the functional population of Monroe County is expected to grow by more than 16,000 people from 1990 to 2015. This represents an increase of almost eleven percent (11%) over the twenty–five year period. However, the numerical and percent change columns show that the *rate* of increase is expected to slow dramatically over the same time period. In other words, the growth rate in the Monroe County functional population is beginning to level off by the year 2000. It is important to note that the Summary Table of Functional Population in Appendix 3 predicts a yearly decrease in functional population after the year 2014. The graph on the next page shows the trends in functional population over the period from 1990 to 2015. It also compares the functional population in unincorporated areas to that in the incorporated areas of Monroe County.

Graph of Functional Population in Monroe County 1990-2015




In 1999, a larger portion of the functional population in Monroe County is located within the unincorporated areas of the county. The unincorporated portions of Monroe County had a functional population of 94,565 people, while the incorporated areas had a functional population of 62,607 people. The percentages of each are depicted in the chart below:



In 1999, the City of Key West accounted for around twenty-seven percent (27%) of the total functional population, while the Village of Islamorada accounts for around ten percent (10%). The cities of Key Colony Beach and Layton compose about two percent (2%) of the total functional population in Monroe County. The newly incorporated city of Marathon is expected to occupy about thirteen (13%) of the 2000 total functional population of Monroe County. As indicated in the chart above, the incorporated areas account for forty percent (40%) of the total functional population, while the unincorporated areas account for

about sixty percent (60%) in 1999. After the year 2000, the relative distribution of functional population between incorporated and unincorporated areas is expected to reverse. By 2000, the functional population in incorporated areas is expected to grow to over 85,000 people, or fifty-three percent (53%) of the total functional population of Monroe County.

The graph below compares the distribution of the functional population among the three sub-districts in the unincorporated portions of Monroe County.



The Upper Keys sub-district is composed of PAEDs 15 to 22, which run from Tavernier Creek to the Miami-Dade County line, including north Key Largo. The Middle Keys contain PAEDs 7 to 11, or the area from Knight's Key to the Channel 5 Bridge

east of Fiesta Key. The Lower Keys sub-district includes PAEDs 1 to 6, from southern Stock Island to the eastern end of the Seven Mile Bridge. A map of the Keys PAEDs can be found in Appendix 2 of this report.

Regionally, the Upper Keys accounted for the largest portion of the 1990 unincorporated functional population (41,081 people, or 40% of the total). This is followed by the Middle Keys, which comprised 30.6% (31,533 people) of the total 1990 functional population; and finally, the Lower Keys, which contained 30,387 people, or 29.5% of the unincorporated functional population.

The incorporation of Islamorada in 1998 accounts for the drop in unincorporated functional population in the Upper and Middle Keys sub-districts. The functional population in the Middle Keys sub-district declined more than twenty percent (20%) with the incorporation of Islamorada, while the Upper Keys sub-district lost twelve percent (12%) of its functional population. The Lower Keys sub-district grew more than eight percent (8%) from 1990 to 1998. Further declines in the unincorporated functional population will appear in 2000 based upon the incorporation of Marathon in 1999.

By the year 2015, the Upper Keys, with a functional population of 38,657 people, is expected to contain 48.6% of the unincorporated functional population. The Lower Keys are projected to have a functional population of 36,263 people in 2015, or 46% of the unincorporated total, while the Middle Keys will been reduced to 4,206 people, or 5.3% of the unincorporated county total.

The table below illustrates the changes in functional population by unincorporated Keys sub-district:

Percent Change in Unincorporated Monroe County by Sub-district 1990-2015

	1990 Functional Population	1998 Functional Population	Percent Change 1990-1998	2000 Functional Population	Percent Change 1998-2000	2015 Functional Population	Percent Change 2000-2015
Upper Keys	41,081	36,138	-12.0%	36,615	1.3%	38,362	4.8%
Middle Keys	31,533	24,765	-21.5%	3,944	-84.1%	4,206	6.6%
Lower Keys	30,387	33,036	8.7%	33,410	1.1%	36,263	8.5%
Unincorporated Total	103,000	93,939	-8.8%	73,969	-21.3%	78,831	6.6%

If current trends continue, the Lower Keys sub-district will be the fastest-growing area of unincorporated Monroe County by 2015. The Upper Keys sub-district will continue to grow, but by the slowest rate. The Middle Keys sub-district functional population is expected to rebound after the incorporation of Marathon, growing over six percent (6%) from 2000 to 2015. It is interesting to note that by 2015, the functional populations in the Upper and Middle Keys are expected to begin a decline, while the functional population in the Lower Keys is expected to increase.


Permanent Resident and Peak Seasonal Population

This section of the report will investigate the permanent resident population versus the peak seasonal population for all of Monroe County. The changes in permanent and seasonal population over time will also be explored for both the incorporated

and unincorporated areas of the county. Trends in the permanent versus the peak seasonal population will also be considered at the sub-district level.

The graph on the next page shows the past and future trends in the permanent resident population for all of Monroe County as well as the unincorporated areas. The total permanent resident population in Monroe County has grown from 78,855 people in 1990 to 90,654 people by 2015, an increase of fifteen percent (15%) over the twenty-five year period. The permanent resident population as a percentage of the functional population fluctuates between 52.8% and 54.8% over the period. The years 1991 and 1993 were the only ones where the county-wide permanent resident growth rate exceeded one percent (1%) per year. Growth rates are expected to remain under 1% per year for the remainder of the years under study, and are expected to decline after the year 2003. The declines in the permanent resident population in unincorporated areas as illustrated in the graph can be attributed to the incorporation of Islamorada in 1998 and Marathon in 1999.


Graph of Permanent Resident Population in Monroe County 1990-2015




Permanent resident population figures show the total number of permanent residents at the end of a calendar year, while the peak seasonal population is the maximum or peak number of seasonal residents and visitors that can be accommodated on any given evening. Peak seasonal population figures are *not* the total number of seasonal residents or visitors in the county over the calendar year, but rather are the maximum number who could stay on any given night given the capacity for accommodating these people. The peak seasonal population figures are not the actual number of seasonal residents and visitors who *did* stay, the peak seasonal population figures show the total number of seasonal residents and visitors who *could* stay given the space available. The peak seasonal population figures include data on the number of seasonal residents, the number of people staying in hotels, motels, vacation rentals, campsites, recreational vehicles, live aboard vessels, and those staying with friends and relatives.

The graph on the next page shows the past and future trends in the peak seasonal population for all of Monroe County as well as the unincorporated areas. The peak seasonal population in Monroe County has grown from 70,493 people in 1990 to 74,712 people by 2015, an increase of six percent (6%) over the twenty-five year period. The peak seasonal population as a percentage of the functional population fluctuates between 45.2% and 47.2% over the period. The county-wide peak seasonal population growth rate exceeded four percent (4%) in 1993. Growth rates fluctuated between –1.7% and 1.9% for the remainder of the years under study, and are expected to steadily decline after the year 2003. The 1998 and 1999 declines in the peak seasonal population in unincorporated areas can be attributed to the incorporation of Islamorada and Marathon.

Graph of Peak Seasonal Population in Monroe County 1990-2015



The graph below compares the trends in permanent resident and peak seasonal population for all of Monroe County *on any given evening* in the year shown.




The chart shows that while the absolute numbers of permanent residents and potential peak number of seasonal residents and visitors will increase from 1990 to 2015, the growth rates are expected to slow down. If current trends continue, the growth rate

in the number of permanent residents will continue to outpace the growth rate in the potential peak number of seasonal residents and visitors on any given evening.

It is important to remember that the permanent resident figures are *annual* data, while the peak seasonal population data is based on the potential number of people on any given *evening*. The total number of seasonal residents and visitors who spent the night in the Keys over an entire year is not available based upon this data. However, it is a safe assumption that over the course of a year, there are many more seasonal residents and overnight visitors in Monroe County than permanent residents.

The trends in the distribution of peak seasonal population to the permanent population as a percentage of the total functional population are shown in the graph on the next page.



This graph shows that the nightly peak seasonal population is expected to decline as a percentage of the total functional population relative to the permanent resident population over the period from 1990 to 2015. In other words, seasonal residents and visitors will occupy less of the total functional population over the next fifteen years as compared to permanent residents. It is interesting to note that the permanent resident population consistently occupies a higher proportion of the county-wide functional population.


The table below shows the distribution of permanent residents to the peak seasonal population in the incorporated and unincorporated portions of Monroe County from 1990 to 2015.

Population Comparison Between Incorporated and Unincorporated Areas of Monroe County 1990-2015

	1990			2000			2015		
	Functional Population	Percent Permanent	Percent Seasonal	Functional Population	Percent Permanent	Percent Seasonal	Functional Population	Percent Permanent	Percent Seasonal
Incorporated Areas	46,348	56.3%	43.7%	85,144	54.4%	45.6%	86,535	55.7%	44.3%
Unincorporated Areas	103,000	51.2%	48.8%	73,969	53.1%	46.9%	78,831	53.9%	46.1%
Monroe County Total	149,348	52.8%	47.2%	159,113	53.8%	46.2%	165,366	54.8%	45.2%

As the table indicates, a higher percentage of the functional population of Monroe County as a whole is composed of permanent residents, and this trend is expected to continue and grow more visible from 2000 to 2015. A similar trend can be expected in the unincorporated portions of the County. The incorporated areas are also dominated by the permanent population as a percentage of the functional population. The percentage of the functional population occupied by the seasonal population is expected to be higher in 2000 and 2015, but is expected to peak by 2002. It is important to remember that the permanent figures are annual figures, while the seasonal figures represent the peak potential population on any given evening. The seasonal population figures are not annual figures. If the nightly seasonal population amounts were summed, the total would far exceed the permanent population.

The chart below compares the permanent and seasonal populations in the unincorporated portion of Monroe county by sub-district.



From 1990 to 2000, the Upper Keys declined by 2,770 permanent residents and 1,696 seasonal residents and visitors, due to the incorporation of Islamorada. The Middle Keys lost 12,994 permanent residents and 14,595 seasonal residents and visitors due to the incorporations of Islamorada and Marathon. The Lower Keys added 2,295 permanent residents and 729 additional seasonal residents and visitors.

From 2000 to 2015, the Upper Keys are expected to add 1,134 permanent residents for a permanent resident population of 18,569 people. The Middle Keys are expected to add only 74 permanent residents for an ultimate permanent resident population of 1,172 people by 2015. The Lower Keys are expected to add 1,992 permanent residents from 2000 to 2015, for a permanent resident population of 22,733 people.

In terms of the peak seasonal population from 2000 to 2015, the Upper Keys are expected to add an additional 613 seasonal residents and visitors, bringing its peak seasonal population to 19,793 people. The Middle Keys are expected to add 188 additional seasonal residents and/or visitors for an ultimate peak seasonal population of 3,034 people. The Lower Keys are expected to add an additional 861 seasonal residents and visitors for a seasonal population of 13,530 people by 2015. It is interesting to note that by 2015, the Lower Keys are expected to have the most permanent residents of all three sub-districts, while the Upper Keys are expected to retain the highest peak seasonal population.

Fastest and Slowest PAED Population Growth

The chart on the next page shows the projected changes in permanent resident, seasonal, and functional populations in each PAED in unincorporated Monroe County from 2000 to 2015. It also indicates the numerical and percent changes in the population figures over the fifteen years.

PAED Population from 2000 to 2015 Ranked by Change in Functional Population

PAED	Permanent Population Numerical Increase 2000 2015	Permanent Population Percent Increase 2000 2015	Seasonal Population Numerical Increase 2000 2015	Seasonal Population Percent Increase 2000 2015	Functional Population Numerical Increase 2000 2015	Functional Population Percent Increase 2000 2015
4a	1,028	20.7%	530	16.7%	1,558	19.1%
5	646	12.9%	208	6.3%	854	10.3%
17	557	18.0%	236	8.8%	793	13.7%
15	328	11.0%	162	9.2%	490	10.3%
3	357	16.3%	66	3.8%	422	10.8%
19-20	157	5.7%	83	3.5%	240	4.7%
10	62	8.7%	157	18.8%	219	14.1%
18	136	3.0%	76	1.7%	213	2.3%
21	38	2.3%	63	2.0%	101	2.1%
4b	39	66.8%	15	66.8%	54	66.8%
2	39	1.2%	14	1.1%	53	1.1%
11	12	3.1%	31	1.5%	42	1.8%
22	0	-0.1%	2	1.5%	2	0.9%
6	-28	-6.4%	17	0.9%	-11	-0.5%
1	-88	-1.9%	11	0.8%	-77	-1.3%
16	-82	-3.5%	-9	-0.2%	-90	-1.3%
UNINC. TOTAL	**3,200**	**8.1%**	**1,662**	**4.8%**	**4,862**	**6.6%**

As indicated in the table, PAED 4a (Cudjoe, Summerland, Ramrod, and the "Torch" Keys) is expected to experience the largest increases in permanent and seasonal population from 2000 to 2015. In addition to experiencing the largest absolute numerical

increases, this PAED is also expected to experience some of the highest growth rates in the unincorporated portions of Monroe County. The percent increase figures shown for PAED 4b (No Name Key) are misleading since the Key has only forty-four homes, most of which were built over the 1990's. Given the Rate of Growth guidelines, it is not likely that there will be any additional homes on No Name Key, but the population model is based upon past trends in certificate of occupancy issuance, not future permitting probabilities.

According to the table, PAED 5 (Big Pine Key) can also expect a large increase in the permanent resident population. However, it is not likely for this growth to be realized due to current building limitations on Big Pine Key. This phenomenon is similar to the No Name Key scenario, where the population model predicts growth based upon historical trends in certificate of occupancy issuance. Building permits have been limited in PAED 5 since 1994, and therefore, historical rate of certificate of occupancy issuance can not be sustained as we move into the twenty-first century. The model incorrectly predicts Big Pine Key growth rates based upon historical trends not future probabilities. Despite these problems, it is a logical assumption that PAEDs 17 (Rock Harbor), 15 (Tavernier), and 3 (Sugarloaf Key) will likely experience increases in permanent resident and seasonal populations.

PAED 22 (Cross Key, or the 18-Mile-Stretch) will likely experience the slowest growth rate in Monroe County from 2000 to 2015, as no new permanent or transient housing is expected for this area. Three PAEDs are expected to experience declines in permanent resident population from 2000 to 2015: PAED 1 (Stock Island), PAED 6 (MM 35 to 47), and PAED 16 (MM 94 to 98). In addition to a decline in permanent residents, the model forecasts that PAED 16 will experience a slight decline in the seasonal population over the period as well. The reason for these declines can be attributed to the "drag" factor applied to the

percent increase calculations of population after 2003. As the drag factor is applied consistently from 2004 to 2015, the net result is a slight decrease in population from 2000 to 2015. Regardless of whether or not these three PAEDs experience a loss in population, it is likely that they will be among the slowest growing areas of the unincorporated County.

Summary

This section presents a general analysis of the population data gathered for this report on Monroe County population estimates and forecasts for the years 1990 to 2015. The data and analysis indicates that the functional population is expected to grow by more than 16,000 people from 1990 to 2015, an increase of almost eleven percent (11%) over the twenty-five year period.

In 1999, over sixty percent (60%) of the functional population is located within unincorporated portions of Monroe County, but by 2000, the incorporated portions of the county will contain fifty-three percent (53%) of total functional population.

Throughout the years under study, the Upper Keys sub-district will have the largest portion of the functional population in unincorporated Monroe County, however, the Lower Keys is expected to have the highest growth rates over the twenty-five year period.

In terms of the composition of the functional population, there are more permanent residents than the peak seasonal population on any given evening. However, permanent resident figures are annual while peak seasonal population figures are for any given evening. If seasonal population figures were annual, they would far exceed permanent resident population figures. The growth

rates for permanent residents are expected to out pace the growth rates in the peak seasonal population as a percentage of the functional population.

PAED 4a is expected to have the largest numerical increases in population as well as the highest rates of population increase for permanent residents. PAED 22 is expected to have the slowest growth rates.

The drag factors applied to the permanent and seasonal resident data indicate that these population's growth rates will begin to level-off and decline after the year 2014 if current trends continue.

METHODOLOGY

This section will discuss the methodology used to determine the functional population of Monroe County. The functional population is a measurement which considers both the number of people who live in an area throughout the year (permanent residents), and those persons who visit an area (seasonal population) on any particular evening or evenings. As has been stated previously, the seasonal population is not a measurement of all the people who stayed in an area throughout the year, it is a measurement of the number of people who are visiting an area on any given evening. Additionally, the seasonal population is considered to be a peak figure, or a figure which represents the maximum number of people who could reasonably be accommodated in existing transient or guest facilities in the Keys on any given evening. Therefore, the seasonal population figures presented in this report can be considered as the peak number of people who could be accommodated on any given evening given the capacity of existing facilities devoted to the temporary housing of guests and/or visitors.

The functional population is the sum of the permanent population and the peak seasonal population, and is used by the government in determining the potential impacts, expected usage amounts, and required capacity for a wide variety of public services and expenditures. The process for determining the functional population used in this report is explained below, beginning with the methodology for determining the permanent resident population.

Permanent Resident Population

The methodology discussed here relates to the table titled Summary Table of Permanent Resident Population 1990-2015 (Appendix 4). The population estimates and the forecasts up to the year 2004 are based upon changes in the number of housing units available. The population forecasts after 2003 rely on historical trends in the percent increase in the number of permanent residents. All estimates and forecasts are based on a calendar year, and indicate the population as of December 31st of any particular year.

The first step in determining the number of permanent residents was to compare the boundaries between the 1990 census tracts and block groups with the Monroe County Planning Area Enumeration Districts (PAEDs). A map of the PAEDs can be found in Appendix 2 of this report. In most cases, the boundaries between census tracts and PAEDs were coterminous. In some situations, the PAED boundary didn't match with the census tract. In these cases, block group boundaries were used. However, in some cases, even the block group boundaries didn't match up with the PAED boundaries. Footnotes A-H in Appendix 11 discuss how data was separated or agglomerated to account for any boundary discrepancies. The ultimate goal of this step was to determine

how Census data would be broken down from census tracts or block groups into PAEDs. This information is summarized in Columns 1, 2, and 3 of the Summary Table of Permanent Resident Population 1990-2015 found in Appendix 4.

The next step was to use the 1990 Census of Population and Housing Summary Tape File 1A to obtain seven critical pieces of information by PAED: the total population in a PAED as of April 1, 1990, the total number of housing units in a PAED as of April 1, 1990, the total number of households (occupied housing units) in a PAED as of April 1, 1990, the total number of owner-occupied housing units in a PAED as of April 1, 1990, the total number of renter-occupied housing units in a PAED as of April 1, 1990, the number of vacant housing units in a PAED as of April 1, 1990, and finally, the number of housing units held for occasional or seasonal use. Again, all of this data was broken down from census tracts or block groups and agglomerated into PAEDs based upon the actions explained in Footnotes A-H. This information is found in Columns 4-11 of the Permanent Resident Table in Appendix 4.

The next step was to determine the number of persons per housing unit by PAED. This was accomplished by dividing the total population by the total number of housing units. The number yielded by this operation is not the number of persons per household, it is the number of persons per housing unit. The typical persons per household measurement equals the total population divided by the number of *occupied* housing units. The Monroe County model relies on a more generic persons per housing unit figure instead of a persons per household figure since vacancy rate information is required to determine occupancy status. Since information on intra-county vacancy rates over time is not generally available, the total persons per housing unit figure was used so as to limit the number of assumptions needed to forecast population. This information is found in Column 13 of the Permanent Resident Table.

At this point, the baseline population data for 1990 had been obtained from the Census, and re-aggregated into Monroe County PAEDs. However, this baseline data is as of April 1, 1990, but the Monroe County model is based upon a calendar year. In order to develop a population estimate for December 31, 1990, nine additional months of housing data had to be added to the baseline census information on the total number of housing units. The Building Departments of Monroe County and the other municipalities were used as a source for certificate of occupancy data. Certificates of occupancy (as opposed to building permits) were used as a surrogate for the number of housing units brought on-line during a year since a housing unit is more likely to be occupied shortly after a certificate of occupancy is issued.

Once the number of dwelling units which were completed from April 2, 1990 to December 31, 1990 were compiled and aggregated by PAED (based upon the number of certificates of occupancy issued), these numbers could then be added to the total number of dwelling units as of April 1, 1990 listed by the Census. This operation yielded the total number of dwelling units in existence on December 31, 1990 as shown in Column 15 of the Permanent Resident Table in Appendix 4.

Once the total number of housing units in existence on December 31, 1990 was known, the number of permanent residents living in those units could be derived by applying the number of persons per housing unit figure found in Column 13. This operation yields the total number of permanent residents by PAED as of December 31, 1990, as shown in Column 17 of the table in Appendix 4.

The next step is to determine the number of permanent residents by PAED in 1991. To accomplish this, the number of certificates of occupancy issued from 1/1/91 to 12/31/91 was obtained from the various Building Departments and divided up

into the appropriate PAEDs. The number of units built during 1991 was then added to the total number of dwelling units as of December 31, 1990. Once again, the resident population was derived by applying the persons per permanent resident dwelling unit found in Column 13.

This process was repeated to yield the permanent resident population estimates for 1992 through 1999. A slightly different process was used for making the population forecasts for 2000 and beyond.

The forecasts for the years 2000-2003 are based upon the potential number of building permits available, and historical trends in the number of permits issued. The majority of unincorporated Monroe County and the municipalities of Islamorada, Marathon, and Key West are all bound by the Rate of Growth Ordinance, which limits the number of building permits available over any given year. Layton, Key Colony Beach and the northernmost portion of Monroe County are not subject to the Rate of Growth Ordinance provisions, and as such, their population forecasts have been based upon historical trends in housing unit permitting.

The Rate of Growth guidelines found within the "Five Year Work Program" of the Monroe County Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan limits the number of building permits available to portions of Unincorporated Monroe County, Islamorada, and Marathon to a maximum of 204 per year until July of 2003. The City of Key West is limited to a maximum of 33 building permits per year based upon the Rate of Growth Guidelines, but a different allocation formula used by Key West makes it more problematic to apply this methodology to their expected population growth. As a result, population forecasts provided by Key West were used in this model. The northern portion of Unincorporated Monroe County, Key Colony Beach, and Layton are not subject to the rate of Growth guidelines, so historical trends in building permit issuance were used to calculate population forecasts for these areas.

The potential number of building permits available to portions of the County, Islamorada, and Marathon were used as the basis for predicting how many new housing units would come on-line each year from 2000 to 2003 in these areas. The potential 204 new dwellings available each year from 2000 to 2003 were aggregated by PAED based upon that PAED's percentage of the total number of dwelling units built from 1990 to 1999. The total number of units constructed in each PAED from 1990 to 1999 is shown in Column 54 of the Permanent Resident Table. Each PAED's total number of units constructed was divided by the sub-district total for the same period. This operation yields each PAED's share of the number of units constructed in a sub-district from 1990-1999 as shown in Column 55. This percentage was applied to the total number of building permits available to a sub-district each year from 2000 to 2003, and yields the forecasted number of dwelling units which will be built in a particular PAED during a particular year. Once the potential number of dwelling units constructed in a PAED was determined, the normal model process described in the paragraphs above was employed to determine the annual population by PAED for 2000 to 2003.

The Rate of Growth Ordinance is set to expire in 2003, and as such, there is little guidance as to how permits will be issued in Monroe County after the year 2003. As a result, the basis of the modeling process changes after 2003 from the number of housing units constructed to the historical percent change total permanent resident population.

The forecasting process begins with determining the average percent increase in total permanent resident population over the period from 1990 to 2003 by PAED as shown in Column 85 of the Permanent Resident Population Table in Appendix 4. This percentage is derived from obtaining the percent increase in resident population by PAED for each year, and then taking the average of these percent increase figures over the thirteen year period. This average percent increase figure is then applied to the

total permanent resident population in 2003. The product of this equation was then added to the 2003 total population to yield the estimated total permanent resident population in 2004 by PAED.

A similar methodology was employed to determine the permanent resident population by PAED from 2005 to 2015. Essentially, the average percent increase figure was applied to the previous year's permanent resident population figures. The product of this equation was then added to the previous year's population to estimate the following year's total permanent resident population. A "drag" factor was applied to each year's percent increase figures by PAED. This drag factor increases by .1% each year over the ten year period, so that by 2015, the rate of increase in permanent resident population by PAED will have declined by 1.1%. This drag factor was employed as an assumption that the availability of building permits will decline as the carrying capacity of the Keys is reached. It is also likely that the availability of building sites will also decline in the early years of the twenty-first century. Analysis has shown that a 1.1% decline in mean percentage increases in population over a ten year period would not significantly affect the validity of the population forecasts. It is important to note this methodology reveals the beginnings of a decline in the total number of permanent residents in unincorporated Monroe County by 2014.

The methodology described above is not representative of the process used to develop the City of Key West figures. Key West developed population forecasts as a part of their Evaluation and Appraisal Report on their Comprehensive Plan. The methodology used by Key West differs from that used by the County. In the interest of being inclusive of the County-wide population, this report adopted the Key West figures, and manipulated them slightly so as to provide yearly data similar to that provided for the other areas of the County.

Peak Seasonal Resident and Visitor Population

The methodology discussed here relates to the table titled Summary Table of Peak Number Seasonal Residents and Visitors by PAED 1990-2015 (Appendix 5). As mentioned earlier, the peak seasonal population figures are not the total number of seasonal residents and visitors who come to the Keys over the year, but only those who could be accommodated (based upon available facilities) on any given evening. The information contained in seasonal population summary table stems from five sub-models, which relate to: the number of seasonal residences, the number of hotel and motel rooms, the number of recreational vehicle and campsites, the number of people staying with friends and relatives, and the number of people staying on live aboard vessels. The methodology used in each of the five sub-models is discussed below.

1. Seasonal Residents and Vacation Rental Visitors

The first step is to obtain the number of dwelling units used for seasonal purposes from the permanent resident population table found in Appendix 4. The preliminary number of seasonal housing units comes from the 1990 Census of Population and Housing (Column 11 of the Summary Table of Permanent Resident Population found in Appendix 4). The number of seasonal housing units completed between April 1, 1990 and December 31, 1990 is derived by applying the percentage of seasonal housing units to the total number of housing units as of December 31, 1990 (Column 15 of the Permanent Resident Table found in Appendix 4). The addition of these two figures yields the total number of seasonal units by PAED as of December 31, 1990.

The next step is to determine the number of persons per group who stay in seasonal residences and/or vacation rentals. This figure was taken from a study prepared by the Tourism Development Council. This report is titled *Visitor Profiles: Florida*

Keys/Key West. This report was prepared by Vernon Leeworthy for the Nature Conservancy and NOAA in 1996. This report indicates that the largest group sizes occur in the winter season, and amount to 2.67 people per group on average. This figure was applied to the total number of seasonal residences (which includes vacation rentals) to determine the potential peak number of seasonal residents or visitors staying in vacation rentals by PAED. This methodology was used to determine the peak number of seasonal residents and visitors in vacation rentals by PAED for the years 1990 through 2003.

Because the peak seasonal resident sub-model also rests on the number of dwelling units (similar to the permanent resident population model), it is subject to the problem which occurs after 2003, when we are no longer sure how new dwelling units will be permitted. As a result, this model also makes the shift from a dwelling-unit based foundation to a population-based foundation after 2003 (just as the permanent resident population model does). The percentage increases in the number of seasonal residents and visitors in vacation rentals by year by PAED are determined in Columns 34 through 46 of the Peak Population in Seasonal Residences Table in Appendix 6.

Then, the average percent increase in the seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population over the period from 1990 to 2003 by PAED was determined as shown in Column 47. This average percent increase figure was then applied to the seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population in 2003. The product of this equation was then added to the 2003 peak number of persons staying in seasonal dwelling units to yield the estimated peak seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population in 2004 by PAED.

A similar methodology was employed to determine the seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population by PAED from 2005 to 2015. Essentially, the average percent increase figure was applied to the previous year's population figures. The product of this equation was then added to the previous year's population to estimate the following year's total seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population. A "drag" factor was applied to each year's percent increase figures by PAED (similar to the one applied to the permanent resident population figures). This drag factor increases by .1% each year over the ten year period, so that by 2015, the percent increase in seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population by PAED will have declined by 1.1%. It is important to note this methodology reveals the beginnings of a decline in the total number of seasonal residents and visitors in vacation rentals in unincorporated Monroe County by 2013, one year prior to similar declines expected for the permanent resident population forecasts.

2. Peak Number of People in Hotels and Motels

This is the second of the sub-models used to determine the peak seasonal population, and is titled Table of Peak Population in Hotels and Motels, and is found in Appendix 7 of this report.

The first step in the preparation of this model is to determine the number of hotel and motel rooms by PAED in 1990. The State of Florida's Department of Business and Professional Regulation Division of Hotels and Restaurants Master Listing of Accounts was used for this purpose. Information on the location and number of rooms of hotels, motels, resorts, and transient apartments was collected from the Master Listing and grouped according to PAED.

The next step was to determine the peak number of persons per room on average for all of these accommodations. This figure was taken from a study prepared by the Tourism Development Council. This report is titled *Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West*. This report indicates that the peak number of persons per room on average is 2.73 persons. This figure was used as the peak number of persons per room on average for the period from 1990 to 2015.

The next step was to determine the peak occupancy rate for transient accommodations. The occupancy rates were obtained through the TDC from the Smith Travel Research *Trend Report*. The *Trend Report* gives the occupancy rate figures by month from 1990 to 1999 for Key West and Monroe County. The peak monthly occupancy figure by year was extracted and used by this model.

With the information on the number of hotel and motel rooms collected and sorted by PAED, the information on the peak group size determined, and the yearly peak occupancy rates obtained, the peak population staying in hotels and motels by year by PAED was determined. For each year, the peak number of persons per room was applied to the number of hotel and motel rooms available. The peak occupancy figure was then applied to this figure to yield the peak number of people staying in hotel and motel rooms by year by PAED. This methodology was used to determine the peak number of visitors in hotels and motels from 1990 to 1999.

After 1999, the occupancy rate for hotels and motels in Monroe County are not known. To estimate the future occupancy rate, the average occupancy rate was determined for the years from 1990 to 1999 (as shown in Column 35 of the Peak Population in Hotels and Motels table in Appendix 7), and applied to the yearly number of hotel and motel rooms by PAED after 1999. Once

the projected occupancy rate was determined, the methodology described in the previous paragraph was used to determine the peak population staying in hotels and motels until 2006.

The number of hotel and motel rooms in Monroe County has remained relatively constant since 1996 when the Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan was adopted. The Comprehensive Plan prohibits the construction of new transient accommodations until 2006. As a result, there has been very little new hotel/motel room construction, but the model does include those hotel and motel rooms which have become vested from the Comprehensive Plan policy prohibiting new transient units.

It is expected that the prohibition in the Comprehensive Plan on new hotel and motel rooms will be lifted by 2007. As a result, the model was adapted to include a cumulative .1% increase in the number of visitors staying in hotels and motels per year from 2007 to 2015 based upon the increased prevalence of hotel and motel rooms.

3. Peak Number of People in Campsites and Recreational Vehicles

This is the third of the sub-models used to determine the peak seasonal population, and is found in Appendix 8 of this report. The first step in the preparation of this model was to determine the number of campsites and recreational vehicle spaces by PAED in 1990. These figures were derived from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) records related to on-site sewage capacity for these types of land uses. The DEP figures showed how many campsites and/or recreational vehicle spaces were present (or permitted) in a particular establishment. The establishments were located and agglomerated by PAED.

The next step was to determine the peak number of persons per campsite and/or vehicle on average for all of these establishments. This figure was taken from a study prepared by the Tourism Development Council. This report is titled *Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West*. This report indicates that the peak number of persons per campsite and/or vehicle on average is 2.93 persons. This figure was used as the peak number of persons per campsite and/or vehicle on average for the period from 1990 to 2015.

The next step was to determine the occupancy rate for these transient land uses. The occupancy rate figure was also obtained from the *Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West* report. The report shows the peak occupancy rate to be 94.31% in the peak months of the year for campsites and recreational vehicle parks. This figure was used as the occupancy rate per campsite and/or vehicle on average for the period from 1990 to 2015.

The peak population staying in campsites and recreational vehicles was determined by applying the peak number of persons per campsite or vehicle to the number of sites available. This number was then multiplied by the occupancy rate to determine the peak number of persons staying in campsites and recreational vehicle sites by year by PAED.

The total number of campsites and recreational vehicle spaces were held constant over the period from 1990 to 2006, based on the moratorium on transient housing uses stemming from the Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan. After 2006, the prohibition on these types of uses is expected to be lifted. As a result, the model was adapted to include a cumulative .1% increase in the number of visitors staying in campsites and recreational vehicles per year from 2007 to 2015 based upon the expected increase of these transient uses.

4. Peak Population Staying with Friends and Relatives

This is the fourth of the sub-models used to determine the peak seasonal resident and visitor population, and is found in Appendix 9 of this report. This is one of the most difficult numbers to predict since the number of people who visit an area, but who do not stay in transient accommodations, is very difficult to track.

The basic methodology used was to determine the percentage of total visitors who stay with friends and relatives, then apply this percentage figure to the total seasonal population by PAED in a particular year. The product was the number of people who stayed with friends and relatives.

The first step in the preparation of this sub-model is to determine the appropriate percentage of people who stay with friends and/or relatives when visiting Monroe County. This number was derived from the study prepared for the Tourism Development Council entitled *Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West*. This report indicates that 15.5% of all visitors to the Keys stay with friends and/or relatives. For ease in calculation, this percentage figure was used across all PAEDs for each of the years in the forecast.

The peak number of people staying with friends and relatives by PAED by year was derived by summing the total population staying in seasonal residences and vacation homes, the peak population staying hotel and motel rooms, and the peak population staying in campsites or recreational vehicle spaces, and then applying 15.5% to this sum. The product of this operation is the

peak number of people staying with friends and relatives. This methodology was used by PAED and by year for all years in the model.

5. Peak Population on Live Aboard Vessels

This is the fifth and final sub-model used to determine the total peak seasonal population by PAED. Two separate sources were used to determine these figures. The first source of information was a study published in 1990 by Gus Antonini et. al. called *Boat Live-Aboards in the Florida Keys: A New Factor in Waterfront Development.* This study determined that over the year from 1988 to 1989, there were 1,082 live-aboard vessels present in the Keys. It is unclear if this figure is the total for the year or the peak number of vessels at any given time. This report uses the figure as a peak number instead of a total for the year.

The next step in the modeling process was to dis-aggregate the peak number of vessels by PAED. This was accomplished by using the data on the number of live aboard vessels collected during the 1993 Marina Facilities Survey conducted by the Monroe County Marine Resources Department. This survey collected information on the number of live aboards found in waters around the Keys. Mile markers were used in the survey to describe areas where live aboard vessels were encountered. The vessel counts found in the survey were then agglomerated by PAED based upon the mile marker figures in the survey. The total number of live aboards found in a particular PAED was then divided by the total number of live aboard vessels found across Monroe County. This yielded a particular PAED's percentage of the number of live aboards in the county. It is interesting to note that 22% of the live aboards were located in incorporated areas in 1993.

Once percentage figures on the number of live aboards by PAED were determined, these percentage figures were applied to the peak number of vessels determined by the Antonini study. This exercise allowed the Antonini figures to be broken down by PAED.

The next step was to determine occupancy rates on live aboard vessels. The Antonini research indicated that there was an average of 1.8 persons per vessel in 1988-89. This figure was used in this model, and applied over the entire period.

With the number of vessels by PAED in 1990 determined, and the number of persons per vessel determined, the two could be multiplied, yielding the peak population of people staying on live aboards by PAED in 1990.

To obtain this peak population on live aboard vessels figure by year over the period from 1990 to 2015, the peak number of vessels by PAED was increased by .1% per year over the period. This percentage increase was selected based on a lack of rigorous data on the peak number of vessels present. There is anecdotal evidence that the peak number of live aboard vessels is increasing over time, but no concrete evidence of this increase. Due to the data limitations, a percentage increase of .1% per year was applied.

In conclusion, the data from all five sub-models mentioned above was agglomerated to yield the total peak seasonal population as reflected in the Summary Table of Peak Number of Seasonal residents and Visitors by PAED 1990-2015 (Appendix 5).

As in the case of the permanent resident population model, the methodology described above is not representative of the process used to develop the City of Key West figures. Key West developed population forecasts as a part of their Evaluation and

Appraisal Report on their Comprehensive Plan. The methodology used by Key West differs from that used by the County. In the interest of being inclusive of the County-wide population, this report adopted the Key West figures, and manipulated them slightly so as to provide yearly data similar to that provided for the other areas of the County. The Key West figures included the number of day visitors to Key West within their seasonal population. These day visitors have been subtracted from the Key West figures included within this report so as to be consistent with the data presented for the County and other incorporated areas.

ASSUMPTIONS

The final section of this report discusses the various assumptions that were made in order to produce the population estimates and forecasts.

The first assumption is that the population estimates and forecasts contained in this report only consider legally established dwellings or transient units. It is undeniable that there are more units in existence than those which were legally established. However, the exact number of these units is very difficult to determine or estimate. As a result, the potential number of unlawfully established units is not included within these models.

The second assumption is that current trends and conditions will continue into the future. Any number of events like major hurricanes or other natural disasters will undoubtedly affect the population of Monroe County. However, these events can not be predicted far in advance, and therefore, the models do not contemplate them. In addition to natural events, other factors like

governmental policy will also affect the ultimate population. People's values and desires also exert impacts, but it is simply too difficult to model these effects. As a result, these models assume that current trends and conditions will continue.

The third assumption is that the 1990 baseline data on population is true and correct. This data comes from the Bureau of the Census, and may or may not be accurate. However, it is the only source available which has been accepted by the state and federal governments. There is the strong possibility that the population of Monroe County was undercounted during the 1990 Census. Hopefully, the 2000 Census will address this problem. Once the information from the 2000 Census becomes available, it will be incorporated into this model in an attempt to make these figures even more accurate.

The fourth assumption is that the persons per housing unit figure is a more appropriate figure than persons per household when estimating the permanent resident population. Persons per household figures require data on occupied units, which requires information on vacancy rates. Since this information is not generally available in the Keys (and may not be relevant given the highly transitory nature of Keys residents) the use of a persons per total housing unit figure may be more appropriate since it eliminates the need for assumptions on vacancy rates.

The fifth assumption is the possibility that the total persons per housing unit figure in a PAED will remain constant from 1990 to 2015. The likelihood of this being the case is rather slim, however, the exact direction and magnitude of any changes is unknown. To keep the model simple, persons per housing unit was kept constant. These population estimates and forecasts may be modified after the results of the 2000 Census of Population are released, but this will not occur until 2002 at the earliest.

The sixth assumption is that certificates of occupancy are a better predictor of changes in permanent resident population as opposed to building permit figures. Historically, it takes anywhere from two to seven years for an average home to be completed in the Keys. It is more likely that a home will be occupied shortly after a certificate of occupancy is issued as compared to a building permit. For this reason, certificates of occupancy were used in this model.

The seventh assumption is that the number of dwelling units issued certificates of occupancy from 4/2/90 to 12/31/90 does not double-count housing units in existence as of April 1, 1990. As mentioned in the methodology section, the permanent resident population model uses the 1990 Census data as a starting point, but this Census data only applies to the number of dwelling units present on April 1, 1990. For greater accuracy, this population model considered all the housing units available over the course of the year. The possibility exists that a new dwelling unit was issued a certificate of occupancy after April 1, 1990, but people who were living in the dwelling obtained a census form, and returned it prior to April 1, 1990. In these situations, it is possible for this model to double-count those units. However, this number is expected to be insignificant when considering the population over a twenty-five year span.

The eighth assumption is that the information from the Bureau of the Census on the number of housing units held for occasional or seasonal use is appropriate. This figure was used in the model to determine the number of seasonal homes in the County from 1990 to 2015. While there is much debate about the number of transient rentals in the Keys, there is no definitive source on the number of homes being used for this purpose. The number of homestead exemptions was explored as a possible source, however, this data is not maintained in a way which can be applied over time. As a result of the existing data limitations, the Census Bureau information was used. It may be possible that the number of seasonal homes is undercounted by this model, but

until there is a mechanism for jurisdictions to track which homes are held for seasonal or transient purposes, and which ones are for permanent residents, we may never know the magnitude of seasonal and/or transient housing units.

The ninth assumption relates to governmental policy. The Five Year Work Program indicates that 204 building permits will be issued each year during 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 for portions of unincorporated Monroe County, Islamorada, and Marathon. For the sake of simplicity, this model assumes that 204 dwelling units will be completed and issued a certificate of occupancy each year for the same period. However, even if this assumption is incorrect, it should not affect the total number of dwelling units constructed.

The tenth assumption is that historical trends in the number of housing units constructed in northern Monroe County, Layton, and Key Colony Beach is a good predictor of the timing of additional housing unit development in the future. The growth in permanent resident housing units from 2000 to 2004 is based upon the Rate of Growth permit allocations, but these areas are not subject to the Rate of Growth provisions, as such, their historical trends are projected into the future. While this may not be the best approach, it is the only one available, and therefore has been used by this model.

The eleventh assumption relates to maximum party size of seasonal residents and visitors in a particular dwelling or transient unit. These party sizes are shown to remain constant in several of the models. There are yearly variations in party size, but research has shown that over time, party size does remain fairly constant. It is important to remember that seasonal population estimates and forecasts seek to show the potential peak population on any given night, so even if party sizes do shrink or expand on a monthly or yearly basis, the accuracy of seasonal population projections should not be greatly affected.

The twelfth assumption is that the moratorium on new transient units (hotel & motel rooms, campsites, etc.) will be lifted in 2006. This policy decision is as yet unknown. The Comprehensive Plan dictates that some allowances for these uses should be made by 2007, so the models contained within this report reflect that policy direction. There is always the possibility that the policy will change, and if so, the population figures will also change. This model will be revisited upon significant policy changes.

The final assumption, and perhaps the largest, relates to the "drag" factors applied to the permanent and seasonal resident populations. The models used in this report apply a .1% decrease to the growth rates for these two populations from 2004 to 2015. This assumption is based on the possibility that by 2004, there will be fewer building sites available, that there will continue to be a decline in the total number of people per housing unit, that the carrying capacity of the Keys will begin to be exceeded, and that as these things begin to take place, the attractiveness of the Keys to new residents will begin to decline.

Despite the fact that there are many assumptions contained within the models of population within this report, the possibility to alter and modify the models always exists. Should conditions change in a substantive way, the population estimates and forecasts will no doubt be reconsidered.

This concludes this report on the Monroe County population estimates and forecasts. The appendices on the following pages will show the various tables and footnotes used to determine the functional population of Monroe County. Questions about this report, the models, or the population estimates or forecasts should be directed to the Planning Department, which can be reached at the address shown on the inside cover of this report.

The following pages contain the appendices mentioned in previous sections of the report.

Appendix 1

Tables 2.28, 2.29, & 2.30 from the Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan Technical Document

Table 2.29
SeasonalPopulation Projections
Unicorporated Monroe County 1990-2010
By Planning Area/Enumeration (PAED) Districts

PAED	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010
LOWER KEYS					
1	1,734	1,903	2,072	2,257	2,454
2	717	787	856	933	1,014
3	944	1,035	1,127	1,228	1,335
4	2,117	2,323	2,529	2,755	2,996
5	2,154	2,364	2,573	2,803	3,048
6	981	1,076	1,172	1,277	1,388
Sub-Total	8,647	9,488	10,329	11,253	12,235
MIDDLE KEYS					
7	5,099	5,593	6,092	6,633	7,215
8	371	407	443	482	524
9	455	500	544	593	644
10	1,917	2,104	2,291	2,495	2,713
11	1,401	1,537	1,673	1,823	1,982
12	1,650	1,811	1,971	2,148	2,335
13	2,049	2,249	2,448	2,667	2,900
Sub-Total	12,942	14,201	15,462	16,841	18,313
UPPER KEYS					
14	4,745	5,204	5,669	6,173	6,714
15	1,500	1,646	1,792	1,953	2,123
16	2,940	3,225	3,512	3,826	4,160
17	2,703	2,966	3,229	3,518	3,825
18	2,948	3,235	3,522	3,837	4,172
19	418	459	499	544	591
20	2,236	2,453	2,671	2,909	3,163
21	3,862	4,237	4,613	5,025	5,464
22	169	186	202	221	240
Sub-Total	21,521	23,611	25,709	28,006	30,452
PAED TOTAL	**43,110**	**47,300**	**51,500**	**56,100**	**61,000**

Note: The City of Key West, Key Colony beach, and layton are not included.
Cape Sable is included in PAED 21; Windley Key is included in PAED 12

Source: U.S. Census 1990, Price Waterhouse.

Table 2.30
Functional Population Projections
Unicorporated Monroe County 1990-2010
By Planning Area/Enumeration (PAED) Districts

PAED	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010
LOWER KEYS					
1	6,275	6,480	6,667	6,894	7,132
2	3,823	3,946	4,057	4,181	4,323
3	2,730	2,915	3,079	3,262	3,475
4	6,100	6,895	7,561	8,302	9,212
5	6,362	7,265	8,015	8,852	9,884
6	1,422	1,517	1,614	1,718	1,829
Sub-Total	26,712	29,018	31,003	33,209	35,855
MIDDLE KEYS					
7	13,960	14,938	15,815	16,779	17,909
8	1,068	1,363	1,559	1,598	1,641
9	1,541	1,698	1,829	1,976	2,155
10	2,546	2,813	3,062	3,336	3,645
11	1,757	1,893	2,029	2,179	2,338
12	2,746	3,106	3,421	3,772	4,019
13	3,269	3,469	3,668	3,887	4,120
Sub-Total	26,887	29,280	31,383	33,527	35,827
UPPER KEYS					
14	9,150	10,155	11,048	12,031	13,192
15	3,933	4,303	4,623	4,980	5,404
16	5,227	5,912	6,510	6,894	7,228
17	5,168	5,679	6,135	6,641	7,230
18	7,075	8,167	9,081	9,966	10,300
19	1,326	1,462	1,576	1,704	1,859
20	3,785	4,002	4,220	4,458	4,712
21	5,649	6,447	7,154	7,936	8,580
22	230	270	303	342	387
Sub-Total	41,543	46,387	50,650	54,952	58,892
PAED TOTAL	**95,142**	**104,695**	**113,036**	**121,688**	**130,574**

Note: The City of Key West, Key Colony beach, and layton are not included.
Cape Sable is included in PAED 21; Windley Key is included in PAED 12


Source: U.S. Census 1990, Price Waterhouse.

Appendix 2

Monroe County Planning Area Enumeration District (PAED) Maps


Monroe County
Growth Management Division

Planning Analysis Area/Enumeration Districts




Monroe County
Growth Management Division

Planning Analysis Area/Enumeration Districts



Monroe County
Growth Management Division

Planning Analysis Area/Enumeration Districts



Appendix 3

Summary Table of Permanent Resident, Peak Seasonal, and Functional Population Figures by PAED from 1990 to 2015

Functional Population

PAED	1990 Permanent Resident Population	1990 Seasonal Population	1990 Functional Population	1991 Permanent Resident Population	1991 Seasonal Population	1991 Functional Population	1992 Permanent Resident Population	1992 Seasonal Population	1992 Functional Population	1993 Permanent Resident Population	1993 Seasonal Population	1993 Functional Population	1994 Permanent Resident Population	1994 Seasonal Population
Lower Keys														
1	4,560	1,273	5,833	4,581	1,274	5,855	4,597	1,275	5,873	4,604	1,276	5,880	4,621	1,277
2	3,140	1,257	4,398	3,179	1,261	4,440	3,195	1,260	4,455	3,207	1,269	4,476	3,241	1,269
3	1,844	1,686	3,530	1,876	1,688	3,564	1,915	1,698	3,603	1,965	1,708	3,673	2,006	1,705
4a	4,074	2,730	6,803	4,209	2,791	7,000	4,306	2,830	7,137	4,411	2,908	7,318	4,504	2,939
4b	37	15	51	41	16	56	44	17	61	47	19	66	48	19
5	4,352	3,096	7,448	4,482	3,131	7,613	4,568	3,151	7,719	4,695	3,201	7,896	4,765	3,213
6	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,983	2,323	440	1,883
Subtotal	18,446	11,940	30,387	18,809	12,044	30,853	19,066	12,105	31,170	19,368	12,264	31,632	19,626	12,305
Middle Keys														
7	8,932	6,730	15,662	8,981	6,696	15,678	9,041	6,645	15,686	9,080	8,813	15,893	9,116	6,714
8	707	2,051	2,758	714	2,033	2,747	719	2,003	2,722	725	2,089	2,814	731	2,038
9	1,101	1,130	2,231	1,114	1,130	2,244	1,119	1,126	2,245	1,135	1,142	2,277	1,140	1,135
10	653	338	992	664	339	1,002	674	338	1,011	685	351	1,037	697	348
11	357	1,948	2,304	357	1,946	2,303	358	1,944	2,302	359	1,996	2,345	359	1,980
12a	976	1,211	2,187	1,005	1,231	2,236	1,041	1,255	2,296	1,054	1,291	2,345	1,088	1,287
12b	140	950	1,090	150	937	1,087	150	909	1,058	150	975	1,125	150	929
13	1,226	3,083	4,309	1,241	3,149	4,391	1,250	3,077	4,327	1,267	3,265	4,532	1,268	3,142
Subtotal	14,092	17,441	31,533	14,226	17,461	31,687	14,351	17,297	31,648	14,465	17,913	32,368	14,628	17,574
Upper Keys														
14	4,514	2,936	7,449	4,649	3,002	7,651	4,740	3,042	7,782	4,804	3,099	7,903	4,856	3,112
15	2,610	1,585	4,195	2,713	1,628	4,341	2,739	1,631	4,369	2,826	1,696	4,521	2,913	1,722
16	2,290	4,520	6,811	2,297	4,507	6,805	2,304	4,486	6,790	2,310	4,559	6,869	2,323	4,526
17	2,544	2,514	5,058	2,615	2,508	5,123	2,691	2,487	5,168	2,807	2,649	5,455	2,863	2,594
18	4,163	4,150	8,313	4,206	4,156	8,362	4,234	4,151	8,385	4,362	4,569	8,931	4,381	4,534
19-20	2,494	2,125	4,619	2,575	2,161	4,736	2,626	2,330	4,956	2,666	2,368	5,033	2,678	2,360
21	1,530	2,944	4,473	1,543	2,953	4,496	1,553	2,955	4,508	1,562	3,001	4,563	1,565	2,982
22	61	103	164	61	103	164	61	103	164	63	103	166	63	103
Subtotal	20,205	20,876	41,081	20,660	21,019	41,679	20,937	21,185	42,122	21,399	22,043	43,442	21,641	21,935
TOTAL	52,743	50,258	103,000	53,694	50,524	104,219	54,354	50,586	104,940	55,222	52,220	107,442	55,793	51,814
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	186	155	341	189	155	345	191	154	344	192	160	352	194	157
City of Key Colony B.	989	1,489	2,478	993	1,493	2,486	1,006	1,506	2,512	1,023	1,539	2,562	1,030	1,542
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	24,938	18,591	43,529	25,043	18,233	43,277	25,149	17,723	42,872	25,255	18,965	44,220	25,360	18,131
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	78,855	70,493	149,348	79,920	70,406	150,326	80,699	69,969	150,668	81,691	72,884	154,575	82,378	71,644

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department. See Footnotes for sources.

PAED	1994 Functional Population	1994 Permanent Resident Population	1994 Seasonal Population	1995 Functional Population	1995 Permanent Resident Population	1995 Seasonal Population	1996 Functional Population	1996 Permanent Resident Population	1996 Seasonal Population	1997 Functional Population	1997 Permanent Resident Population	1997 Seasonal Population	1998 Functional Population	1998 Permanent Resident Population
Lower Keys														
1	5,898	4,628	1,278	5,905	4,658	1,279	5,937	4,684	1,280	5,964	4,707	1,281	5,988	4,719
2	4,510	3,255	1,269	4,524	3,280	1,275	4,555	3,297	1,277	4,574	3,313	1,274	4,587	3,319
3	3,711	2,039	1,706	3,745	2,080	1,717	3,797	2,105	1,720	3,825	2,133	1,716	3,849	2,160
4a	7,442	4,612	2,987	7,599	4,714	3,047	7,761	4,804	3,093	7,897	4,872	3,110	7,983	4,916
4b	67	49	20	69	51	20	71	55	22	77	56	22	79	56
5	7,978	4,828	3,228	8,057	4,909	3,257	8,166	4,936	3,265	8,201	4,964	3,264	8,228	4,974
6	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,893	2,323	440
Subtotal	31,930	19,852	12,371	32,223	20,132	12,477	32,609	20,320	12,641	32,861	20,486	12,661	33,036	20,584
Middle Keys														
7	15,830	9,185	6,682	15,847	9,196	6,743	15,939	9,233	6,754	15,987	9,260	6,649	15,909	9,284
8	2,769	737	2,021	2,758	743	2,053	2,796	748	2,057	2,805	752	2,003	2,754	755
9	2,275	1,148	1,134	2,282	1,159	1,141	2,300	1,169	1,143	2,312	1,173	1,135	2,308	1,174
10	1,045	699	346	1,045	701	350	1,051	706	406	1,112	708	707	1,416	711
11	2,339	359	1,978	2,336	372	2,002	2,374	377	2,008	2,385	377	2,002	2,378	377
12a	2,355	1,086	1,298	2,383	1,097	1,318	2,413	1,104	1,322	2,426	-	-	-	-
12b	1,079	152	911	1,063	152	934	1,085	153	934	1,087	-	-	-	-
13	4,410	1,273	3,092	4,365	1,278	3,155	4,433	1,279	3,155	4,435	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	32,102	14,619	17,461	32,080	14,698	17,692	32,390	14,769	17,781	32,549	12,270	12,496	24,765	12,301
Upper Keys														
14	7,968	4,905	3,132	8,037	4,941	3,159	8,100	5,008	3,198	8,203	-	-	-	-
15	4,635	2,925	1,721	4,646	2,947	1,740	4,687	2,952	1,742	4,694	2,961	1,730	4,691	2,968
16	6,849	2,331	4,515	6,846	2,338	4,545	6,883	2,341	4,549	6,890	2,346	4,505	6,851	2,349
17	5,458	2,815	2,582	5,498	2,966	2,640	5,606	3,004	2,655	5,659	3,049	2,593	5,642	3,075
18	8,915	4,408	4,526	8,934	4,431	4,555	8,986	4,462	4,566	9,028	4,484	4,531	9,014	4,501
19-20	5,038	2,693	2,362	5,055	2,713	2,378	5,091	2,727	2,384	5,111	2,738	2,376	5,113	2,751
21	4,548	1,572	2,983	4,555	1,587	3,016	4,603	1,596	3,029	4,626	1,619	3,039	4,658	1,642
22	166	63	104	166	63	104	166	64	104	168	64	104	168	64
Subtotal	43,576	21,811	21,926	43,737	21,986	22,136	44,121	22,154	22,225	44,378	17,261	18,877	36,138	17,350
TOTAL	107,607	56,282	51,758	108,040	56,816	52,305	109,121	57,243	52,546	109,788	50,016	43,924	93,939	50,236
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,590	8,411	16,001	7,641
City of Layton	351	197	157	354	198	159	357	201	160	361	203	158	361	206
City of Key Colony B.	2,572	1,045	1,561	2,606	1,050	1,571	2,621	1,058	1,583	2,641	1,074	1,597	2,671	1,089
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	43,491	25,466	17,790	43,256	25,572	18,216	43,787	25,677	18,233	43,910	25,783	17,365	43,148	25,942
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	154,022	82,990	71,266	154,255	83,635	72,251	155,886	84,179	72,521	156,700	84,665	71,454	156,120	85,114

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department. See Footnotes for sources.

Functional Population

PAED	1999 Seasonal Population	1999 Functional Population	2000 Permanent Resident Population	2000 Seasonal Population	2000 Functional Population	2001 Permanent Resident Population	2001 Seasonal Population	2001 Functional Population	2002 Permanent Resident Population	2002 Seasonal Population	2002 Functional Population	2003 Permanent Resident Population	2003 Seasonal Population	2003 Functional Population
Lower Keys														
1	1,262	6,001	4,730	1,283	6,013	4,741	1,284	6,025	4,752	1,284	6,037	4,763	1,285	6,048
2	1,276	4,595	3,333	1,282	4,615	3,346	1,284	4,630	3,359	1,286	4,645	3,372	1,288	4,660
3	1,721	3,861	2,183	1,731	3,914	2,206	1,734	3,941	2,230	1,736	3,967	2,253	1,741	3,994
4a	3,135	8,050	4,975	3,178	8,154	5,035	3,209	8,244	5,095	3,239	8,334	5,155	3,270	8,424
4b	22	79	58	23	81	59	24	83	61	24	85	63	25	87
5	3,268	8,243	5,022	3,289	8,311	5,069	3,304	8,373	5,117	3,318	8,435	5,165	3,332	8,497
6	1,883	2,323	440	1,893	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,883	2,323
Subtotal	12,587	33,171	20,741	12,669	33,410	20,897	12,721	33,618	21,053	12,773	33,826	21,210	12,824	34,034
Middle Keys														
7	6,861	16,165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	2,013	2,768	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	1,137	2,311	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	743	1,454	719	835	1,553	728	902	1,629	734	970	1,704	742	972	1,714
11	2,002	2,379	379	2,011	2,391	382	2,015	2,397	385	2,019	2,404	387	2,023	2,410
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	12,775	25,077	1,098	2,846	3,944	1,108	2,917	4,026	1,119	2,989	4,108	1,129	2,995	4,124
Upper Keys														
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,736	4,704	2,991	1,759	4,749	3,013	1,770	4,783	3,036	1,781	4,816	3,058	1,792	4,850
16	4,515	6,864	2,352	4,554	6,905	2,354	4,556	6,911	2,357	4,559	6,918	2,360	4,622	6,981
17	2,612	5,687	3,100	2,683	5,783	3,126	2,694	5,819	3,151	2,704	5,855	3,177	2,714	5,891
18	4,542	9,043	4,516	4,580	9,097	4,532	4,586	9,118	4,546	4,592	9,140	4,564	4,598	9,161
19-20	2,384	5,135	2,764	2,400	5,163	2,776	2,405	5,182	2,789	2,411	5,200	2,801	2,417	5,218
21	3,075	4,716	1,647	3,101	4,748	1,653	3,109	4,762	1,659	3,118	4,776	1,665	3,126	4,791
22	104	168	65	104	169	65	104	169	65	104	169	65	104	169
Subtotal	18,967	36,317	17,435	19,180	36,615	17,619	19,224	36,744	17,604	19,269	36,872	17,689	19,372	37,061
TOTAL	44,329	94,565	39,273	34,696	73,969	39,525	34,863	74,387	39,776	35,030	74,806	40,027	35,192	75,219
Village of Islamorada	8,467	16,108	7,665	8,644	16,309	7,695	8,662	16,357	7,725	8,680	16,406	7,755	8,699	16,454
City of Layton	159	365	208	163	372	211	165	375	213	166	379	216	167	383
City of Key Colony B.	1,619	2,708	1,101	1,641	2,742	1,113	1,657	2,770	1,125	1,674	2,798	1,136	1,690	2,827
City of Marathon	-	-	11,272	10,182	21,455	11,312	10,195	21,507	11,352	10,208	21,560	11,391	10,221	21,612
City of Key West (p)	17,483	43,426	26,102	18,165	44,267	26,261	18,182	44,443	26,421	18,198	44,619	26,524	18,209	44,733
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	72,058	157,172	85,622	73,491	159,113	86,117	73,723	159,840	86,612	73,956	160,568	87,050	74,177	161,227

PAED	2004 Permanent Resident Population	2004 Seasonal Population	2004 Functional Population	2005 Permanent Resident Population	2005 Seasonal Population	2005 Functional Population	2006 Permanent Resident Population	2006 Seasonal Population	2006 Functional Population	2007 Permanent Resident Population	2007 Seasonal Population	2007 Functional Population	2008 Permanent Resident Population	2008 Seasonal Population
Lower Keys														
1	4,779	1,286	6,065	4,791	1,287	6,077	4,797	1,287	6,085	4,799	1,289	6,088	4,796	1,290
2	3,390	1,291	4,681	3,406	1,293	4,699	3,418	1,295	4,713	3,426	1,297	4,723	3,431	1,299
3	2,288	1,746	4,034	2,321	1,751	4,072	2,353	1,756	4,109	2,382	1,762	4,144	2,410	1,767
4a	5,249	3,318	8,567	5,339	3,364	8,704	5,426	3,409	8,835	5,509	3,452	8,961	5,588	3,492
4b	65	26	91	68	27	95	71	28	99	73	29	103	76	30
5	5,233	3,352	8,586	5,297	3,372	8,669	5,357	3,389	8,747	5,412	3,407	8,820	5,463	3,424
6	440	1,693	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	439	1,883	2,322	437	1,885	2,322	436	1,887
Subtotal	21,445	12,903	34,347	21,662	12,977	34,639	21,860	13,048	34,908	22,040	13,121	35,160	22,199	13,189
Middle Keys														
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	749	975	1,724	756	977	1,732	762	979	1,740	767	981	1,748	771	983
11	390	2,027	2,416	392	2,030	2,422	393	2,033	2,426	395	2,036	2,431	396	2,039
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	1,139	3,002	4,140	1,147	3,007	4,154	1,155	3,011	4,166	1,161	3,017	4,179	1,167	3,022
Upper Keys														
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	3,098	1,810	4,906	3,131	1,827	4,958	3,163	1,843	5,006	3,193	1,857	5,050	3,219	1,871
16	2,365	4,627	6,992	2,368	4,631	6,999	2,369	4,632	7,000	2,367	4,632	6,999	2,363	4,630
17	3,232	2,736	5,968	3,285	2,757	6,042	3,335	2,778	6,112	3,382	2,798	6,180	3,427	2,817
18	4,596	4,609	9,205	4,624	4,620	9,244	4,648	4,628	9,276	4,667	4,636	9,305	4,682	4,647
19-20	2,826	2,429	5,255	2,849	2,439	5,288	2,869	2,449	5,317	2,886	2,458	5,344	2,900	2,465
21	1,675	3,142	4,818	1,685	3,156	4,840	1,692	3,167	4,859	1,698	3,176	4,875	1,703	3,183
22	65	104	170	66	105	170	66	105	170	66	105	171	66	105
Subtotal	17,856	19,458	37,314	18,007	19,534	37,541	18,142	19,600	37,742	18,260	19,664	37,924	18,361	19,718
TOTAL	40,439	35,362	75,801	40,816	35,518	76,334	41,157	35,659	76,816	41,461	35,802	77,263	41,726	35,929
Village of Islamorada	7,830	8,719	16,549	7,897	8,735	16,632	7,957	8,746	16,703	8,010	8,756	16,765	8,055	8,761
City of Layton	219	168	386	221	169	390	223	170	393	225	171	396	226	172
City of Key Colony B.	1,149	1,707	2,856	1,160	1,723	2,883	1,170	1,737	2,907	1,179	1,750	2,929	1,187	1,761
City of Marathon	11,441	10,231	21,673	11,480	10,238	21,718	11,508	10,241	21,749	11,524	10,247	21,771	11,529	10,250
City of Key West (p)	26,628	17,343	43,970	26,731	17,354	44,085	26,793	17,360	44,153	26,855	17,381	44,236	26,917	17,402
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	87,705	73,531	161,235	88,305	73,737	162,041	88,808	73,914	162,722	89,253	74,108	163,361	89,640	74,275

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department. See Footnotes for sources.

Funclional Population

PAED	2008 Functional Population	2009 Permanent Resident Population	2009 Seasonal Population	2009 Functional Population	2010 Permanent Resident Population	2010 Seasonal Population	2010 Functional Population	2011 Permanent Resident Population	2011 Seasonal Population	2011 Functional Population	2012 Permanent Resident Population	2012 Seasonal Population	2012 Functional Population	2013 Permanent Resident Population
Lower Keys														
1	6,086	4,788	1,291	6,079	4,775	1,291	6,067	4,758	1,292	6,050	4,736	1,293	6,029	4,709
2	4,730	3,433	1,300	4,732	3,431	1,300	4,731	3,426	1,300	4,726	3,417	1,300	4,717	3,405
3	4,177	2,435	1,772	4,207	2,458	1,777	4,236	2,479	1,782	4,261	2,498	1,786	4,284	2,514
4a	9,080	5,662	3,531	9,193	5,732	3,567	9,299	5,797	3,601	9,397	5,856	3,632	9,488	5,911
4b	106	79	31	110	82	33	114	85	34	118	89	35	123	91
5	8,887	5,508	3,439	8,947	5,548	3,453	9,001	5,583	3,465	9,048	5,613	3,476	9,088	5,637
6	2,322	433	1,889	2,322	431	1,891	2,321	428	1,892	2,320	424	1,894	2,319	421
Subtotal	35,388	22,339	13,253	35,592	22,468	13,312	35,770	22,555	13,368	35,922	22,632	13,415	36,047	22,687
Middle Keys														
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	1,754	775	985	1,760	778	987	1,765	780	988	1,768	781	990	1,771	782
11	2,434	396	2,041	2,437	396	2,043	2,439	396	2,044	2,440	395	2,044	2,440	394
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	4,189	1,171	3,026	4,197	1,174	3,030	4,204	1,176	3,032	4,208	1,177	3,034	4,211	1,176
Upper Keys														
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	5,090	3,243	1,882	5,125	3,264	1,893	5,156	3,281	1,901	5,182	3,295	1,909	5,204	3,306
16	6,993	2,357	4,625	6,981	2,348	4,818	6,965	2,337	4,608	6,945	2,323	4,596	6,919	2,306
17	6,245	3,470	2,836	6,305	3,509	2,853	6,362	3,545	2,869	6,414	3,578	2,883	6,461	3,608
18	9,328	4,692	4,653	9,345	4,697	4,858	9,355	4,697	4,861	9,359	4,693	4,683	9,358	4,685
19-20	5,366	2,912	2,472	5,384	2,921	2,477	5,398	2,927	2,481	5,408	2,930	2,484	5,413	2,930
21	4,886	1,705	3,188	4,893	1,706	3,190	4,896	1,705	3,189	4,895	1,703	3,187	4,890	1,699
22	171	66	105	171	66	105	171	66	105	171	65	105	171	65
Subtotal	38,078	18,444	19,761	38,205	18,510	19,793	38,303	18,558	19,815	38,373	18,588	19,826	38,414	18,600
TOTAL	77,656	41,954	36,040	77,994	42,142	36,135	78,277	42,290	36,213	78,503	42,397	36,275	78,672	42,464
Village of Islamorada	16,816	8,092	8,762	16,853	8,121	8,758	16,878	8,142	8,749	16,891	8,155	8,735	16,890	8,180
City of Layton	399	228	173	401	229	174	403	230	174	405	231	175	406	232
City of Key Colony B.	2,948	1,194	1,771	2,965	1,200	1,779	2,978	1,204	1,785	2,989	1,207	1,790	2,997	1,210
City of Marathon	21,778	11,522	10,249	21,770	11,503	10,244	21,747	11,473	10,236	21,709	11,432	10,224	21,656	11,379
City of Key West (p)	44,319	28,979	17,423	44,402	27,041	17,444	44,485	27,103	17,465	44,568	27,165	17,486	44,651	27,227
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	163,916	89,968	74,417	164,385	90,236	74,533	164,769	90,442	74,622	165,064	90,588	74,685	165,272	90,671

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department. See Footnotes for sources.

PAED	2013 Seasonal Population	2013 Functional Population	2014 Permanent Resident Population	2014 Seasonal Population	2014 Functional Population	2015 Permanent Resident Population	2015 Seasonal Population	2015 Functional Population
Lower Keys								
1	1,293	6,002	4,678	1,293	5,971	4,642	1,293	5,936
2	1,299	4,704	3,390	1,298	4,688	3,371	1,296	4,667
3	1,790	4,304	2,528	1,793	4,322	2,540	1,797	4,336
4a	3,660	9,571	5,960	3,686	9,645	6,003	3,709	9,712
4b	36	127	94	37	131	96	38	135
5	3,484	9,121	5,655	3,492	9,147	5,668	3,497	9,166
6	1,896	2,317	416	1,898	2,315	412	1,900	2,312
Subtotal	13,459	36,146	22,721	13,497	36,218	22,733	13,530	36,263
Middle Keys								
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	990	1,773	782	991	1,773	781	992	1,773
11	2,044	2,438	393	2,043	2,436	391	2,042	2,433
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	3,035	4,211	1,175	3,034	4,209	1,172	3,034	4,206
Upper Keys								
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,914	5,221	3,314	1,918	5,232	3,318	1,921	5,239
16	4,581	6,889	2,280	4,564	6,854	2,270	4,545	6,815
17	2,897	6,504	3,634	2,909	6,543	3,657	2,919	6,576
18	4,662	9,347	4,671	4,660	9,331	4,653	4,657	9,310
19-20	2,485	5,414	2,927	2,484	5,411	2,921	2,483	5,404
21	3,181	4,880	1,693	3,173	4,866	1,685	3,163	4,849
22	105	171	65	106	170	64	106	170
Subtotal	19,826	38,426	18,694	19,815	38,408	18,569	19,793	38,362
TOTAL	**36,319**	**78,783**	**42,489**	**36,347**	**78,836**	**42,474**	**36,357**	**78,831**
Village of Islamorada	8,717	16,877	8,157	8,694	16,851	8,145	8,667	16,812
City of Layton	175	407	232	175	407	232	175	408
City of Key Colony B.	1,793	3,003	1,211	1,794	3,005	1,210	1,794	3,004
City of Marathon	10,210	21,589	11,318	10,191	21,507	11,241	10,170	21,411
City of Key West (p)	17,507	44,734	27,289	17,528	44,817	27,351	17,549	44,900
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**74,720**	**165,392**	**90,693**	**74,730**	**165,423**	**90,654**	**74,712**	**165,366**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department. See Footnotes for sources.

Appendix 4

Summary Table of Permanent Resident Population Figures by PAED from 1990 to 2015

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
PAED	1990 Census Tract (s)	1990 Census Block Groups	April 1, 1990 STF1A Total Population	April 1, 1990 STF1A Total Housing Units	April 1, 1990 STF 1A Total Occupied Housing Units	April 1, 1990 STF 1A Total Owner-Occupied Housing Units	April 1, 1990 STF 1A Total Renter-Occupied Housing Units	April 1, 1990 STF 1A Number of Vacant Housing Units	April 1, 1990 Housing Unit Percent Vacant (9 / 5)	April 1, 1990 STF 1A Total Number of Seasonal Units	April 1, 1990 Percent of Total Units Used as Seasonal (11 / 5)	Persons per Total Housing Units (l) (4 / 5)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 4/2/90 - 12/31/90 Based on # of CO's Issued
Lower Keys													
1	9718 & 9719 pt.	N/A	4,539	1,944	1,778	1,137	641	166	8.5%	43	2.2%	2.33	9
2	9717	N/A	3,108	1,352	1,098	686	412	254	18.8%	142	10.5%	2.30	14
3	9716	N/A	1,786	869	728	553	175	141	16.2%	86	9.9%	2.06	28
4a	9715	N/A	3,952	2,723	1,784	1,408	376	939	34.5%	654	24.0%	1.45	84
4b	9714 pt.	BG 4 (a)	31	27	15	13	2	12	44.4%	4	14.8%	1.15	5
5	9714 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4,5 (a)	4,206	2,453	1,799	1,385	414	654	26.7%	402	16.4%	1.71	85
6	9714 pt. & 9713 pt.	9714 BG 1 (b)	440	33	31	30	1	2	6.1%	0	0.0%	13.33	0
Subtotal	-	-	18,062	9,401	7,233	5,212	2,021	2,168	23.1%	1,331	14.2%	1.92	225
Middle Keys													
7	9713, 9712, & 9711	N/A	8,864	5,212	3,955	2,590	1,365	1,257	24.1%	777	14.9%	1.70	40
8	9710 pt.	BG 3 (c)	697	575	330	392	183	245	42.6%	197	34.3%	1.21	8
9	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	1,086	665	462	519	146	203	30.5%	90	13.5%	1.63	9
10	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	629	491	341	383	108	150	30.5%	66	13.4%	1.28	19
11	9710 pt.	BG 1(e)	358	412	185	324	88	227	55.1%	176	42.7%	0.86	1
12a	9709 pt.	BG 5	956	855	458	355	103	397	46.4%	292	34.2%	1.12	18
12b	9709 pt.	BG 1,2 (f)	140	130	81	58	23	49	37.7%	38	29.2%	1.08	0
13	9709 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4 (f)	1,220	966	646	389	257	320	33.1%	220	22.8%	1.26	5
Subtotal	-	-	13,948	9,306	6,458	5,010	2,273	2,848	30.6%	1,856	19.9%	1.50	100
Upper Keys													
14	9708	N/A	4,405	2,961	1,937	1,457	480	1,024	34.6%	777	26.2%	1.49	73
15	9707	N/A	2,433	1,623	1,109	726	383	514	31.7%	383	23.6%	1.50	118
16	9708	N/A	2,287	1,987	1,061	800	281	926	46.6%	793	39.9%	1.15	3
17	9705	N/A	2,465	1,565	1,077	714	363	488	31.2%	319	20.4%	1.58	50
18	9704	N/A	4,127	2,440	1,737	1,313	424	703	28.8%	489	20.0%	1.69	21
19-20 (g)	9703	N/A	2,457	1,602	1,070	814	256	532	33.2%	371	23.2%	1.53	24
21	9702.98 pt.	BG 1,2,3 (h)	1,505	1,597	672	570	110	925	57.9%	706	44.2%	0.94	26
22	9701 pt.& 9702.98 pt.	BG 1 (h)	61	36	36	23	5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.69	0
Subtotal	-	-	19,740	13,811	8,699	6,417	2,282	5,112	37.0%	3,838	27.8%	1.43	315
UNINC. TOTAL	-	-	51,750	32,518	22,390	16,639	6,576	10,128	31.1%	7,025	21.6%	1.59	640
Village of Islamorada	9709	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	9710	BG 1	183	145	77	48	29	68	46.9%	28	19.3%	1.26	2
City of Key Colony B.	9710	BG 3	977	1,152	518	318	137	634	55.0%	441	38.3%	0.85	14
City of Marathon	9710-9713	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	9719-9726	N/A	24,832	12,221	10,424	4,384	6,040	1,797	14.7%	440	3.6%	2.03	96
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	-	-	77,742	46,036	33,409	21,389	12,782	12,627	27.4%	7,934	17.2%	1.69	752

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Certificate of Occupancy Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments; Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

Permanent Residents

1	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
PAED	December 31, 1990 Total Housing Units (5 + 14)	December 31, 1990 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 15)	DECEMBER 31, 1990 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 15)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/91 - 12/31/91 Based on # of CO's Issued	December 31, 1991 Total Housing Units (15 + 18 (	December 31, 1991 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 19 (	DECEMBER 31, 1991 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 19)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/92 - 12/31/92 Based on # of CO's Issued	December 31, 1992 Total Housing Units (19 + 22)	December 31, 1992 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 23)	DECEMBER 31, 1992 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 23)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/93 - 12/31/93 Based on # of CO's Issued
Lower Keys												
1	1,953	43	4,560	9	1,962	43	4,581	7	1,969	44	4,597	3
2	1,366	143	3,140	17	1,363	145	3,179	7	1,390	146	3,195	5
3	897	89	1,844	16	913	90	1,876	19	932	92	1,915	24
4a	2,807	674	4,074	93	2,900	697	4,209	67	2,967	713	4,306	72
4b	32	5	37	4	36	5	41	2	38	6	44	3
5	2,536	416	4,352	76	2,614	428	4,482	50	2,684	437	4,568	74
6	33	0	440	0	33	0	440	0	33	0	440	0
Subtotal	9,626	1,370	18,446	215	9,841	1,409	18,809	152	9,993	1,437	19,066	181
Middle Keys												
7	5,252	783	8,932	29	5,281	787	8,981	35	5,316	793	9,041	23
8	583	200	707	6	589	202	714	4	593	203	719	5
9	674	91	1,101	8	682	92	1,114	3	685	93	1,119	10
10	510	69	653	8	518	70	664	8	526	71	674	9
11	413	176	357	0	413	176	357	1	414	177	358	1
12a	873	298	976	26	899	307	1,006	32	931	318	1,041	12
12b	130	38	140	9	139	41	150	0	139	41	150	0
13	971	221	1,226	12	983	224	1,241	7	990	225	1,250	13
Subtotal	9,406	1,876	14,092	98	9,504	1,899	14,226	90	9,594	1,920	14,351	73
Upper Keys												
14	3,034	796	4,514	91	3,125	820	4,649	61	3,186	838	4,740	43
15	1,741	411	2,610	69	1,810	427	2,713	17	1,827	431	2,739	58
16	1,990	794	2,290	6	1,996	797	2,297	6	2,002	799	2,304	5
17	1,615	329	2,544	45	1,660	338	2,615	42	1,702	347	2,681	80
18	2,461	493	4,163	26	2,487	498	4,206	16	2,503	502	4,234	76
19-20 (g)	1,626	377	2,494	53	1,679	389	2,675	33	1,712	396	2,626	26
21	1,623	717	1,530	14	1,637	724	1,543	11	1,648	729	1,553	10
22	36	0	61	0	36	0	61	0	36	0	61	1
Subtotal	14,126	3,918	20,205	304	14,430	3,993	20,660	186	14,616	4,040	20,937	299
UNINC. TOTAL	33,158	7,164	52,743	617	33,775	7,301	53,694	428	34,203	7,396	54,354	553
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	147	28	186	3	150	29	189	1	151	29	191	1
City of Key Colony B.	1,166	446	989	5	1,171	448	993	15	1,186	454	1,006	20
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	12,317	443	24,938	96	12,413	447	25,043	96	12,509	450	25,149	96
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	46,788	8,082	78,855	721	47,509	8,225	79,920	540	48,049	8,330	80,699	670

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Certificate of Occupancy Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments, Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

1	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38
PAED	December 31, 1993 Total Housing Units (23 + 26)	December 31, 1993 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 27)	DECEMBER 31, 1993 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 27)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/94 - 12/31/94 Based on # of CO's Issued	December 31, 1994 Total Housing Units (27 + 30)	December 31, 1994 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 31)	DECEMBER 31, 1994 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 31)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/95 - 12/31/95 Based on # of CO's Issued	December 31, 1995 Total Housing Units (31 + 34)	December 31, 1995 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 35)	DECEMBER 31, 1995 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 35)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/96 - 12/31/96 Based on # of CO's Issued
Lower Keys												
1	1,972	44	4,604	7	1,979	44	4,621	3	1,982	44	4,628	13
2	1,395	147	3,207	15	1,410	148	3,241	6	1,416	149	3,255	11
3	956	95	1,965	20	976	97	2,006	16	992	96	2,039	20
4a	3,039	730	4,411	64	3,103	745	4,604	75	3,178	763	4,612	70
4b	41	6	47	1	42	6	48	1	43	6	49	1
5	2,738	449	4,695	41	2,779	455	4,765	37	2,816	461	4,828	47
6	33	0	440	0	33	0	440	0	33	0	440	0
Subtotal	**10,174**	**1,468**	**19,368**	**148**	**10,322**	**1,495**	**19,625**	**138**	**10,460**	**1,622**	**19,852**	**162**
Middle Keys												
7	5,339	796	9,080	21	5,360	799	8,116	29	5,389	803	9,165	18
8	598	205	726	5	603	207	731	5	608	208	737	5
9	695	94	1,135	3	698	94	1,140	5	703	95	1,148	7
10	535	72	685	9	544	73	697	2	546	73	699	1
11	415	177	359	0	415	177	359	0	415	177	359	16
12a	943	322	1,054	12	955	326	1,068	16	971	332	1,086	10
12b	139	41	160	0	139	41	150	2	141	41	152	0
13	1,003	228	1,267	1	1,004	229	1,268	4	1,008	230	1,273	4
Subtotal	**9,667**	**1,936**	**14,455**	**51**	**9,718**	**1,946**	**14,528**	**63**	**9,781**	**1,960**	**14,619**	**61**
Upper Keys												
14	3,229	847	4,804	35	3,264	857	4,866	33	3,297	865	4,905	24
15	1,885	445	2,826	58	1,943	459	2,913	8	1,951	460	2,925	15
16	2,007	801	2,310	11	2,018	805	2,323	7	2,025	808	2,331	6
17	1,782	363	2,807	36	1,818	371	2,863	33	1,851	377	2,915	32
18	2,579	517	4,362	11	2,590	519	4,381	16	2,606	522	4,408	14
19-20 (g)	1,738	402	2,666	8	1,746	404	2,678	10	1,756	407	2,693	13
21	1,658	733	1,562	3	1,661	734	1,565	7	1,668	737	1,572	16
22	37	0	63	0	37	0	63	0	37	0	63	0
Subtotal	**14,915**	**4,109**	**21,399**	**162**	**15,077**	**4,149**	**21,641**	**114**	**15,191**	**4,177**	**21,811**	**120**
UNINC. TOTAL	**34,756**	**7,513**	**55,222**	**361**	**35,117**	**7,590**	**55,793**	**315**	**35,432**	**7,659**	**56,282**	**343**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	152	29	192	2	154	30	194	2	156	30	197	1
City of Key Colony B.	1,206	462	1,023	8	1,214	465	1,030	18	1,232	472	1,045	6
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	12,605	454	25,255	96	12,701	457	25,360	96	12,797	461	25,466	96
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**48,719**	**8,458**	**81,691**	**467**	**49,186**	**8,542**	**82,378**	**431**	**49,617**	**8,622**	**82,990**	**446**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Certificate of Occupancy Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments; Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

Permanent Residents

1	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
PAED	December 31, 1996 Total Housing Units (35 + 38)	December 31, 1996 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 39)	DECEMBER 31, 1996 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 39)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/97 - 12/31/97 Based on # of CO's Issued	December 31, 1997 Total Housing Units (39 + 42)	December 31, 1997 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 43)	DECEMBER 31, 1997 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 43)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/98 - 12/31/98 Based on # of CO's Issued	December 31, 1998 Total Housing Units (43 + 46)	December 31, 1998 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 47)	DECEMBER 31, 1998 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 47)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/99 - 12/31/99 Based on # of CO's Issued (k)
Lower Keys												
1	1,995	44	4,658	11	2,006	44	4,684	10	2,016	45	4,707	5
2	1,427	150	3,280	7	1,434	151	3,297	7	1,441	151	3,313	3
3	1,012	100	2,080	12	1,024	101	2,105	14	1,038	103	2,133	13
4a	3,248	780	4,714	62	3,310	795	4,804	47	3,357	806	4,872	30
4b	44	7	51	4	48	7	55	1	49	7	56	0
5	2,863	469	4,909	16	2,879	472	4,936	16	2,895	474	4,964	6
6	33	0	440	0	33	0	440	0	33	0	440	0
Subtotal	10,622	1,550	20,132	112	10,734	1,570	20,320	95	10,829	1,567	20,485	57
Middle Keys												
7	5,407	806	9,196	22	5,429	809	9,233	16	5,445	812	9,260	14
8	613	210	743	4	617	211	748	3	620	212	752	3
9	710	96	1,159	6	716	97	1,169	2	718	97	1,173	1
10	547	74	701	4	551	74	706	2	553	74	708	2
11	431	184	372	5	436	186	377	0	436	186	377	0
12a	981	335	1,097	6	987	337	1,104	-	-	-	-	-
12b	141	41	152	1	142	42	153	-	-	-	-	-
13	1,012	230	1,278	1	1,013	231	1,279	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	9,842	1,977	14,698	49	9,891	1,987	14,769	23	7,772	1,382	12,270	20
Upper Keys												
14	3,321	871	4,941	45	3,366	883	5,008	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,966	464	2,947	3	1,969	465	2,952	6	1,975	466	2,961	5
16	2,031	811	2,338	3	2,034	812	2,341	4	2,038	813	2,346	3
17	1,883	384	2,966	24	1,907	389	3,004	29	1,936	395	3,049	16
18	2,620	525	4,431	18	2,638	529	4,462	13	2,651	531	4,484	10
19-20 (g)	1,769	410	2,713	9	1,778	412	2,727	7	1,785	413	2,738	9
21	1,684	744	1,587	10	1,694	749	1,596	24	1,718	759	1,619	24
22	37	0	63	1	38	0	64	0	38	0	64	0
Subtotal	15,311	4,209	21,986	113	15,424	4,238	22,154	83	12,141	3,378	17,261	87
UNINC. TOTAL	**35,775**	**7,736**	**56,816**	**274**	**36,049**	**7,795**	**57,243**	**201**	**30,742**	**6,347**	**50,016**	**144**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34	5,542	1,497	7,590	30
City of Layton	157	30	198	2	159	31	201	2	161	31	203	2
City of Key Colony B.	1,238	474	1,050	10	1,248	478	1,058	18	1,266	485	1,074	18
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	12,893	464	25,572	96	12,989	468	25,677	96	13,085	471	25,783	81
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**50,063**	**8,704**	**83,635**	**382**	**50,445**	**8,771**	**84,179**	**351**	**50,796**	**8,831**	**84,665**	**275**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments; Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

Permanent Residents

1	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62
PAED	December 31, 1999 Total Housing Units (47 + 50)	December 31, 1999 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 61)	DECEMBER 31, 1999 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (k) (13 x 61)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Built from 4/2/90 to 12/31/99 (14+18+22+26+30+34+38+42+46+50)	Historical Percentage of Regional Total of Dwelling Units Built (54 / Subtotal)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/00 - 12/31/00 Based on # of Permits Available (l)	December 31, 2000 Total Housing Units (51 + 56)	December 31, 2000 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 57)	DECEMBER 31, 2000 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (k) (13 x 57)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/01 - 12/31/01 Based on # of Permits Available (l)	December 31, 2001 Total Housing Units (57 + 60)	December 31, 2001 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 61)
Lower Keys												
1	2,021	45	4,719	77	5.2%	5	2,026	45	4,730	5	2,031	45
2	1,444	152	3,319	92	6.2%	6	1,450	152	3,333	6	1,455	153
3	1,051	104	2,160	182	12.3%	11	1,062	105	2,183	11	1,074	106
4a	3,387	813	4,916	664	44.7%	41	3,428	823	4,975	41	3,469	833
4b	49	7	56	22	1.5%	1	50	7	58	1	52	8
5	2,901	475	4,974	448	30.2%	28	2,929	480	5,022	28	2,957	485
8	33	0	440	0	0.0%	0	33	0	440	0	33	0
Subtotal	**10,886**	**1,597**	**20,584**	**1,485**	**100.0%**	**92**	**10,978**	**1,613**	**20,741**	**92**	**11,070**	**1,629**
Middle Keys												
7	5,459	814	9,284	247	56.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	623	213	755	48	11.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	719	97	1,174	54	12.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	555	75	711	64	14.6%	6	561	75	719	6	567	76
11	438	186	377	24	5.5%	3	439	188	379	3	442	189
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**7,792**	**1,385**	**12,301**	**437**	**100.0%**	**9**	**1,000**	**263**	**1,098**	**9**	**1,009**	**265**
Upper Keys												
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,980	467	2,968	357	26.3%	15	1,995	471	2,991	15	2,010	474
16	2,041	815	2,349	54	4.0%	2	2,043	815	2,352	2	2,046	816
17	1,952	398	3,075	387	28.5%	18	1,968	401	3,100	16	1,984	405
18	2,881	533	4,501	221	16.3%	9	2,670	535	4,516	9	2,680	537
19-20 (g)	1,794	415	2,751	192	14.1%	8	1,802	417	2,764	8	1,810	419
21	1,742	770	1,642	145	10.7%	8	1,748	773	1,647	6	1,754	775
22	38	0	64	2	0.1%	0	38	0	65	0	38	0
Subtotal	**12,208**	**3,399**	**17,350**	**1,358**	**100.0%**	**57**	**12,265**	**3,413**	**17,435**	**57**	**12,322**	**3,427**
UNINC. TOTAL	**30,886**	**6,381**	**50,236**	**3,280**	**100.0%**	**158**	**24,243**	**5,289**	**39,273**	**158**	**24,401**	**5,321**
Village of Islamorada	5,580	1,507	7,641	668	100.0%	22	5,602	1,513	7,665	22	5,624	1,519
City of Layton	163	31	206	18	100.0%	2	165	32	208	2	167	32
City of Key Colony B.	1,284	492	1,089	132	100.0%	14	1,298	497	1,101	14	1,312	502
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	24	6,831	1,127	11,272	24	6,855	1,130
City of Key West (p)	13,168	474	25,942	945	100.0%	81	13,247	477	26,102	81	13,328	480
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**51,079**	**8,885**	**85,114**	**5,043**	**100.0%**	**301**	**51,386**	**8,934**	**85,622**	**301**	**51,687**	**8,986**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Certificate of Occupancy Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments; Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

Permanent Residents

1	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73
PAED	DECEMBER 31, 2001 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (k) (13 x 61)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/02 - 12/31/02 Based on # of Permits Available (l)	December 31, 2002 Total Housing Units (61 + 64)	December 31, 2002 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 a 65)	DECEMBER 31, 2002 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (k) (13 x 65)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/03 - 12/31/03 Based on # of Permits Available (l)	December 31, 2003 Total Housing Units (65 + 68)	December 31, 2003 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 a 69)	DECEMBER 31, 2003 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (k) (13 x 69)	% Increase in Population from 12/31/90 to 12/31/91 ((21 - 17) / 17)	% Increase in Population from 12/31/91 to 12/31/92 ((25 - 21) / 21)
Lower Keys											
1	4,741	5	2,035	45	4,752	5	2,040	45	4,763	0.46%	0.36%
2	3,346	6	1,461	153	3,359	6	1,467	154	3,372	1.24%	0.51%
3	2,206	11	1,085	107	2,230	11	1,096	108	2,253	1.78%	2.08%
4a	5,035	41	3,510	843	5,095	41	3,552	853	5,155	3.31%	2.31%
4b	59	1	53	8	61	1	54	8	63	12.50%	5.56%
5	5,069	26	2,984	489	5,117	28	3,012	494	5,165	2.99%	1.91%
6	440	0	33	0	440	0	33	0	440	0.00%	0.00%
Subtotal	20,897	92	11,162	1,646	21,053	92	11,254	1,662	21,210	1.97%	1.37%
Middle Keys											
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.55%	0.66%
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.03%	0.88%
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.19%	0.44%
10	725	6	573	77	734	6	579	78	742	1.57%	1.54%
11	382	3	445	190	385	3	448	191	387	0.00%	0.24%
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.98%	3.56%
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.92%	0.00%
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.24%	0.71%
Subtotal	1,108	9	1,018	267	1,119	9	1,027	269	1,129	0.95%	0.88%
Upper Keys											
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.00%	1.95%
15	3,013	15	2,025	478	3,036	15	2,040	481	3,058	3.96%	0.94%
16	2,354	2	2,048	817	2,357	2	2,050	818	2,360	0.30%	0.30%
17	3,126	16	2,001	408	3,161	16	2,017	411	3,177	2.79%	2.53%
18	4,532	9	2,689	539	4,548	9	2,698	541	4,564	1.06%	0.64%
19-20 (g)	2,776	8	1,818	421	2,789	8	1,826	423	2,801	3.28%	1.97%
21	1,653	6	1,760	778	1,659	6	1,768	781	1,665	0.86%	0.87%
22	65	0	38	0	65	0	38	0	65	0.00%	0.00%
Subtotal	17,519	57	12,379	3,441	17,804	57	12,435	3,455	17,689	2.25%	1.34%
UNINC. TOTAL	39,525	158	24,559	5,354	39,776	158	24,717	5,387	40,027	1.80%	1.23%
Village of Islamorada	7,695	22	5,646	1,525	7,725	22	5,668	1,531	7,755	-	-
City of Layton	211	2	169	33	213	2	171	33	216	2.04%	0.67%
City of Key Colony B.	1,113	14	1,326	508	1,125	14	1,340	513	1,136	0.43%	1.28%
City of Marathon	11,312	24	6,879	1,134	11,352	24	6,903	1,138	11,391	-	-
City of Key West (p)	26,261	81	13,409	483	26,421	0	13,409	483	26,624	0.42%	0.42%
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	86,117	301	51,988	9,037	86,612	220	52,208	9,085	87,050	1.35%	0.97%

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Certificate of Occupancy Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments; Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

1	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84
PAED	% Increase in Population from 12/31/92 to 12/31/93 [(29 - 25) / 35]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/93 to 12/31/94 [(33 - 29) / 29]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/94 to 12/31/95 [(37 - 33) / 33]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/95 to 12/31/96 [(41 - 37) / 37]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/96 to 12/31/97 [(45 - 41) / 41]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/97 to 12/31/98 [(49 - 45) / 45]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/98 to 12/31/99 (m) [(53 - 49) / 49]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/99 to 12/31/00 [(58 - 53) / 53]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/00 to 12/31/01 [(63 - 58) / 58]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/01 to 12/31/02 [(67 - 43) / 43]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/02 to 12/31/03 [(71 - 47) / 67]
Lower Keys											
1	0.15%	0.35%	0.15%	0.66%	0.55%	0.50%	0.25%	0.24%	0.24%	0.23%	0.23%
2	0.36%	1.08%	0.43%	0.78%	0.49%	0.49%	0.21%	0.39%	0.39%	0.39%	0.39%
3	2.58%	2.09%	1.64%	2.02%	1.19%	1.37%	1.25%	1.07%	1.06%	1.05%	1.04%
4a	2.43%	2.11%	2.42%	2.20%	1.91%	1.42%	0.89%	1.21%	1.20%	1.19%	1.17%
4b	7.89%	2.44%	2.38%	2.33%	9.09%	2.08%	0.00%	2.78%	2.71%	2.63%	2.57%
5	2.78%	1.50%	1.33%	1.67%	0.56%	0.56%	0.21%	0.96%	0.95%	0.94%	0.93%
6	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Subtotal	**1.59%**	**1.32%**	**1.16%**	**1.41%**	**0.94%**	**0.81%**	**0.48%**	**0.76%**	**0.75%**	**0.75%**	**0.74%**
Middle Keys											
7	0.43%	0.39%	0.54%	0.33%	0.41%	0.29%	0.26%	-	-	-	-
8	0.84%	0.84%	0.83%	0.82%	0.65%	0.49%	0.48%	-	-	-	-
9	1.46%	0.43%	0.72%	1.00%	0.85%	0.28%	0.14%	-	-	-	-
10	1.71%	1.68%	0.37%	0.18%	0.73%	0.36%	0.36%	1.08%	1.07%	1.06%	1.05%
11	0.24%	0.00%	0.00%	3.86%	1.16%	0.00%	0.00%	0.69%	0.68%	0.66%	0.67%
12a	1.29%	1.27%	1.68%	1.03%	0.61%	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	0.00%	0.00%	1.44%	0.00%	0.71%	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	1.31%	0.10%	0.40%	0.40%	0.10%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**0.72%**	**0.50%**	**0.63%**	**0.54%**	**0.48%**	**0.30%**	**0.26%**	**0.92%**	**0.94%**	**0.93%**	**0.92%**
Upper Keys											
14	1.35%	1.08%	1.01%	0.73%	1.36%	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	3.17%	3.08%	0.41%	0.77%	0.15%	0.30%	0.25%	0.76%	0.75%	0.75%	0.74%
16	0.25%	0.55%	0.35%	0.30%	0.15%	0.20%	0.15%	0.11%	0.11%	0.11%	0.11%
17	4.70%	2.02%	1.82%	1.73%	1.27%	1.52%	0.83%	0.63%	0.83%	0.82%	0.81%
18	3.04%	0.43%	0.62%	0.54%	0.69%	0.49%	0.38%	0.35%	0.35%	0.35%	0.34%
19-20 (g)	1.52%	0.46%	0.57%	0.74%	0.51%	0.39%	0.50%	0.45%	0.45%	0.45%	0.44%
21	0.61%	0.18%	0.42%	0.96%	0.59%	1.42%	1.40%	0.35%	0.35%	0.35%	0.35%
22	2.78%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2.70%	0.00%	0.00%	0.22%	0.22%	0.22%	0.22%
Subtotal	**2.21%**	**1.13%**	**0.79%**	**0.80%**	**0.76%**	**0.67%**	**0.52%**	**0.10%**	**0.49%**	**0.48%**	**0.48%**
UNINC. TOTAL	**1.60%**	**1.03%**	**0.88%**	**0.95%**	**0.75%**	**0.64%**	**0.44%**	**0.64%**	**0.64%**	**0.64%**	**0.63%**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	0.61%	0.67%	0.31%	0.39%	0.39%	0.39%
City of Layton	0.66%	1.32%	1.30%	0.64%	1.27%	1.26%	1.24%	1.23%	1.21%	1.20%	1.16%
City of Key Colony B.	1.69%	0.66%	1.48%	0.49%	0.81%	1.44%	1.42%	1.09%	1.08%	1.07%	1.06%
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.44%	0.44%	0.44%	0.44%
City of Key West (p)	0.42%	0.42%	0.42%	0.41%	0.41%	0.41%	0.62%	0.61%	0.61%	0.61%	0.39%
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**1.23%**	**0.84%**	**0.74%**	**0.78%**	**0.65%**	**0.58%**	**0.53%**	**0.60%**	**0.58%**	**0.57%**	**0.51%**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Certificate of Occupancy Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments; Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

Permanent Residents

1	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94
PAED	Mean Averxge Percent Increase from 12/31/90 to 12/31/03 [(72+73+74+75+76+77+ 78+79+80+81+82+83 84) / # of Observations]	DECEMBER 31, 2004 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (n) [71 + [71 x 85]]	DECEMBER 31, 2005 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [86+[86x(85-0.10%)]	DECEMBER 31, 2006 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [87+[87x(85-0.20%)]	DECEMBER 31, 2007 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [88+[88x(85-0.30%)]	DECEMBER 31, 2008 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [89+[89x(85-0.40%)]	DECEMBER 31, 2009 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [90+[90x(85-0.50%)]	DECEMBER 31, 2010 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [91+[91x(85-0.60%)]	DECEMBER 31, 2011 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [92+[92x(85-0.70%)]	DECEMBER 31, 2012 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [93+[93x(85-0.80%)]
Lower Keys										
1	0.34%	4,779	4,791	4,797	4,799	4,796	4,788	4,775	4,758	4,738
2	0.55%	3,390	3,406	3,418	3,426	3,431	3,433	3,431	3,426	3,417
3	1.56%	2,288	2,321	2,353	2,382	2,410	2,435	2,458	2,479	2,498
4a	1.83%	5,249	5,339	5,426	5,509	5,588	5,662	5,732	5,797	5,856
4b	4.23%	65	68	71	73	76	79	82	85	88
5	1.33%	5,233	5,297	5,357	5,412	5,463	5,508	5,548	5,583	5,613
6	0.00%	440	440	439	437	436	433	431	428	424
Subtotal	1.08%	21,445	21,662	21,860	22,040	22,199	22,339	22,458	22,555	22,632
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	0.98%	749	756	762	767	771	775	778	780	781
11	0.63%	390	392	393	395	396	396	396	396	395
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	0.69%	1,139	1,147	1,155	1,161	1,167	1,171	1,174	1,176	1,177
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1.23%	3,096	3,131	3,163	3,193	3,219	3,243	3,264	3,281	3,295
16	0.23%	2,365	2,368	2,369	2,367	2,363	2,357	2,348	2,337	2,323
17	1.73%	3,232	3,285	3,335	3,382	3,427	3,470	3,509	3,545	3,578
18	0.71%	4,596	4,624	4,648	4,667	4,682	4,692	4,697	4,697	4,693
19-20 (g)	0.90%	2,826	2,849	2,869	2,886	2,900	2,912	2,921	2,927	2,930
21	0.65%	1,675	1,686	1,692	1,698	1,703	1,705	1,706	1,705	1,703
22	0.49%	65	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	65
Subtotal	0.92%	17,858	18,007	18,142	18,260	18,361	18,444	18,510	18,558	18,588
UNINC. TOTAL	**0.91%**	**40,439**	**40,816**	**41,157**	**41,461**	**41,726**	**41,954**	**42,142**	**42,290**	**42,397**
Village of Islamorada	0.96%	7,830	7,897	7,957	8,010	8,055	8,092	8,121	8,142	8,155
City of Layton	1.17%	218	221	223	225	226	228	229	230	231
City of Key Colony B.	1.08%	1,149	1,160	1,170	1,179	1,187	1,194	1,200	1,204	1,207
City of Marathon	0.44%	11,441	11,480	11,508	11,524	11,529	11,522	11,503	11,473	11,432
City of Key West (p)	0.48%	26,628	26,731	26,793	26,855	26,917	26,979	27,041	27,103	27,165
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**0.76%**	**87,705**	**88,305**	**88,808**	**89,253**	**89,640**	**89,968**	**90,236**	**90,442**	**90,588**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Certificate of Occupancy Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments; Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

1	95	96	97
PAEO	DECEMBER 31, 2013 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [94+[94x(65-0.90%)]]	DECEMBER 31, 2014 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [95+[95x(65-1.0%)]]	DECEMBER 31, 2015 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [96+[96x(65-1.1%)]]
Lower Keys			
1	4,709	4,678	4,642
2	3,405	3,390	3,371
3	2,514	2,528	2,540
4a	5,911	5,960	6,003
4b	91	94	96
5	5,637	5,655	5,668
6	421	416	412
Subtotal	22,687	22,721	22,733
Middle Keys			
7	-	-	-
8	-	-	-
9	-	-	-
10	782	782	781
11	394	393	391
12a	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-
13	-	-	-
Subtotal	1,176	1,175	1,172
Upper Keys			
14	-	-	-
15	3,306	3,314	3,318
16	2,308	2,290	2,270
17	3,608	3,634	3,657
18	4,685	4,671	4,653
19-20 (g)	2,930	2,927	2,921
21	1,699	1,693	1,685
22	65	65	64
Subtotal	18,600	18,594	18,569
UNINC. TOTAL	**42,464**	**42,489**	**42,474**
Village of Islamorada	8,160	8,157	8,145
City of Layton	232	232	232
City of Key Colony B.	1,210	1,211	1,210
City of Marathon	11,379	11,316	11,241
City of Key West (p)	27,227	27,289	27,351
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**90,671**	**90,693**	**90,654**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Certificate of Occupancy Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments; Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

Appendix 5

Summary Table of Peak Number of Seasonal Residents and Visitors by PAED from 1990 to 2015

Peak Seasonal Population

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
PAED	1990 Census Tract (e)	1990 Census Block Groups	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1990	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1990	1990 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1990 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (sh)	1990 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1990 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (4+5+6+7+8)	Peak Number of Persons Steying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1991	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1991
Lower Keys										
1	9718 & 9719 pt.	N/A	115	0	600	111	448	1,273	116	0
2	9717	N/A	383	86	495	149	145	1,257	388	83
3	9716	N/A	237	134	1,089	226	0	1,686	241	131
4a	9715	N/A	1,800	259	276	362	32	2,730	1,860	253
4b	9714 pt.	BG 4 (a)	13	0	0	2	0	15	14	0
5	9714 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4,5 (a)	1,111	134	1,268	390	193	3,096	1,144	131
6	9714 pt. & 9713 pt.	9714 BG 1 (b)	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0
Subtotal	-	-	**3,659**	**613**	**5,358**	**1,493**	**818**	**11,940**	**3,763**	**598**
Middle Keys										
7	9713, 9712, & 9711	N/A	2,091	1,686	1,683	846	425	6,730	2,102	1,644
8	9710 pt.	BG 3 (c)	533	865	180	245	229	2,051	539	844
9	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	244	127	608	152	0	1,130	246	124
10	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	183	110	0	45	0	338	186	107
11	9710 pt.	BG 1(e)	471	68	1,147	261	0	1,948	471	67
12a	9709 pt.	BG 5	796	247	6	162	0	1,211	820	241
12b	9709 pt.	BG 1,2 (f)	101	721	0	127	0	950	108	703
13	9709 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4 (f)	590	1,833	235	412	13	3,083	598	1,883
Subtotal	-	-	**5,009**	**5,656**	**3,858**	**2,251**	**666**	**17,441**	**5,070**	**5,613**
Upper Keys										
14	9708	N/A	2,126	242	174	394	0	2,936	2,189	236
15	9707	N/A	1,097	244	0	208	35	1,585	1,140	238
16	9706	N/A	2,121	718	1,072	606	3	4,520	2,127	701
17	9705	N/A	879	1,197	0	322	116	2,514	903	1,168
18	9704	N/A	1,317	364	1,912	557	0	4,150	1,331	355
19-20 (g)	9703	N/A	1,005	66	757	283	13	2,125	1,038	64
21	9702.98 pt.	BG 1,2,3 (h)	1,916	347	119	369	193	2,944	1,932	338
22	9701 pt. & 9702.98 pt.	BG 1 (h)	0	0	0	0	103	103	0	0
Subtotal	-	-	**10,460**	**3,179**	**4,034**	**2,739**	**464**	**20,876**	**10,661**	**3,101**
TOTAL	-	-	**19,128**	**9,448**	**13,250**	**6,483**	**1,948**	**50,258**	**19,494**	**9,312**
Village of Islamorada	9709	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	9710	BG 1	76	59	0	21	0	155	77	57
City of Key Colony B.	9710	BG 3	1,192	98	0	200	0	1,489	1,197	95
City of Marathon	9710-9713	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	9719-9726	N/A	2,139	13,188	293	2,421	551	18,591	2,153	12,864
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	-	-	**22,535**	**22,793**	**13,543**	**9,125**	**2,498**	**70,493**	**22,922**	**22,328**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
PAED	1991 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1991 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (eh)	1991 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1991 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (10 + 11 + 12 + 13 + 14	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1992	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1992	1992 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1992 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (eh)	1992 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1992 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (16 + 17 + 18 + 19 + 2	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1993
Lower Keys											
1	600	111	448	1,274	116	0	600	111	448	1,275	116
2	495	150	145	1,261	390	80	495	150	145	1,260	391
3	1,089	226	0	1,688	246	126	1,089	227	0	1,688	253
4a	276	370	32	2,791	1,903	244	276	376	32	2,830	1,949
4b	0	2	0	16	15	0	0	2	0	17	16
5	1,268	394	193	3,131	1,166	126	1,268	397	194	3,151	1,198
6	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0
Subtotal	**5,358**	**1,506**	**819**	**12,044**	**3,836**	**577**	**5,358**	**1,514**	**819**	**12,105**	**3,923**
Middle Keys											
7	1,683	842	425	6,696	2,116	1,586	1,683	835	426	6,645	2,125
8	180	242	229	2,033	542	814	180	238	229	2,003	547
9	608	152	0	1,130	248	120	608	151	0	1,128	251
10	0	45	0	339	189	103	0	45	0	338	192
11	1,147	261	0	1,946	472	64	1,147	261	0	1,944	473
12a	6	165	0	1,231	849	232	6	168	0	1,255	860
12b	0	126	0	937	108	678	0	122	0	909	108
13	235	421	13	3,149	602	1,816	235	411	13	3,077	610
Subtotal	**3,858**	**2,254**	**667**	**17,461**	**5,126**	**5,413**	**3,858**	**2,232**	**668**	**17,297**	**5,167**
Upper Keys											
14	174	403	0	3,002	2,232	228	174	408	0	3,042	2,262
15	0	214	35	1,628	1,151	230	0	214	35	1,631	1,188
16	1,072	604	3	4,507	2,133	676	1,072	602	3	4,486	2,139
17	0	321	116	2,508	926	1,126	0	318	116	2,487	970
18	1,912	558	0	4,156	1,339	343	1,912	557	0	4,151	1,380
19-20 (g)	757	288	13	2,161	1,059	191	757	311	13	2,330	1,075
21	119	370	193	2,953	1,945	326	119	371	194	2,955	1,957
22	0	0	103	103	0	0	0	0	103	103	0
Subtotal	**4,034**	**2,758**	**464**	**21,019**	**10,786**	**3,119**	**4,034**	**2,781**	**465**	**21,185**	**10,970**
TOTAL	**13,250**	**6,519**	**1,950**	**50,524**	**19,748**	**9,110**	**13,250**	**6,527**	**1,952**	**50,586**	**20,060**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	0	21	0	155	78	55	0	21	0	154	78
City of Key Colony B.	0	200	0	1,493	1,212	92	0	202	0	1,506	1,233
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	293	2,373	551	18,233	2,167	12,407	293	2,304	552	17,723	2,181
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**13,543**	**9,113**	**2,501**	**70,406**	**23,205**	**21,663**	**13,543**	**9,054**	**2,503**	**69,969**	**23,553**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

1	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
PAED	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1993	1993 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1993 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (sh)	1993 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1993 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (22 + 23 + 24 + 25 + 26	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1994	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1994	1994 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1994 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (sh)	1994 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1994 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (28 + 29 + 30 + 31 + 32
Lower Keys											
1	0	600	111	449	**1,276**	117	0	600	111	449	**1,277**
2	87	495	151	145	**1,269**	395	83	495	151	145	**1,269**
3	137	1,089	229	0	**1,708**	258	130	1,089	229	0	**1,705**
4a	264	276	386	32	**2,908**	1,990	250	276	390	32	**2,939**
4b	0	0	3	0	**19**	17	0	0	3	0	**19**
5	137	1,268	404	194	**3,201**	1,216	130	1,268	405	194	**3,213**
6	0	1,630	253	0	**1,883**	0	0	1,630	253	0	**1,883**
Subtotal	**626**	**5,358**	**1,536**	**820**	**12,264**	**3,993**	**592**	**5,358**	**1,541**	**821**	**12,305**
Middle Keys											
7	1,722	1,683	857	426	**6,813**	2,134	1,628	1,683	844	427	**6,714**
8	883	180	250	229	**2,089**	552	835	180	243	230	**2,038**
9	130	608	153	0	**1,142**	252	123	608	152	0	**1,135**
10	112	0	47	0	**351**	195	106	0	47	0	**348**
11	100	1,147	267	0	**1,986**	473	94	1,147	266	0	**1,980**
12a	252	6	173	0	**1,291**	871	238	6	173	0	**1,287**
12b	736	0	131	0	**975**	108	696	0	125	0	**929**
13	1,971	235	436	13	**3,265**	611	1,863	235	420	13	**3,142**
Subtotal	**5,906**	**3,858**	**2,314**	**668**	**17,913**	**5,196**	**5,583**	**3,858**	**2,269**	**669**	**17,574**
Upper Keys											
14	247	174	416	0	**3,099**	2,287	234	174	418	0	**3,112**
15	250	0	223	36	**1,696**	1,224	236	0	226	36	**1,722**
16	734	1,072	611	3	**4,559**	2,150	693	1,072	607	3	**4,526**
17	1,223	0	340	116	**2,649**	989	1,156	0	333	116	**2,594**
18	664	1,912	613	0	**4,569**	1,386	627	1,912	608	0	**4,534**
19-20 (g)	207	757	316	13	**2,368**	1,080	196	757	315	13	**2,360**
21	354	119	377	194	**3,001**	1,961	335	119	374	194	**2,982**
22	0	0	0	103	**103**	0	0	0	0	103	**103**
Subtotal	**3,678**	**4,034**	**2,896**	**465**	**22,043**	**11,077**	**3,477**	**4,034**	**2,881**	**465**	**21,935**
TOTAL	**10,210**	**13,250**	**6,746**	**1,954**	**52,220**	**20,265**	**9,652**	**13,250**	**6,691**	**1,956**	**51,814**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	60	0	21	0	**160**	79	57	0	21	0	**157**
City of Key Colony B.	100	0	207	0	**1,539**	1,241	94	0	207	0	**1,542**
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	13,468	293	2,471	552	**18,965**	2,195	12,731	293	2,359	553	**18,131**
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**23,838**	**13,543**	**9,445**	**2,506**	**72,884**	**23,781**	**22,534**	**13,543**	**9,278**	**2,508**	**71,644**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43
PAED	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1995	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1995	1995 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1995 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	1995 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1995 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (34 + 35 + 36 + 37 + 38)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1996	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1996	1996 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1996 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)
Lower Keys										
1	117	0	600	111	450	1,278	118	0	600	111
2	397	81	495	151	146	1,269	400	83	495	152
3	262	127	1,089	229	0	1,706	267	130	1,089	230
4a	2,038	244	276	397	32	2,987	2,083	251	276	405
4b	17	0	0	3	0	20	17	0	0	3
5	1,232	127	1,268	407	194	3,228	1,253	130	1,268	411
6	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253
Subtotal	**4,063**	**578**	**5,358**	**1,550**	**822**	**12,371**	**4,138**	**594**	**5,358**	**1,564**
Middle Keys										
7	2,145	1,588	1,683	839	427	6,682	2,152	1,633	1,683	848
8	556	815	180	240	230	2,021	561	838	180	245
9	254	120	608	152	0	1,134	257	123	608	153
10	196	104	0	46	0	346	196	107	0	47
11	473	92	1,147	265	0	1,978	492	95	1,147	269
12a	885	232	6	174	0	1,298	895	239	6	177
12b	110	679	0	122	0	911	110	698	0	125
13	613	1,818	235	413	13	3,092	615	1,870	235	422
Subtotal	**5,233**	**5,447**	**3,858**	**2,253**	**670**	**17,461**	**5,277**	**5,602**	**3,858**	**2,284**
Upper Keys										
14	2,310	228	174	420	0	3,132	2,327	234	174	424
15	1,229	230	0	226	36	1,721	1,239	237	0	229
16	2,158	677	1,072	606	3	4,515	2,164	696	1,072	609
17	1,007	1,128	0	331	116	2,582	1,025	1,160	0	339
18	1,394	612	1,912	607	0	4,528	1,402	630	1,912	611
19-20 (g)	1,086	191	757	315	13	2,362	1,094	196	757	317
21	1,969	327	119	374	194	2,983	1,988	336	119	379
22	0	0	0	0	104	104	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**11,154**	**3,392**	**4,034**	**2,880**	**466**	**21,926**	**11,238**	**3,489**	**4,034**	**2,908**
TOTAL	**20,450**	**9,417**	**13,250**	**6,683**	**1,958**	**51,758**	**20,654**	**9,685**	**13,250**	**6,756**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	80	55	0	21	0	157	81	57	0	21
City of Key Colony B.	1,259	92	0	209	0	1,561	1,265	95	0	211
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	2,210	12,422	293	2,313	553	17,790	2,224	12,775	293	2,370
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**23,999**	**21,986**	**13,543**	**9,227**	**2,511**	**71,266**	**24,224**	**22,612**	**13,543**	**9,359**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

1	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54
PAED	1996 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1996 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (40 + 41 + 42 + 43 + 44	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1997	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1997	1997 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1997 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (eh)	1997 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1997 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (46 + 47 + 48 + 49 + 50	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1996	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1996	1996 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites
Lower Keys											
1	450	1,279	118	0	600	111	451	1,280	119	0	600
2	146	1,275	402	83	495	152	146	1,277	404	78	495
3	0	1,717	271	130	1,089	231	0	1,720	274	122	1,089
4a	32	3,047	2,123	251	276	411	32	3,093	2,153	236	276
4b	0	20	19	0	0	3	0	22	19	0	0
5	194	3,257	1,260	130	1,268	412	195	3,265	1,267	122	1,268
6	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0	1,630
Subtotal	**823**	**12,477**	**4,193**	**594**	**5,358**	**1,572**	**824**	**12,541**	**4,236**	**558**	**5,358**
Middle Keys											
7	428	6,743	2,161	1,633	1,683	849	428	6,754	2,167	1,535	1,683
8	230	2,053	564	838	180	245	230	2,057	567	788	180
9	0	1,141	259	123	608	153	0	1,143	259	116	608
10	0	350	198	154	0	54	0	406	198	414	0
11	0	2,002	497	95	1,147	270	0	2,008	497	89	1,147
12a	0	1,316	900	239	6	177	0	1,322	-	-	-
12b	0	934	111	698	0	125	0	934	-	-	-
13	13	3,155	616	1,870	235	422	13	3,155	-	-	-
Subtotal	**670**	**17,692**	**5,306**	**5,650**	**3,858**	**2,296**	**671**	**17,781**	**3,690**	**2,941**	**3,617**
Upper Keys											
14	0	3,159	2,356	234	174	429	0	3,196	-	-	-
15	36	1,740	1,241	237	0	229	36	1,742	1,244	222	0
16	3	4,545	2,167	696	1,072	610	3	4,549	2,172	654	1,072
17	117	2,640	1,038	1,160	0	341	117	2,655	1,054	1,090	0
18	0	4,555	1,412	630	1,912	613	0	4,566	1,419	592	1,912
19-20 (g)	13	2,378	1,099	196	757	318	13	2,384	1,104	185	757
21	194	3,018	2,000	336	119	380	195	3,029	2,028	316	119
22	104	104	0	0	0	0	104	104	0	0	0
Subtotal	**466**	**22,136**	**11,315**	**3,489**	**4,034**	**2,920**	**467**	**22,225**	**9,020**	**3,059**	**3,860**
TOTAL	**1,960**	**52,305**	**20,813**	**9,733**	**13,250**	**6,788**	**1,962**	**52,546**	**16,946**	**6,559**	**12,835**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,998	2,859	415
City of Layton	0	159	82	57	0	22	0	160	83	53	0
City of Key Colony B.	0	1,571	1,276	95	0	212	0	1,583	1,294	89	0
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	554	18,216	2,238	12,775	293	2,372	554	18,233	2,252	12,009	293
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**2,513**	**72,251**	**24,409**	**22,659**	**13,543**	**9,395**	**2,516**	**72,521**	**24,572**	**21,570**	**13,543**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64
PAED	1998 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (sh)	1998 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1998 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (52 + 53 + 54 + 55 + 56	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1999	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1999	1999 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1999 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (sh)	1999 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1999 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (58 + 59 + 60 + 61 + 62	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2000
Lower Keys										
1	111	451	1,281	119	0	600	111	452	1,282	120
2	151	146	1,274	405	78	495	152	146	1,276	407
3	230	0	1,716	278	123	1,089	231	0	1,721	281
4a	413	32	3,110	2,172	238	276	416	32	3,135	2,198
4b	3	0	22	19	0	0	3	0	22	20
5	412	195	3,264	1,269	123	1,268	412	195	3,268	1,282
6	253	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0
Subtotal	**1,574**	**824**	**12,551**	**4,263**	**563**	**5,358**	**1,578**	**825**	**12,587**	**4,307**
Middle Keys										
7	835	428	6,649	2,173	1,730	1,683	866	429	6,881	-
8	238	230	2,003	570	793	180	239	231	2,013	-
9	152	0	1,135	260	117	608	153	0	1,137	-
10	95	0	707	199	444	0	100	0	743	201
11	269	0	2,002	497	90	1,147	269	0	2,002	501
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**1,588**	**659**	**12,496**	**3,699**	**3,174**	**3,617**	**1,626**	**659**	**12,775**	**702**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	227	36	1,730	1,248	224	0	228	36	1,736	1,257
16	604	3	4,505	2,175	659	1,072	605	3	4,515	2,177
17	332	117	2,593	1,062	1,098	0	335	117	2,612	1,071
18	608	0	4,531	1,424	596	1,912	610	0	4,542	1,429
19-20 (g)	317	13	2,376	1,109	186	757	318	13	2,384	1,114
21	382	195	3,039	2,056	318	119	386	195	3,075	2,083
22	0	104	104	0	0	0	0	104	104	0
Subtotal	**2,471**	**467**	**18,877**	**9,074**	**3,082**	**3,860**	**2,483**	**468**	**18,987**	**9,112**
TOTAL	**5,633**	**1,951**	**43,924**	**17,036**	**6,818**	**12,835**	**5,687**	**1,952**	**44,329**	**14,121**
Village of Islamorada	1,127	13	8,411	4,025	2,880	415	1,135	13	8,467	4,041
City of Layton	21	0	158	84	54	0	21	0	159	85
City of Key Colony B.	214	0	1,597	1,312	90	0	217	0	1,619	1,327
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,008
City of Key West (p)	2,256	555	17,365	2,266	12,097	293	2,272	556	17,483	2,280
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**9,251**	**2,518**	**71,454**	**24,723**	**21,939**	**13,543**	**9,332**	**2,521**	**72,058**	**24,860**

Peak Seasonal Population

1	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75
PAED	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2000	2000 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2000 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2000 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2000 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (64 + 65 + 66 + 67 + 68)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2001	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2001	2001 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2001 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2001 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2001 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (70 + 71 + 72 + 73 + 74)
Lower Keys											
1	0	600	111	452	1,283	120	0	600	112	452	1,284
2	82	495	152	146	1,282	408	82	495	153	146	1,284
3	129	1,089	232	0	1,731	284	129	1,089	233	0	1,734
4a	249	276	422	33	3,178	2,225	249	276	426	33	3,209
4b	0	0	3	0	23	20	0	0	3	0	24
5	129	1,268	415	195	3,289	1,294	129	1,268	417	195	3,304
8	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883
Subtotal	**589**	**5,358**	**1,589**	**826**	**12,669**	**4,351**	**589**	**5,358**	**1,596**	**827**	**12,721**
Middle Keys											
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
10	521	0	112	0	835	203	578	0	121	0	902
11	94	1,147	270	0	2,011	504	94	1,147	270	0	2,015
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**615**	**1,147**	**382**	**0**	**2,846**	**708**	**672**	**1,147**	**392**	**0**	**2,917**
Upper Keys											
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	235	0	231	36	1,759	1,266	235	0	233	36	1,770
16	690	1,072	611	3	4,554	2,180	690	1,072	611	3	4,556
17	1,151	0	344	117	2,683	1,080	1,151	0	346	117	2,694
18	625	1,912	615	0	4,580	1,434	625	1,912	615	0	4,586
19-20 (g)	195	757	320	13	2,400	1,119	195	757	321	13	2,405
21	333	119	390	195	3,101	2,071	333	119	391	195	3,109
22	0	0	0	104	104	0	0	0	0	104	104
Subtotal	**3,229**	**3,860**	**2,511**	**468**	**19,180**	**9,150**	**3,229**	**3,860**	**2,517**	**469**	**19,224**
TOTAL	**4,433**	**10,365**	**4,482**	**1,294**	**34,696**	**14,208**	**4,490**	**10,365**	**4,505**	**1,296**	**34,863**
Village of Islamorada	3,017	415	1,158	13	8,644	4,057	3,017	415	1,161	13	8,662
City of Layton	56	0	22	0	163	86	56	0	22	0	165
City of Key Colony B.	94	0	220	0	1,641	1,341	94	0	222	0	1,657
City of Marathon	2,766	2,471	1,278	659	10,182	3,018	2,766	2,471	1,280	660	10,195
City of Key West (p)	12,673	293	2,363	556	18,165	2,293	12,673	293	2,365	557	18,182
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**23,040**	**13,544**	**9,524**	**2,522**	**73,491**	**25,003**	**23,096**	**13,544**	**9,555**	**2,525**	**73,723**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85
PAEO	Peak Number of Persons Staying In Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2002	Peak Number of People Staying In Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2002	2002 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites	2002 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (eh)	2002 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2002 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (76 + 77 + 78 + 79 + 80	Peak Number of Persons Staying In Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2003	Peak Number of People Staying In Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2003	2003 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites	2003 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (eh)
Lower Keys										
1	120	0	600	112	453	1,284	120	0	600	112
2	410	82	495	153	147	1,286	411	82	495	153
3	287	129	1,089	233	0	1,738	290	129	1,089	234
4a	2,251	249	276	430	33	3,239	2,278	249	276	434
4b	21	0	0	3	0	24	22	0	0	3
5	1,306	129	1,268	419	196	3,318	1,318	f29	1,268	421
6	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253
Subtotal	**4,395**	**589**	**5,358**	**1,603**	**828**	**12,773**	**4,438**	**589**	**5,358**	**1,810**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-
10	206	634	0	130	0	970	208	634	0	130
11	508	94	1,147	271	0	2,019	511	94	1,147	272
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**713**	**728**	**1,147**	**401**	**0**	**2,989**	**719**	**728**	**1,147**	**402**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,276	235	0	234	36	1,781	1,285	235	0	236
16	2,182	690	1,072	611	3	4,559	2,185	742	1,072	620
17	1,089	1,151	0	347	117	2,704	1,098	1,151	0	348
18	1,439	625	1,912	616	0	4,592	1,444	625	1,912	817
19-20 (g)	1,124	195	757	322	13	2,411	1,129	195	757	323
21	2,078	333	119	392	196	3,118	2,085	333	119	393
22	0	0	0	0	104	104	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**9,188**	**3,229**	**3,860**	**2,523**	**469**	**19,269**	**9,225**	**3,280**	**3,860**	**2,537**
TOTAL	**14,295**	**4,546**	**10,365**	**4,527**	**1,297**	**35,030**	**14,383**	**4,598**	**10,365**	**4,549**
Village of Islamorada	4,073	3,017	415	1,163	13	8,680	4,088	3,017	415	1,166
City of Layton	87	56	0	22	0	166	88	56	0	22
City of Key Colony B.	1,355	94	0	225	0	1,674	1,370	94	0	227
City of Marathon	3,029	2,766	2,471	1,281	661	10,208	3,039	2,766	2,471	1,283
City of Key West (p)	2,307	12,673	293	2,367	557	18,198	2,316	12,673	293	2,369
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**25,146**	**23,153**	**13,544**	**9,586**	**2,527**	**73,956**	**25,284**	**23,204**	**13,544**	**9,615**

1	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95
PAED	2003 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2003 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (82 + 83 + 84 + 85 + 86)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2004	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2004 (aa)	2004 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2004 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2004 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2004 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (88 + 89 + 90 + 91 + 92)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2005 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2005 (ae)
Lower Keys										
1	453	1,285	121	0	600	112	454	1,286	121	0
2	147	1,288	414	82	495	154	147	1,291	415	82
3	0	1,741	294	129	1,089	234	0	1,746	298	129
4a	33	3,270	2,319	249	276	441	33	3,318	2,359	249
4b	0	25	22	0	0	3	0	26	23	0
5	196	3,332	1,335	129	1,268	424	196	3,352	1,352	129
6	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0
Subtotal	**828**	**12,824**	**4,506**	**589**	**5,356**	**1,620**	**829**	**12,903**	**4,570**	**589**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-
10	0	972	210	634	0	131	0	975	212	634
11	0	2,023	514	94	1,147	272	0	2,027	517	94
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**0**	**2,995**	**724**	**3,494**	**1,147**	**403**	**0**	**3,002**	**729**	**3,494**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	36	1,792	1,301	235	0	238	36	1,810	1,316	235
16	3	4,622	2,190	742	1,072	621	3	4,627	2,192	742
17	117	2,714	1,117	1,151	0	351	118	2,736	1,135	1,151
18	0	4,598	1,454	625	1,912	619	0	4,609	1,463	625
19-20 (g)	13	2,417	1,139	195	757	324	13	2,429	1,149	195
21	196	3,126	2,099	333	119	395	196	3,142	2,110	333
22	104	104	0	0	0	0	104	104	0	0
Subtotal	**470**	**19,372**	**9,299**	**3,280**	**3,860**	**2,548**	**470**	**19,458**	**9,365**	**3,280**
TOTAL	**1,298**	**35,192**	**14,529**	**7,364**	**10,365**	**4,571**	**1,299**	**35,362**	**14,663**	**7,364**
Village of Islamorada	13	8,699	4,106	3,017	415	1,168	13	8,719	4,120	3,017
City of Layton	0	167	89	56	0	23	0	168	90	56
City of Key Colony B.	0	1,690	1,384	94	0	229	0	1,707	1,398	94
City of Marathon	661	10,221	3,048	2,766	2,471	1,284	662	10,231	3,053	2,766
City of Key West (p)	558	18,209	2,325	11,914	293	2,252	558	17,343	2,334	11,914
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**2,530**	**74,177**	**25,481**	**25,211**	**13,544**	**9,528**	**2,533**	**73,531**	**25,658**	**25,211**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105
PAED	2005 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2005 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2005 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2005 PEAK SEASONAL PDPULATION (94 + 95 + 96 + 97 + 98)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2006 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2006 (as)	2006 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2006 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2006 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2006 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (100 + 101 + 102 + 103 + 104
Lower Keys										
1	600	112	454	1,287	121	0	600	112	455	1,287
2	495	154	147	1,293	417	82	495	154	147	1,295
3	1,089	235	0	1,751	302	129	1,089	236	0	1,756
4a	276	447	33	3,364	2,398	249	276	453	33	3,409
4b	0	4	0	27	24	0	0	4	0	28
5	1,268	426	196	3,372	1,367	129	1,268	429	196	3,389
6	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883
Subtotal	5,358	1,630	630	12,977	4,630	589	5,358	1,639	831	13,048
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
10	0	131	0	977	213	634	0	131	0	979
11	1,147	272	0	2,030	519	94	1,147	273	0	2,033
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	1,147	404	0	3,007	733	3,494	1,147	404	0	3,011
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	0	240	36	1,827	1,330	235	0	242	36	1,843
16	1,072	621	3	4,631	2,193	742	1,072	621	3	4,632
17	0	354	118	2,757	1,152	1,151	0	357	118	2,778
18	1,912	620	0	4,620	1,470	625	1,912	621	0	4,628
19-20 (g)	757	326	13	2,439	1,157	195	757	327	13	2,449
21	119	397	196	3,156	2,120	333	119	399	196	3,167
22	0	0	105	105	0	0	0	0	105	105
Subtotal	3,860	2,558	471	19,534	9,422	3,280	3,860	2,567	471	19,600
TOTAL	**10,365**	**4,592**	**1,301**	**35,518**	**14,784**	**7,364**	**10,365**	**4,611**	**1,302**	**35,659**
Village of Islamorada	415	1,170	13	8,735	4,129	3,017	415	1,172	13	8,746
City of Layton	0	23	0	169	91	56	0	23	0	170
City of Key Colony B.	0	231	0	1,723	1,410	94	0	233	0	1,737
City of Marathon	2,471	1,285	663	10,238	3,056	2,766	2,471	1,285	663	10,241
City of Key West (p)	293	2,254	559	17,354	2,339	11,914	293	2,255	559	17,360
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**13,544**	**9,555**	**2,535**	**73,737**	**25,809**	**25,211**	**13,544**	**9,579**	**2,538**	**73,914**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115
PAEO 4)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2007 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2007	2007 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2007 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2007 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2007 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (106 + 107 + 108 + 109 + 110)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2008 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2008	2008 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2008 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)
Lower Keys										
1	121	0	600	112	455	1,289	121	0	601	112
2	418	82	495	154	147	1,297	419	82	496	154
3	306	129	1,090	236	0	1,762	310	129	1,091	237
4a	2,434	249	277	459	33	3,452	2,469	249	277	464
4b	25	0	0	4	0	29	26	0	0	4
5	1,381	129	1,270	431	196	3,407	1,394	129	1,271	433
6	0	0	1,632	253	0	1,885	0	0	1,634	253
Subtotal	**4,686**	**590**	**5,363**	**1,649**	**832**	**13,121**	**4,739**	**591**	**5,369**	**1,658**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-
10	215	635	0	132	0	981	216	635	0	132
11	521	94	1,148	273	0	2,036	522	94	1,149	274
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**736**	**729**	**1,148**	**405**	**0**	**3,017**	**738**	**729**	**1,149**	**406**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,342	235	0	244	36	1,857	1,353	235	0	246
16	2,191	743	1,073	621	3	4,632	2,188	743	1,074	621
17	1,169	1,152	0	360	118	2,798	1,184	1,153	0	362
18	1,476	625	1,914	622	0	4,638	1,481	626	1,916	624
19-20 (g)	1,164	195	758	328	13	2,458	1,169	195	759	329
21	2,127	334	119	400	196	3,176	2,133	334	119	401
22	0	0	0	0	105	105	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**9,469**	**3,284**	**3,864**	**2,576**	**472**	**19,664**	**9,508**	**3,287**	**3,868**	**2,583**
TOTAL	**14,891**	**4,602**	**10,375**	**4,630**	**1,303**	**35,802**	**14,985**	**4,607**	**10,386**	**4,647**
Village of Islamorada	4,134	3,020	415	1,173	13	8,756	4,136	3,023	416	1,174
City of Layton	92	56	0	23	0	171	93	56	0	23
City of Key Colony B.	1,421	94	0	235	0	1,750	1,431	94	0	236
City of Marathon	3,055	2,769	2,473	1,286	664	10,247	3,051	2,772	2,476	1,286
City of Key West (p)	2,345	11,926	293	2,257	560	17,381	2,350	11,938	293	2,260
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**25,938**	**22,467**	**13,558**	**9,604**	**2,540**	**74,108**	**26,045**	**22,490**	**13,571**	**9,626**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125
PAED	2008 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2008 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (112 + 113 + 114 + 115 + 116)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2009 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2009	2009 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2009 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (sh)	2009 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2009 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (118 + 119 + 120 + 121 + 122)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2010 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2010
Lower Keys										
1	456	1,290	121	0	601	112	456	1,291	121	0
2	148	1,299	419	82	496	155	148	1,300	419	83
3	0	1,767	313	130	1,092	238	0	1,772	316	130
4a	33	3,492	2,502	250	277	469	33	3,531	2,533	250
4b	0	30	27	0	0	4	0	31	28	0
5	197	3,424	1,406	130	1,272	435	197	3,439	1,416	130
6	0	1,887	0	0	1,635	253	0	1,889	0	0
Subtotal	**833**	**13,189**	**4,788**	**591**	**5,374**	**1,667**	**833**	**13,253**	**4,832**	**592**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-
10	0	983	217	636	0	132	0	985	218	637
11	0	2,039	523	94	1,150	274	0	2,041	523	94
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	0	3,022	740	730	1,150	406	0	3,026	741	731
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	36	1,871	1,363	236	0	248	36	1,882	1,372	236
16	3	4,630	2,182	744	1,075	620	3	4,825	2,174	745
17	118	2,817	1,199	1,154	0	365	118	2,836	1,212	1,155
18	0	4,647	1,484	626	1,918	624	0	4,653	1,486	627
19-20 (g)	13	2,465	1,174	195	759	330	13	2,472	1,178	196
21	197	3,183	2,136	334	119	401	197	3,188	2,137	335
22	105	105	0	0	0	0	105	105	0	0
Subtotal	**472**	**19,718**	**9,538**	**3,290**	**3,872**	**2,588**	**473**	**19,781**	**9,558**	**3,293**
TOTAL	**1,305**	**35,929**	**15,065**	**4,611**	**10,396**	**4,661**	**1,306**	**36,040**	**15,131**	**4,616**
Village of Islamorada	13	8,761	4,133	3,026	416	1,174	13	8,762	4,126	3,029
City of Layton	0	172	93	57	0	23	0	173	94	57
City of Key Colony B.	0	1,761	1,439	94	0	238	0	1,771	1,446	94
City of Marathon	665	10,250	3,044	2,774	2,478	1,286	665	10,249	3,035	2,777
City of Key West (p)	561	17,402	2,355	11,950	294	2,263	561	17,423	2,361	11,962
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**2,543**	**74,275**	**26,130**	**22,512**	**13,585**	**9,645**	**2,545**	**74,417**	**26,192**	**22,535**

1	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135
PAED	2010 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2010 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2010 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2010 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (124 + 125 + 126 + 127 + 128)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2011 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2011	2011 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2011 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2011 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2011 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (130 + 131 + 132 + 133 + 134
Lower Keys										
1	602	112	457	1,291	120	0	603	112	457	1,292
2	497	155	148	1,300	418	83	497	155	148	1,300
3	1,093	239	0	1,777	319	130	1,094	239	0	1,782
4a	277	474	33	3,567	2,561	250	278	479	33	3,601
4b	0	4	0	33	29	0	0	5	0	34
5	1,273	437	197	3,453	1,425	130	1,275	439	197	3,465
6	1,637	254	0	1,891	0	0	1,639	254	0	1,892
Subtotal	**5,379**	**1,675**	**834**	**13,312**	**4,872**	**592**	**5,385**	**1,682**	**835**	**13,366**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
10	0	132	0	987	219	637	0	133	0	988
11	1,151	274	0	2,043	523	94	1,153	274	0	2,044
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**1,151**	**407**	**0**	**3,030**	**741**	**732**	**1,153**	**407**	**0**	**3,032**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	0	249	36	1,893	1,379	236	0	250	36	1,901
16	1,076	619	3	4,618	2,163	746	1,078	618	3	4,608
17	0	367	118	2,853	1,225	1,156	0	369	118	2,869
18	1,920	625	0	4,658	1,486	628	1,922	626	0	4,661
19-20 (g)	760	331	13	2,477	1,180	196	761	331	13	2,481
21	119	402	197	3,190	2,136	335	119	402	197	3,189
22	0	0	105	105	0	0	0	0	105	105
Subtotal	**3,876**	**2,593**	**473**	**19,793**	**9,569**	**3,297**	**3,880**	**2,596**	**473**	**19,815**
TOTAL	**10,407**	**4,674**	**1,307**	**36,135**	**15,183**	**4,621**	**10,417**	**4,684**	**1,309**	**36,213**
Village of Islamorada	417	1,174	13	8,758	4,115	3,032	417	1,172	13	8,749
City of Layton	0	23	0	174	94	57	0	23	0	174
City of Key Colony B.	0	239	0	1,779	1,451	94	0	240	0	1,785
City of Marathon	2,481	1,285	666	10,244	3,022	2,780	2,483	1,284	667	10,236
City of Key West (p)	294	2,266	562	17,444	2,366	11,974	294	2,268	562	17,465
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**13,598**	**9,660**	**2,548**	**74,533**	**26,231**	**22,557**	**13,612**	**9,672**	**2,550**	**74,622**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145
PAED 4)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2012 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2012	2012 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2012 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2012 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2012 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (136 + 137 + 138 + 139 + 140)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2013 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2013	2013 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2013 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)
Lower Keys										
1	120	0	603	112	457	1,293	119	0	604	112
2	417	83	498	155	148	1,300	415	83	498	154
3	321	130	1,095	240	0	1,786	323	130	1,096	240
4a	2,588	250	278	483	33	3,632	2,612	251	278	487
4b	30	0	0	5	0	35	31	0	0	5
5	1,432	130	1,276	440	197	3,476	1,438	130	1,277	441
6	0	0	1,640	254	0	1,894	0	0	1,642	254
Subtotal	**4,908**	**593**	**5,390**	**1,688**	**836**	**13,415**	**4,939**	**594**	**5,398**	**1,694**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-
10	219	638	0	133	0	990	219	638	0	133
11	522	94	1,154	274	0	2,044	520	95	1,155	274
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**741**	**732**	**1,154**	**407**	**0**	**3,034**	**739**	**733**	**1,155**	**407**
Upper Keys										
t4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,385	236	0	251	36	1,909	1,390	236	0	252
16	2,151	746	1,079	616	3	4,596	2,137	747	1,080	614
17	1,236	1,157	0	371	118	2,883	1,247	1,159	0	373
18	1,485	628	1,924	626	0	4,663	1,482	629	1,926	626
19-20 (g)	1,181	196	762	332	13	2,484	1,181	196	762	332
21	2,133	335	120	401	197	3,187	2,128	336	120	400
22	0	0	0	0	105	105	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**9,571**	**3,300**	**3,884**	**2,597**	**474**	**19,826**	**9,564**	**3,303**	**3,887**	**2,597**
TOTAL	**15,220**	**4,625**	**10,427**	**4,692**	**1,310**	**36,275**	**15,242**	**4,630**	**10,438**	**4,698**
Village of Islamorada	4,099	3,035	417	1,171	13	8,735	4,080	3,038	418	1,168
City of Layton	94	57	0	23	0	175	95	57	0	23
City of Key Colony B.	1,455	94	0	240	0	1,790	1,458	95	0	241
City of Marathon	3,006	2,783	2,486	1,283	667	10,224	2,987	2,785	2,488	1,280
City of Key West (p)	2,372	11,986	295	2,271	563	17,486	2,377	11,998	295	2,274
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**26,246**	**22,580**	**13,625**	**9,680**	**2,553**	**74,685**	**26,239**	**22,602**	**13,639**	**9,684**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155
PAED	2013 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2013 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (142 + 143 + 144 + 145 + 146)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2014 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2014	2014 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2014 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2014 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2014 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (148 + 149 + 150 + 151 + 152)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2015 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2015
Lower Keys										
1	458	1,293	118	0	604	112	458	1,293	117	0
2	148	1,299	414	83	499	154	148	1,298	411	83
3	0	1,790	325	130	1,097	241	0	1,793	327	130
4a	33	3,660	2,633	251	279	490	33	3,686	2,652	251
4b	0	36	32	0	0	5	0	37	33	0
5	198	3,484	1,443	130	1,279	442	198	3,492	1,446	130
6	0	1,896	0	0	1,643	255	0	1,898	0	0
Subtotal	**837**	**13,459**	**4,968**	**594**	**5,401**	**1,699**	**838**	**13,497**	**4,987**	**595**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-
10	0	990	219	639	0	133	0	991	219	640
11	0	2,044	518	95	1,156	274	0	2,043	516	95
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**0**	**3,035**	**738**	**734**	**1,156**	**407**	**0**	**3,034**	**735**	**734**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	36	1,914	1,393	237	0	253	36	1,918	1,395	237
16	3	4,581	2,120	748	1,081	612	3	4,564	2,102	749
17	119	2,897	1,256	1,160	0	374	119	2,909	1,264	1,161
18	0	4,662	1,478	630	1,928	625	0	4,660	1,472	630
19-20 (g)	13	2,485	1,180	196	763	332	13	2,484	1,178	197
21	198	3,181	2,120	336	120	399	198	3,173	2,111	336
22	105	105	0	0	0	0	106	108	0	0
Subtotal	**474**	**19,826**	**9,547**	**3,307**	**3,891**	**2,595**	**475**	**19,815**	**9,521**	**3,310**
TOTAL	**1,311**	**36,319**	**15,250**	**4,634**	**10,448**	**4,702**	**1,313**	**36,347**	**15,243**	**4,639**
Village of Islamorada	13	8,717	4,057	3,041	418	1,165	13	8,694	4,030	3,044
City of Layton	0	175	95	57	0	24	0	175	95	57
City of Key Colony B.	0	1,793	1,459	95	0	241	0	1,794	1,459	95
City of Marathon	668	10,210	2,966	2,788	2,491	1,278	669	10,191	2,941	2,791
City of Key West (p)	563	17,507	2,382	12,010	295	2,277	564	17,528	2,388	12,022
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**2,555**	**74,720**	**26,208**	**22,625**	**13,653**	**9,685**	**2,558**	**74,730**	**26,155**	**22,648**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

1	156	157	158	159
PAED	2015 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2015 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2015 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2015 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (154 + 155 + 156 + 157 + 158)
Lower Keys				
1	605	112	459	1,293
2	499	154	149	1,296
3	1,099	241	0	1,797
4a	279	493	33	3,709
4b	0	5	0	38
5	1,280	443	198	3,497
6	1,645	255	0	1,900
Subtotal	**5,406**	**1,703**	**838**	**13,530**
Middle Keys				
7	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	0	-
10	0	133	0	992
11	1,157	274	0	2,042
12a	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**1,157**	**407**	**0**	**3,034**
Upper Keys				
14	-	-	-	-
15	0	253	36	1,921
16	1,082	609	3	4,545
17	0	376	119	2,919
18	1,929	625	0	4,657
19-20 (g)	764	331	13	2,483
21	120	398	198	3,163
22	0	0	106	106
Subtotal	**3,895**	**2,592**	**475**	**19,793**
TOTAL	**10,459**	**4,703**	**1,314**	**36,357**
Village of Islamorada	419	1,161	13	8,667
City of Layton	0	24	0	175
City of Key Colony B.	0	241	0	1,794
City of Marathon	2,493	1,275	669	10,170
City of Key West (p)	296	2,279	564	17,549
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**13,666**	**9,683**	**2,561**	**74,712**

Appendix 6

Peak Population in Seasonal Residences by PAED from 1990 to 2015

Peak Number of People in Seasonal Residences

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
PAED	1990 Census Tract (o)	1990 Census Block Groups	April 1, 1990 Total Number of Seasonal Units (r)	December 31, 1990 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (s)	Peak Number of Persons per Party Staying in a Seasonal Dwelling Unit (t)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1990 (5 x 6)	December 31, 1991 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (s)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1991 (8 x 6)	December 31, 1992 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (s)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1992 (10 x 6)
Lower Keys										
1	9718 & 9719 pt.	N/A	43	43	2.67	115	43	116	44	116
2	9717	N/A	142	143	2.67	383	145	388	146	390
3	9716	N/A	86	89	2.67	237	90	241	92	246
4a	9715	N/A	654	674	2.67	1,800	697	1,860	713	1,903
4b	9714 pt.	BG 4 (a)	4	5	2.67	13	5	14	6	15
5	9714 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4,5 (a)	402	416	2.67	1,111	428	1,144	437	1,166
6	9714 pt.& 9713 pt.	9714 BG 1 (b)	0	0	2.67	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	-	-	1,331	1,370	2.67	3,659	1,409	3,763	1,437	3,838
Middle Keys										
7	9713, 9712, & 9711	N/A	777	783	2.67	2,091	787	2,102	793	2,116
8	9710 pt.	BG 3 (c)	197	200	2.67	533	202	539	203	542
9	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	90	91	2.67	244	92	246	93	248
10	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	66	69	2.67	183	70	186	71	189
11	9710 pt.	BG 1(e)	176	176	2.67	471	176	471	177	472
12a	9709 pt.	BG 5	292	298	2.67	796	307	820	318	849
12b	9709 pt.	BG 1,2 (f)	38	38	2.67	101	41	108	41	108
13	9709 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4 (f)	220	221	2.67	590	224	598	225	602
Subtotal	-	-	1,856	1,876	2.67	5,009	1,899	5,070	1,920	5,128
Upper Keys										
14	9708	N/A	777	796	2.67	2,128	820	2,189	836	2,232
15	9707	N/A	383	411	2.67	1,097	427	1,140	431	1,151
16	9706	N/A	793	794	2.67	2,121	797	2,127	799	2,133
17	9705	N/A	319	329	2.67	879	338	903	347	926
18	9704	N/A	489	493	2.67	1,317	498	1,331	502	1,339
19-20 (g)	9703	N/A	371	377	2.67	1,005	389	1,038	396	1,058
21	9702.98 pt.	BG 1,2,3 (h)	706	717	2.67	1,918	724	1,932	729	1,945
22	9701 pt.& 9702.98 pt.	BG 1 (h)	0	0	2.67	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	-	-	3,838	3,918	2.67	10,480	3,993	10,661	4,040	10,786
UNINC. TOTAL	-	-	7,025	7,164	2.67	19,128	7,301	19,494	7,396	19,748
Village of Islamorada	9709	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	9710	BG 1	28	28	2.67	78	29	77	29	78
City of Key Colony B.	9710	BG 3	441	446	2.67	1,192	448	1,197	454	1,212
City of Marathon	9710-9713	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	9719-9726	N/A	440	737	2.90	2,139	742	2,153	747	2,167
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	-	-	7,934	8,376	2.67	22,535	8,520	22,922	8,626	23,205

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

Peak Number of People in Seasonal Residences

1	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
PAED	December 31, 1993 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (s)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1993 (12 x 6)	December 31, 1994 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (s)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1994 (14 x 6)	December 31, 1995 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (s)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1995 (16 x 6)	December 31, 1996 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (s)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1996 (18 x 6)	December 31, 1997 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (s)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1997 (20 x 6)
Lower Keys										
1	44	116	44	117	44	117	44	118	44	118
2	147	391	148	395	149	397	150	400	151	402
3	95	253	97	258	98	262	100	267	101	271
4a	730	1,949	745	1,990	763	2,038	780	2,083	795	2,123
4b	6	16	6	17	6	17	7	17	7	19
5	449	1,198	455	1,216	461	1,232	469	1,253	472	1,260
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	1,469	3,923	1,495	3,993	1,522	4,063	1,550	4,138	1,570	4,193
Middle Keys										
7	796	2,125	799	2,134	803	2,145	806	2,152	809	2,161
8	205	547	207	552	208	556	210	561	211	564
9	94	251	94	252	95	254	96	257	97	259
10	72	192	73	195	73	196	74	196	74	198
11	177	473	177	473	177	473	184	492	186	497
12a	322	860	326	671	332	885	335	895	337	900
12b	41	106	41	108	41	110	41	110	42	111
13	228	610	229	611	230	613	230	615	231	616
Subtotal	1,936	5,167	1,946	5,196	1,960	5,233	1,977	5,277	1,987	5,306
Upper Keys										
14	847	2,262	857	2,287	865	2,310	871	2,327	883	2,358
15	445	1,188	459	1,224	460	1,229	464	1,239	465	1,241
16	801	2,139	805	2,150	808	2,158	811	2,164	812	2,157
17	363	970	371	989	377	1,007	384	1,025	389	1,038
18	517	1,380	519	1,386	522	1,394	525	1,402	529	1,412
19-20 (g)	402	1,075	404	1,080	407	1,086	410	1,094	412	1,099
21	733	1,957	734	1,961	737	1,969	744	1,988	749	2,000
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	4,109	10,970	4,149	11,077	4,177	11,154	4,209	11,238	4,238	11,315
UNINC. TOTAL	7,513	20,060	7,590	20,265	7,659	20,450	7,736	20,654	7,795	20,813
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	29	78	30	79	30	80	30	81	31	82
City of Key Colony B.	462	1,233	465	1,241	472	1,259	474	1,265	478	1,276
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	752	2,181	757	2,195	761	2,210	766	2,224	771	2,238
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	8,756	23,553	8,841	23,781	8,922	23,999	9,006	24,224	9,075	24,409

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Monroe County, Layton, Key Colony Beach, Key West Building Departments; TDC Visitor's Surveys

Peak Number of People in Seasonal Residences

1	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
PAED	December 31, 1998 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (e)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1998 (22 x 6)	December 31, 1999 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (s)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1999 (24 x 6)	December 31, 2000 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (e)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2000 (26 x 6)	December 31, 2001 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (e)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2001 (28 x 6)	December 31, 2002 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (e)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2002 (30 x 6)
Lower Keys										
1	45	119	45	119	45	120	45	120	45	120
2	151	404	152	405	152	407	153	408	153	410
3	103	274	104	278	105	281	106	284	107	287
4a	806	2,153	813	2,172	823	2,198	833	2,225	843	2,251
4b	7	19	7	19	7	20	8	20	8	21
5	474	1,267	475	1,269	480	1,282	485	1,294	489	1,306
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	1,587	4,236	1,597	4,263	1,613	4,307	1,629	4,351	1,646	4,396
Middle Keys										
7	812	2,167	814	2,173	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	212	567	213	570	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	97	259	97	260	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	74	198	75	199	75	201	76	203	77	206
11	186	497	186	497	188	501	189	504	190	508
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	1,382	3,690	1,385	3,699	263	702	265	708	267	713
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	466	1,244	467	1,248	471	1,257	474	1,266	478	1,276
16	813	2,172	815	2,175	815	2,177	816	2,180	817	2,182
17	395	1,054	398	1,062	401	1,071	405	1,080	408	1,089
18	531	1,419	533	1,424	535	1,429	537	1,434	539	1,439
19-20 (g)	413	1,104	415	1,109	417	1,114	419	1,119	421	1,124
21	759	2,028	770	2,056	773	2,063	775	2,071	778	2,078
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	3,378	9,020	3,399	9,074	3,413	9,112	3,427	9,150	3,441	9,188
UNINC. TOTAL	6,347	16,946	6,381	17,036	5,289	14,121	5,321	14,208	5,354	14,295
Village of Islamorada	1,497	3,998	1,507	4,025	1,513	4,041	1,519	4,057	1,525	4,073
City of Layton	31	83	31	84	32	85	32	88	33	87
City of Key Colony B.	485	1,294	492	1,312	497	1,327	502	1,341	508	1,355
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	1,127	3,008	1,130	3,018	1,134	3,029
City of Key West (p)	776	2,252	781	2,266	786	2,280	790	2,293	795	2,307
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	9,136	24,572	9,192	24,723	9,243	24,860	9,296	25,003	9,349	25,146

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Monroe County; Layton, Key Colony Beach, Key West Building Departments; TDC Visitors Surveys

Peak Number of People in Seasonal Residences

1	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
PAED	December 31, 2003 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (e)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2003 (32 x 6)	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1990-1991 [(9 - 7) / 7]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1991-1992 [(11 - 9) / 9]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1992-1993 [(13 - 11) / 11]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1993-1994 [(15 - 13) / 13]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1994-1995 [(17 - 15) / 15]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1995-1996 [(19 - 17) / 17]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1996-1997 [(21 - 19) / 19]
Lower Keys									
1	45	120	0.46%	0.36%	0.15%	0.35%	0.15%	0.66%	0.55%
2	154	411	1.24%	0.51%	0.36%	1.08%	0.43%	0.78%	0.49%
3	108	290	1.78%	2.08%	2.58%	2.09%	1.64%	2.02%	1.19%
4a	853	2,276	3.31%	2.31%	2.43%	2.11%	2.42%	2.20%	1.91%
4b	8	22	12.50%	5.56%	7.89%	2.44%	2.38%	2.33%	9.09%
5	494	1,318	2.99%	1.91%	2.78%	1.50%	1.33%	1.67%	0.56%
6	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Subtotal	**1,662**	**4,438**	**2.84%**	**1.94%**	**2.29%**	**1.77%**	**1.77%**	**1.85%**	**1.31%**
Middle Keys									
7	-	-	0.55%	0.66%	0.43%	0.39%	0.54%	0.33%	0.41%
8	-	-	1.03%	0.68%	0.84%	0.84%	0.83%	0.82%	0.65%
9	-	-	1.19%	0.44%	1.46%	0.43%	0.72%	1.00%	0.85%
10	78	208	1.57%	1.54%	1.71%	1.68%	0.37%	0.18%	0.73%
11	191	511	0.00%	0.24%	0.24%	0.00%	0.00%	3.86%	1.16%
12a	-	-	2.98%	3.56%	1.29%	1.27%	1.68%	1.03%	0.61%
12b	-	-	6.92%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.44%	0.00%	0.71%
13	-	-	1.24%	0.71%	1.31%	0.10%	0.40%	0.40%	0.10%
Subtotal	**269**	**719**	**1.21%**	**1.11%**	**0.79%**	**0.56%**	**0.72%**	**0.85%**	**0.54%**
Upper Keys									
14	-	-	3.00%	1.95%	1.35%	1.08%	1.01%	0.73%	1.36%
15	481	1,285	3.96%	0.94%	3.17%	3.08%	0.41%	0.77%	0.15%
16	818	2,185	0.30%	0.30%	0.25%	0.55%	0.35%	0.30%	0.15%
17	411	1,098	2.79%	2.53%	4.70%	2.02%	1.82%	1.73%	1.27%
18	541	1,444	1.06%	0.64%	3.04%	0.43%	0.62%	0.54%	0.69%
19-20 (g)	423	1,129	3.26%	1.97%	1.52%	0.46%	0.57%	0.74%	0.51%
21	781	2,085	0.86%	0.67%	0.61%	0.18%	0.42%	0.96%	0.59%
22	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Subtotal	**3,455**	**9,225**	**1.92%**	**1.17%**	**1.71%**	**0.97%**	**0.69%**	**0.76%**	**0.68%**
UNINC. TOTAL	**5,387**	**14,383**	**1.91%**	**1.30%**	**1.58%**	**1.02%**	**0.91%**	**1.00%**	**0.77%**
Village of Islamorada	1,531	4,088	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	33	88	2.04%	0.67%	0.66%	1.32%	1.30%	0.64%	1.27%
City of Key Colony B.	513	1,370	0.43%	1.28%	1.69%	0.66%	1.48%	0.49%	0.81%
City of Marathon	1,138	3,039	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	798	2,316	0.66%	0.66%	0.65%	0.65%	0.64%	0.64%	0.64%
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**9,400**	**25,284**	**1.72%**	**1.24%**	**1.50%**	**0.97%**	**0.92%**	**0.94%**	**0.76%**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Monroe County, Layton, Key Colony Beach, Key West Building Departments; TDC Visitor's Surveys

Peak Number of People In Seasonal Residences

1	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48
PAED	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1997-1998 [(23 - 21) / 21]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1998-1999 [(25 - 23) / 23]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1999-2000 [(27 - 25) / 25]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 2000-2001 [(29 - 27) / 27]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 2001-2002 [(31 - 29) / 29]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 2002-2003 [(33 - 31) / 31]	Mean Average Percent Increase from 1990 to 2003 [(34+35+36+37+38+39+40+41+42+43+44+45+46) / # of Observations]	Peak Number of Persons Staying In Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2004 [33 + (33 x 47)]
Lower Keys								
1	0.50%	0.25%	0.24%	0.24%	0.23%	0.23%	0.34%	121
2	0.49%	0.21%	0.39%	0.39%	0.39%	0.39%	0.55%	414
3	1.37%	1.25%	1.07%	1.06%	1.05%	1.04%	1.56%	294
4a	1.42%	0.89%	1.21%	1.20%	1.19%	1.17%	1.83%	2,319
4b	2.08%	0.00%	2.78%	2.71%	2.63%	2.57%	4.23%	22
5	0.56%	0.21%	0.96%	0.95%	0.94%	0.93%	1.33%	1,335
6	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0
Subtotal	**1.04%**	**0.62%**	**1.03%**	**1.02%**	**1.01%**	**1.00%**	**1.60%**	**4,506**
Middle Keys								
7	0.29%	0.26%	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	0.49%	0.48%	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	0.28%	0.14%	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	0.36%	0.36%	1.08%	1.07%	1.06%	1.05%	0.98%	210
11	0.00%	0.00%	0.69%	0.68%	0.68%	0.67%	0.63%	514
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**0.30%**	**0.25%**	**0.73%**	**0.79%**	**0.79%**	**0.78%**	**0.72%**	**724**
Upper Keys								
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	0.30%	0.25%	0.76%	0.75%	0.75%	0.74%	1.23%	1,301
16	0.20%	0.15%	0.11%	0.11%	0.11%	0.11%	0.23%	2,190
17	1.52%	0.83%	0.83%	0.83%	0.82%	0.81%	1.73%	1,117
18	0.49%	0.38%	0.35%	0.35%	0.35%	0.34%	0.71%	1,464
19-20 (g)	0.39%	0.50%	0.45%	0.45%	0.45%	0.44%	0.90%	1,139
21	1.42%	1.40%	0.35%	0.35%	0.35%	0.35%	0.65%	2,099
22	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0
Subtotal	**0.70%**	**0.60%**	**0.42%**	**0.42%**	**0.41%**	**0.41%**	**0.84%**	**9,299**
UNINC. TOTAL	**0.70%**	**0.53%**	**0.59%**	**0.62%**	**0.61%**	**0.61%**	**0.94%**	**14,529**
Village of Islamorada	0.33%	0.69%	0.39%	0.39%	0.39%	0.39%	0.43%	4,106
City of Layton	1.26%	1.24%	1.23%	1.21%	1.20%	1.18%	1.17%	89
City of Key Colony B.	1.44%	1.42%	1.09%	1.08%	1.07%	1.06%	1.08%	1,384
City of Marathon	-	-	0.06%	0.35%	0.35%	0.35%	0.28%	3,048
City of Key West (p)	0.63%	0.61%	0.61%	0.60%	0.60%	0.38%	0.61%	2,325
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**0.67%**	**0.61%**	**0.56%**	**0.57%**	**0.57%**	**0.55%**	**0.89%**	**25,481**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Monroe County, Layton, Key Colony Beach, Key West Building Departments; TDC Visitor's Surveys

Peak Number of People in Seasonal Residences

1	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56
PAED	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2005 (o) 48 + (48 x (47 - 0.10%)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2006 (o) 49 + (49 x (47 - 0.20%)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2007 (o) 50 + (50 x (47 - 0.30%)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2008 (o) 51 + (51 x (47 - 0.40%)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2009 (o) 52 + (52 x (47 - 0.50%)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2010 (o) 53 + (53 x (47 - 0.60%)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2011 (o) 54 + (54 x (47 - 0.70%)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2012 (o) 55 + (55 x (47 - 0.80%)
Lower Keys								
1	121	121	121	121	121	121	120	120
2	415	417	418	419	419	419	418	417
3	298	302	306	310	313	316	319	321
4a	2,359	2,398	2,434	2,469	2,502	2,533	2,561	2,588
4b	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
5	1,352	1,367	1,381	1,394	1,406	1,416	1,425	1,432
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	4,670	4,630	4,686	4,739	4,788	4,832	4,872	4,908
Middle Keys								
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	212	213	215	216	217	218	219	219
11	517	519	521	522	523	523	523	522
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	729	733	736	738	740	741	741	741
Upper Keys								
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,316	1,330	1,342	1,353	1,363	1,372	1,379	1,385
16	2,192	2,193	2,191	2,188	2,182	2,174	2,163	2,151
17	1,135	1,152	1,169	1,184	1,199	1,212	1,225	1,236
18	1,463	1,470	1,476	1,481	1,484	1,486	1,486	1,485
19-20 (g)	1,149	1,157	1,164	1,169	1,174	1,178	1,180	1,181
21	2,110	2,120	2,127	2,133	2,136	2,137	2,136	2,133
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	9,365	9,422	9,469	9,508	9,538	9,558	9,569	9,571
UNINC. TOTAL	14,663	14,784	14,891	14,985	15,065	15,131	15,183	15,220
Village of Islamorada	4,120	4,129	4,134	4,136	4,133	4,126	4,115	4,099
City of Layton	90	91	92	93	93	94	94	94
City of Key Colony B.	1,398	1,410	1,421	1,431	1,439	1,446	1,451	1,455
City of Marathon	3,053	3,056	3,055	3,051	3,044	3,035	3,022	3,006
City of Key West (p)	2,334	2,339	2,345	2,350	2,355	2,361	2,366	2,372
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	25,658	25,809	25,938	26,045	26,130	26,192	26,231	26,246

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Monroe County, Layton, Key Colony Beach, Key West Building Departments; TDC Visitor's Surveys

Peak Number of People in Seasonal Residences

1	57	58	59
PAED	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2013 (o) 56 + (56 x (47 - 0.90%))	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2014 (o) [57 + (57 x (47 - 1.0%))	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2015 (o) [58 + (58 x (47 - 1.1%))
Lower Keys			
1	119	118	117
2	415	414	411
3	323	325	327
4a	2,612	2,633	2,652
4b	31	32	33
5	1,438	1,443	1,446
6	0	0	0
Subtotal	4,939	4,966	4,987
Middle Keys			
7	-	-	-
8	-	-	-
9	-	-	-
10	219	219	219
11	520	518	516
12a	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-
13	-	-	-
Subtotal	739	738	735
Upper Keys			
14	-	-	-
15	1,390	1,393	1,395
16	2,137	2,120	2,102
17	1,247	1,256	1,264
18	1,482	1,478	1,472
19-20 (g)	1,181	1,180	1,178
21	2,128	2,120	2,111
22	0	0	0
Subtotal	9,564	9,547	9,521
UNINC. TOTAL	15,242	15,250	15,243
Village of Islamorada	4,080	4,057	4,030
City of Layton	95	95	95
City of Key Colony B.	1,458	1,459	1,459
City of Marathon	2,987	2,966	2,941
City of Key West (p)	2,377	2,382	2,388
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	26,239	26,208	26,155

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

Appendix 7

Peak Population in Hotels and Motels by PAED from 1990 to 2015

Peak Number of People in Hotels and Motels

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
PAED	1990 Census Tract (e)	1990 Census Block Groups	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1990 (u)	Peak Number of Persons per Room (v)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1990 (x)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1990 [(4 x 5) x 6]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1991 (u)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1991 (x)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1991 [(8 x 5) x 9]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1992 (u)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1992 (x)
Lower Keys											
1	9718 & 9719 pt.	N/A	0	2.73	89.5%	0	0	87.3%	0	0	84.2%
2	9717	N/A	35	2.73	89.5%	86	35	87.3%	83	35	84.2%
3	9716	N/A	55	2.73	89.5%	134	55	87.3%	131	55	84.2%
4a	9715	N/A	106	2.73	89.5%	259	106	87.3%	253	106	84.2%
4b	9714 pt.	BG 4 (a)	0	2.73	89.5%	0	0	87.3%	0	0	84.2%
5	9714 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4,5 (a)	55	2.73	89.5%	134	55	87.3%	131	55	84.2%
6	9714 pt. & 9713 pt.	9714 BG 1 (b)	0	2.73	89.5%	0	0	87.3%	0	0	84.2%
Subtotal	-	-	**251**	**2.73**	**89.5%**	**613**	**251**	**87.3%**	**598**	**251**	**84.2%**
Middle Keys											
7	9713, 9712, & 9711	N/A	690	2.73	89.5%	1,686	690	87.3%	1,644	890	84.2%
8	9710 pt.	BG 3 (c)	354	2.73	89.5%	865	354	87.3%	844	354	84.2%
9	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	52	2.73	89.5%	127	52	87.3%	124	52	84.2%
10	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	45	2.73	89.5%	110	45	87.3%	107	45	84.2%
11	9710 pt.	BG 1(e)	28	2.73	89.5%	68	28	87.3%	67	28	84.2%
12a	9709 pt.	BG 5	101	2.73	89.5%	247	101	87.3%	241	101	84.2%
12b	9709 pt.	BG 1,2 (f)	295	2.73	89.5%	721	295	87.3%	703	295	84.2%
13	9709 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4 (f)	750	2.73	89.5%	1,833	790	87.3%	1,883	790	84.2%
Subtotal	-	-	**2,315**	**2.73**	**89.5%**	**5,656**	**2,355**	**87.3%**	**5,613**	**2,355**	**84.2%**
Upper Keys											
14	9708	N/A	99	2.73	89.5%	242	99	87.3%	236	99	84.2%
15	9707	N/A	100	2.73	89.5%	244	100	87.3%	238	100	84.2%
16	9706	N/A	294	2.73	89.5%	718	294	87.3%	701	294	84.2%
17	9705	N/A	490	2.73	89.5%	1,197	490	87.3%	1,166	490	84.2%
18	9704	N/A	149	2.73	89.5%	364	149	87.3%	355	149	84.2%
19-20 (g)	9703	N/A	27	2.73	89.5%	66	27	87.3%	64	63	84.2%
21	9702.98 pt.	BG 1,2,3 (h)	142	2.73	89.5%	347	142	87.3%	338	142	84.2%
22	9701 pt. & 9702.98 pt.	BG 1 (h)	0	2.73	89.5%	0	0	87.3%	0	0	84.2%
Subtotal	-	-	**1,301**	**2.73**	**89.5%**	**3,179**	**1,301**	**87.3%**	**3,101**	**1,357**	**84.2%**
UNINC. TOTAL	-	-	**3,867**	**2.73**	**89.5%**	**9,448**	**3,907**	**87.3%**	**9,312**	**3,963**	**84.2%**
Village of Islamorada	9709	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	9710	BG 1	24	2.73	89.5%	59	24	87.3%	57	24	84.2%
City of Key Colony B.	9710	BG 3	40	2.73	89.5%	98	40	87.3%	95	40	84.2%
City of Marathon	9710-9713	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	9719-9726	N/A	5,074	2.90	89.5%	13,188	5,074	87.3%	12,864	5,074	84.2%
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	-	-	**9,005**	**2.73**	**89.5%**	**22,793**	**9,045**	**87.3%**	**22,328**	**9,101**	**84.2%**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

Peak Number of People in Hotels and Motels

1	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
PAED	Peak Number of People Staying In Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1992 [(11 x 5) x 12]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1993 (u)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1993 (x)	Peak Number of People Staying In Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1993 [(14 x 5) x 15]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1994 (u)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1994 (x)	Peak Number of People Staying In Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1994 [(17 x 5) x 18]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1995 (u)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1995 (x)	Peak Number of People Staying In Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1995 [(20 x 5) x 21]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1996 (u)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1996 (x)
Lower Keys												
1	0	0	91.4%	0	0	86.4%	0	0	84.3%	0	0	86.7%
2	80	35	91.4%	87	35	86.4%	83	35	84.3%	81	35	86.7%
3	126	55	91.4%	137	55	86.4%	130	55	84.3%	127	55	86.7%
4a	244	106	91.4%	264	106	86.4%	250	106	84.3%	244	106	86.7%
4b	0	0	91.4%	0	0	86.4%	0	0	84.3%	0	0	86.7%
5	126	55	91.4%	137	55	86.4%	130	55	84.3%	127	55	86.7%
6	0	0	91.4%	0	0	86.4%	0	0	84.3%	0	0	86.7%
Subtotal	577	251	91.4%	626	251	86.4%	592	251	84.3%	578	251	86.7%
Middle Keys												
7	1,586	690	91.4%	1,722	690	86.4%	1,628	690	84.3%	1,588	690	86.7%
8	814	354	91.4%	883	354	86.4%	835	354	84.3%	815	354	86.7%
9	120	52	91.4%	130	52	86.4%	123	52	84.3%	120	52	86.7%
10	103	45	91.4%	112	45	86.4%	106	45	84.3%	104	45	86.7%
11	64	40	91.4%	100	40	86.4%	94	40	84.3%	92	40	86.7%
12a	232	101	91.4%	252	101	88.4%	238	101	84.3%	232	101	86.7%
12b	678	295	91.4%	736	295	86.4%	696	295	84.3%	679	295	86.7%
13	1,816	790	91.4%	1,971	790	88.4%	1,863	790	84.3%	1,818	790	86.7%
Subtotal	5,413	2,367	91.4%	5,908	2,367	88.4%	5,583	2,367	84.3%	5,447	2,367	86.7%
Upper Keys												
14	228	99	91.4%	247	99	86.4%	234	99	84.3%	228	99	86.7%
15	230	100	91.4%	250	100	86.4%	236	100	84.3%	230	100	86.7%
16	676	294	91.4%	734	294	86.4%	693	294	84.3%	677	294	86.7%
17	1,126	490	91.4%	1,223	490	86.4%	1,156	490	84.3%	1,128	490	86.7%
18	343	266	91.4%	664	266	86.4%	627	266	84.3%	612	266	86.7%
19-20 (g)	191	83	91.4%	207	83	86.4%	196	83	84.3%	191	83	86.7%
21	328	142	91.4%	354	142	86.4%	335	142	84.3%	327	142	86.7%
22	0	0	91.4%	0	0	86.4%	0	0	84.3%	0	0	86.7%
Subtotal	3,119	1,474	91.4%	3,678	1,474	88.4%	3,477	1,474	84.3%	3,392	1,474	86.7%
UNINC. TOTAL	9,110	4,092	91.4%	10,210	4,092	86.4%	9,652	4,092	84.3%	9,417	4,092	86.7%
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	55	24	91.4%	60	24	86.4%	57	24	84.3%	55	24	86.7%
City of Key Colony B.	92	40	91.4%	100	40	86.4%	94	40	84.3%	92	40	86.7%
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	12,407	5,074	91.4%	13,468	5,074	88.4%	12,731	5,074	84.3%	12,422	5,074	86.7%
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	21,663	9,230	91.4%	23,838	9,230	86.4%	22,534	9,230	84.3%	21,986	9,230	86.7%

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: State of Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation; TDC Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; ROGO Ordinance Guidelines

Peak Number of People in Hotels and Motels

1	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
PAED	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1996 [(23 x 5) x 24]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1997 (u)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1997 (x)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1997 [(26 x 5) x 27]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1998 (u)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1998 (x)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1998] (29 x 5) x 30]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1999 (y)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1999 (x)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1999 [(32 x 5) x 33]	Average Peak Occupancy Rate [(6 + 9 + 12 + 15 + 18 + 21 + 24 + 27 + 30 + 33) / # of Observations]
Lower Keys											
1	0	0	86.7%	0	0	81.5%	0	0	82.1%	0	86.0%
2	83	35	86.7%	83	35	81.5%	78	35	82.1%	78	86.0%
3	130	55	86.7%	130	55	81.5%	122	55	82.1%	123	86.0%
4a	251	106	86.7%	251	106	81.5%	236	106	82.1%	238	86.0%
4b	0	0	86.7%	0	0	81.5%	0	0	82.1%	0	86.0%
5	130	55	86.7%	130	55	81.5%	122	55	82.1%	123	86.0%
6	0	0	86.7%	0	0	81.5%	0	0	82.1%	0	86.0%
Subtotal	594	251	86.7%	594	251	81.5%	558	251	82.1%	563	86.0%
Middle Keys											
7	1,633	690	86.7%	1,633	690	81.5%	1,635	772	82.1%	1,730	86.0%
8	838	354	86.7%	838	354	81.5%	788	354	82.1%	793	86.0%
9	123	52	86.7%	123	52	81.5%	116	52	82.1%	117	86.0%
10	107	65	86.7%	154	186	81.5%	414	198	82.1%	444	86.0%
11	95	40	86.7%	95	40	81.5%	89	40	82.1%	90	86.0%
12a	239	101	86.7%	239	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	698	295	86.7%	698	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	1,870	790	86.7%	1,870	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	5,602	2,387	86.7%	5,650	1,322	81.5%	2,941	1,416	82.1%	3,174	86.0%
Upper Keys											
14	234	99	86.7%	234	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	237	100	86.7%	237	100	81.5%	222	100	82.1%	224	86.0%
16	696	294	86.7%	696	294	81.5%	654	294	82.1%	659	86.0%
17	1,160	490	86.7%	1,160	490	81.5%	1,090	490	82.1%	1,098	86.0%
18	630	266	86.7%	630	266	81.5%	592	266	82.1%	596	86.0%
19-20 (g)	196	83	86.7%	196	83	81.5%	185	83	82.1%	188	86.0%
21	336	142	86.7%	336	142	81.5%	316	142	82.1%	318	86.0%
22	0	0	86.7%	0	0	81.5%	0	0	82.1%	0	86.0%
Subtotal	3,489	1,474	86.7%	3,489	1,375	81.5%	3,059	1,375	82.1%	3,082	86.0%
UNINC. TOTAL	9,685	4,112	86.7%	9,733	2,948	81.5%	6,559	3,042	82.1%	6,818	86.0%
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	1,285	81.5%	2,869	1,285	82.1%	2,880	86.0%
City of Layton	57	24	86.7%	57	24	81.5%	53	24	82.1%	54	86.0%
City of Key Colony B.	95	40	86.7%	95	40	81.5%	89	40	82.1%	90	86.0%
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	12,775	5,074	86.7%	12,775	5,074	81.5%	12,009	5,074	82.1%	12,097	86.0%
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	22,612	9,250	86.7%	22,659	9,371	81.5%	21,570	9,465	82.1%	21,939	86.0%

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

1	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45
PAED	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 2000 (y)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2000 [(36 x 5) ÷ 35]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 2001 (y)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2001 [(38 x 5) ÷ 35]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 2002 (y)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2002 [(40 x 5) x 35]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 2003 (z)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2003 [(42 x 5) ÷ 35]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2004 (ee) [(42 x 5) x 35]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2005 (ee) [(42 x 5) x 35]
Lower Keys										
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	35	82	35	82	35	82	35	82	82	82
3	55	129	55	129	55	129	55	129	129	129
4a	106	249	106	249	106	249	106	249	249	249
4b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	55	129	55	129	55	129	55	129	129	129
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	251	589	251	589	251	589	251	589	589	589
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	222	521	246	578	270	634	270	634	634	634
11	40	94	40	94	40	94	40	94	94	94
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	262	615	286	672	310	728	310	728	3,494	3,494
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	100	235	100	235	100	235	100	235	235	235
16	294	690	294	690	294	690	316	742	742	742
17	490	1,151	490	1,151	490	1,151	490	1,151	1,151	1,151
18	266	625	266	625	266	625	266	625	625	625
19-20 (g)	83	195	83	195	83	195	83	195	195	195
21	142	333	142	333	142	333	142	333	333	333
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	1,375	3,229	1,375	3,229	1,375	3,229	1,397	3,280	3,280	3,280
UNINC. TOTAL	1,888	4,433	1,912	4,490	1,936	4,546	1,958	4,598	7,364	7,364
Village of Islamorada	1,285	3,017	1,285	3,017	1,285	3,017	1,285	3,017	3,017	3,017
City of Layton	24	56	24	56	24	56	24	56	56	56
City of Key Colony B.	40	94	40	94	40	94	40	94	94	94
City of Marathon	1,178	2,766	1,178	2,766	1,178	2,766	1,178	2,766	2,766	2,766
City of Key West (p)	5,074	12,673	5,074	12,673	5,074	12,673	5,074	12,673	11,914	11,914
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	9,489	23,040	9,513	23,096	9,537	23,153	9,559	23,204	25,211	25,211

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: State of Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation; TDC Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; ROGO Ordinance Guidelines

Peak Number of People in Hotels and Motels

1	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55
PAED	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2006 (ea) [[42 x 5] x 35]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2007 [46 + (46 x 0.10%)]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Roomx During 2008 [47 + (47 x 0.10%)]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2009] 48 + (48 x 0.10%)]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2010 [49 + (49 x 0.10%)]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Roome During 2011 [50 + (50 x 0.10%)]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2012 [51 + (51 x 0.10%)]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2013] 52 + (52 x 0.10%)]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2014 [53 + (53 x 0.10%)]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2015 [54 + (54 x 0.10%)]
Lower Keys										
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	82	82	82	82	83	83	83	83	83	83
3	129	129	129	130	130	130	130	130	130	130
4a	249	249	249	250	250	250	250	251	251	251
4b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	129	129	129	130	130	130	130	130	130	130
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	589	590	591	591	592	592	593	594	594	595
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	634	635	635	636	637	637	638	638	639	640
11	94	94	94	94	94	94	94	95	95	95
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	3,494	729	729	730	731	732	732	733	734	734
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	235	235	235	236	236	236	236	236	237	237
16	742	743	743	744	745	746	746	747	748	749
17	1,151	1,152	1,153	1,154	1,155	1,156	1,157	1,159	1,160	1,161
18	625	625	626	626	627	628	628	629	630	630
19-20 (g)	195	195	195	195	196	196	196	196	196	197
21	333	334	334	334	335	335	335	336	336	336
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	3,280	3,284	3,287	3,290	3,293	3,297	3,300	3,303	3,307	3,310
UNINC. TOTAL	7,364	4,602	4,607	4,611	4,616	4,621	4,625	4,630	4,634	4,639
Village of Islamorada	3,017	3,020	3,023	3,026	3,029	3,032	3,035	3,038	3,041	3,044
City of Layton	56	56	56	57	57	57	57	57	57	57
City of Key Colony B.	94	94	94	94	94	94	94	95	95	95
City of Marathon	2,766	2,769	2,772	2,774	2,777	2,780	2,783	2,785	2,788	2,791
City of Key West (p)	11,914	11,926	11,938	11,950	11,962	11,974	11,986	11,998	12,010	12,022
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	25,211	22,467	22,490	22,512	22,535	22,557	22,580	22,602	22,625	22,648

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: State of Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation; TDC Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; ROGO Ordinance Guidelines

Appendix 8

Peak Population in Campsites and Recreational Vehicles by PAED from 1990 to 2015

Peak Number of People In Campsites and Recreational Vehicles

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
PAED	1990 Census Tract (s)	1990 Census Block Groups	Total Number of Campsite and Recreational Vehicle Sites (sb)	Peak Number of Persons Per Site or Vehicle (sc)	Peak Utilization Rate (sd)	1990 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	1991 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	1992 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	1993 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	1994 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	1995 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]
Lower Keys											
1	9718 & 9719 pt.	N/A	217	2.93	94.31%	600	600	600	600	600	600
2	9717	N/A	179	2.93	94.31%	495	495	495	495	495	495
3	9716	N/A	394	2.93	94.31%	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089
4a	9715	N/A	100	2.93	94.31%	276	276	276	276	276	276
4b	9714 pt.	BG 4 (a)	0	2.93	94.31%	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	9714 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4,5 (a)	459	2.93	94.31%	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268
6	9714 pt. & 9713 pt.	9714 BG 1 (b)	590	2.93	94.31%	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630
Subtotal	-	-	**1,939**	**2.93**	**94.31%**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**
Middle Keys											
7	9713, 9712, & 9711	N/A	609	2.93	94.31%	1,683	1,683	1,683	1,683	1,683	1,683
8	9710 pt.	BG 3 (c)	65	2.93	94.31%	180	180	180	180	180	180
9	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	220	2.93	94.31%	608	608	608	608	608	608
10	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	0	2.93	94.31%	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	9710 pt.	BG 1(e)	415	2.93	94.31%	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147
12a	9709 pt.	BG 5	2	2.93	94.31%	6	6	6	6	6	6
12b	9709 pt.	BG 1,2 (f)	0	2.93	94.31%	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	9709 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4 (f)	85	2.93	94.31%	235	235	235	235	235	235
Subtotal	-	-	**1,396**	**2.93**	**94.31%**	**3,858**	**3,858**	**3,858**	**3,858**	**3,858**	**3,858**
Upper Keys											
14	9708	N/A	63	2.93	94.31%	174	174	174	174	174	174
15	9707	N/A	0	2.93	94.31%	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	9706	N/A	388	2.93	94.31%	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072
17	9705	N/A	0	2.93	94.31%	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	9704	N/A	692	2.93	94.31%	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912
19-20	9703	N/A	274	2.93	94.31%	757	757	757	757	757	757
21	9702.98 pt.	BG 1,2,3 (h)	43	2.93	94.31%	119	119	119	119	119	119
22	9701 pt.& 9702.98 pt.	BG 1 (h)	0	2.93	94.31%	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	-	-	**1,460**	**2.93**	**94.31%**	**4,034**	**4,034**	**4,034**	**4,034**	**4,034**	**4,034**
UNINC. TOTAL	-	-	**4,795**	**2.93**	**94.31%**	**13,250**	**13,250**	**13,250**	**13,250**	**13,250**	**13,250**
Village of Islamorada	9709	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	9710	BG 1	0	2.93	94.31%	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Key Colony B.	9710	BG 3	0	2.93	94.31%	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marathon	9710-9713	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West	9719-9726	N/A	106	2.93	94.31%	293	293	293	293	293	293
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	-	-	**4,901**	**2.93**	**94.31%**	**13,543**	**13,543**	**13,543**	**13,543**	**13,543**	**13,543**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: TDC 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix: Sampling Methodologies and Estimation Methods

1	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
PAEO	1996 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	1997 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	1998 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	1999 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	2000 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	2001 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	2002 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsitex [(4 x 5) x 6]	2003 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	2004 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	2005 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	2006 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	2007 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites 21 + (21 x 0.10%)
Lower Keys												
1	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600
2	495	495	495	495	495	495	495	495	495	495	495	495
3	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,090
4a	276	276	276	276	276	276	276	276	276	276	276	277
4b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,270
6	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,632
Subtotal	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,363**
Middle Keys												
7	1,683	1,683	1,683	1,683	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	180	180	180	180	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	608	608	608	608	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,148
12a	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	235	235	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**3,858**	**3,858**	**3,617**	**3,617**	**1,147**	**1,147**	**1,147**	**1,147**	**1,147**	**1,147**	**1,147**	**1,148**
Upper Keys												
14	174	174	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,073
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,914
19-20	757	757	757	757	757	757	757	757	757	757	757	758
21	119	119	119	119	119	119	119	119	119	119	119	119
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**4,034**	**4,034**	**3,860**	**3,860**	**3,860**	**3,860**	**3,860**	**3,860**	**3,860**	**3,860**	**3,860**	**3,864**
UNINC. TOTAL	**13,250**	**13,250**	**12,835**	**12,835**	**10,365**	**10,365**	**10,365**	**10,365**	**10,365**	**10,365**	**10,365**	**10,375**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	415	415	415	415	415	415	415	415	415	415
City of Layton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Key Colony B.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	2,471	2,471	2,471	2,471	2,471	2,471	2,471	2,473
City of Key West	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**13,543**	**13,543**	**13,543**	**13,543**	**13,544**	**13,544**	**13,544**	**13,544**	**13,544**	**13,544**	**13,544**	**13,558**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: TDC 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix: Sampling Methodologies and Estimation Methods

Peak Number of People In Campsites and Recreational Vehicles

1	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
PAED	2008 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites 22 + (22 x 0.10%)	2009 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites 23 + (23 x 0.10%)	2010 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites 24 + (24 x 0.10%)	2011 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites 25 + (25 x 0.10%)	2012 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites 26 + (26 x 0.10%)	2013 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites 27 + (27 x 0.10%)	2014 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites 28 + (28 x 0.10%)	2015 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites 29 + (29 x 0.10%)]
Lower Keys								
1	601	601	602	603	603	604	604	605
2	496	496	497	497	498	498	499	499
3	1,091	1,092	1,093	1,094	1,095	1,096	1,097	1,099
4a	277	277	277	278	278	278	279	279
4b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	1,271	1,272	1,273	1,275	1,276	1,277	1,279	1,280
6	1,634	1,635	1,637	1,639	1,640	1,642	1,643	1,645
Subtotal	**5,369**	**5,374**	**5,379**	**5,385**	**5,390**	**5,396**	**5,401**	**5,406**
Middle Keys								
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	1,149	1,150	1,151	1,153	1,154	1,155	1,156	1,157
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**1,149**	**1,150**	**1,151**	**1,153**	**1,154**	**1,155**	**1,156**	**1,157**
Upper Keys								
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	1,074	1,075	1,076	1,078	1,079	1,080	1,081	1,082
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	1,916	1,918	1,920	1,922	1,924	1,926	1,928	1,929
19-20	759	759	760	761	762	762	763	764
21	119	119	119	119	120	120	120	120
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**3,868**	**3,872**	**3,876**	**3,880**	**3,884**	**3,887**	**3,891**	**3,895**
UNINC. TOTAL	**10,386**	**10,396**	**10,407**	**10,417**	**10,427**	**10,438**	**10,448**	**10,459**
Village of Islamorada	416	416	417	417	417	418	418	419
City of Layton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Key Colony B.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marathon	2,476	2,478	2,481	2,483	2,486	2,488	2,491	2,493
City of Key West	293	294	294	294	295	295	295	296
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**13,571**	**13,585**	**13,598**	**13,612**	**13,625**	**13,639**	**13,653**	**13,666**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: TDC 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix: Sampling Methodologies and Estimation Methods

Appendix 9

Peak Population Staying with Friends and/or Relatives by PAED from 1990 to 2015

Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
PAED	1990 Census Tract (e)	1990 Census Block Groups	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1990 (ae)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1990 (af)	1990 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	1990 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) [(4 + 5 + 6) x 15.5%]	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1991 (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1991 (af)	1991 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)
Lower Keys									
1	9718	N/A	115	0	600	111	116	0	600
2	9717	N/A	383	86	495	149	388	83	495
3	9716	N/A	237	134	1,089	226	241	131	1,089
4a	9715	N/A	1,800	259	276	362	1,860	253	276
4b	9714 pt.	BG 4 (a)	13	0	0	2	14	0	0
5	9714 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4,5 (a)	1,111	134	1,268	390	1,144	131	1,268
6	9714 pt. & 9713 pt.	9714 BG 1 (b)	0	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630
Subtotal	-	-	**3,659**	**613**	**5,358**	**1,493**	**3,763**	**598**	**5,358**
Middle Keys									
7	9713, 9712, & 9711	N/A	2,091	1,686	1,683	846	2,102	1,644	1,683
8	9710 pt.	BG 3 (c)	533	865	180	245	539	844	180
9	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	244	127	608	152	246	124	608
10	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	183	110	0	45	186	107	0
11	9710 pt.	BG 1(e)	471	68	1,147	261	471	67	1,147
12a	9709 pt.	BG 5	796	247	6	162	820	241	6
12b	9709 pt.	BG 1,2 (f)	101	721	0	127	108	703	0
13	9709 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4 (f)	590	1,833	235	412	598	1,883	235
Subtotal	-	-	**5,009**	**5,656**	**3,858**	**2,251**	**5,070**	**5,613**	**3,858**
Upper Keys									
14	9708	N/A	2,126	242	174	394	2,189	236	174
15	9707	N/A	1,097	244	0	208	1,140	238	0
16	9706	N/A	2,121	718	1,072	606	2,127	701	1,072
17	9705	N/A	879	1,197	0	322	903	1,168	0
18	9704	N/A	1,317	364	1,912	557	1,331	355	1,912
19-20 (g)	9703	N/A	1,005	66	757	283	1,038	64	757
21	9702.98 pt.	BG 1,2,3 (h)	1,916	347	119	369	1,932	338	119
22	9701 pt. & 9702.98 pt.	BG 1 (h)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	-	-	**10,460**	**3,179**	**4,034**	**2,739**	**10,661**	**3,101**	**4,034**
TOTAL	-	-	**19,128**	**9,448**	**13,250**	**6,483**	**19,494**	**9,312**	**13,250**
Village of Islamorada	9709	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	9710	BG 1	76	59	0	21	77	57	0
City of Key Colony B.	9710	BG 3	1,192	98	0	200	1,197	95	0
City of Marathon	9713-9710	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West	9719-9726	N/A	2,139	13,188	293	2,421	2,153	12,864	293
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	-	-	**22,535**	**22,793**	**13,543**	**9,125**	**22,922**	**22,328**	**13,543**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives

1	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
PAED	1991 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) [[8 + 9 + 10] x 15.5%]	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1992 (as)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1992 (af)	1992 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	1992 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) [[12 + 13 + 14] x 15.5%]	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1993 (as)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1993 (af)	1993 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	1993 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) [[16 + 17 + 18] x 15.5%]	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1994 (as)
Lower Keys										
1	111	116	0	600	111	116	0	600	111	117
2	150	390	80	495	150	391	87	495	151	395
3	226	246	126	1,089	227	253	137	1,089	229	258
4a	370	1,903	244	276	376	1,949	264	276	386	1,990
4b	2	15	0	0	2	16	0	0	3	17
5	394	1,166	126	1,268	397	1,198	137	1,268	404	1,216
6	253	0	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630	253	0
Subtotal	**1,506**	**3,836**	**577**	**5,358**	**1,514**	**3,923**	**626**	**5,358**	**1,536**	**3,993**
Middle Keys										
7	842	2,116	1,586	1,683	835	2,125	1,722	1,683	857	2,134
8	242	542	814	160	238	547	883	180	250	552
9	152	248	120	608	151	251	130	608	153	252
10	45	189	103	0	45	192	112	0	47	195
11	261	472	64	1,147	261	473	100	1,147	267	473
12a	185	849	232	6	168	860	252	6	173	871
12b	126	108	678	0	122	108	736	0	131	108
13	421	602	1,816	235	411	610	1,971	235	436	611
Subtotal	**2,254**	**5,126**	**5,413**	**3,858**	**2,232**	**5,167**	**5,906**	**3,858**	**2,314**	**5,196**
Upper Keys										
14	403	2,232	228	174	408	2,262	247	174	416	2,287
15	214	1,151	230	0	214	1,188	250	0	223	1,224
16	604	2,133	676	1,072	602	2,139	734	1,072	611	2,150
17	321	926	1,126	0	318	970	1,223	0	340	989
18	558	1,339	343	1,912	557	1,380	664	1,912	613	1,386
19-20 (g)	288	1,059	191	757	311	1,075	207	757	316	1,080
21	370	1,945	326	119	371	1,957	354	119	377	1,961
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**2,758**	**10,786**	**3,119**	**4,034**	**2,781**	**10,970**	**3,678**	**4,034**	**2,896**	**11,077**
TOTAL	**6,519**	**19,748**	**9,110**	**13,250**	**6,527**	**20,060**	**10,210**	**13,250**	**6,746**	**20,265**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	21	78	55	0	21	78	60	0	21	79
City of Key Colony B.	200	1,212	92	0	202	1,233	100	0	207	1,241
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West	2,373	2,167	12,407	293	2,304	2,181	13,468	293	2,471	2,195
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**9,113**	**23,205**	**21,663**	**13,543**	**9,054**	**23,553**	**23,838**	**13,543**	**9,445**	**23,781**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives

1	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
PAED	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1994 (ae)	1994 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	1994 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) [(20 + 21 + 22) x 15.5%]	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1995 (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1995 (af)	1995 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	1995 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) [(24 + 25 + 26) x 15.5%]	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1996 (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1996 (af)	1996 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)
Lower Keys										
1	0	600	111	117	0	600	111	118	0	600
2	83	495	151	397	81	495	151	400	83	495
3	130	1,089	229	262	127	1,089	229	267	130	1,089
4a	250	276	390	2,038	244	276	397	2,083	251	276
4b	0	0	3	17	0	0	3	17	0	0
5	130	1,268	405	1,232	127	1,268	407	1,253	130	1,268
6	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630
Subtotal	**592**	**5,358**	**1,541**	**4,063**	**578**	**5,358**	**1,550**	**4,138**	**594**	**5,358**
Middle Keys										
7	1,628	1,683	844	2,145	1,588	1,683	839	2,152	1,633	1,683
8	835	180	243	556	815	180	240	561	838	180
9	123	608	152	254	120	608	152	257	123	608
10	106	0	47	196	104	0	46	196	107	0
11	94	1,147	266	473	92	1,147	265	492	95	1,147
12a	238	6	173	885	232	6	174	895	239	6
12b	696	0	125	110	679	0	122	110	698	0
13	1,863	235	420	613	1,818	235	413	615	1,870	235
Subtotal	**5,583**	**3,858**	**2,269**	**5,233**	**5,447**	**3,858**	**2,253**	**5,277**	**5,602**	**3,858**
Upper Keys										
14	234	174	418	2,310	228	174	420	2,327	234	174
15	236	0	226	1,229	230	0	226	1,239	237	0
16	693	1,072	607	2,158	677	1,072	605	2,164	696	1,072
17	1,156	0	333	1,007	1,128	0	331	1,025	1,160	0
18	627	1,912	608	1,394	612	1,912	607	1,402	630	1,912
19-20 (g)	196	757	315	1,086	191	757	315	1,094	196	757
21	335	119	374	1,969	327	119	374	1,988	336	119
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**3,477**	**4,034**	**2,881**	**11,154**	**3,392**	**4,034**	**2,880**	**11,238**	**3,489**	**4,034**
TOTAL	**9,652**	**13,250**	**6,691**	**20,450**	**9,417**	**13,250**	**6,683**	**20,654**	**9,685**	**13,250**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	57	0	21	80	55	0	21	81	57	0
City of Key Colony B.	94	0	207	1,259	92	0	209	1,265	95	0
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West	12,731	293	2,359	2,210	12,422	293	2,313	2,224	12,775	293
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**22,534**	**13,543**	**9,278**	**23,999**	**21,986**	**13,543**	**9,227**	**24,224**	**22,612**	**13,543**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

1	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
PAED	1996 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) [(28 + 29 + 30) x 15.5%]	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1997 (as)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1997 (af)	1997 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	1997 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) [(32 + 33 + 34) x 15.5%]	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1998 (as)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1998 (af)	1998 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	1998 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (36 + 37 + 38) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1999 (as)
Lower Keys										
1	111	118	0	600	111	119	0	600	111	119
2	152	402	83	495	152	404	78	495	151	405
3	230	271	130	1,089	231	274	122	1,089	230	278
4a	405	2,123	251	276	411	2,153	236	276	413	2,172
4b	3	19	0	0	3	19	0	0	3	19
5	411	1,260	130	1,268	412	1,267	122	1,268	412	1,269
6	253	0	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630	253	0
Subtotal	**1,564**	**4,193**	**594**	**5,358**	**1,572**	**4,236**	**558**	**5,358**	**1,574**	**4,263**
Middle Keys										
7	848	2,161	1,633	1,683	849	2,167	1,535	1,683	835	2,173
8	245	564	838	180	245	567	788	180	238	570
9	153	259	123	608	153	259	116	608	152	260
10	47	198	154	0	54	198	414	0	95	199
11	269	497	95	1,147	270	497	89	1,147	269	497
12a	177	900	239	6	177	-	-	-	-	-
12b	125	111	698	0	125	-	-	-	-	-
13	422	616	1,870	235	422	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**2,284**	**5,306**	**5,650**	**3,858**	**2,296**	**3,690**	**2,941**	**3,617**	**1,588**	**3,699**
Upper Keys										
14	424	2,358	234	174	429	-	-	-	-	-
15	229	1,241	237	0	229	1,244	222	0	227	1,248
16	609	2,167	696	1,072	610	2,172	654	1,072	604	2,175
17	339	1,038	1,160	0	341	1,054	1,090	0	332	1,062
18	811	1,412	630	1,912	613	1,419	592	1,912	608	1,424
19-20 (g)	317	1,099	196	757	318	1,104	185	757	317	1,109
21	379	2,000	336	119	380	2,028	316	119	382	2,056
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**2,908**	**11,315**	**3,489**	**4,034**	**2,920**	**9,020**	**3,059**	**3,860**	**2,471**	**9,074**
TOTAL	**6,756**	**20,813**	**9,733**	**13,250**	**6,788**	**16,946**	**6,559**	**12,835**	**5,633**	**17,036**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	3,998	2,859	415	1,127	4,025
City of Layton	21	82	57	0	22	83	53	0	21	84
City of Key Colony B.	211	1,276	95	0	212	1,294	89	0	214	1,312
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West	2,370	2,238	12,775	293	2,372	2,252	12,009	293	2,256	2,266
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**9,359**	**24,409**	**22,659**	**13,543**	**9,395**	**24,572**	**21,570**	**13,543**	**9,251**	**24,723**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives

1	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
PAED	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1999 (af)	1999 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	1999 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (40 + 41 + 42) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2000 (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2000 (af)	2000 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2000 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (44 + 45 + 46) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2001 (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2001 (af)	2001 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)
Lower Keys										
1	0	600	111	120	0	600	111	120	0	600
2	78	495	152	407	82	495	152	408	82	495
3	123	1,089	231	281	129	1,089	232	284	129	1,089
4a	238	276	416	2,198	249	276	422	2,225	249	276
4b	0	0	3	20	0	0	3	20	0	0
5	123	1,268	412	1,282	129	1,268	415	1,294	129	1,268
6	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630
Subtotal	**563**	**5,358**	**1,578**	**4,307**	**589**	**5,358**	**1,589**	**4,351**	**589**	**5,358**
Middle Keys										
7	1,730	1,683	866	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	793	180	239	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	117	608	153	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	444	0	100	201	521	0	112	203	578	0
11	90	1,147	269	501	94	1,147	270	504	94	1,147
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	3,174	3,617	1,626	702	615	1,147	382	708	672	1,147
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	224	0	228	1,257	235	0	231	1,266	235	0
16	659	1,072	605	2,177	690	1,072	611	2,180	690	1,072
17	1,098	0	335	1,071	1,151	0	344	1,080	1,151	0
18	596	1,912	610	1,429	625	1,912	615	1,434	625	1,912
19-20 (g)	186	757	318	1,114	195	757	320	1,119	195	757
21	318	119	386	2,063	333	119	390	2,071	333	119
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**3,082**	**3,860**	**2,483**	**9,112**	**3,229**	**3,860**	**2,511**	**9,150**	**3,229**	**3,860**
TOTAL	**6,818**	**12,835**	**5,687**	**14,121**	**4,433**	**10,365**	**4,482**	**14,208**	**4,490**	**10,365**
Village of Islamorada	2,880	415	1,135	4,041	3,017	415	1,158	4,057	3,017	415
City of Layton	54	0	21	85	56	0	22	86	56	0
City of Key Colony B.	90	0	217	1,327	94	0	220	1,341	94	0
City of Marathon	-	-	-	3,008	2,766	2,471	1,278	3,018	2,766	2,471
City of Key West	12,097	293	2,272	2,280	12,673	293	2,363	2,293	12,673	293
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**21,939**	**13,543**	**9,332**	**24,860**	**23,040**	**13,544**	**9,524**	**25,003**	**23,096**	**13,544**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study: 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix: Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

1	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59
PAED	2001 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (48 + 49 + 50) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2002 (as)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2002 (af)	2002 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2002 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (52 + 53 + 54) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2003 (as)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2003 (af)	2003 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2003 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (56 + 55 + 56) x 15.5%
Lower Keys									
1	112	120	0	600	112	120	0	600	112
2	153	410	82	495	153	411	82	495	153
3	233	287	129	1,089	233	290	129	1,089	234
4a	426	2,251	249	276	430	2,278	249	276	434
4b	3	21	0	0	3	22	0	0	3
5	417	1,306	129	1,268	419	1,318	129	1,268	421
6	253	0	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630	253
Subtotal	**1,596**	**4,395**	**589**	**5,358**	**1,603**	**4,438**	**589**	**5,358**	**1,610**
Middle Keys									
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	121	206	634	0	130	208	634	0	130
11	270	508	94	1,147	271	511	94	1,147	272
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**392**	**713**	**728**	**1,147**	**401**	**719**	**728**	**1,147**	**402**
Upper Keys									
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	233	1,276	235	0	234	1,285	235	0	236
16	611	2,182	690	1,072	611	2,185	742	1,072	620
17	346	1,089	1,151	0	347	1,098	1,151	0	348
18	615	1,439	625	1,912	616	1,444	625	1,912	617
19-20 (g)	321	1,124	195	757	322	1,129	195	757	323
21	391	2,078	333	119	392	2,085	333	119	393
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**2,517**	**9,188**	**3,229**	**3,860**	**2,523**	**9,225**	**3,280**	**3,860**	**2,537**
TOTAL	**4,505**	**14,295**	**4,546**	**10,365**	**4,527**	**14,383**	**4,598**	**10,365**	**4,549**
Village of Islamorada	1,161	4,073	3,017	415	1,163	4,088	3,017	415	1,166
City of Layton	22	87	56	0	22	88	56	0	22
City of Key Colony B.	222	1,355	94	0	225	1,370	94	0	227
City of Marathon	1,280	3,029	2,766	2,471	1,281	3,039	2,766	2,471	1,283
City of Key West	2,365	2,307	12,673	293	2,367	2,316	12,673	293	2,369
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**9,555**	**25,146**	**23,153**	**13,544**	**9,586**	**25,284**	**23,204**	**13,544**	**9,615**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives

1	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69
PAED	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2004 (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2004 (af)	2004 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2004 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (60 + 61 + 62) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2005 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2005 (af)	2005 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2005 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (64 + 65 + 66) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2006 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2006 (af)
Lower Keys										
1	121	0	600	112	121	0	600	112	121	0
2	414	82	495	154	415	82	495	154	417	82
3	294	129	1,089	234	298	129	1,089	235	302	129
4a	2,319	249	276	441	2,359	249	276	447	2,398	249
4b	22	0	0	3	23	0	0	4	24	0
5	1,335	129	1,268	424	1,352	129	1,268	426	1,367	129
6	0	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630	253	0	0
Subtotal	**4,506**	**589**	**5,358**	**1,620**	**4,570**	**589**	**5,358**	**1,630**	**4,630**	**589**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	210	634	0	131	212	634	0	131	213	634
11	514	94	1,147	272	517	94	1,147	272	519	94
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**724**	**3,494**	**1,147**	**403**	**729**	**3,494**	**1,147**	**404**	**733**	**3,494**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,301	235	0	238	1,316	235	0	240	1,330	235
16	2,190	742	1,072	621	2,192	742	1,072	621	2,193	742
17	1,117	1,151	0	351	1,135	1,151	0	354	1,152	1,151
18	1,454	625	1,912	619	1,463	625	1,912	620	1,470	625
19-20 (g)	1,139	195	757	324	1,149	195	757	326	1,157	195
21	2,099	333	119	395	2,110	333	119	397	2,120	333
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**9,299**	**3,280**	**3,860**	**2,548**	**9,365**	**3,280**	**3,860**	**2,558**	**9,422**	**3,280**
TOTAL	**14,529**	**7,364**	**10,365**	**4,571**	**14,663**	**7,364**	**10,365**	**4,592**	**14,784**	**7,364**
Village of Islamorada	4,106	3,017	415	1,168	4,120	3,017	415	1,170	4,129	3,017
City of Layton	89	56	0	23	90	56	0	23	91	56
City of Key Colony B.	1,384	94	0	229	1,398	94	0	231	1,410	94
City of Marathon	3,048	2,766	2,471	1,284	3,053	2,766	2,471	1,285	3,056	2,766
City of Key West	2,325	11,914	293	2,252	2,334	11,914	293	2,254	2,339	11,914
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**25,481**	**25,211**	**13,544**	**9,528**	**25,658**	**25,211**	**13,544**	**9,555**	**25,809**	**25,211**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives

1	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79
PAED	2006 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2006 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (68 + 69 + 70) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2007 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2007 (af)	2007 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2007 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (71 + 72 + 73) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2008 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2008 (af)	2008 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2008 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (76 + 77 + 78) x 15.5%
Lower Keys										
1	600	112	121	0	600	112	121	0	601	112
2	495	154	418	82	495	154	419	82	496	154
3	1,089	236	306	129	1,090	236	310	129	1,091	237
4a	276	453	2,434	249	277	459	2,469	249	277	464
4b	0	4	25	0	0	4	26	0	0	4
5	1,268	429	1,381	129	1,270	431	1,394	129	1,271	433
6	1,630	253	0	0	1,632	253	0	0	1,634	253
Subtotal	**5,358**	**1,639**	**4,686**	**590**	**5,363**	**1,649**	**4,739**	**591**	**5,369**	**1,658**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	0	131	215	635	0	132	216	635	0	132
11	1,147	273	521	94	1,148	273	522	94	1,149	274
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**1,147**	**404**	**736**	**729**	**1,148**	**405**	**738**	**729**	**1,149**	**406**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	0	242	1,342	235	0	244	1,353	235	0	246
16	1,072	621	2,191	743	1,073	621	2,188	743	1,074	621
17	0	357	1,169	1,152	0	360	1,184	1,153	0	362
18	1,912	621	1,476	625	1,914	622	1,481	626	1,916	624
19-20 (g)	757	327	1,164	195	758	328	1,169	195	759	329
21	119	399	2,127	334	119	400	2,133	334	119	401
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**3,860**	**2,567**	**9,469**	**3,284**	**3,864**	**2,576**	**9,508**	**3,287**	**3,868**	**2,583**
TOTAL	**10,365**	**4,611**	**14,891**	**4,602**	**10,375**	**4,630**	**14,985**	**4,607**	**10,386**	**4,647**
Village of Islamorada	415	1,172	4,134	3,020	415	1,173	4,136	3,023	416	1,174
City of Layton	0	23	92	56	0	23	93	56	0	23
City of Key Colony B.	0	233	1,421	94	0	235	1,431	94	0	236
City of Marathon	2,471	1,285	3,055	2,769	2,473	1,286	3,051	2,772	2,476	1,286
City of Key West	293	2,255	2,345	11,926	293	2,257	2,350	11,938	293	2,260
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**13,544**	**9,579**	**25,938**	**22,467**	**13,558**	**9,604**	**26,045**	**22,490**	**13,571**	**9,626**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives

1	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89
PAED	Peak Number of Persons Staying In Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2009 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying In Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2009 (af)	2009 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites (ag)	2009 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (80 + 81 + 82) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying In Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2010 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying In Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2010 (af)	2010 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites (ag)	2010 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (84 + 85 + 86) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying In Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2011 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying In Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2011 (af)
Lower Keys										
1	121	0	601	112	121	0	602	112	120	0
2	419	82	496	155	419	83	497	155	418	83
3	313	130	1,092	238	316	130	1,093	239	319	130
4a	2,502	250	277	469	2,533	250	277	474	2,561	250
4b	27	0	0	4	28	0	0	4	29	0
5	1,406	130	1,272	435	1,416	130	1,273	437	1,425	130
6	0	0	1,635	253	0	0	1,637	254	0	0
Subtotal	**4,788**	**591**	**5,374**	**1,667**	**4,832**	**592**	**5,379**	**1,675**	**4,872**	**592**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	217	636	0	132	218	637	0	132	219	637
11	523	94	1,150	274	523	94	1,151	274	523	94
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**740**	**730**	**1,150**	**406**	**741**	**731**	**1,151**	**407**	**741**	**732**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,363	236	0	246	1,372	236	0	249	1,379	236
16	2,182	744	1,075	620	2,174	745	1,076	619	2,163	746
17	1,199	1,154	0	365	1,212	1,155	0	367	1,225	1,158
18	1,484	626	1,918	624	1,486	627	1,920	625	1,486	828
19-20 (g)	1,174	195	759	330	1,178	196	760	331	1,180	196
21	2,136	334	119	401	2,137	335	119	402	2,136	335
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**9,538**	**3,290**	**3,872**	**2,588**	**9,558**	**3,293**	**3,876**	**2,593**	**9,569**	**3,297**
TOTAL	**15,065**	**4,611**	**10,396**	**4,661**	**15,131**	**4,616**	**10,407**	**4,674**	**15,183**	**4,621**
Village of Islamorada	4,133	3,026	416	1,174	4,126	3,029	417	1,174	4,115	3,032
City of Layton	93	57	0	23	94	57	0	23	94	57
City of Key Colony B.	1,439	94	0	238	1,446	94	0	239	1,451	94
City of Marathon	3,044	2,774	2,478	1,286	3,035	2,777	2,481	1,285	3,022	2,780
City of Key West	2,355	11,950	294	2,263	2,361	11,962	294	2,266	2,366	11,974
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**26,130**	**22,512**	**13,585**	**9,645**	**26,192**	**22,535**	**13,598**	**9,660**	**26,231**	**22,557**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

1	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99
PAED	2011 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2011 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (88 + 89 + 90) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2012 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2012 (af)	2012 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2012 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (92 + 93 + 94) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2013 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2013 (af)	2013 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2013 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (96 + 97 + 98) x 15.5%
Lower Keys										
1	603	112	120	0	603	112	119	0	604	112
2	497	155	417	83	498	155	415	83	498	154
3	1,094	239	321	130	1,095	240	323	130	1,096	240
4a	278	479	2,588	250	278	483	2,612	251	278	487
4b	0	5	30	0	0	5	31	0	0	5
5	1,275	439	1,432	130	1,276	440	1,438	130	1,277	441
6	1,639	254	0	0	1,640	254	0	0	1,642	254
Subtotal	**5,385**	**1,682**	**4,908**	**593**	**5,390**	**1,688**	**4,939**	**594**	**5,396**	**1,694**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	0	133	219	638	0	133	219	638	0	133
11	1,153	274	522	94	1,154	274	520	95	1,155	274
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**1,153**	**407**	**741**	**732**	**1,154**	**407**	**739**	**733**	**1,155**	**407**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	0	250	1,385	236	0	251	1,390	236	0	252
16	1,078	618	2,151	746	1,079	616	2,137	747	1,080	614
17	0	369	1,236	1,157	0	371	1,247	1,159	0	373
18	1,922	628	1,485	628	1,924	626	1,482	629	1,926	628
19-20 (g)	761	331	1,181	196	762	332	1,181	196	762	332
21	119	402	2,133	335	120	401	2,128	336	120	400
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**3,880**	**2,596**	**9,571**	**3,300**	**3,884**	**2,597**	**9,564**	**3,303**	**3,887**	**2,597**
TOTAL	**10,417**	**4,684**	**15,220**	**4,625**	**10,427**	**4,692**	**15,242**	**4,630**	**10,438**	**4,698**
Village of Islamorada	417	1,172	4,099	3,035	417	1,171	4,080	3,038	418	1,168
City of Layton	0	23	94	57	0	23	95	57	0	23
City of Key Colony B.	0	240	1,455	94	0	240	1,458	95	0	241
City of Marathon	2,483	1,284	3,006	2,783	2,486	1,283	2,987	2,785	2,488	1,280
City of Key West	294	2,268	2,372	11,986	295	2,271	2,377	11,998	295	2,274
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**13,612**	**9,672**	**26,246**	**22,580**	**13,625**	**9,680**	**26,239**	**22,602**	**13,639**	**9,684**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives

1	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107
PAED	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2014 (o) (aa)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2014 (at)	2014 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2014 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (96 + 97 + 98) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2015 (o) (aa)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2015 (at)	2015 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2015 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (104 + 105 + 106) x 15.5%
Lower Keys								
1	118	0	604	112	117	0	605	112
2	414	83	499	154	411	83	499	154
3	325	130	1,097	241	327	130	1,099	241
4a	2,633	251	279	490	2,652	251	279	493
4b	32	0	0	5	33	0	0	5
5	1,443	130	1,279	442	1,446	130	1,280	443
6	0	0	1,643	255	0	0	1,645	255
Subtotal	4,966	594	5,401	1,699	4,987	595	5,406	1,703
Middle Keys								
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	219	639	0	133	219	640	0	133
11	518	95	1,156	274	516	95	1,157	274
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	738	734	1,156	407	735	734	1,157	407
Upper Keys								
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,393	237	0	253	1,395	237	0	253
16	2,120	748	1,081	612	2,102	749	1,082	609
17	1,256	1,160	0	374	1,264	1,161	0	376
18	1,478	630	1,928	625	1,472	630	1,929	625
19-20 (g)	1,180	196	763	332	1,178	197	764	331
21	2,120	336	120	399	2,111	336	120	398
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	9,547	3,307	3,891	2,595	9,521	3,310	3,895	2,592
TOTAL	**15,250**	**4,634**	**10,448**	**4,702**	**15,243**	**4,639**	**10,459**	**4,703**
Village of Islamorada	4,057	3,041	418	1,165	4,030	3,044	419	1,161
City of Layton	95	57	0	24	95	57	0	24
City of Key Colony B.	1,459	95	0	241	1,459	95	0	241
City of Marathon	2,966	2,788	2,491	1,278	2,941	2,791	2,493	1,275
City of Key West	2,382	12,010	295	2,277	2,388	12,022	296	2,279
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**26,208**	**22,625**	**13,653**	**9,685**	**26,155**	**22,648**	**13,666**	**9,683**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

Appendix 10

Peak Population Staying on Live Aboard Vessels by PAED from 1990 to 2015

Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
PAEO	1990 Census Tract (a)	1990 Census Block Groups	Percentage of the Total Number of Liveaboard Vessels in Monroe County [al]	Average # of Persons Per Liveaboard Vessel [aj]	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 1990 [al]	1990 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels [5 x 6]	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 1991 [6 + (6 x 0.10%)]	1991 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 7)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 1992 [6 + (6 x 0.10%)]	1992 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels [4 x 10]	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 1993 [10 + (10 x 0.10%)]
Lower Keys											
1	9718 & 9719 pt.	N/A	17.91%	1.8	249	448	249	448	249	448	249
2	9717	N/A	5.80%	1.8	80	145	81	145	81	145	81
3	9716	N/A	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4a	9715	N/A	1.29%	1.8	18	32	18	32	18	32	18
4b	9714 pt.	BG 4 (a)	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	9714 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4,5 (a)	7.73%	1.8	107	193	107	193	108	194	108
6	9714 pt. & 9713 pt.	9714 BG 1 (b)	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	-	-	**32.73%**	**1.8**	**454**	**818**	**455**	**819**	**455**	**819**	**456**
Middle Keys											
7	9713, 9712, & 9711	N/A	17.01%	1.8	236	425	236	425	237	426	237
8	9710 pt.	BG 3 (c)	9.15%	1.8	127	229	127	229	127	229	127
9	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	9710 pt.	BG 1(e)	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12a	9709 pt.	BG 5	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12b	9709 pt.	BG 1,2 (f)	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	9709 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4 (f)	0.52%	1.8	7	13	7	13	7	13	7
Subtotal	-	-	**26.68%**	**1.8**	**370**	**666**	**371**	**667**	**371**	**668**	**371**
Upper Keys											
14	9708	N/A	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	9707	N/A	1.42%	1.8	20	35	20	35	20	35	20
16	9706	N/A	0.13%	1.8	2	3	2	3	2	3	2
17	9705	N/A	4.64%	1.8	64	116	64	116	65	116	65
18	9704	N/A	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19-20 (g)	9703	N/A	0.52%	1.8	7	13	7	13	7	13	7
21	9702.98 pt.	BG 1,2,3 (h)	7.73%	1.8	107	193	107	193	108	194	108
22	9701 pt. & 9702.98 pt.	BG 1 (h)	4.12%	1.8	57	103	57	103	57	103	57
Subtotal	-	-	**18.56%**	**1.8**	**258**	**464**	**258**	**464**	**258**	**465**	**258**
UNINC. TOTALS	-	-	**77.96%**	**1.8**	**1,082**	**1,948**	**1,083**	**1,950**	**1,084**	**1,952**	**1,085**
Village of Islamorada	9709	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	9710	BG 1	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Key Colony B.	9710	BG 3	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marathon	9710-9713	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West	9719-9726	N/A	22.04%	1.8	306	551	306	551	306	552	307
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	-	-	**100.00%**	**1.8**	**1,388**	**2,498**	**1,389**	**2,501**	**1,391**	**2,503**	**1,392**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: Monroe County Planning Department: Antonini et. al. "Boat Live-Aboards in the Florida Keys: A New Factor in Waterfront Development"

Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels

1	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
PAEO	1993 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 a 12)	Peak Number of Livesboard Vessels in 1994 (12 +) 12 a 0.10%)	1994 Peak Number of Psopls on Liveaboard Vessels (4 a 14)	Psak Number of Livseboard Vessels in 1995 (14 + (14 x 0.10%)	1995 Peak Number of Peopls on Livsaboard Vessels (4 a 16)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 1996 (16 + (16 a 0.10%)	1996 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 s 18)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 1997 (18 + (18 x 0.10%)	1997 Psak Number of Peopls on Livesboard Vessels (4 x 20)	Psak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 1998 (20 + (20 x 0.10%)	1998 Peak Number of People on Livsaboard Vessels (4 a 22)	Peak Number of Livsaboard Vessels in 1999 (22 + (22 x 0.10%)	1999 Psak Number of People on Livseboard Vessels (4 x 24)
Lower Keys													
1	449	250	449	250	450	250	450	250	451	251	451	251	452
2	145	81	145	81	146	81	146	81	146	81	146	81	146
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4a	32	18	32	18	32	18	32	18	32	18	32	18	32
4b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	194	108	194	108	194	108	194	108	195	108	195	108	195
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**820**	**456**	**821**	**457**	**822**	**457**	**823**	**458**	**824**	**458**	**824**	**458**	**825**
Middle Keys													
7	426	237	427	237	427	238	428	238	428	238	428	238	429
8	229	128	230	128	230	128	230	128	230	128	230	128	231
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-
12b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-
13	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**668**	**372**	**669**	**372**	**670**	**372**	**670**	**373**	**671**	**366**	**659**	**366**	**659**
Upper Keys													
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-
15	36	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36
16	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3
17	116	65	116	65	116	65	117	65	117	65	117	65	117
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19-20 (g)	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13
21	194	108	194	108	194	108	194	108	195	108	195	108	195
22	103	57	103	58	104	58	104	58	104	58	104	58	104
Subtotal	**465**	**259**	**465**	**259**	**466**	**259**	**466**	**259**	**467**	**260**	**467**	**260**	**468**
UNINC. TOTALS	**1,954**	**1,086**	**1,956**	**1,088**	**1,958**	**1,089**	**1,960**	**1,090**	**1,962**	**1,084**	**1,951**	**1,085**	**1,952**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	13	7	13
City of Layton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Key Colony B.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West	552	307	553	307	553	308	554	308	554	308	555	309	556
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**2,506**	**1,394**	**2,508**	**1,395**	**2,511**	**1,396**	**2,513**	**1,398**	**2,516**	**1,399**	**2,518**	**1,400**	**2,521**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: Monroe County Planning Department; Antonini et. al. "Boat Live-Aboards in the Florida Keys: A New Factor in Waterfront Development"

Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels

1	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37
PAEO	Peak Number of Livaaboard Veeaels in 2000 [24 + (24 x 0.10%)]	2000 Peak Number of People on Livesboard Vessels [4 x 26]	Peak Number of Livexboard Vessels in 2001 [26 + (26 x 0.10%)]	2001 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels [4 x 28]	Peak Number of Liveeboard Vaaaels in 2002 [28 + (28 x 0.10%)]	2002 Peak Number of People on Livesboard Vessels [4 x 30]	Peak Number of Livesboard Veeaels in 2003 [30 + (30 x 0.10%)]	2003 Peak Number of People on Livesboard Veesels [4 x 32]	Peak Number of Livesboard Veesels in 2004 [32 + (32 x 0.10%)]	2004 Peak Number of People on Livesboard Vessels [4 x 34]	Peak Number of Livesboard Vaaaals in 2005 [34 + (34 x 0.10%)]	2005 Pask Number of People on Liveaboard Veseels [4 x 36]
Lower Keys												
1	251	452	251	452	252	453	252	453	252	454	252	454
2	81	146	81	146	81	147	82	147	82	147	82	147
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4a	18	33	18	33	18	33	18	33	18	33	18	33
4b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	108	195	109	195	109	196	109	196	109	196	109	196
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**459**	**826**	**459**	**827**	**460**	**826**	**460**	**828**	**461**	**829**	**461**	**830**
Middle Keys												
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1 t	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Upper Keys												
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36
16	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3
17	65	117	65	117	65	117	65	117	65	118	65	118
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19-20 (g)	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13
21	108	195	109	195	109	196	109	196	109	196	109	196
22	58	104	58	104	58	t04	58	104	58	104	58	105
Subtotal	**260**	**468**	**260**	**469**	**261**	**469**	**261**	**470**	**261**	**470**	**261**	**471**
UNINC. TOTALS	**719**	**1,294**	**720**	**1,296**	**720**	**1,297**	**721**	**1,298**	**722**	**1,299**	**723**	**1,301**
Village of Islamorada	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13
City of Layton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Key Colony B.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marathon	366	659	367	660	367	661	367	661	368	662	368	663
City of Key West	309	556	309	557	310	557	310	558	310	558	310	559
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**1,401**	**2,522**	**1,403**	**2,525**	**1,404**	**2,527**	**1,406**	**2,530**	**1,407**	**2,533**	**1,408**	**2,535**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: Monroe County Planning Department; Antonini et. al. "Boat Live-Aboards in the Florida Keys: A New Factor in Waterfront Development"

1	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49
PAEO	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2006 [36 + (36 x 0.10%)]	2006 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 38)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2007 [38 + (38 x 0.10%)]	2007 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 40)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2008 [40 + (40 x 0.10%)]	2008 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 42)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2009 [42 + (42 x 0.10%)]	2009 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 44)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2010 [44 + (44 x 0.10%)]	2010 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 46)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2011 [46 + (46 x 0.10%)]	2011 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 48)
Lower Keys												
1	253	455	253	455	253	456	253	456	254	457	254	457
2	82	147	82	147	82	148	82	148	82	148	82	148
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4a	18	33	18	33	18	33	18	33	18	33	18	33
4b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	109	196	109	196	109	197	109	197	109	197	110	197
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**462**	**831**	**462**	**832**	**463**	**833**	**463**	**833**	**463**	**834**	**464**	**835**
Middle Keys												
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**0**	0	**0**	**0**	0	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	0	**0**	**0**
Upper Keys												
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36
16	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3
17	65	118	65	118	66	118	66	118	66	118	66	118
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19-20 (g)	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13
21	109	196	109	196	109	197	109	197	109	197	110	197
22	58	105	58	105	58	105	58	105	58	105	58	105
Subtotal	**262**	**471**	**262**	**472**	**262**	**472**	**263**	**473**	**263**	**473**	**263**	**473**
UNINC. TOTALS	**723**	**1,302**	**724**	**1,303**	**725**	**1,305**	**726**	**1,306**	**726**	**1,307**	**727**	**1,309**
Village of Islamorada	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13
City of Leyton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Key Colony B.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marathon	369	663	369	664	369	665	370	665	370	666	370	667
City of Key West	311	559	311	560	311	561	312	561	312	562	312	562
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**1,410**	**2,538**	**1,411**	**2,540**	**1,413**	**2,543**	**1,414**	**2,545**	**1,415**	**2,548**	**1,417**	**2,550**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: Monroe County Planning Department; Antonini et. al. "Boat Live-Aboards in the Florida Keys: A New Factor in Waterfront Development"

Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels

1	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57
PAED	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2012 [48 + (48 x 0.10%)]	2012 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 50)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2013 [50 + (50 x 0.10%)]	2013 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 52)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2014 [52 + (52 x 0.10%)]	2014 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 54)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2015 [54 + (54 x 0.10%)]	2015 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 56)
Lower Keys								
1	254	457	254	458	255	458	255	459
2	82	148	82	148	82	148	83	149
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4a	18	33	18	33	18	33	18	33
4b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	110	197	110	198	110	198	110	198
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**464**	**836**	**465**	**837**	**465**	**838**	**466**	**838**
Middle Keys								
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Upper Keys								
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36
16	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3
17	66	118	66	119	66	119	66	119
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19-20 (g)	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13
21	110	197	110	198	110	198	110	198
22	59	105	59	105	59	106	59	106
Subtotal	**263**	**474**	**264**	**474**	**264**	**475**	**264**	**475**
UNINC. TOTALS	**728**	**1,310**	**728**	**1,311**	**729**	**1,313**	**730**	**1,314**
Village of Islamorada	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13
City of Layton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Key Colony B.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marathon	371	667	371	668	372	669	372	669
City of Key West	313	563	313	563	313	564	314	564
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**1,418**	**2,553**	**1,420**	**2,555**	**1,421**	**2,558**	**1,423**	**2,561**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: Monroe County Planning Department; Antonini et. al. "Boat Live-Aboards in the Florida Keys: A New Factor in Waterfront Development"

Appendix 11

Footnotes

FOOTNOTES

(a) The Census places No Name Key within Tract 9714 Block Group 4, but the figures for No Name Key are calculated seperately in this model.

(b) The Census includes W. Summerland, Bahia Honda, Ohio, & Missouri Keys within Tract 9714 Block Group 1. The totals for these islands as well as Pigeon Key have been aggregated seperately in this model.

(c) The City of Key Colony Beach is excluded from the totals for this PAED.

(d) The Census includes Grassy and Duck Keys within Tract 9710 Block Group 2, but the figures for either PAED are calculated seperately in this model.

(e) The City of Layton is excluded from the totals for this PAED.

(f) The Census includes Windley Key (PAED 12b) within Tract 9709 Block Groups 1 and 2. The totals for Windley Key have been aggregated seperately in this model.

(g) PAEDs 19 and 20 are divided by US Highway 1. Neither the Census data nor the Building Department data have been aggregated in this way. As a result, the population figures for both these PAEDs have been aggregated in this model.

(h) The Census includes Cross Key (PAED 22) within Tracts 9701 and 9702.98, but the figures for Cross Key have been aggregated seperately in this model.

(i) This is a surrogate measurement that compares the total population to the total number of housing units. This figure accounts for persons in group quarters and the number of vacant housing units. This figure is NOT persons per household, which is based upon the number of persons in occupied housing units only.

(j) This number accounts for persons in group quarters, and accounts for the number of vacant housing units based upon the persons-per-total-housing-units figure (column 13). This number does NOT include seasonal population.

(k) This number was derived by examining the number of CO's by PAED through December 31, 1999.

(l) This number uses the total number of residential permits available based on the current ROGO system. PAED totals were derived by mutiplying the total number of permits available for a sub-district by a PAED's historical contribution to the number of units built in that sub-district from 1990 to 1999 (column 55).

(m) The 1999 Total Population is an estimate based on the number of Certificates of Occupancy issued.

(n) These population forecasts are based on the mean historical percent increases. There are currently no ROGO guidelines for development after 2003.

(o) The percent increase figure has a .10% reduction (over the previous year's total) which is applied as an assumption that population will tend to level off or decline as a function of reduced carrying capacity and slower growth rates.

(p) Key West population figures come from Tables 1.1 and 1.3 of their Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR). Key West totals in these tables differ from 1990 Census STF1A figures based upon their inclusion of military housing/population (not included in the Census). For consistency, the Key West EAR figures were used in this model, but the military housing/population figures were removed from the 1990 data columns. This data comes from Key West, not the Monroe County Planning Dept. Please note that the Key West Peak Seasonal Tourist Population figures INCLUDE day visitors, while the Monroe County figures do not.

(q) The County Total figure excludes Mainland Monroe County, the Marquesas, and the Dry Tortugas.

(r) The number of seasonal units is derived from the 1990 Census.

(s) This number is taken from the Permanent Resident Population Estimates and Forecasts model, which is based upon the number of Certificates of Occupancy issued. Key West figures are taken from their Evaluation and Appraisal Report, not from the Monroe County Planning Department.

(t) This number is taken from Table A.1.32 of the TDC's "Technical Appendix: Sampling Methodologies and Estimation Methods Applied to the Florida Keys/Key West Visitors Surveys" document prepared by Vernon Leeworthy in December of 1996. Key West's figure comes from their Evaluation and Appraisal Report.

(u) This number is derived from the State of Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation Master List of Hotels, Motels, B&B's and Transient Apartments. The number of units which were issued Certificates of Occupancy duirng the year by the Monroe County Building Department have been added to the State's figures.

(v) This number comes from the TDC's Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West 1996 Study Technical Appendix Table A.1.32 on Page 28.

(x) This number comes from the "Trend Report" prepared by Smith Travel Research for the TDC. This rate includes all of Monroe County including Key West, and is the highest monthly occupancy rate for the year.

(y) This number is derived by including the existing number of rooms plus any projects which may have been vested. The Village at Hawks Cay has approximately 71 units which are not constructed by 1999. These remaining units have been divided up evenly over 2000, 2001, and 2002.

(z) This number includes all vested hotel/motel units remaining.

(aa) This number remains constant as a result of the Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan Policy 101.2.6 (ROGO) which places a moratorium on these units.

(ab) This number comes from the TDC's Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West 1996 Study Technical Appendix Table A.1.30 Pages 25 and 26. The numbers found in the TDC study are for 1996, but have been used for the period from 1990 to 2015 based upon the moratorium on these types of uses noted in Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan Policy 101.2.6.

(ac) This number comes from the TDC's Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West 1996 Study Technical Appendix Table A.1.31 "Number per Party" on Page 27.

(ad) This number comes from the TDC's Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West 1996 Study Technical Appendix Table A.1.31 on Page 27. The figure is the peak "Capacity Utilization Rate", which is "campsite usage" divided by total campsite capacity. This number serves as a peak occupancy rate for this submodel.

(ae) This number is derived from the Peak Number of People in Seasonal Residences table.

(af) This number is derived from the Peak Number of People in Hotels and Motels table.

(ag) This number is derived from the Peak Population in Campsites and Recreational Vehicles Model.

(ah) This number results from the TDC's Visitors Guide: Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix Table A.1.34 Page 31. This study has determined that 15.5% of all visitors to the Keys stay with friends and relatives.


(al) This number is derived from the 1993 Marina Survey performed by the Monroe County Marine Resources Department.

FOOTNOTES

(aj) This number is derived from the Antonini et. al. study "Boat Live-Aboards in the Florida Keys: A New Factor in wtarefront Development" (Page x of the abstract).

(al) This number is derived by dividing the total number of liveaboard vessels (from the Antonini study) by the appropriate percentages found in the Marine Resources 1993 survey.

APPENDIX 2



SECTION 2

Population and Water Demand Forecast Summary

2.1 Introduction

The development of accurate population projections and water use demands for the Florida Keys is challenging because of the high number of seasonal residents (those residing in the Keys for 6 months or less), and day visitors (from cruise ships docking in Key West or visitors from the mainland). The population projections developed by the Monroe County Planning Department (MCPD) and used in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) *Florida Keys Carrying Capacity Study* (USACE, 2003) are used as the basis for the majority of population projections developed by other agencies, and were also used for this analysis.

The MCPD permanent and seasonal population projections were used to develop a functional population (permanent plus seasonal) for FKAA's service area through 2025. The projected population was then multiplied by FKAA's projected per capita demand to project customer demand in the service area. The per capita demand is projected to increase as the changing demographics of the Keys result in higher individual water use (for example, redevelopment of existing property usually involves some level of irrigation or other water-consuming amenities where none previously existed).

2.2 Projected Population

2.2.1 Permanent Population

The MCPD permanent population projections include the most appropriate and applicable information and are viewed as the basis of future projections in this Plan. From 1990 through 1995, the permanent population of Monroe County increased from 78,856 to 79,200 (0.4 percent); from 1995 through 2000, it increased from 79,200 to 79,589 (0.5 percent); and from 2000 through 2005, it increased from 79,589 to 81,701 (2.7 percent).

The MCPD data in the USACE *Carrying Capacity Study* (USACE, 2003) project a permanent population of 79,589 for 2000; 81,701 in 2005; 83,400 in 2010; 83,799 in 2015; 84,200 in 2020; and 84,603 in 2025. Exhibit 2-1 summarizes the MCPD permanent population projections. The permanent population is projected to grow at an overall average rate of 1.2 percent for each 5-year period from 2005 through 2025, with a higher rate (2.1 percent from 2005 to 2010) and a lower rate (0.5 percent) thereafter as Monroe County nears build-out.

2.2.2 Seasonal Population

The MCPD seasonal population projections in the USACE *Carrying Capacity Study* (USACE, 2003) also were used to project the seasonal population for Monroe County. Although there are no exact counts of the seasonal population, the MCPD developed historical seasonal population projections for 1990, 1995, and 2000. The MCPD projected that the 1990 seasonal population of 70,493 increased by 1.1 percent to a 1995 population of 71,266, and further increased by 3.1 percent to a 2000 seasonal population of 73,491.The MCPD continues these seasonal population projections in 5-year increments starting with a seasonal population of 73,737 in 2005; 74,533 in 2010; 74,712 in 2015; 74,891 in 2020; and 75,071 in 2025. The seasonal population is projected to increase at an overall average rate of 0.4 percent for each 5-year period from 2005 through 2025, with a 1.1 percent increase between 2005 and 2010 and a 0.24 percent increase from 2010 through 2025.

2.2.3 Functional Population

The term "functional population" is a concept that incorporates three elements of population: permanent residents, seasonal visitors, and day visitors. Because of the unique nature of the Keys, which has an economy based on seasonal tourism, it is appropriate to use one "population" number that incorporates these three separate population components.

In 2004 and 2005, CH2M HILL developed population projections for the FKAA service area. The population projections were based on those developed by the Monroe County Planning Department, and combined the permanent population with the seasonal population to form a "functional population" that was used to estimate water demand.

As part of this effort, CH2M HILL contacted the agencies or municipalities listed in Exhibit 2-1 to determine if they could provide data that could be used to develop population projections for the FKAA service area. Ultimately, it was decided to use the functional population projections developed by Monroe County.

Exhibit 2-2 presents the MCPD permanent, seasonal, and functional populations between 1990 and 2025. For this Plan, the functional population value is used in all per capita calculations and estimates. There are approximately 3.6 people per customer account within FKAA's service area using functional population as the basis.

Exhibit 2-3 summarizes the percent change in the functional population in 5-year intervals, starting in 1990.

EXHIBIT 2-1
Sources of Population Projection Data

Agency or Municipality	Type of Data	Contact Person	Data Source	Comments
University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR)	Permanent Population Projections	N/A	2003 Annual Report	Projections for the entire state of Florida by county from 2000 through 2025.
Monroe County Planning Department	Permanent and Seasonal Population Projections	N/A	2004 Comprehensive Plan and Facilities Capacity Assessment	Seasonal and permanent population projections were based off 1990 US Census and updated with the 2000 US Census numbers for the entire county.
Monroe County Tourist Development Council (TDC)	Hotel, Cruise Ship, and Airline Data	Jessica Mazzola, Director of Market Research	Three Penny Revenue Report, Call Counts, Trend Report and Airline Passenger Data Report FY 2004	The most detailed seasonal data, but did not account for the “day trip” visitor.
Islamorada	Permanent Population Projections	Patrick Small, Principal Planner	2003 Comprehensive Plan	Developed based on the US Census.
Key Colony Beach	Permanent and Seasonal Population Projections	Clyde Burnett, Mayor	1992 Comprehensive Plan	Developed based on the US Census.
Key West	Permanent and Seasonal Population Projections	Ty Symrowski, City Planner	1994 Comprehensive Plan	Developed based on the US Census.
Layton	Permanent and Seasonal Population Projections	Norm Anderson, City Council	N/A	Developed based on the US Census.
Marathon	Permanent and Seasonal Population Projections	Katie Parker, Planner	2003 Comprehensive Plan	Developed based on the US Census.
U.S. Census	Permanent Population Estimates	N/A	2000 Census Estimates	Permanent population estimates for 2000 by county.
South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD)	Population and Water Demand Projections	N/A	Lower East Coast Regional Water Supply Plan (LEC RWSP) (2003)	Draft data from November 2003.
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)	Permanent and Seasonal Population Projections	N/A	Carrying Capacity Study (2003)	Included constraints on growth and environmental factors.

Note:
N/A = not applicable

EXHIBIT 2-2
Monroe County Historic and Projected Population Projections

Year	Permanent Population	Seasonal Population	Functional Population (Permanent + Seasonal + Day Visitors)
1990	**78,856**	**70,493**	**149,349**
1991	78,925	70,648	149,572
1992	78,994	70,802	149,796
1993	79,062	70,957	150,019
1994	79,131	71,111	150,243
1995	**79,200**	**71,266**	**150,466**
1996	79,278	71,421	150,698
1997	79,356	71,575	150,931
1998	79,433	71,730	151,163
1999	79,511	71,884	151,396
2000	**79,589**	**73,491**	**153,080**
2001	80,011	73,540	153,552
2002	80,434	73,589	154,023
2003	80,856	73,639	154,495
2004	81,279	73,688	154,966
2005	**81,701**	**73,737**	**155,438**
2006	82,041	73,896	155,937
2007	82,381	74,055	156,436
2008	82,720	74,215	156,935
2009	83,060	74,374	157,434
2010	**83,400**	**74,533**	**157,933**
2011	83,480	74,569	158,049
2012	83,560	74,605	158,164
2013	83,639	74,640	158,280
2014	83,719	74,676	158,395
2015	**83,799**	**74,712**	**158,511**
2016	83,879	74,748	158,627
2017	83,959	74,784	158,743
2018	84,040	74,819	158,859
2019	84,120	74,855	158,975
2020	**84,200**	**74,891**	**159,091**
2021	84,281	74,927	159,208
2022	84,361	74,963	159,324
2023	84,442	74,999	159,441
2024	84,522	75,035	159,557
2025	**84,603**	**75,071**	**159,674**

EXHIBIT 2-3
5-Year Historic and Projected Percent Changes in Functional Population

5-Year Period	Percent Change in Functional Population
1990–1995	0.75
1995–2000	1.74
2000–2005	1.54
2005–2010	1.61
2010–2015	0.37
2015–2020	0.37%
2020–2025	0.37%

2.3 Historic Water Production

CH2M HILL reviewed the raw water pumpage records from the FKAA's Florida City well-field from 1990 through 2005. These data, obtained from the FKAA's previous water use permit (WUP) applications, Monthly Operating Reports (MORs), and Monthly Production Reports (MPRs), are summarized in Exhibit 2-4. Exhibit 2-5 summarizes the same data for finished water; however, because of limited continuous annual historic data, a summary from 2000 to 2005 is presented.

Unlike population growth, which increased less than 2 percent for each 5-year period from 1990 through 2005 (Exhibit 2-3), the average-day raw water demand for the FKAA service area increased much more rapidly. From 1990 to 1995, the average-day raw water demand for the service area increased from 12.06 mgd to 14.07 mgd, an increase of 16.7 percent. From 1995 to 2000, the average-day demand increased by 21.2 percent, from 14.07 mgd to 17.06 mgd. From 2000 to 2005, average-day demand increased by only 4.0 percent, from 17.06 mgd to 17.73 mgd.

As seen in Exhibits 2-4 and 2-5, the 2000 drought with water restrictions resulted in significantly lower demands in 2001 (the year in which water restrictions were fully implemented). It is also possible that reduction in travel following the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks resulted in less tourism.

EXHIBIT 2-4
Historic Raw Water Production

Year	Annual Demand (MG)	Average Daily Demand (mgd)	Max Day Demand (mgd)	Average Monthly Demand (MG)	Per Capita Demand (gpcd)
1990	4,404	12.06	**14.89**	367.01	80.74
1991	4,290	11.75	14.87	357.53	78.53
1992	4,742	12.98	15.9	395.16	86.67
1993	5,163	14.14	16.76	430.29	94.23
1994	5,076	13.90	16.88	423.01	92.50
1995	5,140	14.07	**16.49**	428.37	93.53
1996	5,273	14.44	17.82	439.40	95.80
1997	5,357	14.67	18.36	446.38	97.17
1998	5,622	15.39	18.29	468.49	101.82
1999	5,953	16.30	20.24	496.06	107.65
2000	6,230	17.06	**20.79**	519.15	111.42
2001	5,627	15.41	19.15	468.89	100.32
2002	6,191	16.95	20.46	515.93	110.05
2003	6,288	17.22	22.20	524.01	111.43
2004	6,383	17.48	22.00	531.92	112.77
2005	6,477	17.73	**22.39**	539.75	114.10

Notes:
MG=million gallons
mgd=million gallons per day
gpcd=gallons per capita per day
per capita=functional population

EXHIBIT 2-5
Historic Finished Water Production

Year	Annual Demand (MG)	Average Daily Demand (mgd)	Max Day Demand (mgd)	Average Monthly Demand (MG)	Per Capita Demand (gpcd)
2000	6,116	16.76	20.46	510	109.46
2001[a]	5,558	15.23	19.24	463	99.17
2002	6,031	16.52	19.95	503	107.28
2003	6,248	17.12	20.43	521	110.80
2004	6,347	17.39	20.43	529	112.22
2005	6,338	17.36	22.30	528	111.71

Notes:
[a]2001 maximum day for historic finished water was higher than maximum day for raw water (Exhibit 2-3) because of higher pumpage from storage tanks at Florida City during peak hours.
MG=million gallons mgd=million gallons per day
gpcd=gallons per capita per day per capita=functional population

Exhibit 2-6 compares the changes in population, raw water demand, and per capita use for the 5-year periods from 1990 through 2005.

EXHIBIT 2-6
5-Year Historic Percent Changes in Functional Population, Raw Water Demand, and Per Capita Water Demand

5-Year Period	Percent Change in Functional Population	Percent Change in Average Day Raw Water Demand	Percent Change in per Capita Water Demand
1990–1995	0.75	16.72	15.85
1995–2000	1.74	21.19	19.12
2000–2005	1.54	3.97	2.39

The high rate of growth in raw water demand, along with an increasing per capita demand over time, as seen in Exhibit 2-4, is primarily the result of a strong shift in demographics seen in the FKAA service area. The Keys as a whole are seeing an increasing number of affluent customers moving into the area who are then displacing the lower-income demographic group. These new customers are either improving existing homes or tearing them down and building larger, more expensive homes that use more water. Thus, new customers are consuming more water on a per capita basis and resulting in a higher per capita and average-day demand for the FKAA service area. This hypothesis was explored by investigating two issues believed to possibly contribute to this trend:

- Swimming pool construction permits, and
- Differences in water use following a home sale.

2.3.1 Swimming Pool Construction Permits

Homes constructed or redeveloped by higher-income residents typically have swimming pools, which further increase the water demand for that residence. CH2M HILL obtained the annual number of swimming pool construction permits issued by Monroe County from 1995 through 2004, as shown in Exhibit 2-7.

EXHIBIT 2-7
Annual Swimming Pool Construction Permits Issued by Monroe County

Year	Number of New Swimming Pool Construction Permits Issued	Percent Annual Increase
1995	65	N/A
1996	82	26
1997	88	7
1998	98	11
1999	88	-10
2000	96	9
2001	88	-8
2002	111	26
2003	195	76
2004	153	-22
Annual Average	**106**	**13**

The rate of swimming pool permit issuance increased, on average, by 13 percent annually from 1995 through 2004, which included both the drought year and recovery of 2001 as well as 2004, which had multiple hurricanes. In the past 3 years (2002 through 2004), pool permit issuance increased by an average of 27 percent annually (except 2004). Since 1995, the number of permits issued annually has increased by more than 250 percent.

2.3.2 Current Homeowner Versus Former Homeowner Water Use

In a trend that has become commonplace across Florida, higher-income residents are displacing lower-income residents and substantially improving their "new" homes. Many of these improvements involve upgrading the landscaping with lush foliage that typically requires substantially more irrigation than the previous landscaping. In addition, many times smaller homes are replaced with larger homes with more bathrooms. As a result of these changes, many of these residences show large increases in water use without a corresponding increase in population. Additionally, higher-income residents are typically less sensitive to increases in their water bill and are less likely to decrease their water use in response to the increased cost. Combined, these factors can result in an increased per capita water use by new residents.

CH2M HILL analyzed residential home sales prices to determine if the increase in home sales prices could be correlated with increasing per capita water demand. Individual account data were only available from 2000 through 2004, and to compare a full year of usage for each customer, only home sales occurring between 2002 and 2003 were used, which allowed for at least one full year of usage data before and after the sale. Water use in 2001 was excluded because of the impact of the water restrictions in effect at this time.

The FKAA service area is made up of several municipalities that are going through redevelopment and changes in demographics at different paces. To substantiate the correlation between increased home values and water use, CH2M HILL examined the home sale data for each separate municipality and for Monroe County as a whole.

1. CH2M HILL analyzed 2002 and 2003 home sale data provided by the Monroe County Property Appraiser to identify transactions in which the previous sale price was $150,000 or less. In 2002, 163 sales met this criterion; 268 purchases met this criterion in 2003.

2. The annual water use for each group of residential accounts was summed for 2000 through 2004.

3. For homes sold in 2002, their 2003 and 2004 water use was compared to their water use in 2000. Data from 2001 were excluded because of the drought, and data from 2002 (the sale year) were not included because of homes being sold at different times during the year and the lack of a full year's worth of water use under either the old or new homeowners.

4. The water use in homes sold in 2004 was compared against their 2002 water use. Data from 2001 and 2003 were excluded as noted above.

For the 2002 home sales in Monroe County, water use increased by 13.4 percent in 2003 and 21.1 percent in 2004 when compared to water use in 2000. For the 2003 home sales, water use increased by 11.4 percent in 2004 when compared to 2002 water use.

A technical memorandum documenting the projected change in per capita use, prepared for the South Florida Water Management District as part of the FKAA's water use permitting process is included as Appendix A.

2.4 Projected Finished Water Demand

The projected finished water demands for the FKAA service area from 2005 through 2025 are shown in tabular form in Exhibit 2-8 and in graphical form in Exhibit 2-9.

EXHIBIT 2-8
FKAA Service Area Projected 2005–2025 Population and Finished Water Demands

	Population			Finished Water Demands					
Year	Permanent	Seasonal	Functional	per capita (gpcd)	Avg. Day (mgd)	Max. Day (mgd)	Annual (MG)	Avg. Mo. (MG)	Max. Mo. (MG)
2005 (Actual)	**81,701**	**73,737**	**155,438**	**114.08**	**17.73**	**22.39**	**6477**	**539.74**	**597.15**
2006	82,041	73,896	155,937	119.15	18.58	23.23	6786	565.53	625.69
2007	82,381	74,055	156,436	119.99	18.77	23.46	6856	571.31	632.08
2008	82,720	74,215	156,935	122.34	19.2	24.00	7013	584.40	646.56
2009	83,060	74,374	157,434	124.75	19.64	24.55	7174	597.79	661.38
2010	**83,400**	**74,533**	**157,933**	**127.08**	**20.07**	**25.09**	**7331**	**610.88**	**675.86**
2011	83,480	74,569	158,049	129.58	20.48	25.60	7480	623.36	689.67
2012	83,560	74,605	158,164	132.01	20.88	26.10	7626	635.54	703.14
2013	83,639	74,640	158,280	134.45	21.28	26.60	7773	647.71	716.61
2014	83,719	74,676	158,395	136.87	21.68	27.10	7919	659.89	730.08
2015	**83,799**	**74,712**	**158,511**	**139.30**	**22.08**	**27.60**	**8065**	**672.06**	**743.55**
2016	83,879	74,748	158,627	140.90	22.35	27.94	8163	680.28	752.64
2017	83,959	74,784	158,743	142.43	22.61	28.26	8258	688.19	761.40
2018	84,040	74,819	158,859	144.03	22.88	28.60	8357	696.41	770.49
2019	84,120	74,855	158,975	145.56	23.14	28.93	8452	704.32	779.24
2020	**84,200**	**74,891**	**159,091**	**147.15**	**23.41**	**29.26**	**8551**	**712.54**	**788.34**
2021	84,281	74,927	159,208	147.61	23.5	29.38	8583	715.28	791.37
2022	84,361	74,963	159,324	148.13	23.6	29.50	8620	718.33	794.73
2023	84,442	74,999	159,441	148.64	23.7	29.63	8656	721.37	798.10
2024	84,522	75,035	159,557	149.04	23.78	29.73	8686	723.80	800.80
2025	**84,603**	**75,071**	**159,674**	**149.55**	**23.88**	**29.85**	**8722**	**726.85**	**804.16**

Notes:
Population data based on Monroe County Planning Department data in the USACE *Carrying Capacity Study* (2003).
Maximum-day demand based on maximum-day to average-day ratio of 1.25 (2002–2005 average).
Maximum-month demand based on maximum-month to average-month ratio of 1.11 (2002–2005 average).
The projections include the U.S. Navy's historic use of 1.05 mgd out of their contract for 2.4 mgd.




EXHIBIT 2-9
Graphic Representation of FKAA Service Area Projected 2005–2025 Population and Finished Water Demands

Including the existing U.S. Navy's historic demand of 1.05 mgd, the projected average-day finished water demand for the FKAA service area is expected to be 20.07 mgd in 2010, 22.08 mgd in 2015, 23.41 mgd in 2020, and 23.88 mgd in 2025. Maximum-day demands to be used to determine treatment plant capacity needs are expected to be 25.09 mgd in 2010, 27.60 mgd in 2015, 29.26 mgd in 2020, and 29.85 mgd in 2025 (see Exhibit 2-8).

In comparing FKAA's finished water demands and per capita water use to other large utilities across South Florida, as listed in the SFWMD Lower East Coast (LEC) Water Supply Plan (Chapter 5 – Table 59), both FKAA's existing (2005) per capita water use (114.08 gpcd) and projected (2025) per capita water use (149.55 gpcd) are well below the LEC Water Supply Plan's calculated average of 172 gpcd.

2.4.1 Peak Hour Demands

FKAA provided daily log sheets from the J. Robert Dean Water Treatment Plant (WTP) for selected periods in 2004 and 2005 with above-normal demand, such as Spring Break, Memorial Day, and July 4th.

The highest hourly flow rate (23.9 mgd) occurred on March 25, 2005. The 2005 average daily flow rate was 17.73 mgd; therefore, the peak hour demand peaking factor is the peak hour

(23.9 mgd) divided by the average daily flow for the year (17.73 mgd), or 1.35. This is significantly lower than the typical utility's 3.0 to 6.0 average-day to peak-hour demand ratio reported by Haestad Methods (2003). This discrepancy is attributed to the buffering capacity of the nearly 45 MG of storage in FKAA's distribution and transmission system.

This peaking factor was used in conjunction with the average-day demands in Exhibit 2-8 to develop peak hour demands for the FKAA service area. Exhibit 2-10 presents the projected peak hour demand for the FKAA service area through 2025.

EXHIBIT 2-10
Projected Peak Hour Demands

Year	Average Day Demand (mgd)	Peak Hour Demand (mgd)
2006	18.58	25.08
2007	18.77	25.34
2008	19.2	25.92
2009	19.64	26.51
2010	**20.07**	**27.09**
2011	20.48	27.65
2012	20.88	28.19
2013	21.28	28.73
2014	21.68	29.27
2015	**22.08**	**29.81**
2016	22.35	30.17
2017	22.61	30.52
2018	22.88	30.89
2019	23.14	31.24
2020	**23.41**	**31.60**
2021	23.5	31.73
2022	23.6	31.86
2023	23.7	32.00
2024	23.78	32.10
2025	**23.88**	**32.24**

Notes:
Peak hour demand based on the 2005 peak hour to average hour ratio of 1.35.

2.5 Demand by Service Type

2.5.1 Demand by Service Type

FKAA records water usage in the following general categories: residential, commercial, government, Navy, and Key West municipal government use. FKAA provided water use

information for each of these service categories from October 2003 through September 2005. Exhibits 2-11 and 2-12 present tabular and graphical summaries, respectively, of the average monthly water use for each service category.

Nearly half (56 percent) of the water produced by the FKAA is used by residential customers. Commercial customers are the next-largest category, with an average use of 32 percent. No large commercial customers (that is, those using 40 percent or more of the water sold in the commercial category) have been identified.

The U.S. Navy is FKAA's largest single customer, accounting for 7 percent of its monthly production. Government, senior citizen, and Key West municipal use makes up another 5 percent.

EXHIBIT 2-11
Average Monthly Water Use by Category, million gallons, October 2003–September 2005

Month	Residential	Commercial	Navy	Government	Key West Municipal	Senior Citizen	Total
Jan	273	153	29	16	3	2	476
Feb	236	136	29	15	3	2	421
Mar	241	141	27	14	3	2	428
Apr	291	167	28	17	4	2	509
May	262	152	30	16	4	2	466
Jun	268	149	31	18	4	2	472
Jul	273	158	28	17	4	2	482
Aug	248	143	30	14	3	2	440
Sep	242	136	24	16	3	2	423
Oct	194	113	30	15	3	2	357
Nov	229	136	29	15	3	2	414
Dec	223	129	29	15	4	2	402
Average	248	143	29	15	3	2	441
Percent	56%	32%	7%	3 %	1%	1%	




EXHIBIT 2-12
Graphic Summary of Average Monthly Water Use by Category, million gallons, October 2003–September 2005

FKAA's contract with the DoD calls for supplying the U.S. Navy with up to 2.4 mgd of water. From 2000 to 2004, deliveries to the U.S. Navy have averaged 1.05 mgd (see Exhibit 2-13).




EXHIBIT 2-13
U.S. Navy Water Usage from 1996 to 2005

2.5.2 Unmetered Water

FKAA provided master tap readings for its distribution system (excluding Key West and the Navy) for the period December 2002 through December 2005. The data included the monthly total for each master tap, the total customer sales for each master tap, and the difference unaccounted-for-water (UAW).

FKAA's transmission and distribution system has certain specified maintenance requirements and activities that result in water being un-metered. These include regular flushing activities to maintain water quality, extensive flushing required during change of chlorine residuals twice per year, water loss resulting from transmission and distribution main break, and draining of potable water storage tanks for maintenance.

Exhibit 2-11 does not include UAW, which has historically averaged 10 to 12 percent. The American Water Works Association (AWWA) recommends that UAW should not exceed 5 percent, and that a goal of less than 10 percent is desirable. A total of 4 months with UAW (March, May, October, and December) contribute to the high overall average UAW. FKAA is not aware of any particular events (maintenance, line breaks, etc.) that may have contributed to the high UAW in those months. If UAW in those months could be reduced, FKAA's UAW would be significantly less.

To summarize data from the annual UAW reports that are provided to the SFWMD, the following percentages were recorded:

Year	Percentage UAW
2003	10.5
2004	9.71
2005	14.93
2006 (Year To Date June 2006)	11.45

FKAA should set a goal of 10 percent or less UAW in their system based on the uniqueness and operating pressures that FKAA experiences in their system. FKAA should continue to correlate transmission and distribution meter reads so that the data are correlated accurately and so that the actual losses in the transmission mains and the distribution system can be assessed. After this task is complete, identifying and locating potential losses throughout the system may be needed.

2.6 Conclusions

Population projections developed by the MCPD were used to develop water demand projections for the FKAA service area through 2025. FKAA's average daily raw water demand is expected to increase from 17.73 mgd in 2005 to 20.07 mgd in 2010, 22.08 mgd in 2015, 23.41 mgd in 2020, and 23.88 mgd in 2025. Maximum-day and peak hour demand were calculated using peaking factors of 1.25 and 1.35, respectively.

Residential (nearly half) and commercial (more than one-quarter) account for nearly three-quarters of the FKAA's water demand. UAW comprises approximately 12 percent of FKAA's total production; the remaining water is used primarily by the U.S. Navy and state and local governments.

UAW in the FKAA service area is higher than other water systems, and may be the result of FKAA's metering and accounting system, along with system leaks. Additionally, UAW is not distributed evenly throughout the service area, but varies considerably from key to key. Converting the 160 or so master meters along the transmission mains to automatic meter reading may help in identifying the largest areas of UAW. Additional tasks that FKAA could implement include a formal water audit and more specific investigations of water leaks in areas suspected of having UAW.

APPENDIX 3

County Population with Seasonal Replacement Calculations

Monroe County Population Projection Seasonal Replacement Series				Perm Change	@70% loss		ROGO Growth	
YEAR	Permanent	Seasonal	Functional					
2000	79,589	73,491	153,080					
2001	80,011	73,540	153,551	422				
2002	80,434	73,589	154,023	423				
2003	80,856	73,639	154,495	422				
2004	81,236	73,688	154,924	380				
2005	82,413	73,737	156,150	1,177				
2006	80,510	75,228	155,738	(1,903)	-1332.1	1332.1		
2007	78,987	76,453	155,440	(1,523)	-1066.1	2398.2		
2008	76,081	78,647	154,728	(2,906)	-2034.2	4432.4		
2009	77,925	77,516	155,441	1,844	1290.8	3141.6	ROGO DU	ROGO pop *.7 @2.7pph
2010	76,887	78,401	155,288	(1,038)	-726.6	3868.2		
2011	78,080	77,974	156,054	1,193	835.1	3033.1	197	372.33
2012	77,960	78,431	156,391	(120)	84		197	372.33
2013	77,840	78,887	156,727	(120)	84		197	372.33
2014	77,720	79,343	157,063	(120)	84		197	372.33
2015	77,600	79,800	157,400	(120)	84		197	372.33
2016	77,460	80,270	157,730	(140)	98		197	372.33
2017	77,320	80,740	158,060	(140)	98		197	372.33
2018	77,180	81,211	158,391	(140)	98		197	372.33
2019	77,040	81,681	158,721	(140)	98		197	372.33
2020	76,900	82,151	159,051	(140)	98		197	372.33
2021	76,760	82,622	159,382	(140)	98		197	372.33
2022	76,620	83,092	159,712	(140)	98		197	372.33
2023	76,480	83,562	160,042	(140)	98		197	372.33
2024	76,340	84,033	160,373	(140)	98		197	372.33
2025	76,200	84,503	160,703	(140)	98		197	372.33
2026	76,060	84,973	161,033	(140)	98		197	372.33
2027	75,920	85,444	161,364	(140)	98		197	372.33
2028	75,780	85,914	161,694	(140)	98		197	372.33
2029	75,640	86,384	162,024	(140)	98		197	372.33
2030	75,500	86,855	162,355	(140)	98		197	372.33

APPENDIX 4

FISHKIND & ASSOCIATES

Memorandum

TO: Debbie Love, AICP, Senior Planner, Keith and Schnars, P.A.

FROM: Stanley P. Geberer, Associate
Fishkind & Associates, Inc.

SUBJECT: DCA Finding Regarding Population Methodology

DATE: November 16, 2010

1.0 Background

Fishkind has prepared the population projections for unincorporated Monroe County. We have reviewed extensively with County Staff, and provided an update to the final report on August 30 which addressed a series of non-formal questions DCA posed and finally had a conference call with DCA to review their questions and our responses. After a 60 day review DCA has found the methodology unacceptable as shown below.

> Department has completed its review of the methodology utilized for the seasonal population and identified its concerns below. Due to these concerns, the Department does not find the projection methodologies to be professionally acceptable.

This memo will review the items of DCA concern.

2.0 Discussion of Concerns 1-10

Concern 1

> 1. For Water Supply planning, the Department has previously accepted the methodologies employed by the Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority (FKAA) and recommended that the County utilize the FKAA methodology. The data submitted to date to the Department does not provide the base data utilized by FKAA and how the County applied the FKAA methodology for calculating the seasonal population.

The most recent FKAA projections to year 2025 were used as the baseline seasonal projections. These data are attached as Appendix 1. These data were applied directly to the seasonal forecast series and modified as described in Concern 3.

Concern 2

12051 Corporate Blvd., Orlando, Florida 32817 • (407) 382-3256 • FAX (407) 382-3254 • email Homeoffice@fishkind.com

2. Please provide a justification for the use of the Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) to extend the FKAA series from 2025 to 2030 for the functional population. This is a geometric extrapolation and should be used with caution. The computed CAGR has not been provided.

The new planning horizon must be extended from 2025 to 2030. Compound annual growth rate is an appropriate mechanism whereby baseline growth may be extrapolated. Over the time horizon from 15 to 20 years, the error level of employing other methodologies cannot be said to be superior given these are the final out years of the planning horizon and are subject to the greatest degree of error. The comment from DCA suggesting "caution" should be used is gratuitous to the extent it implies caution was not used, and adds no meaningful dialogue. Further, the Department has not rejected the use of CAGR and fails to suggest a more appropriately method herein.

The computed CAGR from year 2000-2025 is as follows:

(FKAA seas pop 2025/FKAA seas pop 2000)^(1/26)-1=.082%

Concern 3

3. The County indicates there will be a decline in the permanent population as forecast by BEBR. The County has indicated that there will be an increase in seasonal growth equivalent to 70% of the decline in permanent population. For example, the County has submitted information that indicates that retail sales have decreased since 2006. Information submitted regarding changes in annual average daily traffic counts may be attributable to other causes that were not evaluated. Please provide an explanation as to how this addition to the FKAA projections makes sense within the context of the FKAA projection methodology. This addition as well as the addition in the next comment number 4, effectively changes the methodology.

The FKAA Seasonal Population methodology as described by FKAA is shown below:

FKAA Seasonal Population Methodology

2.2.2 Seasonal Population

The MCPD seasonal population projections in the USACE *Carrying Capacity Study* (USACE, 2003) also were used to project the seasonal population for Monroe County. Although there are no exact counts of the seasonal population, the MCPD developed historical seasonal population projections for 1990, 1995, and 2000. The MCPD projected that the 1990 seasonal population of 70,493 increased by 1.1 percent to a 1995 population of 71,266, and further increased by 3.1 percent to a 2000 seasonal population of 73,491.The MCPD continues these seasonal population projections in 5-year increments starting with a seasonal population of 73,737 in 2005; 74,533 in 2010; 74,712 in 2015; 74,891 in 2020; and 75,071 in 2025. The seasonal population is projected to increase at an overall average rate of 0.4 percent for each 5-year period from 2005 through 2025, with a 1.1 percent increase between 2005 and 2010 and a 0.24 percent increase from 2010 through 2025.

The historic data on seasonal populations presented by FKAA above indicate seasonal population is increasing at an increasing rate. The FKAA methodology failed to incorporate this into its seasonal projections. FKAA projected seasonal population increases at 1/3 the level of the most recent available data initially and then provided a decreased rate of growth through 2025. This rate is far slower than recent trend data FKAA observed. There is no explanation or justification in the FKAA methodology for the failure to recognize and include the robust levels of seasonal growth identified. Table 1 compares the FKAA seasonal growth rates with County seasonal growth rates.

Table 1. Seasonal Population Growth Rates

	FKAA Series	Monroe County	Net Difference
1990-1995	1.10%		
1995-2000	3.12%		
2000-2005	0.33%	0.33%	
2005-2010	1.08%	7.73%	4,904
2010-2015	0.24%	2.70%	1,965
2015-2020	0.24%	2.71%	2,035
2020-2025	0.24%	2.64%	2,034
2025-2030	0.41%	2.57%	1,906
Total Difference 2005-2030			12,843

The approach taken in the preparation of the County seasonal projections is to correct for this problem in the original FKAA methodology in recognizing the more dramatic seasonal population expansion.

Concern 4

4. The County included the following sentence which is not clear: "Further, we have added the equivalent of 70% of the ROGO growth to seasonal population to the FKAA seasonal series, reflecting the seasonal shift component not evidenced in FKAA's original data." This appears to add additional population. This has not been adequately explained or justified. The source data, calculations, and results have not been provided.

Please see response to Concern 3.

Concern 5

5. Please provide justification for the use of the persons-per-household (PPH) figure of 2.5. No data or analysis has been provided for the average size of seasonal households. Please include data or analysis regarding "seasonality." For example, there was no analysis of the maximum occupancy of seasonal units and the amount of time that these seasonal units are occupied at this maximum rate.

For the purposes of this analysis seasonal and permanent household sizes used are the same. The preponderance of data provided supports the use of 2.5 persons per household. The Population Report is cited below.

Excerpt from August 30 Population Report – page 11

It is also noted, for units shifting to seasonal status and for population associated with ROGO growth, a persons-per-household figure of 2.5 was used.

Intercensal data (see Tables 5 and 6) suggests an increase in persons per household by year 2009. Fishkind believes seasonally occupied homes and rentals have a higher person per household occupancy due to the increasing size of newly built units. For these reasons 2.5 was used for seasonal households.

Table 5.

Persons Per Household Census/Isite			
	Total	Unincorp	
1990	2.33	2.38	
2000	2.27	2.29	
2009	3.12	2.89	
2014	5.29	4.94	

source: I-Site online data

Table 6. - Average Number of Bedrooms and Bathrooms per Single Family Unit by Year Built

Average Bed/Bath	
2.65	Pre-1970
3.33	1970-1989
3.63	1990-1999
3.77	2000-2008

Source: Monroe Co. Property Appraiser, Fishkind & Associates, Inc.

Because seasonal population is derived from the seasonal population as presented by FKAA, seasonal occupancy rates are implicit in the analysis, for the original seasonal series.

Concern 6

6. Based on Table 7, the seasonal population is roughly equivalent to the residential population. However, the number of seasonal units is much less than the number of occupied (resident) units. Does the FKAA data include population other than those living in housing and if so, how is that accounted for in the data and in the projection?

The percentage of non-homesteaded units is higher than homesteaded units in Monroe County, suggesting seasonal population could be at least equivalent to permanent.

The FKAA methodology includes cruise ship visitors, visitors from the mainland and those residing in the keys for 6 months or less. They are accounted for in the FKAA calculation of seasonal residents.

Concern 7

7. Please provide additional citation for The "I-Site" source. If the data is Census data, then that should be made clear. Projection data from a private sector, third party source cannot be evaluated without a complete explanation of how the projections were made, e.g. the full methodology with all support data must be provided.

The I-site data was not used in any methodological calculation in the Monroe County population projections. I-site data was used as a reference source only in referring to support for use of the 2.5 persons per household figure.

Concern 8

8. Section 8.0, third paragraph on page 10 discusses "an increase in seasonal population shown in the American Community Survey (ACS)." The ACS does not estimate seasonal population.

The ACS provides estimates of seasonal units as shown in Table 2-H3, page 5 of the population report. The change in seasonal population is based in part on the increase in seasonal units identified in the ACS data.

Concern 9

9. Section 5.0 and Tables 1 and 2 provide Census data. There were 51,617 housing units (occupied and vacant) in 2000. Census data indicates there were 21,900 owner occupied units. However, the second paragraph on page 6 indicates that there were 16,005 homestead units and 20,784 non-homestead units in 2000, which add to a total of 36,789 housing units. The housing units for homesteaded/non-homesteaded units should be reconciled with the Census housing units or data provided to explain the difference.

The difference cannot be reconciled without imposing egregious costs on the government and citizens of Monroe County in order to do so. The Property Appraiser is the source of homestead and non-homestead status. The property Appraiser data is not reconcilable with Census unit counts. This is a vexing problem addressed by the Regional Planning Council in the hurricane evacuation modeling effort as well. It is believed the difference lies in substandard housing, non-permitted housing, maritime live-aboards and other housing.

It is for this reason the analysis and projections were made on a population basis not a housing unit basis. The data on housing units is used as a guide to help estimate changes in the mix and character of resident and seasonal population.

Concern 10

10. The final paragraph in Section 1.0 states that a "reconciliation of the Fishkind and Hurricane model data will take place subsequent to the Hurricane data publication." Is this a preliminary report? Will the final population projection be submitted with your Evaluation and Appraisal Report?

The Regional Planning Council has reviewed and affirmed the County's population projection methodology. A final review by RPC will be requested and submitted with the EAR.

AGENT AUTHORIZATION LETTER

Islamorada, Village of Islands, Florida • Planning and Development Services Department
86800 Overseas Highway • Islamorada, Florida 33036 • 305-664-6400 • www.islamorada.fl.us

Note: Pursuant to Section 30-212(d)(2) of the Code of Ordinances of Islamorada, Village of Islands, Florida (the "Village"), all owners and any person having a contractual interest in the land shall give their permission for every application for a development permit. Therefore, more than one agent authorization letter must be submitted if there are multiple owners or persons having a contractual interest in the site.

Date: 08-01-2024

I hereby authorize Ty Harris PA 110 Plantation Shores Drive Tavernier FL 386-956-8776
(Name, Address and Phone Number of Authorized Agent)

to be listed as authorized agent on behalf of Leben Family LLLP
(Name of Owner or Person Having Contractual Interest)

for the purpose of conducting all business necessary to process and obtain approval from the Village Planning and Development Services Department, in regard to:

FLUM & Re-zoningapplication(s).
(Project Name / Application Type)

Property Address: 76800 Overseas Hwy

Real Estate Number(s): 00396950-000200

This authorization shall be effective on the date this affidavit is notarized and shall remain in effect until terminated by the undersigned owner. This authorization acts as a durable power of attorney only for the purposes stated herein.

The undersigned understands the liabilities involved in the granting of this agency and accepts full responsibility, thus holding the Village harmless, for any and all of the actions of the agent named, related to the acquisition of development permits for the aforementioned owner/applicant.

Digital signatures do not require notarization when they can be verified by a Trusted Certificate issued by a third-party Certificate Authority. If you are not using a "verifiable digital signature", print this document and have it notarized.

Property Owner Signature: _____

Printed Name: Rayme Suarez

STATE OF Florida

COUNTY OF Monroe

Sworn to and subscribed before me by means of ✓ physical appearance or ___online notarization, this 1 day of August, 2024, by Rayme Suarez (name of person signing the application) as Owner Sr Mng Leben (type of authority e.g. officer, manager / member, trustee, attorney in fact) for Leben Family Partnership (name of entity or party on behalf of whom application was executed).

Signature of Notary Public–State of Florida

SEAL:

LAURA GASSER
Commission # HH 030960
Expires August 10, 2024
Bonded Thru Budget Notary Services

✓ Personally Known ___Produced Identification Type of ID _____


RC
C
OVERSEAS HWY
RC
MU
COLUMBUS DR
RM
Monroe County BOCC, Maxar


RC
C
OVERSEAS HWY
RC
MU
COLUMBUS DR
RM
Monroe County BOCC, Maxar

Monroe County, FL

Disclaimer

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the data is intended for ad valorem tax purposes only and should not be relied on for any other purpose.

By continuing into this site you assert that you have read and agree to the above statement.

Summary

Parcel ID	00396950-000200
Account#	8647603
Property ID	8647603
Millage Group	50VI
Location Address	76800 OVERSEAS Hwy, LOWER MATECUMBE KEY
Legal Description	PT NELY 653 FT OF SWLY 1725 FT OF TR B (3.2AC) MATECUMBE OCEAN BAY SEC 2 LOWER MATECUMBE KEY PB2-98 OR887-1513/19 OR876-2425/26 OR888-722/24 OR1084-420 OR1155-1329 OR1252-1842 OR1505-1476 OR2266-227/28 OR2446-1212/13C OR2446-1215/17 OR2724-1851/52 OR2865-2009ORD OR2870-1005/06 OR2870-1007/09 (Note: Not to be used on legal documents.)
Neighborhood	10021
Property Class	CLUB (7700)
Subdivision	MATECUMBE OCEAN BAY SEC 2
Sec/Twp/Rng	15/64/36
Affordable Housing	No



Owner

LEBEN FAMILY LLLP
2530 NE 47th St
Lighthouse Point FL 33064

Valuation

		2023 Preliminary Values	2022 Certified Values	2021 Certified Values	2020 Certified Values
+	Market Improvement Value	$161,775	$171,019	$171,019	$177,952
+	Market Misc Value	$115,300	$114,884	$114,969	$115,054
+	Market Land Value	$330,100	$330,100	$330,100	$330,100
=	Just Market Value	**$607,175**	**$616,003**	**$616,088**	**$623,106**
=	Total Assessed Value	**$607,175**	**$616,003**	**$616,088**	**$623,106**
-	School Exempt Value	$0	$0	$0	$0
=	School Taxable Value	**$607,175**	**$616,003**	**$616,088**	**$623,106**

Historical Assessments

Year	Land Value	Building Value	Yard Item Value	Just (Market) Value	Assessed Value	Exempt Value	Taxable Value	Maximum Portability
2022	$330,100	$171,019	$114,884	$616,003	$616,003	$0	$616,003	$0
2021	$330,100	$171,019	$114,969	$616,088	$616,088	$0	$616,088	$0
2020	$330,100	$177,952	$115,054	$623,106	$623,106	$0	$623,106	$0
2019	$330,100	$177,952	$115,138	$623,190	$616,678	$0	$623,190	$0
2018	$330,100	$165,257	$65,260	$560,617	$560,617	$0	$560,617	$0

The Maximum Portability is an estimate only and should not be relied upon as the actual portability amount. Contact our office to verify the actual portability amount.

Land

Land Use	Number of Units	Unit Type	Frontage	Depth
COMMERCIAL HIGHWAY (100H)	2.20	Acreage	0	0
ENVIRONMENTALLY SENS (000X)	1.00	Acreage	0	0

Buildings

Building ID	43899	**Exterior Walls**	AB AVE WOOD SIDING
Style		**Year Built**	1994
Building Type	1 STY STORE-B / 11B	**EffectiveYearBuilt**	2000
Building Name		**Foundation**	
Gross Sq Ft	2760	**Roof Type**	
Finished Sq Ft	1566	**Roof Coverage**	
Stories	3 Floor	**Flooring Type**	
Condition	GOOD	**Heating Type**	
Perimeter	282	**Bedrooms**	0
Functional Obs	0	**Full Bathrooms**	0
Economic Obs	0	**Half Bathrooms**	0
Depreciation %	30	**Grade**	350
Interior Walls		**Number of Fire Pl**	0

Code	Description	Sketch Area	Finished Area	Perimeter
OPX	EXC OPEN PORCH	1,194	0	0
FLA	FLOOR LIV AREA	1,566	1,566	0
TOTAL		**2,760**	**1,566**	**0**

Yard Items

Description	Year Built	Roll Year	Size	Quantity	Units	Grade
ASPHALT PAVING	1991	1992	0 x 0	1	1410 SF	2
ASPHALT PAVING	1991	1992	0 x 0	1	43200 SF	2
TIKI	1993	1994	12 x 24	1	288 SF	2
CH LINK FENCE	1993	1994	4 x 120	1	480 SF	3
TIKI	1993	1994	8 x 8	1	64 SF	5
CH LINK FENCE	1993	1994	12 x 999	1	11988 SF	3
CH LINK FENCE	1993	1994	12 x 141	1	1692 SF	3
UTILITY BLDG	1993	1994	12 x 18	1	216 SF	2
WALL AIR COND	1994	2010	0 x 0	1	1 UT	1
TIKI	1993	2010	8 x 8	1	64 SF	2


Sales

Sale Date	Sale Price	Instrument	Instrument Number	Deed Book	Deed Page	Sale Qualification	Vacant or Improved	Grantor	Grantee
8/15/2017	$0	Warranty Deed	2136214	2870	1007	11 - Unqualified	Improved	LEBEN FAMILY LLLP	
8/15/2017	$1,050,000	Warranty Deed	2136213	2870	1005	37 - Unqualified	Improved	GUS MORHART INC	
2/5/2015	$1,000,000	Warranty Deed		2724	1851	01 - Qualified	Improved		
12/18/2009	$720,000	Warranty Deed		2446	1215	01 - Qualified	Improved		
12/18/2009	$100	Warranty Deed		2446	1212	11 - Unqualified	Improved		
11/19/2006	$850,000	Warranty Deed		2266	227	Q - Qualified	Improved		
3/1/1998	$620,000	Warranty Deed		1505	1476	U - Unqualified	Improved		
4/1/1993	$161,200	Warranty Deed		1252	1842	Q - Qualified	Vacant		
2/1/1989	$150,000	Warranty Deed		1084	420	U - Unqualified	Vacant		
7/1/1983	$150,000	Warranty Deed		888	722	Q - Qualified	Vacant		

View Tax Info

View Taxes for this Parcel

Sketches (click to enlarge)



Photos





Map



TRIM Notice

2023 TRIM Notice (PDF)

No data available for the following modules: Permits.

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the


| User Privacy Policy | GDPR Privacy Notice

Last Data Upload: 9/12/2023, 4:02:45 AM

Contact Us

Developed by Schneider GEOSPATIAL

C
NR
OVERSEAS HWY
Monroe County BOCC, Maxar, Microsoft




SITE PLAN

SCALE: 1"=30'

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

PART OF TRACT 'B', MATECUMBE OCEAN BAY SUBDIVISION, SEC. 2



VICINITY MAP

DEVELOPMENT DATA

RE NUMBER: 59695O-000200

LAND USE DISTRICT: SUBURBAN RESIDENTIAL (SR)

ADJACENT DISTRICTS: SUBURBAN RESIDENTIAL (SR) NATIVE (NA)

EXISTING CONDITIONS:

UNDEVELOPED, LOW TROPICAL HARDWOOD HAMMOCK (6726) MED. QUALITY (60%) & DISTURBED (30%)

PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION:

4 - COURT TENNIS COMPLEX INCLUDING:
1 - EMPLOYEE HOUSING UNIT (FUTURE)
334 L.F. - 12' CHAIN-LINK FENCE
650 L.F. - 4' CHAIN LINK FENCE

ELEVATIONS:

FLOOD ZONE: AE
ELEVATION: 8
FINISH FLOOR ELEVATION: 8'

LAND AREA:

GROSS TOTAL LAND AREA: 139,547 SF = 3.2 ACRES

REGISTER BUILDABILITY DATA

TENNIS COURTS 7200 X 6	=	43,200 SF
EMPLOYEE HOUSING	=	4,356 SF
PARKING	=	6,400 SF
ACCESSORY CLEARING	=	13,525 SF
CLUBHOUSE WITH .25 FAR	=	3,840 SF
TOTAL	=	71,404 SF
WITH .50 O.S.R.	=	X2
TOTAL	=	142,802 SF

LINEAR FRONTAGE

PER ZR IMPERIAL O.S.R. ALLOWS FOR:
DISTURBED 1.06 ACRES (.20) = 36,250 SF
HAMMOCK 2.24 ACRES (.20) = 39,125 SF
TOTAL = 75,355 SF

DISTRICT O.S.R. ALLOWS FOR:
**** SUBURBAN RESIDENTIAL (.50) = 71,401 SF*


DENSITY:

ALLOWED = 1 UNIT PER ACRE X 3.0 = 3 UNITS
MAXIMUM NET = 10 UNITS PER BUILDABLE ACRE X 1.2 = 12 UNITS

SHEET INDEX

NO.	CONTENT
1	SITE PLAN, VICINITY MAP & DEVELOPMENT DATA
2	CLUBHOUSE; 1ST & 2ND FLOOR PLANS W/ELECTRIC RISER & SCHEDULES, PLUMBING RISER
3	ELEVATIONS (3) W/ CONNECTION DETAILS, SHUTTER DETAIL & SECTIONS.
4	FOUNDATION PLAN, SECTIONS, NOTES, & ELEVATION (1)
5	SLAB PLAN W/ SCHEDULES, 2ND FLOOR & ROOF FRAMING PLANS, DETAILS & SECTIONS
6	ACCESSORY STRUCTURES, OUTDOOR LIGHTING PLAN & LANDSCAPE PLAN
7	DRAINAGE PLAN, DETAILS & CALCULATIONS
8	TENNIS COURT LAYOUT & SECTIONS, FENCE & LIGHT DETAILS, CLAY COURT DRAINAGE
9	PARKING LOT & CISTERN PLAN, SECTIONS & DETAILS





HANDICAPPED PARKING SPACE DETAIL

SCALE: 3/32" = 1'-0"

PARKING:

REGULAR 8½' x 18' SPACES = 70
HANDICAP 12' x 20' SPACES = 2
TOTAL PARKING SPACES = 72
REQUIRED PARKING SPACES = 72
10' x 60' LOADING ZONE = 1

LANDSCAPING:

PARKING LOT CLASS "C" MIN. REQUIRED FOR 22 CARS
360 SF PLANTING AREA
2 CANOPY TREES
6 SHRUBS

HIGHWAY FRONTAGE

SCENIC CORRIDOR (U.S. 1) CLASS "B"
25' BUFFER YARD, USING EXISTING HAMMOCK

MAJOR STREET (COLL.) CLASS "B"
30' BUFFER YARD, LESS THE FOR EXISTING NATIVE TO BE MAINT. INC. NO. 555.

SIDE YARD NONE REQUIRED

REAR YARD IS CLASS "A" USING EXISTING HAMMOCK

SUGGESTED PLANT LIST

CANOPY (12')	MAHOGANY	
	MASTIC	
UNDERSTORY	SATIN LEAF	
	RED STOPPER	
	SIMPSON STOPPER	
SHRUBS (3')	CUTTLE COLUBRINA	
	PARKINSONIA	
	DWARF WAKEBY	
	ELEUTHERA TEA	
	SEAGRAPE	

TENNIS COMPLEX FOR MR. GARY REGISTER

LOWER MATECUMBE KEY, ISLAMORADA FL.

Alan D Green
ARCHITECT
P.O. Box 322 / MM 83 / ISLAMORADA, FLORIDA 33036 Phone 305/664-9250

REVISIONS: 4-15-93, 8-5-93

1

Ty Harris P.A.
110Plantation Shores Drive
Tavernier, FL 33070
tyharrispa.com
tyharrispa@gmail.com (386) 956-8776

August 1, 2024

VIA E-MAIL DELIVERY

Jennifer L. DeBoisbriand
Planning Director
Islamorada, Village of Islands
Village Administrative Center
86800 Overseas Highway
Islamorada, FL 33036

RE: FLUM & Re-Zoning Application for the Tennis Center

Dear Jennifer,

This law firm represents Leben Family LLP, the owner of Parcel #00396950-000200, the "Tennis Center". The request is to amend the current FLUM from a residential category to a commercial category and to re-zone the property to a zoning district consistent with its historic commercial use as a private tennis club. This application is necessary and appropriate because the Tennis Center was operating as a legal non-conforming business; however, since the Tennis Center has been closed for a period greater than 365 days, the "legal" protection afforded to active non-conforming uses have been lost pursuant to Policy 1-2.2.5: Discontinued Non-Conforming Uses, which states:

> Non-conforming uses that have ceased operations for one (1) year or more shall be considered discontinued and shall not be re-established.

An appropriate FLUM and re-zoning are necessary to re-establish the legal status of the Tennis Center and any future commercial re-development. The Village has two zoning districts which permit private clubs like the Tennis Center. The first is Village Center.

Sec 30-692 Village Center (VC) Zoning District

1. *Purpose and intent*.
    1. The purpose of the village center (VC) zoning district is to recognize and enhance the vitality of the core, mixed use business centers. In this zoning district, integrated business and residential uses shall be permitted, while requiring bufferyards and other mitigation techniques to ensure a smooth transition where these parcels interface with residential land uses. Businesses in this district

promote walk-up traffic and are conducive to enhancing pedestrian-related facilities.
2. This zoning district is established within the Mixed Use (MU) FLUM category.

Because the Tennis Center is located on Lower Matecumbe Key and is not in a mixed-use business center or easily accessed by walk-up traffic, this zoning district may not be the most appropriate to re-establish the commercial use. The second zoning district is Neighborhood Commercial.

Sec 30-697 Neighborhood Commercial (NC) Zoning District

1. *Purpose and intent*.
    1. The purpose of the neighborhood commercial (NC) zoning district is to provide a compact commercial area designed and intended to allow for a mix of residential, office and local retail sales servicing the daily needs of local residents within convenient traveling distance.
    2. This zoning district is established within the Mixed Use (MU) FLUM category.

NC appears to be the more appropriate commercial category because there is a mix of residential and existing commercial (TC) uses in the immediate area fronting US1. Moreover, the NC zoning district appears to be consistent with existing commercial zoning on Lower Matecumbe in that there are existing NC zoned parcels but no VC zoned properties. Both zoning districts require the MU FLUM as there is no other Future Land Use Category that permits private clubs.

Accordingly, this application is consistent with and promotes numerous Comprehensive Plan Goals, Objectives, and Policies. The Applicant respectfully requests that you recommend approval to an appropriate commercial use category which allows the current Tennis Center. The request is to amend the Future Land Use Map from RC to Mixed Use (MU) (FLUM) and Neighborhood Commercial (NC) from the current zoning of native residential (NR).

The Property: Is a 3.2-acre site on Lower Matecumbe Key. The property is known as the Tennis Center. Uses include a tennis court complex with a retail pro shop. The developed 2.2-acre portion of the property contains no significant habitat and is mostly devoid of vegetation. The remaining 1 acre is considered environmentally sensitive. As part of a redevelopment plan, the Applicant will volunteer to place a conservation easement over the undeveloped portion of the property. The developed portion of the property is not recognized as habitat to any state or federally listed animal species. Additionally, the developed portion of the property is not within the state conservation recreation lands' boundary or critical habitat area.

Prior Approval History: None.

Needs Analysis and Supporting Data: The applicant is required to submit all information required to adequately address the filing requirements adopted by the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity ("DEO"). In addition, the applicant must submit all other information necessary to address the comprehensive planning criteria of the Village. Pursuant to the DEO

State Coordinated Review Submittal Guidelines, in the case of FLUM amendments, the following information is provided.

1. *A description of the availability of and the demand on the following public facilities: sanitary sewer, solid waste, drainage, potable water, traffic circulation, schools and recreation, as appropriate.*

At the present time, no additional development is contemplated for the property and the existing structures are to remain the same. Accordingly, there is existing adequate water, sewer, and solid waste services to service the existing developed parcel. Additionally, the traffic circulation, schools, and recreational open space have already been assessed and accounted for since this property was developed in the early 1990's.

2. *Information regarding the compatibility of the proposed land use amendments with the Comprehensive Plan future land use element objectives and policies, and those of other affected elements*.

The following Comprehensive Plan elements are applicable to this application:

Policy 1-2.3.1 Residential Conservation (RC)

Areas designated Residential Conservation (RC) on the Future Land Use Map shall allow residential uses at a maximum density of one (1) single family residential unit per four (4) acres. This designation is intended to balance the protection of environmentally sensitive lands, (by providing a buffer to protect large parcels of more environmentally sensitive lands from the encroachment of development), wildlife corridors and transition zones, and areas suitable for re-vegetation, with the protection of the property rights of the owners. On sites designated RC, no more than one (1) unit shall be established per platted lot or parcel existing as of the effective date of this Comprehensive Plan, except for those platted lots or parcels identified as Disturbed with Exotics on the Village habitat maps and containing no tropical hardwood hammock. Substandard lots of less than four (4) acres in size which are contiguous and in common ownership shall be assembled in order to meet the density standards of this policy. Notwithstanding the density limitation, a caretaker's unit may be developed as an accessory use on a conforming parcel, if found to be consistent with the Village's environmental regulations. Such units shall be permitted pursuant to the requirements of the Village's Building Permit Allocation System, only as affordable housing. Criteria established in the Land Development Regulations shall include restrictions on size and location so as to not require clearing of additional land. Within RC, properties are generally suitable as sender sites for transfers of development rights, but may also be receiver sites from C and RC parcels, if needed to achieve the acreage required to construct a maximum of one (1) unit on the receiver parcel(s), or for the addition of a caretakers cottage. The Village may develop an incentive program to extinguish the development rights from RC properties. The RC future land use designation shall allow home occupations having no environmental impact, in accordance with provisions to be established within the Land Development Regulations.

The proposed FLUM amendment and re-zoning request is consistent with the Goals, Objectives, and Policies, in 1-2.8.1 of the Village Comprehensive Plan because the Tennis Center pre-dates the implementation of the Village Comprehensive Plan. The minimum acreage requirement for RC lots is four (4) acres. The property is 3.2 acres and contains a commercial structure and not a residential use. Accordingly, the RC FLUM designation was and is not appropriate to the site because it ignored the existing use and commercial structure when the property was designated as RC. By designating the property as RC, the Village rendered the use and structure nonconforming in contravention to its own land use policies and land development regulations. The most appropriate use of this site is commercial.

> Policy 1-2.1.9: Promote Low And Medium Intensity And Varied Commercial Designations
>
> Islamorada, Village of Islands shall promote low and medium intensity professional and business offices, as well as general retail sales and services designed to meet the needs for goods and services of local residents and Village visitors and shall limit the development of new high intensity uses. The Village shall not promote an increase in highway-oriented businesses that cater to a transient drive-through clientele.

The requested MU FLUM and NC zoning are consistent with Policy 1-2.1.9. Lower Matecumbe has approximately fourteen lots designated at NC. The lots are located between Gulf View Drive to the north and White Marlin Blvd. to the south. Half of these lots front US1. These properties are used as storage lots except for the gas station and convenience store fronting US1 at the corner of White Marlin Blvd. These properties represent approximately 2.12 acres in Lower Matecumbe Key.

> Policy 1-2.4.1: Guide The Location Of Commercial Uses And Revitalize Commercial Areas
>
> Mixed Use (MU) is the only FLUM category in which commercial uses shall be permitted. The general pattern of commercial land uses in MU shall:
>
> 1. Prevent negative impacts on the fragile coastal ecosystem by directing commercial development away from environmentally sensitive lands and critical habitat;
> 2. Revitalize all existing commercial areas and further distinguish Village Activity Centers;
> 3. Restrict the scale and intensity of commercial development outside of the Village Activity Centers and other appropriate areas in the Village;
> 4. Promote safe and efficient vehicle, cyclist and pedestrian movement;
> 5. Prevent or minimize Village costs to provide infrastructure;
> 6. Avoid encroachment of incompatible commercial activity into established residential neighborhoods;
> 7. Enhance the unique character of the Village's commercial land uses through incentives for bufferyards and landscaping; and
> 8. Facilitate within the Village Activity Centers, the creation of aesthetically pleasing commercial spaces outdoors, as places for social leisure and interaction, while limiting light industrial uses, outdoor storage and sales as a primary use of land, and outdoor retail sales as an accessory use of land.

The fact that the property is already developed with a commercial use support the designation of this property as Mixed-Use with a Neighborhood Commercial zoning.

Policy 1-2.4.6: Establish Zoning District Criteria For MU

The following criteria shall be used as guidelines for designating Zoning Districts within the Mixed Use FLUM category:

1. **Residential Zoning Districts**: In general, residential Zoning Districts shall be established where there are existing residential neighborhoods within the Village Activity Centers.
2. **Commercial Zoning Districts**: In general, commercial Zoning Districts shall be established where there are existing commercial uses, including single vacant parcels of land located between two (2) existing commercial uses.
3. **Integrated Use Zoning Districts**: Integrated use Zoning Districts, which encourage commercial and residential uses to co-locate on the same parcel or within the same building shall be established to maintain and promote affordable, workforce and employee housing. Appropriate locations for integrated use zoning shall include, in general, areas where such uses already exist, the core areas of the Village Activity Centers and other select locations along U.S. 1 where existing structures, business types and locations lend themselves to compatible co-existence with residential uses.
4. **School and Recreational Zoning Districts**: These Zoning Districts shall be established to accommodate existing or proposed school or recreational uses.

The proposed FLUM and re-zoning are consistent with Policy 1-2.4.6(2) - commercial Zoning Districts shall be established where there are existing commercial uses.

GOAL 1-1: IMPLEMENT FUTURE LAND USE VISION
OBJECTIVE 1-1.1: PLAN AND DESIGN FOR RESIDENTIAL QUALITY
OBJECTIVE 1-1.2: REINFORCE AND ENHANCE THE VILLAGE'S APPEARANCE

Realizing the following:

That the Village incorporated to create a Comprehensive Plan to reclaim the Keys by conserving, preserving, and retaining our remarkable assets—our waters and natural environment—and our quality of life;

That the Village is and must continue to be synonymous with sport fishing, diving, the Everglades National Park, the living coral reef, Indian Key, Lignumvitae Key, Shell Key, Windley Quarry, and many species of fish and fowl;

That the Comprehensive Plan must further understanding of the ecological limits of our Keys and prohibit any further degradation of our natural resources by incompatible land and marine activities such as casino boats, sea planes, personal watercraft, and other watercraft that are operated improperly; and

That the Comprehensive Plan must describe public and private actions needed to protect and retain the Village's waterways and natural resources comprising our unique ecosystem as well as preserve the quiet solitude of the backcountry.

The Comprehensive Plan shall provide a growth management framework that:

- Directs development only to sites that can accommodate such activity without promoting conflict with natural resources;

- Encourages sustainability by limiting growth in order to establish and maintain acceptable levels of service for hurricane evacuation, potable water and wastewater services, traffic flow, recreation and open space as well as reclaim and preserve the quality of our natural resources;
- Keeps development away from the shoreline and wetlands;
- Provides a sound basis for developing land use controls that ensure acceptable levels of service for hurricane evacuation, protect coastal resources, including nearshore waters, wetlands, grass bed flats, mangroves, as well as hammocks, and establish a basis for managing landscaping, signage, parking, drainage, and water quality;
- Relies on ecological constraints to establish limits for growth and create standards and criteria to ensure that human induced activities do not diminish assets of our unique coastal environment; and
- Ensures that the character and location of land uses incorporate best management practices and principles of resource conservation, promote orderly land use transition, and minimize threats to health, safety, and welfare which may be caused by incompatible land uses, environmental degradation, hazards and nuisances.

The proposed FLUM amendment and re-zoning are consistent with Goal 1-1 and Objective 1-1. The proposal. By re-purposing existing developed sites, the application supports the criteria in these elements of the Village of Islamorada Comprehensive Plan.

All proposed FLUM amendments must be consistent with the Principles for Guiding Development within the Florida Keys Area of Critical State Concern, pursuant to § 380.0552(7), Florida Statutes. The Principles are listed below.

(a) *Strengthening local government capabilities for managing land use and development so that local government is able to achieve these objectives without continuing the area of critical state concern designation*.

This application is consistent with the Principles for Guiding Development within the Florida Keys Area of Critical State Concern based on the most recent report to the Administration Commission outlined in the Work Program. The proposal re-purposes existing developed sites.

(b) *Protecting shoreline and marine resources, including mangroves, coral reef formations, seagrass beds, wetlands, fish and wildlife, and their habitat*.

The proposed FLUM amendment and re-zoning have no impact on existing habitat or protected resources.

(c) *Protecting upland resources, tropical biological communities, freshwater wetlands, native tropical vegetation (for example, hardwood hammocks and pinelands), dune ridges and beaches, wildlife, and their habitat*.

The proposed FLUM amendment and re-zoning have no impact on existing habitat or protected resources.

(d) *Ensuring the maximum well-being of the Florida Keys and its citizens through sound economic development*.

Reusing exiting developed site over clearing of other lands achieves this element.

(e) *Limiting the adverse impacts of development on the quality of water throughout the Florida Keys*.

As stated, this is an already developed site.

(f) *Enhancing natural scenic resources, promoting the aesthetic benefits of the natural environment, and ensuring that development is compatible with the unique historic character of the Florida Keys*.

This application protects the "historical character" of Islamorada in reusing an already developed commercial property.

(g) *Protecting the historical heritage of the Florida Keys*. See answer above.

(h) *Protecting the value, efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and amortized life of existing and proposed major public investments, including:*
1. The Florida Keys Aqueduct and water supply facilities;
2. Sewage collection, treatment, and disposal facilities;
3. Solid waste treatment, collection, and disposal facilities;
4. Key West Naval Air Station and other military facilities;
5. Transportation facilities;
6. Federal parks, wildlife refuges, and marine sanctuaries;
7. State parks, recreation facilities, aquatic preserves, and other publicly owned properties;
8. City electric service and the Florida Keys Electric Co-op; and
9. Other utilities, as appropriate.

The application has no impact on facilities as it is an already developed site.

(i) *Protecting and improving water quality by providing for the construction, operation, maintenance, and replacement of stormwater management facilities; central sewage collection; treatment and disposal facilities; and the installation and proper operation and maintenance of onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems*.

The application has no impact on facilities as it does not propose any new development.

(j) *Ensuring the improvement of nearshore water quality by requiring the construction and operation of wastewater management facilities that meet the requirements of § 381.0065(4)(l) and 403.086(10), as applicable, and by directing growth to areas served by central wastewater treatment facilities through permit allocation systems*.

The application has no impact on facilities as it does not propose any new development.

(k*) Limiting the adverse impacts of public investments on the environmental resources of the Florida Keys*.

This application does not create adverse impacts on environmental resources or affect public investment in infrastructure.

(l) *Making available adequate affordable housing for all sectors of the population of the Florida Keys*.

No impact as stated above.

(m) *Providing adequate alternatives for the protection of public safety and welfare in the event of a natural or manmade disaster and for a post-disaster reconstruction plan*.

No impact as stated above.

(n) *Protecting the public health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of the Florida Keys and maintaining the Florida Keys as a unique Florida resource*.

The application accomplishes this objective as stated in previous responses.

The Village Council shall make its determination on proposed FLUM amendments on legitimate public purpose based on one or more of the following factors:

1. *Demand for the proposed FLUM category in the village in relation to the amount of land currently assigned the FLUM designation and available to accommodate that demand*.

The Village accepted an additional 300 affordable housing units. According to the ratio of commercial property needed to support a new deed-restricted affordable housing unit, the Village will require an additional 71,700 square feet of commercial square footage to support these new units (239 sq.ft. per unit). By repurposing existing developed sites, the Village can meet this need and reduce pressure to develop property that is currently vacant and undeveloped.

2. *Compatibility of the site's physical, geological, hydrological and other environmental features, with the uses permitted in the proposed FLUM category*.

The site has been has been a commercial property since the early 1990's. The site's physical and environmental features have been shaped by this use over time. The proposed change to the FLUM and zoning will have no impact on these criteria.

3. *Data errors, including errors in mapping, vegetative types and natural features described in the comprehensive plan*.

There are no errors in the mapping and natural features – the property contains no listed species and is largely devoid of vegetation in the area already developed. The Applicant will place a conservation easement over the undeveloped portion of the site.

4. *New issues*.

None, except the increased need for commercial square footage as a function of the acceptance of new deed-restricted affordable housing units.

5. *Recognition of a need for additional detail or comprehensiveness. However, in no event shall an amendment be approved which will result in an adverse community change*.

The proposed re-zoning and FLUM will not have an adverse impact on the community since the existing use will not change and the zoning and FLUM request serve to protect that use as provided for in the Village of Islamorada Comprehensive plan.

Enclosures.

1. Application Fee & Deposit.
2. Application letter.
3. Agent Authorization.
4. Application for Map Amendment & Re-zoning.
5. Property Record Card.
6. Warranty Deed.
7. Needs Analysis & Data.
8. Survey.
9. Existing site condition photographs.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (386) 956-8776 or email tyharrispa@gmail.com.

Kind regards,



Ty Harris








8

February 2, 2025.

Vegetation Survey, Arborist Assessment, and Habitat Analysis

PROPERTY INFORMATION:
RE Parcel No. 00396950-000200
Legal Description: PT NELY 653 FT OF SWLY 1725 FT OF TR B (3.2AC) MATECUMBE OCEAN BAY SEC 2 LOWER MATECUMBE KEY PB2-98 OR887 1513/19 OR876-2425/26 OR888-722/24 OR1084-420 OR1155-1329 OR1252-1842 OR1505-1476 OR2266-227/28 OR2446 1212/13C OR2446-1215/17 OR2724-1851/52 OR2865-2009ORD OR2870-1005/06
Address:
76800 Overseas Hwy.
Islamorada, Fl 33037

Prepared for:
LEBEN FAMILY LLLP
2530 NE 47th St Lighthouse Point, FL 33064

The field work for this report was conducted on February 1, 2025. This biological assessment includes identification, measuring, inventory, and mapping of regulated vegetation pursuant to the Village of Islamorada Land Development Regulations (Chapter 30).

Sincerely,

Jason D. Richards

Digitally signed by Jason D. Richards
Date: 2025.02.03 17:17:18 -05'00'

Jason D. Richards, ISA Certified Arborist, Utility, TRAQ, and PPQ Specialist FL-1289 AU
Rich Roots Arbor Services, LLC - (305)-586-6424 - richrootsarbor@gmail.com

Project Background & General Site description:

This 3.2-acre parcel is located on the bayside of US 1 in Lower Matecumbe. The parcel is zoned native residential and boarders and backs up to Parcel ID 00396950-000201 to the west and north and boarders on the east to Parcel ID 00396950-000300 owned by the State of Florida. Project was to identify the quality of hammock on this parcel and map vegetation on sample plots to show the consistency of the hammock.



Methodology:

Three plots were chosen to do a sample assessment of the species present. Each plot measures approximately one-hundred square feet and can be seen on the site map. All native regulated trees where measured for diameter of two inches or greater at breast height (DBH) and cataloged. These are included in the Tree Table and Vegetation Map in this report. The trees were measured for the diameter at Breast Height using a diameter tape and rounded to nearest inch (i.e., trees 2.5 to 2.9 inches were rounded to 3 inches). Photos taken are of representative trees and shown in the photo log.

Insert 1 Map of plots 1, 2, and 3 where vegetation was surveyed and cataloged.



Observations:

Vegetation Assessment – The subject property is heavily vegetated on the west side. There is a mixture of native canopy trees as well as native understory. Thers is a large Brazilian Peper on the south-west side of property close to the west boundary. On the back north-west side there is a disturbed area at the edge of the canopy.

Wildlife – the site is not within the USFWS combined species focus area for the Florida Keys. Wildlife could utilize the habitat however no wildlife species or signs of their presence were observed on the site during the field assessment.

Tropical Hardwood Hammock Quality Scoring – Hammock Patch size refers to the size of the contiguous hammock community to which the onsite hammock belongs, regardless of ownership. The limits of the hammock patch size are determined by changes in habitat type, transition to developed areas no longer meeting the definition of hammock, and primary roads (US 1)- secondary paved roads do not constitute a hammock boundary.

Landscape ecology – The position of the hammock within the context of other natural communities is an important aspect of hammock quality. Hammocks that are contiguous to other natural plant communities such as transitional wetlands or mangroves have more biological value in the landscape than hammocks isolated in a developed matrix.

Invasive Exotic Species – The extent to which a hammock is infested with invasive exotic plants is considered a measure of the ecological integrity of the hammock and thus the hammock quality.

Significant Environmental Features – there were no wetlands. However, there where solution holes observed. These where observed on the NW side close to the boundary of the property.

Quality Determination – The quality of a tropical hardwood hammock shall be determined based on the cumulative point scores derived under this section using the following criteria. Parcels within the Residential Medium (RM) and Airport (A) future land use categories that score as high or moderate quality and are one-half acre or less in size shall receive an automatic low - quality classification provided the applicant submits a conservation easement on required open space. (Village of Islamorada Code Sec 30-1613 (i))

Quality	**Scoring**
Patch Size – 28.9 acres	75 Points
Position of Hammock in the Landscape	15 Points
Estimated Invasive Exotic Plant Coverage < 25%	10 Points
	Total Points Awarded – 100 Points

Based on the above, the hammock portion of the subject property is **High** quality tropical hardwood hammock.

Map 1 Hammock Patch Size in dark green (approx. 28.9 acres)




Map # 2 Shaded area of undisturbed hammock




Map # 3 Square footage of undisturbed hammock



Map # 4 Site map and plots



Map of Plot # 1




Plot # 1 Tree Table

TREE NUMBER	Common Name	Scientific Name	DBH	Size	Status	Condition	Comments
11	Poison wood	Metopium toxiferum	5		RI	good	Plot 1
12	Everglades velvet seed	Guettarda elliptica	<2	X large	N	good	Plot 1
13	Gumbo limbo	Bursera simaruba	6		N	good	Plot 1
14	Strangler fig	Ficus aurea	14		N	poor	Plot 1 multi stem
15	Spanish stopper	Eugenia foetida	3		N	good	Plot 1
16	Pigeon plum	Coccoloba diversifolia	6		N	good	Plot 1 multi stem
17	Gumbo limbo	Bursera simaruba	7		N	poor	Plot 1 damaged, sucker sprouts
18	Spanish stopper	Eugenia foetida	<2	X large	N	good	Plot 1

SM = 0 - <3', MD = 3 -<6", L = 6 - <10', XL >10'

Map of Plot # 2




Plot # 2 Tree Table

TREE NUMBER	Common Name	Scientific Name	DBH	Size	Status	Condition	Comments
19	Blolly	Guapira discolor	11		N	good	Plot 2 multi stem
20	Gumbo limbo	Bursera simaruba	4		N	good	Plot 2
21	Hog plum	Ximenia americana	<2	large	N	good	Plot 2
22	Pigeon plum	Coccoloba diversifolia	3		N	good	Plot 2
23	Jamaican dogwood	Piscidia piscipula	8		N	good	Plot 2
24	Crabwood	Gymnanthes lucida	<2	large	RI	good	
25	Black ironwood	Krugiodendron ferreum	6		RI	good	Plot 2
26	Barb wire cactus	Cereus pentagonus		medium	E		Plot 2 little patch
27	Spanish stopper	Eugenia foetida	2		N	good	Plot 2
28	Gumbo limbo	*Bursera simaruba*	6		N	good	Plot 2
29	Black ironwood	Krugiodendron ferreum	4		RI	good	Plot 2
30	Black ironwood	Krugiodendron ferreum	3		RI	good	Plot 2
31	Gumbo limbo	Bursera simaruba	6		N	good	Plot 2
32	Black mangrove	Avicennia germinans	10		E	poor	Plot 2 multi stem fallen

SM = 0 - <3', MD = 3 -<6", L = 6 - <10', XL >10'

Map of Plot # 3



Plot # 3 Tree Table

TREE NUMBER	Common Name	Scientific Name	DBH	Size	Status	Condition	Comments
33	Pigeon plum	Coccoloba diversifolia	10		N	good	Plot 3
34	Poison wood	Metopium toxiferum	7		RI	good	Plot 3
35	Gumbo limbo	Bursera simaruba	6		N	good	Plot 3
36	Crabwood	Gymnanthes lucida	<2	medium	RI	good	Plot 3
37	Poison wood	Metopium toxiferum	5		RI		Plot 3
38	Everglades velvet seed	Guettarda elliptica	4	X large	N	good	Plot 3
39	Gumbo limbo	Bursera simaruba	9		N	poor	Plot 3 fallen
40	Crabwood	Gymnanthes lucida	2		N	poor	Plot 3 fallen
41	Black ironwood	Krugiodendron ferreum	4		RI	good	Plot 3
42	Christmas berry	*Lycium carloinianum*	<2	large			Plot 3
43	Black ironwood	Krugiodendron ferreum	43		N	good	Plot 3

SM = 0 - <3', MD = 3 -<6", L = 6 - <10', XL >10'

Plot # 1 Pictures







Plot # 2 Pictures





Plot # 3 Pictures





Council Communication

To: Mayor and Village Council
From: Jennifer DeBoisbriand , Planning Director
Date: July 24, 2025
SUBJECT: **Ordinance to amend the official Zoning Map from Native Residential (NR) to Neighborhood Commercial (NC)) for the Property at 76800 Overseas Hwy. - FIRST READING TAB E**

Background:
The applicant, Ty Harris, PA on behalf of the property owner Leben Family LLP, has submitted a map amendment application requesting a Zoning Map Amendment from Native Residential (NR) District to Neighborhood Commercial (NC) District on the subject property, located at 76800 Overseas Highway (RE #00396950-000200), comprising 3.2 acres. The current use of the property is an abandoned tennis center with retail shop. This Zoning Map amendment has a companion application for a Future Land Use Map (FLUM) Amendment, which proposes a change from Residential Conservation (RC) to Mixed-Use (MU) Designation, and the Zoning Map amendment cannot be approved without approval of the companion FLUM Amendment. The applicant's agent has submitted a needs analysis detailing the map amendment request.

The subject property currently has an existing Native Residential (NR) Zoning District. The property to the North and West is designated Conservation (C). The property to the South is designated Native Residential (NR). The properties to the East across Overseas Highway are designated Tourist Commercial (TC) and Native Residential (NR). The character of the surrounding area is mixed use with residential uses and conservation uses.
The property is disturbed in the area that has been developed and has hammock surrounding the disturbed area. The applicant has submitted a vegetation survey and habitat analysis on the undisturbed area. According to this survey the area is classified as HIGH quality tropical hardwood hammock. The property is not recognized as habitat to any state or federal listed animal species. A portion of the property is within the Florida Forever Boundary. Previously the Village had expressed interest in including the entirety of the property on the Florida Forever Boundary list and the property owner was not amenable to that idea. Pursuant to the Village's GIS data and records the parcel is defined as hammock and scarified.

Analysis:
Pursuant to Section 30-697 the purpose of the Neighborhood Commercial (NC) zoning district is to provide a compact commercial area designed and intended to allow for a mix of residential, office and local retail sales servicing the daily needs of local residents within convenient traveling distance. Though not proposed, any future development of the subject property would require site plan application approval, this will ensure that the subject property meets the standards of review of the Comprehensive Plan and the Land Development Regulations (LDRs), including but not limited to: requirements for minimum open space;

habitat preservation, concurrency management and level of service (LOS) standards for transportation, infrastructure, wastewater, stormwater and other public services; off-street parking and internal circulation; required setbacks; landscaping, dedicated conservation easements for existing habitat; on-site and off-site improvements and design amenities required to achieve land use compatibility for the surrounding land uses and zoning districts

Compatibility with Comprehensive Plan Policies:

Policy 1-2.1.9, Promote Low and Medium Intensity and Varied Commercial Designations
Policy 1-2.1.14, Criteria for Future Land Use Map (FLUM) Amendments
Policy 1-2.4, Recognize Mixed Use Development Patterns
Policy 1-2.4.1, Guide The Location of Commercial uses and Revitalize Commercial Areas
Policy 1-2.4.5: Standards Applicable to Non-Residential Uses in the MU Designation

Comprehensive Plan Policy 1-2.1.9, Promote Low and Medium Intensity and Varied Commercial Designations, states that Islamorada, Village of Islands, shall maintain specific criteria for amending the Future Land Use Map that are consistent with the general procedures delineated in Chapter 163.3177, 163.3184 and 163.3189, Florida Statutes and the principles for guiding development in areas of critical state concern. The Village Council shall make its determination on proposed FLUM amendments on legitimate public purpose based on one or more of the following factors; however, in no event shall an amendment be approved which would result in an adverse community change.

Comprehensive Plan Policy 1-2.1.14, Criteria for Future Land Use Map (FLUM) Amendments, states that Islamorada, Village of Islands, shall maintain specific criteria for amending the Future Land Use Map that are consistent with the general procedures delineated in Chapter 163.3177, 163.3184 and 163.3189, Florida Statutes and the principles for guiding development in areas of critical state concern. The Village Council shall make its determination on proposed FLUM amendments on legitimate public purpose based on one or more of the following factors; however, in no event shall an amendment be approved which would result in an adverse community change.

It is the staff's opinion that this request is not compatible with the two policies as it does result in an adverse community change. The applicant argues that the Tennis Center predates the Comprehensive Plan. However, the Tennis Center has been abandoned for a period of more than 365 days and loses any non-conforming status. Allowing a FLUM change to mixed use would adversely affect the area of Lower Matecumbe by opening the property up to the option of commercial development.

Comprehensive Plan Objective 1-2.4, Recognize Mixed Use Development Patterns, states: "Areas designated Mixed Use (MU) on the Future Land Use Map recognizes the prevalent and historical mixed-use pattern of development in the Village. The MU category shall accommodate a mix of commercial and residential uses, which may be located in the same building, limited public and semi-public uses, recreational facilities, schools, marinas, tourist-oriented facilities, and supportive community facilities ancillary to the permitted uses, pursuant to the standards in the Comprehensive Plan and the Land Development Regulations."

The proposed map amendment would not be consistent with the historical trend of a mixed-

use development pattern within the Village. While the properties along Overseas Highway contain mixed uses, including commercial, recreation and residential uses, this area of the Village has historically been more residential in nature.

Comprehensive Plan Policy 1-2.4.1, Guide The Location Of Commercial Uses And Revitalize Commercial Areas, states Mixed Use (MU) is the only FLUM category in which commercial uses shall be permitted. The general pattern of commercial land uses in MU shall:
1. Prevent negative impacts on the fragile coastal ecosystem by directing commercial development away from environmentally sensitive lands and critical habitat;
2. Revitalize all existing commercial areas and further distinguish Village Activity Centers;
3. Restrict the scale and intensity of commercial development outside of the Village Activity Centers and other appropriate areas in the Village;
4. Promote safe and efficient vehicle, cyclist and pedestrian movement;
5. Prevent or minimize Village costs to provide infrastructure;
6. Avoid encroachment of incompatible commercial activity into established residential neighborhoods;
7. Enhance the unique character of the Village's commercial land uses through incentives for bufferyards and landscaping; and
8. Facilitate within the Village Activity Centers, the creation of aesthetically pleasing commercial spaces outdoors, as places for social leisure and interaction, while limiting light industrial uses, outdoor storage and sales as a primary use of land, and outdoor retail sales as an accessory use of land.
The proposed amendment would not be consistent with this policy in that it could negatively impact an area of High Quality Hammock.

Comprehensive Plan Policy 1-2.4.5, Standards Applicable to Non-Residential Uses in the MU Designation, states, “The Floor Area Ratio (FAR) for MU designated parcels outside of the Village Activity Centers shall not exceed 0.25, except that an FAR up to 0.35 may be allowed on designated receiver sites through the transfer of development rights and for Working Waterfronts parcels, as provided for in the Land Development Regulations. FAR within the Village Activity Centers and on Working Waterfronts parcels shall not exceed 0.35 FAR. The Land Development Regulations shall establish criteria governing the appropriate mass and scale of structures, trip generation, design and location of access and egress facilities, off-street parking and safe pedestrian facilities in MU. Design techniques such as landscaping, screening and buffering shall be applied to ensure land use compatibility within MU areas.”

Any possible future development associated with the proposed FLUM amendment would be required to comply with all applicable portions of the Land Development Regulations, including but not limited to landscaping, buffering and off-street parking. Therefore, the proposed amendment is consistent with Policy 1-2.4.5.

Procedures for Amendments to Zoning Map:

Pursuant to Section 30-411(d)(4)(b) of the Code of Ordinances, the Village Council must find that the application is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, that the applicant has complied with all procedural requirements of this section, and that the maintenance of the existing zoning on the property does not accomplish a legitimate public purpose. The Village Council shall make its determination on a finding of legitimate public purpose based on one or more of the following factors:

1. Demand for the proposed Zoning District in the Village in relation to the amount of land currently zoned and available to accommodate that demand.

Finding: Pursuant to the Village's GIS data and records, there are approximately 36 parcels that are designated within the NC Neighborhood Commercial District, which represents approximately .01 percent of the 7,108 total parcels within Islamorada, Village of Islands. Of the 36 parcels within the NC Neighborhood Commercial District, 5 parcels are vacant, which equates to approximately 13.8 percent of the total NC parcels.

2. Compatibility of the site's physical, geological, hydrological and other environmental features, with the uses permitted in the proposed Zoning designation.

Finding: The proposed use of maintaining the tennis center is allowed in the proposed zoning designation.

3. Data errors, including errors in mapping, vegetative types and natural features described in the comprehensive plan.

Finding: There is no evidence that there are any errors in the Villages GIS data, records and other resources.

4. New Issues.

Finding: There are no new issues that would require the map amendment.

5. Recognition of a need for additional detail or comprehensiveness.

Finding: The Village is currently undergoing an update to the Comprehensive Plan. That should be completed within the year.

6. Compatibility of the proposed district with the property surrounding the site of the requested rezoning and any applicable neighborhood or redevelopment plan.

Finding: The proposed zoning change to Neighborhood Commercial is not compatible with the surrounding properties. The surrounding properties are residential, tourist and conservation uses.

The uses would now be limited under the proposed sub-area policy in the FLUM to public and private recreation and storage.

At its meeting on June 3, 2025 the LPA unanimously recommended approval of this ordinance.

Budget Impact:
None

Staff Impact:
None

Recommendation:

Staff has reviewed the request for Zoning Map Amendment from Native Residential to Neighborhood Commercial and determined that the request does meet the criteria. Therefore, staff does recommend approval of the map amendment.

Attachments:
1. 76800 OSH Zoning ORD
2. 76800 CC Back up

After recording return to:
Planning and Development Services Dept.
Islamorada, Village of Islands
86800 Overseas Highway
Islamorada Florida 33036

ORDINANCE NO. 25-

AN ORDINANCE OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA, CONSIDERING THE REQUEST OF TY HARRIS PA, AGENT FOR LEBEN FAMILY LLLP, TO AMEND THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP FROM NATIVE RESIDENTIAL (NR) TO NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL (NC) FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY AT 76800 OVERSEAS HIGHWAY LOCATED ON LOWER MATECUMBE KEY, WITH REAL ESTATE NUMBER 00396950-000200, AS LEGALLY DESCRIBED HEREIN; PROVIDING FOR THE TRANSMITTAL OF THIS ORDINANCE TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE UPON THE APPROVAL OF THIS ORDINANCE BY THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

WHEREAS, the Official Zoning Map of Islamorada, Village of Islands (the "Village") became effective April 30, 2002; and

WHEREAS, the property owner, Leben Family LLLP, has requested an Official Zoning Map amendment from the Native Residential (NR) District to the Neighborhood Commercial (NC) Zoning District for a parcel consisting of approximately 3.2 acres of land with the Parcel ID Number 00396950-000200 (the "Property"), as legally described below; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 166.041, Florida Statutes and Sections 30-101 and 30-213 of the Village Code of Ordinances (the "Code"), the Village Local Planning Agency publicly considered the Zoning Map Amendment during a duly noticed public hearing held on June 3, 2025; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Section 166.041, Florida Statutes, and Section 30-213 of the Village Code, notice of the public hearing(s) has been given for the proposed adoption of this Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Village Council of Islamorada, Village of Islands (the "Village Council") finds that the adoption of the Official Zoning Map Amendment is in the best interest of the Village and does comply with all applicable laws, as well as promotes the general health, safety, and welfare of the Village residents; and

WHEREAS, the Village Council has determined that the proposed Zoning Map Amendment is consistent with the Village Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Village Council desires to consider the proposed Zoning Map Amendment in accordance with State law.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE VILLAGE COUNCIL OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Recitals. The above recitals are true and correct and incorporated herein by this reference.

Section 2. Approval/Denial of Zoning Map Amendment. The Official Zoning Map Amendment is hereby [**approved / denied**] as part of the Official Zoning Map of the Village. A copy of the Official Zoning Map Amendment is attached as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by this reference, for the following described property:

PT NELY 653 FT OF SWLY 1725 FT OF TR B (3.2AC) MATECUMBE OCEAN BAY SEC 2 LOWER MATECUMBE KEY PB2-98

Section 3. Transmittal. The Village Clerk is hereby authorized to forward a copy of this Ordinance to the State Department of Commerce ("Commerce") for approval in accordance with Section 380.05(6), Florida Statutes.

Section 4. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall not become effective until approved pursuant to Final Order by the Florida Department of Commerce (Commerce) pursuant to Section 163.3184,

Florida Statutes or if the Final Order is challenged, until the challenge to the order is resolved pursuant to Chapter 380.05, Florida Statutes.

The foregoing Ordinance was offered by _____, who moved for its adoption on first reading. This motion was seconded by _____, and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Mayor Sharon Mahoney
Vice Mayor Don Horton
Councilman Steve Friedman
Councilwoman Deb Gillis
Councilwoman Anna Richards

PASSED on the first reading this ____day of_____, 2025.

[Remainder of this page intentionally left blank]

The foregoing Ordinance was offered by _____, who moved for its adoption on second reading. This motion was seconded by _____, and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Mayor Sharon Mahoney
Vice Mayor Don Horton
Councilman Steve Friedman
Councilwoman Deb Gillis
Councilwoman Anna Richards

PASSED AND ADOPTED on the second reading this _____ day of _____, 2025.

_____
SHARON MAHONEY, MAYOR

ATTEST:

_____
MARNE MCGRATH, VILLAGE CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY
FOR THE USE AND BENEFIT OF
ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS ONLY

_____
JOHN J. QUICK, VILLAGE ATTORNEY

Islamorada, Village of Islands,
Planning and Development Services Department

APPLICATION FOR MAP AMENDMENT

Pursuant to Chapter 30, Article IV, Divisions 8 & 9

	Application Type	Application Fee	Deposit	Total Fee Due
☑	Future Land Use and Zoning	$5,120.00	$1,000.00	$6,120.00
☐	Zoning Only	$2,500.00	$1,000.00	$3,500.00

✓ Request concurrent Future Land Use and Zoning Hearings pursuant to 163.3184(12), Florida Statutes

An application must be deemed complete and in compliance with the Village Code by Staff prior to the items being scheduled for review. See the Submittal Requirements below for a list of required submittals and documents.

APPLICANT / AGENT (if applicable): Property owner must submit a **notarized** letter authorizing the applicant/agent to act on their behalf including the agent's name, address and phone number.

Name: Ty Harris PA
Mailing Address: 110 Plantation Shores Drive Tavernier FL 33070
Primary Phone: 386 956 8776 Fax: N/A
Email: tyharrispa@gmail.com

PROPERTY OWNER:

Name: Leben Family LLP c/oRayme Lyn Suarez, Esq. (Guardian) for Mary Leben (Ward)
Mailing Address: 92400 Overseas Highway STE 7 Tavernier FL 33070
Primary Phone: See agent Fax: _____
Email: See agent

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY: If in metes and bounds, attach legal description on separate sheet.

Physical Address: 76800 Overseas Hwy Mile Marker: 76.8
Lot: portion Tract B Block: Ocean Bay Sec 2 Subdivision: Matecumbe Ocean Bay
☐ Plantation Key ☐ Windley Key ☐ Upper Matecumbe Key ☑ Lower Matecumbe Key
Real Estate (RE) Number: 00396950-000200 Alternate Key: 8647603

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION:

Total Land Area: 3.2+/- AC Square Feet _____ Acres
Existing Use of Property: Tennic Center w retail pro shop
Has an Application for Map Amendment been submitted for this site within the past two (2) years? ☐ Yes ☑ No
If yes, provide name and date of application: _____

PROPOSED MAP AMENDMENT:

Current Future Land Use Map Category: RC Current Zoning District: NR
Proposed Future Land Use Map Category: MU Proposed Zoning District: HC

SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS:

☑ **Correct application fee** (Total Fee Due)

☑ **Notarized agent authorization letter**, if applicable, including the agent's name, address and phone number authorizing the applicant/agent to act on all property owners' behalf.

☑ **Proof of ownership** e.g. warranty deed, lease or pending sale contract.

☑ **Property record card** from the Monroe County Property Appraiser.

☑ **Copy of current Future Land Use Map** clearly marking the boundaries of the property. Map may be request from Planning and Development Service Department prior to application submittal.

☑ **Copy of current Official Zoning Map** clearly marking the boundaries of the property. Map may be request from Planning and Development Service Department prior to application submittal.

☑ **Photographs** of the site taken from adjacent streets.

☑ **Signed and sealed survey** prepared by a Florida registered surveyor. The survey shall include elevations and location of all existing structures, paved areas, location of all utility structures, all easements, all bodies of water on and adjacent to the site, docks, piers, mean high water line and total acreage by habitat (**please provide a digital copy in addition to the signed and sealed survey).**

☑ **Data and analysis (including a land use need analysis)** pursuant to Comprehensive Plan Policy 1-2.1.13, demonstrating there is a need for the amount of development allowed by the proposed FLUM designations in order to accommodate the Comprehensive Plan's projected population growth within the planning timeframe of the Comprehensive Plan.

☑ **Explanation** of how the application satisfies each of the applicable criteria in the Land Development Regulations, Comprehensive Plan and Principles for Guiding Development pursuant to § 380.0552(7), Florida Statutes. See **Attachment A** for list of criteria.

If deemed necessary to complete a full review of the application, the Planning and Development Services Department reserves the right to request additional information.

I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in this application, and that to the best of my knowledge such information is true, complete and accurate. I certify that all information required has been provided.

Digital signatures do not require notarization when they can be verified by a Trusted Certificate issued by a third-party Certificate Authority. If you are not using a "verifiable digital signature", print this document and have it notarized.

[signature] 7/24/24

Signature of Applicant **Date**

Print Name: Ty Harris

STATE OF Florida

COUNTY OF Monroe

Sworn to and subscribed before me by means of ✓ physical appearance or ____ online notarization, this 24th day of July, 2024, by Ty Harris (name of person signing the application) as agent (type of authority e.g. officer, manager / member, trustee, attorney in fact) for _____ (name of entity or party on behalf of whom application was executed).

[signature]

Signature of Notary Public

FAUSTA J. MOREIRA NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF FLORIDA My Comm. Expires March 26, 2027 No. HH 378490

SEAL:

☐ Personally Known ☑ Produced Identification Type of ID FL DL

ATTACHMENT A

Future Land Use Map (FLUM) Amendment Criteria. The applicant shall submit all information required to adequately address the filing requirements adopted by the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity ("DEO"). In addition, the applicant shall submit all other information necessary to address the comprehensive planning criteria of the Village.

Pursuant to the DEO State Coordinated Review Submittal Guidelines, in the case of FLUM amendments, the following information must be provided:

1. A description of the availability of and the demand on the following public facilities: sanitary sewer, solid waste, drainage, potable water, traffic circulation, schools and recreation, as appropriate; and
2. Information regarding the compatibility of the proposed land use amendments with the Comprehensive Plan future land use element objectives and policies, and those of other affected elements.

All proposed FLUM amendments must be consistent with the Principles for Guiding Development within the Florida Keys Area of Critical State Concern, pursuant to § 380.0552(7), Florida Statutes. The Principles are listed below.

(a) Strengthening local government capabilities for managing land use and development so that local government is able to achieve these objectives without continuing the area of critical state concern designation.

(b) Protecting shoreline and marine resources, including mangroves, coral reef formations, seagrass beds, wetlands, fish and wildlife, and their habitat.

(c) Protecting upland resources, tropical biological communities, freshwater wetlands, native tropical vegetation (for example, hardwood hammocks and pinelands), dune ridges and beaches, wildlife, and their habitat.

(d) Ensuring the maximum well-being of the Florida Keys and its citizens through sound economic development.

(e) Limiting the adverse impacts of development on the quality of water throughout the Florida Keys.

(f) Enhancing natural scenic resources, promoting the aesthetic benefits of the natural environment, and ensuring that development is compatible with the unique historic character of the Florida Keys.

(g) Protecting the historical heritage of the Florida Keys.

(h) Protecting the value, efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and amortized life of existing and proposed major public investments, including:

1. The Florida Keys Aqueduct and water supply facilities;
2. Sewage collection, treatment, and disposal facilities;
3. Solid waste treatment, collection, and disposal facilities;
4. Key West Naval Air Station and other military facilities;
5. Transportation facilities;
6. Federal parks, wildlife refuges, and marine sanctuaries;
7. State parks, recreation facilities, aquatic preserves, and other publicly owned properties;
8. City electric service and the Florida Keys Electric Co-op; and
9. Other utilities, as appropriate.

(i) Protecting and improving water quality by providing for the construction, operation, maintenance, and replacement of stormwater management facilities; central sewage collection; treatment and disposal facilities; and the installation and proper operation and maintenance of onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems.

(j) Ensuring the improvement of nearshore water quality by requiring the construction and operation of wastewater management facilities that meet the requirements of § 381.0065(4)(l) and 403.086(10), as applicable, and by directing growth to areas served by central wastewater treatment facilities through permit allocation systems.

(k) Limiting the adverse impacts of public investments on the environmental resources of the Florida Keys.

(l) Making available adequate affordable housing for all sectors of the population of the Florida Keys.

(m) Providing adequate alternatives for the protection of public safety and welfare in the event of a natural or manmade disaster and for a post-disaster reconstruction plan.

(n) Protecting the public health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of the Florida Keys and maintaining the Florida Keys as a unique Florida resource.

The Village Council shall make its determination on proposed FLUM amendments on legitimate public purpose based on one or more of the following factors:

1. Demand for the proposed FLUM category in the village in relation to the amount of land currently assigned the FLUM designation and available to accommodate that demand;
2. Compatibility of the site's physical, geological, hydrological and other environmental features, with the uses permitted in the proposed FLUM category;
3. Data errors, including errors in mapping, vegetative types and natural features described in the comprehensive plan;
4. New issues;
5. Recognition of a need for additional detail or comprehensiveness

However, in no event shall an amendment be approved which will result in an adverse community change.

Official Zoning Map Amendment Criteria. The purpose of this application is to provide a means for changing the Official Zoning Map. It is not intended to relieve particular hardships, nor to confer special privileges or rights on any person, nor to permit a change in community character, as analyzed in the Comprehensive Plan, but only to make necessary adjustments in light of changed conditions. In determining whether to grant a requested amendment, the Village Council shall consider the factors set forth below and the consistency of the proposed amendment with the provisions and intent of the Comprehensive Plan.

The Village Council must find that the application is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, that the applicant has complied with all procedural requirements of the Code and that the maintenance of the existing zoning on the property does not accomplish a legitimate public purpose. The Village Council shall make its determination on legitimate public purpose based on one or more of the following factors:

1. Demand for the proposed zoning district in the Village in relation to the amount of land currently zoned and available to accommodate that demand;
2. Compatibility of the site's physical, geological, hydrological and other environmental features, with the uses permitted in the proposed zoning district;
3. Data errors, including errors in mapping, vegetative types and natural features described in the Comprehensive Plan;
4. New issues;
5. Recognition of a need for additional detail or comprehensiveness; or
6. Compatibility of the proposed district with the property surrounding the site of the requested rezoning and any applicable neighborhood or redevelopment plan.

However, in no event shall an amendment be approved which will result in an adverse community change.



Monroe County 2010-2030

Population Projections

March 15, 2011



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Title	Page
1.0	*Background - Forecast Approach*	2
2.0	*ROGO Based Permanent Population Series*	2
3.0	*Analysis of Permanent Population Data*	2
4.0	*Analysis of Seasonal Population Data*	3
5.0	*Seasonality*	4
6.0	*Methodological Flaw in MCPD and FKAA Regarding Seasonal Shift*	8
7.0	*Seasonality in Retail Sales*	10
8.0	*Long term Trends in Retail Sales and Traffic*	12
9.0	*Countywide Functional Population*	14
10.0	*Determination of Unincorporated Area Functional Population*	18
11.0	*Unincorporated Population in Upper/Middle/Lower Keys*	20

Appendix 1 – Monroe County Planning Department Population Study

Appendix 2 - FKAA Seasonal Methodology

Appendix 3 - County Population with Seasonal Replacement Calculations

Appendix 4 – Response to DCA Review Notes/Request for Additional Information

1.0 Background - Forecast Approach

The population forecast was prepared[1] for unincorporated Monroe County through year 2030 for the update of the County's Comprehensive Plan. Population is identified according to upper/middle/lower (UML) keys. It is based on the countywide functional population control total forecast through 2030; functional population is the sum of permanent plus seasonal population.

The Keith and Schnars (K&S) team begins with a permanent population forecast and a seasonal population forecast at the county level. The seasonal population series is based on the Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority (FKAA) data series. This series includes estimates of seasonal residences, RV's, hotel/motel, camps, boat liveaboards, mobile home, and other. The Department of Community Affairs (DCA) has recommended using the FKAA series for the purposes of estimating the seasonal population component, with appropriate updates to the methodology.

The permanent population series is the latest published by the University of Florida, Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR). In as much as ROGO has been in place since 1993, BEBR population projections reflect a ROGO constrained growth trend. This means permanent population growth projections implicitly assume the continuation of the ROGO constraint and the effects of the ROGO constraint are implicitly embedded in the history.

2.0 ROGO Based Permanent Population Series

The ROGO based permanent population series will be used in the Comp Plan update as one component of the functional population. At the county level, for control totals, DCA has recommended using the latest BEBR annual estimates and the BEBR Medium series population projections from PS 156, published March 2010 for permanent population estimates.

3.0 Analysis of Permanent Population Data

University of Florida annual population estimates for municipalities and unincorporated areas indicates permanent population fell in the Keys from 2006-2008, with some a return to growth evidenced in 2009. The effect of the short term decline is to drive the long term population projections down. Thus, both recent history and future projections from BEBR suggest a downward trend in permanent population. This is reflected in the resulting Functional Population series shown in Figure 1. This series represents the sum of the most recent BEBR permanent projection and the FKAA seasonal projection.

[1] The population forecast was prepared by Fishkind and Associates with support from Keith and Schnars, P.A.




Figure 1 – Preliminary Functional Population Projection

4.0 Analysis of Seasonal Population Data

There is ongoing ROGO based residential growth and there is a substantial inventory of non-conforming, substandard, live-aboard and RV camp housing. Substandard, non-conforming units are being gradually removed from inventory, however, not at a rate fast enough to net out all residential growth. It is our view a portion of the permanent population losses have occurred as a result of the recession, a rise in foreclosures, depletion of affordable housing and increased unemployment. Nearly 3,500 units have been foreclosed throughout the Keys since 2005. The rise in home prices and threat of hurricanes has also contributed in our view to some permanent population loss. Losses associated with some of these conditions may be temporary, resulting in renewed growth after the recession. The BEBR annual permanent population estimate for 2009 indicated, net positive permanent population growth in 2009 and small losses in 2010.

On the other hand, of all the new single family housing growth in Monroe County since 1999, nearly 70% has been in non-homesteaded units. It is likely this is a function of both growth in seasonal population as well as permanent population loss, which may cause once permanently occupied existing units to become non-homesteaded. This latter aspect represents a shift from existing permanent population to seasonal population and is why the non-homesteaded mix is so high.

5.0 Seasonality

Seasonal population is one component of Functional population. K&S has researched three functional population series. The three functional series in this analysis include permanent populations based on Census, BEBR and FKAA. In each of these scenarios the same Seasonal series, from FKAA, is used. The FKAA seasonal series is the seasonal series developed by Monroe County Planning Department (MCPD). The detailed methodology for the Seasonal series is found in the MCPD report included as Appendix 1. The FKAA seasonal series methodology generated from the MCPD report is found in **Appendix 2**.

As permanent population has fallen we must examine whether and the degree to which it is replaced by seasonal population. The American Communities Survey from 2005, 2008 and the Census 2000 data indicate a substantial increase in housing units held for seasonal use. These data indicate the number of seasonal units has risen from 12,628 in 2000 to 15,262 in 2005 to 19,195 in 2008 (**Table 2**). This is an increase of 6,567 seasonal units. This would represent a shift into seasonal population by as much as 16,418 persons. During the same period permanently occupied units have fallen from 35,086 to 29,084, some 6,002 units or a decline of 15,005 persons (**Table 1**). Based on the ACS and Census data, the loss in permanent population is approximately equivalent to the gain in seasonal population since year 2000.

Table 1- H1 – Vacant and Occupied Unit Counts

Table H1. Housing Units by Vacancy Status and Tenure by Units in Structure, 2000 - Monroe County

Monroe County Units in Structure	Occupied Units			Vacant Units	Total Units	Vacant %
	Owner	Renter	Total			
1, detached	13,866	3,496	17,362	6,850	24,212	28.29%
1, attached	1,045	1,503	2,548	1,655	4,203	39.38%
2	480	1,598	2,078	453	2,531	17.90%
3 or 4	306	1,875	2,181	589	2,770	21.26%
5 to 9	215	1,042	1,257	897	2,154	41.64%
10 to 19	403	425	828	899	1,727	52.06%
20 to 49	375	180	555	1,039	1,594	65.18%
50 or more	346	1,043	1,389	444	1,833	24.22%
Mobile home	4,468	1,945	6,413	3,401	9,814	34.65%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	396	79	475	304	779	39.02%
Total	21,900	13,186	35,086	16,531	51,617	32.03%

Table H1. Housing Units by Vacancy Status and Tenure by Units in Structure, 2005 - Monroe County

Monroe County Units in Structure	Occupied Units			Vacant Units	Total Units	Vacant %
	Owner	Renter	Total			
1, detached	16,618	2,024	18,642	7,448	26,090	28.55%
1, attached	1,427	2,106	3,533	2,978	6,511	45.74%
2	621	973	1,594	636	2,230	28.52%
3 or 4	52	1,521	1,573	638	2,211	28.86%
5 to 9	458	482	940	1,440	2,380	60.50%
10 to 19	597	46	643	505	1,148	43.99%
20 to 49	132	1,125	1,257	1,198	2,455	48.80%
50 or more	435	532	967	203	1,170	17.35%
Mobile home	2,876	1,485	4,361	3,904	8,265	47.24%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	405	46	451	0	451	0.00%
Total	23,621	10,340	33,961	18,950	52,911	35.81%

Table H1. Housing Units by Vacancy Status and Tenure by Units in Structure, 2008 - Monroe County

Monroe County Units in Structure	Occupied Units			Vacant Units	Total Units	Vacant %
	Owner	Renter	Total			
1, detached	15,019	3,344	18,363	10,351	28,714	36.05%
1, attached	490	1,233	1,723	3,209	4,932	65.06%
2	371	803	1,174	764	1,938	39.42%
3 or 4	283	699	982	1,581	2,563	61.69%
5 to 9	272	845	1,117	2,158	3,275	65.89%
10 to 19	170	202	372	1,011	1,383	73.10%
20 to 49	161	56	217	828	1,045	79.23%
50 or more	463	504	967	816	1,783	45.77%
Mobile home	2,739	1,121	3,860	4,011	7,871	50.96%
Boat, RV, van, etc.	164	145	309	0	309	0.00%
Total	20,132	8,952	29,084	24,729	53,813	45.95%

Table 2-H3

Table H3. Number of Vacant Units by Vacancy Status, 2000 - Monroe County

Monroe County Vacancy Status	Vacant Units	%
For rent	1,663	10.06%
For sale only	759	4.59%
Rented or sold, not occupied	304	1.84%
For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	12,628	76.39%
For migrant workers	48	0.29%
Other vacant	1,129	6.83%
Total	16,531	100.00%

Table H3. Number of Vacant Units by Vacancy Status, 2005 - Monroe County

Monroe County Vacancy Status	Vacant Units	%
For rent	943	4.98%
Rented, not occupied	458	2.42%
For sale only	448	2.36%
Sold, not occupied	123	0.65%
For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	15,738	83.05%
For migrant workers	0	0.00%
Other vacant	1,240	6.54%
Total	18,950	100.00%

Table H3. Number of Vacant Units by Vacancy Status, 2008 - Monroe County

Monroe County Vacancy Status	Vacant Units	%
For rent	1,581	6.43%
Rented, not occupied	53	0.22%
For sale only	1,545	6.28%
Sold, not occupied	441	1.79%
For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	19,195	78.03%
For migrant workers	0	0.00%
Other vacant	1,914	7.78%
Total	24,729	100.53%

Source: US Census and American Communities Survey;
Prepared by the South Florida Regional Planning Council

Contributing to the support of the seasonal increase phenomenon is the rate of foreclosures and the Monroe County Property Appraiser data regarding homestead exemptions. It is generally believed non-homesteaded properties represent seasonal vacant, second homes, or for-rent units. Population in these should be distinguished from

short-term tourist visitors. However, in times of high foreclosure rates, a shift to non-homestead may represent a temporary loss in permanent population.

During the housing bubble from 2003-2008 in fact non-homesteaded units did rise. This coincided with a rise in foreclosures, as well as speculative investing and reported permanent population losses. There were 3,431 foreclosures in Monroe County from 2005-2009.

During the 2000-2009 period, total homesteaded units increased from 16,005 to 16,698 units, a net increase of 693 units. Non-homesteaded units moved from 20,784 to 22,197, a net increase of 1,413 units. This compares with the 3,431 foreclosures from 2005-2009, recognizing it is likely as much as half of the foreclosed units may have been resold since the initial foreclosures which began in 2005, and some tendency to for those units to return to a homesteaded status. By 2009, after speculative investing ceased, the share of non-homesteaded properties went back down, falling to 2003 levels. This is consistent with the expectation of resold foreclosures regaining homesteads (**Figure 2**). Also, as noted, permanent population increased during 2009 according to BEBR, supporting an increase in permanent population.

The non-homestead rate for all units is now 57.1%. This is essentially the same rate both pre and post bubble. Single family non-homestead rates began to move up more closely in concert with rising foreclosures (**Figure 2**). This supports our belief a considerable portion of permanent population losses may be attributable to foreclosures arising from the speculative housing bubble, and thus temporary. The expectation is some permanent population may return to these units over the course of the planning horizon – thus permanent population may increase over this period in substantially greater numbers than the growth in new housing units. To the degree this condition occurs, the BEBR medium series permanent population projection will be in error and will under-project permanent population growth. Planning for this contingency in the face of an unknown resolution to thousands of foreclosures is necessary. Thus, reflecting the population associated with portions of these foreclosed units as non-homestead and seasonal population will also correct and compensate for this potential longer term problem with the BEBR projection.




Figure 2- Monroe County – Foreclosures and The Percent of Non-Homestead Residential Units

There has been an increase in vacant units from 2005-2009. During this period both the Census and BEBR indicated permanent population loss. From 2005 to 2008 the ACS indicated an increase in seasonal vacancy of 3,457 units. During the 2005-2009 period, foreclosure data indicated there were 3,431 foreclosures, as noted earlier. Thus, the ACS data indicates, on net, the permanent population losses and associated housing vacancy is being shifted into seasonal units. Further, it is believed there is associated seasonal (non-permanent population) associated with these vacant units. With a reported permanent population growth in 2009 and increasing homestead exemptions in 2009 on one hand and coinciding numbers of foreclosures and seasonal increase through ACS, it is equally possible in our view, the permanent population loss is temporary and due as much to the end of the housing bubble, foreclosures and rising unemployment as it is due to a shift from permanent to seasonal residency. It is likely both conditions exist and are occurring.

6.0 Methodological Flaw in MCPD and FKAA Regarding Seasonal Shift

The MCPD seasonal population methodology and the FKAA seasonal population methodology did not contemplate or recognize the shift of the existing population base from permanent to seasonal. The MCPD methodology considered residential unit growth only and the depletion of available buildable lots, when contemplating the future level of either permanent or seasonal populations. This is partly due to the MCPD methodology not having the benefit of the data beyond year 2000 which shows a more pronounced shift among existing units from permanent/homesteaded units to non-homesteaded units, and the American Communities Survey data, which supports the seasonal shift.

The failure to consider or incorporate the shift in the existing population base is the reason for the change that has been made to the previously approved FKAA population methodology in this update. The methodological change employed here is to both shift some of the projected dwelling unit growth into seasonal residences ***and*** account for the loss of existing permanent residents through an offsetting shift to seasonal residents. Further, in the MCPD analysis, rather than showing seasonal population increasing as it has been, on pages 32 and 33 of the MCPD report it states an assumption was employed causing the seasonal population to decline in a similar fashion to anticipated permanent population decline.

The MCPD methodology employed an assumption called the "drag factor" of .1% per year to reduce the growth of seasonal populations over time. The "drag factor" is used to illustrate the assumption of seasonal population declines from the historic actual. However there is no analysis or data which is presented to support the use of the "drag factor", nor the .1% level of the "drag factor" with respect to seasonal populations. Further there is no mention or discussion in the MCPD analysis which recognizes that the loss in the existing permanent population base may be offset by an increase in the seasonal population. The current population projections in this report correct this methodological flaw.

The discussion of the "drag factor" assumption is found in the MCPD permanent population methodology on page 30 and is excerpted below:

> A "drag" factor was applied to each year's percent increase figures by PAED. This drag factor increases by .1% each year over the ten year period, so that by 2015, the rate of increase in permanent resident population by PAED will have declined by 1.1%. This drag factor was employed as an assumption that the availability of building permits will decline as the carrying capacity of the Keys is reached. It is also likely that the availability of building sites will also decline in the early years of the twenty-first century. Analysis has shown that a 1.1% decline in mean percentage increases in population over a ten year period would not significantly affect the validity of the population forecasts. It is important to note this methodology reveals the beginnings of a decline in the total number of permanent residents in unincorporated Monroe County by 2014.

Source: Appendix 1; MCPD, Pg 30 re: discussion of permanent population

The Remainder of This Page Intentionally Blank

The use of the "drag factor" assumption as applied to growth in seasonal population is found in the methodology on page 33 is excerpted below:

> A similar methodology was employed to determine the seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population by PAED from 2005 to 2015. Essentially, the average percent increase figure was applied to the previous year's population figures. The product of this equation was then added to the previous year's population to estimate the following year's total seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population. A "drag" factor was applied to each year's percent increase figures by PAED (similar to the one applied to the permanent resident population figures). This drag factor increases by .1% each year over the ten year period, so that by 2015, the percent increase in seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population by PAED will have declined by 1.1%. It is important to note this methodology reveals the beginnings of a decline in the total number of seasonal residents and visitors in vacation rentals in unincorporated Monroe County by 2013, one year prior to similar declines expected for the permanent resident population forecasts.

Source: Appendix 1, MCPD; Pg 33 re: discussion of seasonal population

In this analysis the attempt has been made to analyze and determine whether and to what degree the existing permanent base has shifted to seasonal population, and to correct the population projections to reflect this phenomenon.

7.0 Seasonality in Retail Sales

In a further test of seasonal conditions K&S examined historic monthly retail sales from 1998-2009 to determine if seasonal trends were becoming more pronounced. In this investigation we conducted a regression analysis using inflation adjusted monthly gross retail sales for Monroe County as the dependent variable. The econometric model developed tests both the effects of cyclical economic conditions and seasonal variations as predictors of retail sales. We further tested this model to determine if, over time, the seasonal pattern became more pronounced.

The model's initial results indicate seasonal patterns are the strongest and most significant predictor of monthly retail sales. Cyclical factors including Gross Domestic Product, gasoline prices, unemployment and housing starts are also all significant predictors of retail sales. It is these cyclical factors which led to the 2006-2009 overall decline in sales. Thus these declines are not permanent as would be the case with a loss in permanent and seasonal population. Despite population losses in Monroe County estimated by BEBR for year 2010, retail sales did not decline, further validating the cyclical nature of sales.

The second part of the test indicates that the seasonal pattern did not increase over time compared with the average seasonality. The econometric model results are shown below in Table 3. These results indicate the overall predictive ability of the model is very high (R2=.963). Further, the seasonal dummy variable (SeasDum1), which tests seasonality over the historic test period, is highly significant (t=11.2) and finally, a second set of seasonal dummy variables to test for additional seasonality after 2005 and also for

additional peak season seasonality after 2005 (SeasDum2 and SeasDum3) both resulted in a lack of significance (t=.27 and t=.16 respectively). Thus, we conclude seasonal sales patterns are not changing nor were they becoming more pronounced from 2005-2009 compared with the 1998-2004 period. We believe this supports the theory that permanent population losses has been offset and replaced by a new type of seasonal population which spends, travels and behaves similarly to permanent population. This could be the case if a) homeowners lose a home to foreclosure and are forced to rent causing a shift to non-homesteaded (seasonal) units but still remain present in the market or b) newly built seasonal units are rented out for increasing periods and durations throughout the year, mimicking a "permanent" household. Anecdotal evidence supports both these conditions are presently occurring.

Other significant variables in the model include Gross Domestic Product, national gasoline prices, national housing starts and national unemployment rates. Interestingly, the change in Monroe County permanent population growth was not a significant predictor of retails sales, despite permanent population losses and a decline in of sales in 2007, 2008 and 2009. In such a case one would expect negative population growth would cause retail sales declines. Because this was not evident in the statistical analysis, our belief that the decline in retail sales was cyclical garners greater support. Thus we conclude economic recession and seasonal activity determine sales volumes but declining permanent population, which is not statistically significant, does not.

Again, the retail model analysis supports the view as permanent population is lost; it has been replaced by longer duration seasonal population or renters with no change in retail spending patterns. This would explain that while permanent population falls, there may be limited change in functional population due to seasonal replacement, as evidenced by retail sales.

Table 3 - Econometric Test Results for Increasing Seasonality

	SUMMARY OUTPUT			
	Regression Statistics			
	Multiple R	0.981816		
	R Square	0.9639626		
	Adjusted R S	0.9447427		
	Standard Err	9209659		
	Observations	24		
	ANOVA			
		df	*SS*	*MS*
	Regression	8	3.40318E+16	4.25E+15
	Residual	15	1.27227E+15	8.48E+13
	Total	23	3.53041E+16	
		Coefficients	*Standard Error*	*t Stat*
	Intercept	14947330	70400081.75	0.21232
GDP00	X Variable 1	28577.724	8869.439916	3.222044
WGAS	X Variable 2	-136177.6	110696.9446	-1.23018
HUSTQ	X Variable 3	27846.359	6935.672302	4.014947
RUQ	X Variable 4	-8224690	2684043.539	-3.06429
SeasDum1	X Variable 5	60680019	5395979.787	11.24541
SeasDum2	X Variable 6	2884055.9	10598795.93	0.272112
SeasDum3	X Variable 7	1368525.4	8297684.899	0.164929
Pop Ch	X Variable 8	1473.2782	2520.714671	0.584468

Table 4 - Florida Keys Visitors

Florida Keys Visitor Person-Trip Estimates

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Key West Overnight Visitors	1,309,559	1,303,633	1,046,111	1,063,752	1,094,647	1,112,978	1,165,327
Key West Day Trippers	242,268	241,172	237,460	196,794	202,510	205,901	206,263
Cruise Ship Passengers	1,067,222	934,070	925,795	888,183	816,919	739,218	859,409
Total Key West Visitors	2,619,049	2,478,875	2,209,366	2,148,729	2,114,076	2,058,097	2,230,999
All Keys Overnight Visitors	2,425,110	2,414,135	1,937,244	2,030,062	2,089,021	2,169,565	2,092,732
All Keys Day Trippers	448,645	446,615	439,742	375,561	386,469	401,369	370,414
Cruise Ship Passengers *(Key West Only)*	1,067,222	934,070	925,795	888,183	816,919	739,218	859,409
Total All Keys Visitors	3,940,977	3,794,820	3,302,781	3,293,806	3,292,409	3,310,152	3,322,555
Monroe County Lodging Occupancy %:	72.2%	71.2%	70.0%	66.5%	68.1%	67.5%	70.3%

Sources: Monroe County Tourist Development Council; Smith Travel Research

Further, the seasonal stability and long term growth trend in retail sales is not attributed to additional retail spending by tourists or cruise ship passengers. Cruise ship and other tourists have declined over the past six years (**Table 4**) indicating the underlying retail sales growth pattern does not appear to be tourist related.

8.0 Long term Trends in Retail Sales and Traffic

Retail sales have been trending up on an inflation adjusted basis over time (**Figure 3**). Total trend based growth is about 4% from 1998-2009. The declines in sales in 2007, 2008 and 2009 are as a result of rising gasoline prices in 2008 which reduced trips and travel and the cyclical effects of recession. As the recession ended retail sales stabilized and sales through November 2010 indicated no further loss in year over year retail sales countywide, despite a decline in permanent population in 2010 as reported by BEBR annual population estimates.




Figure 3 - Monroe County Annual Retail Sales (inflation adjusted)

Similarly, in average daily traffic flows, the long term trend has been gradually increasing. Total trend based growth is about 6% (see Figure 4). This is generally consistent with and of similar magnitude to the trend in retail sales data. Based on sales and traffic it appears that the permanent population losses are being replaced by seasonal residents and there is no permanent net decline in economic activity (sales and traffic), as would be suggested by sustained permanent population losses without replacement.




Figure 4 - Annual Average Daily Traffic Counts (Average of Selected Count Stations)

The trend analysis of traffic data was examined in a variety of ways. These included selection of data stations to reflect only single locations per Key and data for which the entire history was available in a consistent fashion. This prevented overweighting by location or the effects of changing data collection methodologies. The trend analysis was conducted looking at 1999-2009, as well as 1999-2007 (eliminating the most recent highly volatile recession years and the period of highly volatile gasoline prices). A third approach was taken comparing the average of traffic counts from 1999-2002 with 2006-2009. The average of these three methods results in a 6% growth rate over time.

The overall statistical significance of the trend line from 1999-2007 improved substantially over the 1999-2009 trend line through removal of the volatile outlying data points, however the magnitude of the trend line increase did not substantially change. After careful review of the traffic data we conclude the increasing trend in traffic counts is both valid and significant. This means traffic activity is not declining despite a decline in permanent population. We conclude then the growth in traffic is supported by a shift from permanent to seasonal population.

9.0 Countywide Functional Population

The best available data in our view indicates a loss in permanent population with likely replacement through an increase in seasonal residents. Further, both sales and traffic are trending up by similar magnitude suggesting there is limited growth, and no sustained decline in economic activity. This volume of limited growth is consistent with growth which occurs under the ROGO cap.

American Communities Survey data and homestead exemption data support the growth of seasonal population. Total non-homesteaded units are 57% today compared with 55% in 1998. Among single family units only, the non-homestead share has risen from 45% in 1998 to 49% in 2009. For single family units, 70% of the growth since 1998 has been in non-homestead units, and the American Communities Survey indicates a substantial rise in seasonal households during the 2000-2008 time period. This supports an increase in non-permanent/seasonal occupancy, which offsets the permanent population losses.

As a decline in permanent population has occurred, there is a real increase in non-permanent/seasonal replacement as evidenced by an increase in the trend in auto-traffic volume, an increase in the trend in inflation adjusted retail sales, an increase in non-homesteaded residential properties, and an increase in seasonal population shown in the American Communities Survey.

A complicating aspect is the 2008 permanent population figures from both the annual Census population and American Communities Survey population data which indicate permanent population in the Keys is now between 70,000 and 72,000; this is well below the BEBR 2009 estimate of 78,000. There is some probability the April 2010 Census count may indicate a sharply reduced permanent population level. With the understanding that permanent losses do appear to be replaced by seasonal population, and some losses in permanent population may be temporary and cyclical due to recession and foreclosures, if all of the recent Census or ACS based permanent losses were shifted into the seasonal population, the resulting 2030 functional population would still likely demonstrate small levels of growth consistent with the ROGO allocations.

Based on this analysis, K&S prepared a county level functional population series to reflect these conditions. This series begins with the medium series population projection from the BEBR medium series projection, PS156, published in 2010. Next, the FKAA seasonal series through 2025 was used and to this was applied the 2000-2025 CAGR growth rate of the FKAA seasonal series to extend the series from 2025 to 2030. In addition, from 2006-2030 K&S has added 70% of the permanent population loss as forecast by BEBR to the seasonal population. Further, we have added the equivalent of 70% of the ROGO growth to seasonal population to the FKAA seasonal series, reflecting the seasonal shift component not evidenced in FKAA's original data. The resulting functional population is seen in **Figure 5** and **Table 7.**

K&S believes this data series is the most reflective of actual economic and market conditions and is most representative of the long term functional population outlook. Our findings are based on extensive analysis of multiple data series. K&S recommends the use of this functional population data series for use in the 2010 EAR comp plan update.

It is also noted, for units shifting to seasonal status and for seasonal population associated with ROGO growth, a persons-per-household figure of 2.7 was used. On pages 34 and 36 of the MCPD population report in **Appendix 1**, it is noted the measures of seasonal persons per household were greater than 2.7.

Further supporting the use of 2.7 persons per household for seasonal population, Property Appraiser data (**Table 5**) suggests a steady increase in persons per household through year 2008, as described by an increase in the number of bedrooms per unit. This increase in bedrooms also suggests an increase in overall unit size; however, the Monroe County Property Appraiser data for unit size is unavailable. K&S believes seasonally occupied homes and rentals have a greater number of bedrooms and higher person per household occupancy due to the increasing size of newly built units. Newly built units today are primarily non-homesteaded and likely to have a higher proportion of seasonal residents. For these reasons, as well as the data analysis presented in the original MCPD population study, 2.7 persons per household was used for seasonal household size.

Table 5 - Average Number of Bedrooms per Single Family Unit by Year Built

2.1	Pre-1970
2.4	1970-1989
2.5	1990-1999
2.8	2000-2008

Source: Monroe Co. Property Appraiser, Fishkind & Associates, Inc.

The preliminary population series shown in **Figure 5** represents the BEBR medium series projection plus the FKAA seasonal series. The Final Revised series is recommended for use. This series represents this same data as the preliminary with the addition of the seasonal replacement population, which offsets the permanent population loss. The Final series is the recommended series and corrects the FKAA methodological flaw. This flaw finds the original MCPD study looked only at growth and did not contemplate the shift of the existing population from permanent to seasonal.




Figure 5 – Recommended Functional Population

The Remainder of This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Table 7- Recommended Functional Population Series


Monroe County Population Projection Seasonal Replacement Series			
YEAR	Permanent	Seasonal	Functional
2000	79,589	73,491	153,080
2001	80,011	73,540	153,551
2002	80,434	73,589	154,023
2003	80,856	73,639	154,495
2004	81,236	73,688	154,924
2005	82,413	73,737	156,150
2006	80,510	75,228	155,738
2007	78,987	76,453	155,440
2008	76,081	78,647	154,728
2009	77,925	77,516	155,441
2010	76,887	78,401	155,288
2011	78,080	77,974	156,054
2012	77,960	78,431	156,391
2013	77,840	78,887	156,727
2014	77,720	79,343	157,063
2015	77,600	79,800	157,400
2016	77,460	80,270	157,730
2017	77,320	80,740	158,060
2018	77,180	81,211	158,391
2019	77,040	81,681	158,721
2020	76,900	82,151	159,051
2021	76,760	82,622	159,382
2022	76,620	83,092	159,712
2023	76,480	83,562	160,042
2024	76,340	84,033	160,373
2025	76,200	84,503	160,703
2026	76,060	84,973	161,033
2027	75,920	85,444	161,364
2028	75,780	85,914	161,694
2029	75,640	86,384	162,024
2030	75,500	86,855	162,355

Source: Fishkind & Associates, Inc.; Fl Keys Aqueduct Authority; Univ. FL BEBR, PS 156 and annual estimates

10.0 Determination of Unincorporated Area Functional Population

Permanent Population

The unincorporated population as a share of countywide population has increased from 45.3 percent in 2002 to 46.5 percent in 2009. **Figure 6** shows the 10 year trend in the unincorporated share, based on the University of Florida annual population estimates.



Source: University of Florida, Annual Population Estimates

Figure 6 - Unincorporated Population Share of Countywide Population

The unincorporated permanent share has increased in the past three years likely due to foreclosures and conversions from permanent to seasonal in incorporated areas. As foreclosures abate, the change in unincorporated permanent population as a share of countywide totals will stabilize. Further, the permanent population share in the unincorporated area is likely to fall as unoccupied homes in municipal areas once again become occupied and come under ownership. Based on this discussion, for the future estimates of permanent population as a share of countywide totals we have used 46 percent as the stabilized figure representing the unincorporated share of permanent population over time.

Seasonal Population

The unincorporated areas contain 46 percent of all non-homesteaded residential units in Monroe County, based on 2009 Monroe County Property Appraiser Tax Roll data. This represents some 11,664 units. Using seasonal persons per household size of 2.7 the seasonal population in residential housing units is 29,160.

In addition, the unincorporated areas contain 27 percent of all hotel motel space in Monroe County. This converts to an estimated 2,197 hotel/motel rooms within the unincorporated area. With average annual hotel occupancy of 69.8% (based on Smith Travel Hotel Trends Reports 2003-2010) and average persons per room of 2.73, the hotel based seasonal population in the unincorporated areas is 5,558.

Further, the original MCPD/FKAA population methodology did not include the functional population associated with Keys Tourist Day Trips. This is a daily number which increases the functional population. Based on the average share of annual unincorporated day trips divided by 365, the number of unincorporated day trippers represents an addition of 325 persons to the functional population, as described in **Table 8**.

Table 8 – Day Trippers As A Component of Unincorporated Seasonal Population

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
All Keys Day Trippers	448,645	446,615	439,742	375,561	386,469	401,369	370,414
Key West Day Trippers	242,268	241,172	237,460	196,794	202,510	205,901	206,263
All Else Keys Day Trippers	206,377	205,443	202,282	178,767	183,959	195,468	164,151
Other Municipal Day Trip	69,659	69,344	68,277	60,340	62,093	65,977	55,407
Uninc Keys Day Tripers	136,718	136,099	134,005	118,427	121,866	129,491	108,744
Functional Day Trippers	***375***	***373***	***367***	***324***	***334***	***355***	***298***

Source: Monroe County Tourist Development Council, Fishkind & Associates, Inc.

Combining the household based seasonal populations, hotel based seasonal population, day trippers, and adjusting for non-standard dwelling units populations including those in camps, liveaboards and other, it is estimated there are 35,053 seasonal residents throughout unincorporated Monroe County, in 2009. This represents 45 percent of the 2009 countywide seasonal population as shown in Table 4. Applying this percentage to the countywide seasonal population projection yields a seasonal population in the unincorporated areas of 39,226 by year 2030. **Table 9** provides the permanent, seasonal and functional population for unincorporated Monroe County, through year 2030.

Total unincorporated functional population is expected to increase from 71,311 in year 2009 to 73,956 by year 2030. This represents an increase of 2,645 persons over the entire planning horizon. **Table 9** provides the determination of unincorporated permanent and seasonal population.

Table 9- Unincorporated Functional Population Series

	Unincorporated Population Projection Seasonal Replacement Series		
	Permanent	Seasonal	Functional
2000	36,036	33,241	69,277
2001	36,250	33,263	69,512
2002	36,452	33,285	69,737
2003	36,543	33,307	69,850
2004	36,606	33,329	69,935
2005	37,164	33,351	70,515
2006	36,466	34,019	70,485
2007	35,749	34,568	70,317
2008	34,788	35,550	70,338
2009	36,268	35,043	71,311
2010	35,368	35,440	70,808
2011	35,917	35,249	71,166
2012	35,862	35,453	71,315
2013	35,806	35,658	71,464
2014	35,751	35,862	71,613
2015	35,696	36,067	71,763
2016	35,632	36,277	71,909
2017	35,567	36,488	72,055
2018	35,503	36,698	72,201
2019	35,438	36,909	72,348
2020	35,374	37,120	72,494
2021	35,310	37,330	72,640
2022	35,245	37,541	72,786
2023	35,181	37,752	72,933
2024	35,116	37,962	73,079
2025	35,052	38,173	73,225
2026	34,988	38,384	73,371
2027	34,923	38,594	73,518
2028	34,859	38,805	73,664
2029	34,794	39,016	73,810
2030	34,730	39,226	73,956

Source: Fishkind & Associates, Inc.

11.0 Unincorporated Population in Upper/Middle/Lower Keys

Permanent Population - Upper/Middle/Lower

With the functional unincorporated population determined, the distribution between upper, middle and lower regions is based on the permanent population, ratio of seasonal to permanent population plus the distribution of hotel/motels by sub-area.

Permanent population is based on the on-line service I-Site which provides updated block group information based on US Census data (**Table 10**). The distribution of permanent population based on these data is shown in **Table 11.**

Table 10 - Unincorporated Monroe County-Distribution of Permanent Population

	Upper Keys	Middle Keys	Lower Keys	Total
Population (1990)	44%	3%	52%	100%
Population (2000)	42%	3%	55%	100%
Population (2009)	41%	3%	56%	100%
Population (2014)	40%	3%	57%	100%

Source: Fishkind & Associates, Inc.; I-Site online demographic database

The Remainder of This Page Left Intentionally Left Blank

Table 11 – Permanent Population Distribution by Sub-Area

	Unincorporated Permanent Population Projection Seasonal Replacement Series		
	Upper	Middle	Lower
2000	15,135	1,081	19,820
2001	15,185	1,087	19,978
2002	15,229	1,094	20,130
2003	15,226	1,096	20,221
2004	15,212	1,098	20,296
2005	15,402	1,115	20,647
2006	15,073	1,094	20,299
2007	14,737	1,072	19,940
2008	14,263	1,044	19,481
2009	14,797	1,088	20,383
2010	14,430	1,061	19,877
2011	14,654	1,078	20,185
2012	14,632	1,076	20,154
2013	14,609	1,074	20,123
2014	14,586	1,073	20,092
2015	14,564	1,071	20,061
2016	14,538	1,069	20,025
2017	14,511	1,067	19,989
2018	14,485	1,065	19,953
2019	14,459	1,063	19,916
2020	14,433	1,061	19,880
2021	14,406	1,059	19,844
2022	14,380	1,057	19,808
2023	14,354	1,055	19,772
2024	14,327	1,053	19,735
2025	14,301	1,052	19,699
2026	14,275	1,050	19,663
2027	14,249	1,048	19,627
2028	14,222	1,046	19,591
2029	14,196	1,044	19,554
2030	14,170	1,042	19,518

Source: Fishkind & Associates, Inc.

Seasonal Population - Upper/Middle/Lower

Seasonal population within the upper/middle/lower unincorporated areas is estimated based on the ratio of unincorporated seasonal population to unincorporated permanent population, multiplied by the permanent population distributions by sub-area (**Table 12**).

Table 12- Seasonal Population Distribution by Sub-Area

Unincorporated Seasonal Population Projection			
	Seasonal Replacement Series		
	Upper	Middle	Lower
2000	14,048	1,056	18,137
2001	14,020	1,057	18,185
2002	13,993	1,058	18,234
2003	13,966	1,058	18,283
2004	13,938	1,059	18,332
2005	13,910	1,060	18,381
2006	14,150	1,080	18,789
2007	14,339	1,096	19,133
2008	14,665	1,126	19,759
2009	14,388	1,111	19,545
2010	14,550	1,122	19,768
2011	14,472	1,117	19,660
2012	14,555	1,123	19,775
2013	14,639	1,129	19,890
2014	14,722	1,135	20,005
2015	14,806	1,141	20,120
2016	14,891	1,148	20,238
2017	14,977	1,154	20,357
2018	15,063	1,160	20,475
2019	15,149	1,167	20,593
2020	15,235	1,173	20,712
2021	15,321	1,179	20,830
2022	15,407	1,185	20,948
2023	15,493	1,192	21,067
2024	15,579	1,198	21,185
2025	15,665	1,204	21,304
2026	15,751	1,211	21,422
2027	15,837	1,217	21,540
2028	15,923	1,223	21,659
2029	16,009	1,230	21,777
2030	16,095	1,236	21,896

Source: Fishkind & Associates, Inc.

Based on these data we are able to determine the functional population for unincorporated Keys according to the upper/middle/lower sub-areas of the unincorporated portion of Monroe County. **Table 13** presents the functional population for unincorporated Monroe and the sub-areas.

Table 13 – Unincorporated Functional Population Distribution by Sub-Area


Unincorporated Functional Population Projection Seasonal Replacement Series				
	Upper	Middle	Lower	TOTAL
2000	29,183	2,138	37,957	69,277
2001	29,205	2,145	38,163	69,512
2002	29,222	2,151	38,364	69,737
2003	29,192	2,155	38,504	69,850
2004	29,150	2,157	38,628	69,935
2005	29,313	2,175	39,027	70,515
2006	29,222	2,174	39,089	70,485
2007	29,075	2,169	39,073	70,317
2008	28,928	2,169	39,240	70,338
2009	29,185	2,199	39,927	71,311
2010	28,980	2,183	39,645	70,808
2011	29,126	2,194	39,846	71,166
2012	29,187	2,199	39,929	71,315
2013	29,248	2,203	40,013	71,464
2014	29,309	2,208	40,097	71,613
2015	29,370	2,212	40,181	71,763
2016	29,429	2,217	40,263	71,909
2017	29,489	2,221	40,345	72,055
2018	29,549	2,225	40,427	72,201
2019	29,608	2,230	40,510	72,348
2020	29,668	2,234	40,592	72,494
2021	29,728	2,238	40,674	72,640
2022	29,787	2,243	40,756	72,786
2023	29,847	2,247	40,838	72,933
2024	29,907	2,252	40,921	73,079
2025	29,966	2,256	41,003	73,225
2026	30,026	2,260	41,085	73,371
2027	30,086	2,265	41,167	73,518
2028	30,145	2,269	41,249	73,664
2029	30,205	2,274	41,332	73,810
2030	30,265	2,278	41,414	73,956

Source: Fishkind & Associates, Inc.

APPENDIX 1

MONROE COUNTY POPULATION

ESTIMATES & FORECASTS 1990 TO 2015



February, 2000

Monroe County Planning Department

MONROE COUNTY POPULATION
ESTIMATES AND FORECASTS 1990 TO 2015
February, 2000

Board of County Commissioners

Mayor Shirley Freeman, District 3
Mayor Pro Tem George Neugent, District 2
Commissioner Wilhelmina Harvey, District 1
Commissioner Nora Williams, District 4
Commissioner Mary Kay Reich, District 5

Planning Commission

Lynn Mapes, Chairman
Billy Gorsuch, Vice Chairman
Sullins Stuart
P. Morgan Hill
Scott Marr

Director of Growth Management

Timothy J. McGarry, AICP

Planning Director

K. Marlene Conaway

Project Manager

Chad Meadows, AICP

Questions, comments or requests for additional copies may be forwarded to the Monroe County Planning Department:
2798 Overseas Highway Suite 410 Marathon, FL 33050
(305) 289-2500 Fax: (305) 289-2536

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report will present and discuss the population estimates and forecasts for Monroe County for the years 1990 to 2015. The report considers the functional, permanent resident, and peak seasonal populations. Information on the population in both incorporated and unincorporated areas of the county is presented. This report was developed to update the tables on population found in the Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan Technical Document. The report has five major sections: background, analysis, methodology, assumptions, and appendices. The report also contains twelve summary charts on population trends in the County.

The background section explains why this report was prepared, and how the information is organized. It also presents some preliminary information on how to interpret the information.

The analysis section indicates that the functional population is expected to grow by more than 16,000 people from 1990 to 2015, an increase of almost eleven percent (11%) over the twenty-five year period. In 1999, over sixty percent (60%) of the functional population is located within unincorporated portions of Monroe County, but by 2000, the incorporated portions of the county will contain fifty-three percent (53%) of total functional population. Throughout the years under study, the Upper Keys sub-district will have the largest portion of the functional population in unincorporated Monroe County, however, the Lower Keys is expected to have the highest growth rates over the twenty-five year period. In terms of the composition of the functional population, there are more permanent residents than the peak seasonal population on any given evening. However, permanent resident figures are annual while peak seasonal population figures are for any given evening. If seasonal population figures were annual, they would far exceed permanent resident population figures. The growth rates for permanent residents are expected to

out pace the growth rates in the peak seasonal population as a percentage of the functional population. PAED 4a is expected to have the largest numerical increases in population as well as the highest rates of population increase for permanent residents. PAED 22 is expected to have the slowest growth rates. The drag factors applied to the permanent and seasonal resident data indicate that these population's growth rates will begin to level-off and decline after the year 2014 if current trends continue.

The methodology section explains the various steps taken to prepare and present the data contained in the report.

The assumptions section explains the assumptions made in the preparation of these estimates and forecasts, and why the assumptions had to be made.

The appendices contain the summary tables of all the data developed as a part of this report. There are eleven appendices, one containing original population data, one containing PAED maps, eight containing data developed for this report, and one containing footnotes on the data collected.

The information in this report is the best available, but as new data and/or dimensions of the population become apparent, the Planning Department may update it. Errata sheets may also be prepared from time to time. Any questions regarding this data or analysis can be directed to the Monroe County Planning Department.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY i

BACKGROUND 1

GENERAL ANALYSIS 4

METHODOLOGY 24

ASSUMPTIONS 40

APPENDICES 46

Appendix 1: Tables 2.28, 2.29, & 2.30 from the Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan Technical Document

Appendix 2: Monroe County Planning Area Enumeration District (PAED) Maps

Appendix 3: Summary Table of Permanent, Seasonal, & Functional Population 1990-2015

Appendix 4: Summary Table of Permanent Resident Population 1990-2015

Appendix 5: Summary Table of Peak Number of Seasonal Residents and Visitors by PAED 1990-2015

Appendix 6: Table of Peak Population in Seasonal Residences

Appendix 7: Table of Peak Population in Hotels & Motels

Appendix 8: Table of Peak Population in Campsites & Recreational Vehicles

Appendix 9: Table of Peak Population Staying with Friends/Relatives

Appendix 10: Table of Peak Population Staying on Live Aboard Vessels

Appendix 11: Table Footnotes

LIST OF FIGURES

1. FUNCTIONAL POPULATION OF MONROE COUNTY 1990-2015..... 5
2. GRAPH OF FUNCTIONAL POPULATION IN MONROE COUNTY 1990-2015..... 6
3. DISTRIBUTION OF FUNCTIONAL POPULATION IN MONROE COUNTY 1999-2015..... 7
4. FUNCTIONAL POPULATION IN UNINCORPORATED MONROE COUNTY BY SUB-DISTRICT 1990-2015..... 8
5. PERCENT CHANGE IN UNINCORPORATED MONROE COUNTY BY SUB-DISTRICT 1990-2015..... 10
6. GRAPH OF PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION IN MONROE COUNTY 1990-2015..... 12
7. GRAPH OF PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION IN MONROE COUNTY 1990-2015..... 14
8. TRENDS IN PERMANENT VERSUS SEASONAL POPULATION FOR MONROE COUNTY 1990-2015..... 15
9. CHANGE IN THE POPULATION COMPOSITION OF MONROE COUNTY 1990-2015..... 17
10. POPULATION COMPARISON BETWEEN INCORPORATED AND UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF MONROE COUNTY 1990-2015..... 18
11. POPULATION COMPARISON BY UNINCORPORATED SUB-DISTRICT 1990-2015..... 19
12. PAED POPULATION FROM 2000 TO 2015 RANKED BY CHANGE IN FUNCTIONAL POPULATION..... 21

BACKGROUND

This report is an update of Monroe County's official population estimates currently contained within Tables 2.28, 2.29, and 2.30 of the Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan Technical Document. These tables are attached as Appendix 1. The information presented in the tables was compiled in 1991 as part of the data and analysis required for the preparation of the Monroe County Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan. The data was drawn from a variety of sources, including the 1990 Census of Population, previous Monroe County planning documents, the work of several consultants, and studies prepared by the State of Florida.

The information contained within the Tables 2.28, 2.29, and 2.30 is organized by Planning Area Enumeration District, or PAED. These PAEDs are the combination of the previously utilized Monroe County "Planning Areas" and the US Census Bureau's "Enumeration Districts". These two units of geographical analysis were combined by the Planning Department in 1987 for ease of use. A map of the PAEDs is included as Appendix 2.

The information in Tables 2.28, 2.29, and 2.30 deals with the time span from 1990 up to 2010, and is summarized by sub-district (Upper, Middle, and Lower Keys). It is important to note that the tables do not include population information for Key West, Key Colony Beach, and Layton.

Table 2.28 presents information on the number of permanent residents. These are people who live in unincorporated Monroe County throughout the year. Table 2.29 quantifies the seasonal population in unincorporated Monroe County. This is the *peak* number of people in unincorporated Monroe County who are seasonal residents and visitors on *any given night*. Table 2.30

represents the functional population. The functional population is the sum of permanent residents and the peak number of seasonal residents and visitors.

During 1999, the Planning Department updated the population estimates and forecasts to ensure that the numbers are as accurate as possible. This report contains the results of that update.

The updated Monroe County population estimates and forecasts are contained within several appendices to this report. Appendix 3 is the summary table showing the permanent resident, peak seasonal resident/visitor, and functional population by PAED for Monroe County from 1990 to 2015. Appendix 3 is composed of information taken from the tables in Appendices 4 and 5. Appendix 4 is the permanent resident population table, and Appendix 5 is the summary table for the peak number of seasonal residents and visitors in Monroe County. The information in the table in Appendix 5 comes from five subsidiary tables:

- Appendix 6: Table of Peak Number of Seasonal Residents
- Appendix 7: Table of Peak Number of People in Hotels & Motels
- Appendix 8: Table of Peak Number of People in Campsites & Recreational Vehicles
- Appendix 9: Table of Peak Number of People Staying with Friends/Relatives
- Appendix 10: Table of Peak Number of People on Live Aboard Vessels

Appendix 11 is a series of footnotes relating to the tables found in Appendices 4-10. Each of these appendices will be discussed in greater detail in the Methodology Section of this report.

Each table of data found in the appendices has some common features. Each column in a table is numbered at its top. Below this column number is the column's heading, which describes what the data in the column represents. Below the heading of some of the columns is an operation shown in parenthesis "( )", which describes the calculations performed to derive the data in the column. The numbers in parenthesis correspond to the column numbers in the table. For example, the column heading for column # 6 may read "*Total Population (4 x 5)*". This means that the numbers found in column 6 were derived by multiplying the numbers in column 4 by the numbers in column 5.

The data found in a table is the result of an equation, not the verbatim typing of a particular number. As a result, the numbers found in the tables are carried out several decimal places, but have been rounded by the computer for display purposes. As a result, some of the numbers found in the tables may not add up. This is a function of the rounding performed by the computer, not an error in the equations.

One item to note in the tables is that four of the PAEDs (12a, 12b, 13, and 14) are removed from the unincorporated Monroe County population figures after 1997 to account for the incorporation of Islamorada, Village of Islands. Likewise, the data for three additional PAEDs (7, 8, and 9) are removed from the unincorporated Monroe County population figures after 1999 to account for the incorporation of Marathon. Another item of note is that the population found in the mainland portion of Monroe County and the Dry Tortugas is not included in these estimates and forecasts. Neither of these areas is included within a PAED, and both are under the jurisdiction of the National Park Service.

GENERAL ANALYSIS

This section of the report presents an analysis of the population estimates and forecasts. This section will provide the following:

- a discussion of the functional population trends in Monroe County
- a comparison of the permanent resident and peak seasonal populations
- an analysis of the fastest and slowest growing areas of Unincorporated Monroe County

Functional Population Trends in Monroe County


This section will examine the functional population trends for all of Monroe County, including the incorporated areas. This section will also explore the different trends in functional population between the incorporated and unincorporated areas, and compare regional trends in functional population inside the unincorporated areas. The functional population is the sum of the number of permanent residents plus the peak number of seasonal residents and visitors in Monroe County on any given evening.

Functional Population of Monroe County 1990-2015


Year	Functional Population	Numerical Change	Percent Change
1990	149,348	*	*
1995	154,255	4,907	3.29%
2000	159,113	4,857	3.15%
2005	162,041	2,929	1.84%
2010	164,769	2,727	1.68%
2015	165,366	597	0.36%

According to the table above, the functional population of Monroe County is expected to grow by more than 16,000 people from 1990 to 2015. This represents an increase of almost eleven percent (11%) over the twenty–five year period. However, the numerical and percent change columns show that the *rate* of increase is expected to slow dramatically over the same time period. In other words, the growth rate in the Monroe County functional population is beginning to level off by the year 2000. It is important to note that the Summary Table of Functional Population in Appendix 3 predicts a yearly decrease in functional population after the year 2014. The graph on the next page shows the trends in functional population over the period from 1990 to 2015. It also compares the functional population in unincorporated areas to that in the incorporated areas of Monroe County.

Graph of Functional Population in Monroe County 1990-2015




In 1999, a larger portion of the functional population in Monroe County is located within the unincorporated areas of the county. The unincorporated portions of Monroe County had a functional population of 94,565 people, while the incorporated areas had a functional population of 62,607 people. The percentages of each are depicted in the chart below:



In 1999, the City of Key West accounted for around twenty-seven percent (27%) of the total functional population, while the Village of Islamorada accounts for around ten percent (10%). The cities of Key Colony Beach and Layton compose about two percent (2%) of the total functional population in Monroe County. The newly incorporated city of Marathon is expected to occupy about thirteen (13%) of the 2000 total functional population of Monroe County. As indicated in the chart above, the incorporated areas account for forty percent (40%) of the total functional population, while the unincorporated areas account for

about sixty percent (60%) in 1999. After the year 2000, the relative distribution of functional population between incorporated and unincorporated areas is expected to reverse. By 2000, the functional population in incorporated areas is expected to grow to over 85,000 people, or fifty-three percent (53%) of the total functional population of Monroe County.

The graph below compares the distribution of the functional population among the three sub-districts in the unincorporated portions of Monroe County.



The Upper Keys sub-district is composed of PAEDs 15 to 22, which run from Tavernier Creek to the Miami-Dade County line, including north Key Largo. The Middle Keys contain PAEDs 7 to 11, or the area from Knight's Key to the Channel 5 Bridge

east of Fiesta Key. The Lower Keys sub-district includes PAEDs 1 to 6, from southern Stock Island to the eastern end of the Seven Mile Bridge. A map of the Keys PAEDs can be found in Appendix 2 of this report.

Regionally, the Upper Keys accounted for the largest portion of the 1990 unincorporated functional population (41,081 people, or 40% of the total). This is followed by the Middle Keys, which comprised 30.6% (31,533 people) of the total 1990 functional population; and finally, the Lower Keys, which contained 30,387 people, or 29.5% of the unincorporated functional population.

The incorporation of Islamorada in 1998 accounts for the drop in unincorporated functional population in the Upper and Middle Keys sub-districts. The functional population in the Middle Keys sub-district declined more than twenty percent (20%) with the incorporation of Islamorada, while the Upper Keys sub-district lost twelve percent (12%) of its functional population. The Lower Keys sub-district grew more than eight percent (8%) from 1990 to 1998. Further declines in the unincorporated functional population will appear in 2000 based upon the incorporation of Marathon in 1999.

By the year 2015, the Upper Keys, with a functional population of 38,657 people, is expected to contain 48.6% of the unincorporated functional population. The Lower Keys are projected to have a functional population of 36,263 people in 2015, or 46% of the unincorporated total, while the Middle Keys will been reduced to 4,206 people, or 5.3% of the unincorporated county total.

The table below illustrates the changes in functional population by unincorporated Keys sub-district:

Percent Change in Unincorporated Monroe County by Sub-district 1990-2015

	1990 Functional Population	1998 Functional Population	Percent Change 1990-1998	2000 Functional Population	Percent Change 1998-2000	2015 Functional Population	Percent Change 2000-2015
Upper Keys	41,081	36,138	-12.0%	36,615	1.3%	38,362	4.8%
Middle Keys	31,533	24,765	-21.5%	3,944	-84.1%	4,206	6.6%
Lower Keys	30,387	33,036	8.7%	33,410	1.1%	36,263	8.5%
Unincorporated Total	103,000	93,939	-8.8%	73,969	-21.3%	78,831	6.6%

If current trends continue, the Lower Keys sub-district will be the fastest-growing area of unincorporated Monroe County by 2015. The Upper Keys sub-district will continue to grow, but by the slowest rate. The Middle Keys sub-district functional population is expected to rebound after the incorporation of Marathon, growing over six percent (6%) from 2000 to 2015. It is interesting to note that by 2015, the functional populations in the Upper and Middle Keys are expected to begin a decline, while the functional population in the Lower Keys is expected to increase.


Permanent Resident and Peak Seasonal Population

This section of the report will investigate the permanent resident population versus the peak seasonal population for all of Monroe County. The changes in permanent and seasonal population over time will also be explored for both the incorporated

and unincorporated areas of the county. Trends in the permanent versus the peak seasonal population will also be considered at the sub-district level.

The graph on the next page shows the past and future trends in the permanent resident population for all of Monroe County as well as the unincorporated areas. The total permanent resident population in Monroe County has grown from 78,855 people in 1990 to 90,654 people by 2015, an increase of fifteen percent (15%) over the twenty-five year period. The permanent resident population as a percentage of the functional population fluctuates between 52.8% and 54.8% over the period. The years 1991 and 1993 were the only ones where the county-wide permanent resident growth rate exceeded one percent (1%) per year. Growth rates are expected to remain under 1% per year for the remainder of the years under study, and are expected to decline after the year 2003. The declines in the permanent resident population in unincorporated areas as illustrated in the graph can be attributed to the incorporation of Islamorada in 1998 and Marathon in 1999.


Graph of Permanent Resident Population in Monroe County 1990-2015




Permanent resident population figures show the total number of permanent residents at the end of a calendar year, while the peak seasonal population is the maximum or peak number of seasonal residents and visitors that can be accommodated on any given evening. Peak seasonal population figures are *not* the total number of seasonal residents or visitors in the county over the calendar year, but rather are the maximum number who could stay on any given night given the capacity for accommodating these people. The peak seasonal population figures are not the actual number of seasonal residents and visitors who *did* stay, the peak seasonal population figures show the total number of seasonal residents and visitors who *could* stay given the space available. The peak seasonal population figures include data on the number of seasonal residents, the number of people staying in hotels, motels, vacation rentals, campsites, recreational vehicles, live aboard vessels, and those staying with friends and relatives.

The graph on the next page shows the past and future trends in the peak seasonal population for all of Monroe County as well as the unincorporated areas. The peak seasonal population in Monroe County has grown from 70,493 people in 1990 to 74,712 people by 2015, an increase of six percent (6%) over the twenty-five year period. The peak seasonal population as a percentage of the functional population fluctuates between 45.2% and 47.2% over the period. The county-wide peak seasonal population growth rate exceeded four percent (4%) in 1993. Growth rates fluctuated between –1.7% and 1.9% for the remainder of the years under study, and are expected to steadily decline after the year 2003. The 1998 and 1999 declines in the peak seasonal population in unincorporated areas can be attributed to the incorporation of Islamorada and Marathon.

Graph of Peak Seasonal Population in Monroe County 1990-2015



The graph below compares the trends in permanent resident and peak seasonal population for all of Monroe County *on any given evening* in the year shown.




The chart shows that while the absolute numbers of permanent residents and potential peak number of seasonal residents and visitors will increase from 1990 to 2015, the growth rates are expected to slow down. If current trends continue, the growth rate

in the number of permanent residents will continue to outpace the growth rate in the potential peak number of seasonal residents and visitors on any given evening.

It is important to remember that the permanent resident figures are *annual* data, while the peak seasonal population data is based on the potential number of people on any given *evening*. The total number of seasonal residents and visitors who spent the night in the Keys over an entire year is not available based upon this data. However, it is a safe assumption that over the course of a year, there are many more seasonal residents and overnight visitors in Monroe County than permanent residents.

The trends in the distribution of peak seasonal population to the permanent population as a percentage of the total functional population are shown in the graph on the next page.



This graph shows that the nightly peak seasonal population is expected to decline as a percentage of the total functional population relative to the permanent resident population over the period from 1990 to 2015. In other words, seasonal residents and visitors will occupy less of the total functional population over the next fifteen years as compared to permanent residents. It is interesting to note that the permanent resident population consistently occupies a higher proportion of the county-wide functional population.


The table below shows the distribution of permanent residents to the peak seasonal population in the incorporated and unincorporated portions of Monroe County from 1990 to 2015.

Population Comparison Between Incorporated and Unincorporated Areas of Monroe County 1990-2015

	1990			2000			2015		
	Functional Population	Percent Permanent	Percent Seasonal	Functional Population	Percent Permanent	Percent Seasonal	Functional Population	Percent Permanent	Percent Seasonal
Incorporated Areas	46,348	56.3%	43.7%	85,144	54.4%	45.6%	86,535	55.7%	44.3%
Unincorporated Areas	103,000	51.2%	48.8%	73,969	53.1%	46.9%	78,831	53.9%	46.1%
Monroe County Total	149,348	52.8%	47.2%	159,113	53.8%	46.2%	165,366	54.8%	45.2%

As the table indicates, a higher percentage of the functional population of Monroe County as a whole is composed of permanent residents, and this trend is expected to continue and grow more visible from 2000 to 2015. A similar trend can be expected in the unincorporated portions of the County. The incorporated areas are also dominated by the permanent population as a percentage of the functional population. The percentage of the functional population occupied by the seasonal population is expected to be higher in 2000 and 2015, but is expected to peak by 2002. It is important to remember that the permanent figures are annual figures, while the seasonal figures represent the peak potential population on any given evening. The seasonal population figures are not annual figures. If the nightly seasonal population amounts were summed, the total would far exceed the permanent population.

The chart below compares the permanent and seasonal populations in the unincorporated portion of Monroe county by sub-district.



From 1990 to 2000, the Upper Keys declined by 2,770 permanent residents and 1,696 seasonal residents and visitors, due to the incorporation of Islamorada. The Middle Keys lost 12,994 permanent residents and 14,595 seasonal residents and visitors due to the incorporations of Islamorada and Marathon. The Lower Keys added 2,295 permanent residents and 729 additional seasonal residents and visitors.

From 2000 to 2015, the Upper Keys are expected to add 1,134 permanent residents for a permanent resident population of 18,569 people. The Middle Keys are expected to add only 74 permanent residents for an ultimate permanent resident population of 1,172 people by 2015. The Lower Keys are expected to add 1,992 permanent residents from 2000 to 2015, for a permanent resident population of 22,733 people.

In terms of the peak seasonal population from 2000 to 2015, the Upper Keys are expected to add an additional 613 seasonal residents and visitors, bringing its peak seasonal population to 19,793 people. The Middle Keys are expected to add 188 additional seasonal residents and/or visitors for an ultimate peak seasonal population of 3,034 people. The Lower Keys are expected to add an additional 861 seasonal residents and visitors for a seasonal population of 13,530 people by 2015. It is interesting to note that by 2015, the Lower Keys are expected to have the most permanent residents of all three sub-districts, while the Upper Keys are expected to retain the highest peak seasonal population.

Fastest and Slowest PAED Population Growth

The chart on the next page shows the projected changes in permanent resident, seasonal, and functional populations in each PAED in unincorporated Monroe County from 2000 to 2015. It also indicates the numerical and percent changes in the population figures over the fifteen years.

PAED Population from 2000 to 2015 Ranked by Change in Functional Population

PAED	Permanent Population Numerical Increase 2000 2015	Permanent Population Percent Increase 2000 2015	Seasonal Population Numerical Increase 2000 2015	Seasonal Population Percent Increase 2000 2015	Functional Population Numerical Increase 2000 2015	Functional Population Percent Increase 2000 2015
4a	1,028	20.7%	530	16.7%	1,558	19.1%
5	646	12.9%	208	6.3%	854	10.3%
17	557	18.0%	236	8.8%	793	13.7%
15	328	11.0%	162	9.2%	490	10.3%
3	357	16.3%	66	3.8%	422	10.8%
19-20	157	5.7%	83	3.5%	240	4.7%
10	62	8.7%	157	18.8%	219	14.1%
18	136	3.0%	76	1.7%	213	2.3%
21	38	2.3%	63	2.0%	101	2.1%
4b	39	66.8%	15	66.8%	54	66.8%
2	39	1.2%	14	1.1%	53	1.1%
11	12	3.1%	31	1.5%	42	1.8%
22	0	-0.1%	2	1.5%	2	0.9%
6	-28	-6.4%	17	0.9%	-11	-0.5%
1	-88	-1.9%	11	0.8%	-77	-1.3%
16	-82	-3.5%	-9	-0.2%	-90	-1.3%
UNINC. TOTAL	**3,200**	**8.1%**	**1,662**	**4.8%**	**4,862**	**6.6%**

As indicated in the table, PAED 4a (Cudjoe, Summerland, Ramrod, and the "Torch" Keys) is expected to experience the largest increases in permanent and seasonal population from 2000 to 2015. In addition to experiencing the largest absolute numerical

increases, this PAED is also expected to experience some of the highest growth rates in the unincorporated portions of Monroe County. The percent increase figures shown for PAED 4b (No Name Key) are misleading since the Key has only forty-four homes, most of which were built over the 1990's. Given the Rate of Growth guidelines, it is not likely that there will be any additional homes on No Name Key, but the population model is based upon past trends in certificate of occupancy issuance, not future permitting probabilities.

According to the table, PAED 5 (Big Pine Key) can also expect a large increase in the permanent resident population. However, it is not likely for this growth to be realized due to current building limitations on Big Pine Key. This phenomenon is similar to the No Name Key scenario, where the population model predicts growth based upon historical trends in certificate of occupancy issuance. Building permits have been limited in PAED 5 since 1994, and therefore, historical rate of certificate of occupancy issuance can not be sustained as we move into the twenty-first century. The model incorrectly predicts Big Pine Key growth rates based upon historical trends not future probabilities. Despite these problems, it is a logical assumption that PAEDs 17 (Rock Harbor), 15 (Tavernier), and 3 (Sugarloaf Key) will likely experience increases in permanent resident and seasonal populations.

PAED 22 (Cross Key, or the 18-Mile-Stretch) will likely experience the slowest growth rate in Monroe County from 2000 to 2015, as no new permanent or transient housing is expected for this area. Three PAEDs are expected to experience declines in permanent resident population from 2000 to 2015: PAED 1 (Stock Island), PAED 6 (MM 35 to 47), and PAED 16 (MM 94 to 98). In addition to a decline in permanent residents, the model forecasts that PAED 16 will experience a slight decline in the seasonal population over the period as well. The reason for these declines can be attributed to the "drag" factor applied to the

percent increase calculations of population after 2003. As the drag factor is applied consistently from 2004 to 2015, the net result is a slight decrease in population from 2000 to 2015. Regardless of whether or not these three PAEDs experience a loss in population, it is likely that they will be among the slowest growing areas of the unincorporated County.

Summary

This section presents a general analysis of the population data gathered for this report on Monroe County population estimates and forecasts for the years 1990 to 2015. The data and analysis indicates that the functional population is expected to grow by more than 16,000 people from 1990 to 2015, an increase of almost eleven percent (11%) over the twenty-five year period.

In 1999, over sixty percent (60%) of the functional population is located within unincorporated portions of Monroe County, but by 2000, the incorporated portions of the county will contain fifty-three percent (53%) of total functional population.

Throughout the years under study, the Upper Keys sub-district will have the largest portion of the functional population in unincorporated Monroe County, however, the Lower Keys is expected to have the highest growth rates over the twenty-five year period.

In terms of the composition of the functional population, there are more permanent residents than the peak seasonal population on any given evening. However, permanent resident figures are annual while peak seasonal population figures are for any given evening. If seasonal population figures were annual, they would far exceed permanent resident population figures. The growth

rates for permanent residents are expected to out pace the growth rates in the peak seasonal population as a percentage of the functional population.

PAED 4a is expected to have the largest numerical increases in population as well as the highest rates of population increase for permanent residents. PAED 22 is expected to have the slowest growth rates.

The drag factors applied to the permanent and seasonal resident data indicate that these population's growth rates will begin to level-off and decline after the year 2014 if current trends continue.

METHODOLOGY

This section will discuss the methodology used to determine the functional population of Monroe County. The functional population is a measurement which considers both the number of people who live in an area throughout the year (permanent residents), and those persons who visit an area (seasonal population) on any particular evening or evenings. As has been stated previously, the seasonal population is not a measurement of all the people who stayed in an area throughout the year, it is a measurement of the number of people who are visiting an area on any given evening. Additionally, the seasonal population is considered to be a peak figure, or a figure which represents the maximum number of people who could reasonably be accommodated in existing transient or guest facilities in the Keys on any given evening. Therefore, the seasonal population figures presented in this report can be considered as the peak number of people who could be accommodated on any given evening given the capacity of existing facilities devoted to the temporary housing of guests and/or visitors.

The functional population is the sum of the permanent population and the peak seasonal population, and is used by the government in determining the potential impacts, expected usage amounts, and required capacity for a wide variety of public services and expenditures. The process for determining the functional population used in this report is explained below, beginning with the methodology for determining the permanent resident population.

Permanent Resident Population

The methodology discussed here relates to the table titled Summary Table of Permanent Resident Population 1990-2015 (Appendix 4). The population estimates and the forecasts up to the year 2004 are based upon changes in the number of housing units available. The population forecasts after 2003 rely on historical trends in the percent increase in the number of permanent residents. All estimates and forecasts are based on a calendar year, and indicate the population as of December 31st of any particular year.

The first step in determining the number of permanent residents was to compare the boundaries between the 1990 census tracts and block groups with the Monroe County Planning Area Enumeration Districts (PAEDs). A map of the PAEDs can be found in Appendix 2 of this report. In most cases, the boundaries between census tracts and PAEDs were coterminous. In some situations, the PAED boundary didn't match with the census tract. In these cases, block group boundaries were used. However, in some cases, even the block group boundaries didn't match up with the PAED boundaries. Footnotes A-H in Appendix 11 discuss how data was separated or agglomerated to account for any boundary discrepancies. The ultimate goal of this step was to determine

how Census data would be broken down from census tracts or block groups into PAEDs. This information is summarized in Columns 1, 2, and 3 of the Summary Table of Permanent Resident Population 1990-2015 found in Appendix 4.

The next step was to use the 1990 Census of Population and Housing Summary Tape File 1A to obtain seven critical pieces of information by PAED: the total population in a PAED as of April 1, 1990, the total number of housing units in a PAED as of April 1, 1990, the total number of households (occupied housing units) in a PAED as of April 1, 1990, the total number of owner-occupied housing units in a PAED as of April 1, 1990, the total number of renter-occupied housing units in a PAED as of April 1, 1990, the number of vacant housing units in a PAED as of April 1, 1990, and finally, the number of housing units held for occasional or seasonal use. Again, all of this data was broken down from census tracts or block groups and agglomerated into PAEDs based upon the actions explained in Footnotes A-H. This information is found in Columns 4-11 of the Permanent Resident Table in Appendix 4.

The next step was to determine the number of persons per housing unit by PAED. This was accomplished by dividing the total population by the total number of housing units. The number yielded by this operation is not the number of persons per household, it is the number of persons per housing unit. The typical persons per household measurement equals the total population divided by the number of *occupied* housing units. The Monroe County model relies on a more generic persons per housing unit figure instead of a persons per household figure since vacancy rate information is required to determine occupancy status. Since information on intra-county vacancy rates over time is not generally available, the total persons per housing unit figure was used so as to limit the number of assumptions needed to forecast population. This information is found in Column 13 of the Permanent Resident Table.

At this point, the baseline population data for 1990 had been obtained from the Census, and re-aggregated into Monroe County PAEDs. However, this baseline data is as of April 1, 1990, but the Monroe County model is based upon a calendar year. In order to develop a population estimate for December 31, 1990, nine additional months of housing data had to be added to the baseline census information on the total number of housing units. The Building Departments of Monroe County and the other municipalities were used as a source for certificate of occupancy data. Certificates of occupancy (as opposed to building permits) were used as a surrogate for the number of housing units brought on-line during a year since a housing unit is more likely to be occupied shortly after a certificate of occupancy is issued.

Once the number of dwelling units which were completed from April 2, 1990 to December 31, 1990 were compiled and aggregated by PAED (based upon the number of certificates of occupancy issued), these numbers could then be added to the total number of dwelling units as of April 1, 1990 listed by the Census. This operation yielded the total number of dwelling units in existence on December 31, 1990 as shown in Column 15 of the Permanent Resident Table in Appendix 4.

Once the total number of housing units in existence on December 31, 1990 was known, the number of permanent residents living in those units could be derived by applying the number of persons per housing unit figure found in Column 13. This operation yields the total number of permanent residents by PAED as of December 31, 1990, as shown in Column 17 of the table in Appendix 4.

The next step is to determine the number of permanent residents by PAED in 1991. To accomplish this, the number of certificates of occupancy issued from 1/1/91 to 12/31/91 was obtained from the various Building Departments and divided up

into the appropriate PAEDs. The number of units built during 1991 was then added to the total number of dwelling units as of December 31, 1990. Once again, the resident population was derived by applying the persons per permanent resident dwelling unit found in Column 13.

This process was repeated to yield the permanent resident population estimates for 1992 through 1999. A slightly different process was used for making the population forecasts for 2000 and beyond.

The forecasts for the years 2000-2003 are based upon the potential number of building permits available, and historical trends in the number of permits issued. The majority of unincorporated Monroe County and the municipalities of Islamorada, Marathon, and Key West are all bound by the Rate of Growth Ordinance, which limits the number of building permits available over any given year. Layton, Key Colony Beach and the northernmost portion of Monroe County are not subject to the Rate of Growth Ordinance provisions, and as such, their population forecasts have been based upon historical trends in housing unit permitting.

The Rate of Growth guidelines found within the "Five Year Work Program" of the Monroe County Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan limits the number of building permits available to portions of Unincorporated Monroe County, Islamorada, and Marathon to a maximum of 204 per year until July of 2003. The City of Key West is limited to a maximum of 33 building permits per year based upon the Rate of Growth Guidelines, but a different allocation formula used by Key West makes it more problematic to apply this methodology to their expected population growth. As a result, population forecasts provided by Key West were used in this model. The northern portion of Unincorporated Monroe County, Key Colony Beach, and Layton are not subject to the rate of Growth guidelines, so historical trends in building permit issuance were used to calculate population forecasts for these areas.

The potential number of building permits available to portions of the County, Islamorada, and Marathon were used as the basis for predicting how many new housing units would come on-line each year from 2000 to 2003 in these areas. The potential 204 new dwellings available each year from 2000 to 2003 were aggregated by PAED based upon that PAED's percentage of the total number of dwelling units built from 1990 to 1999. The total number of units constructed in each PAED from 1990 to 1999 is shown in Column 54 of the Permanent Resident Table. Each PAED's total number of units constructed was divided by the sub-district total for the same period. This operation yields each PAED's share of the number of units constructed in a sub-district from 1990-1999 as shown in Column 55. This percentage was applied to the total number of building permits available to a sub-district each year from 2000 to 2003, and yields the forecasted number of dwelling units which will be built in a particular PAED during a particular year. Once the potential number of dwelling units constructed in a PAED was determined, the normal model process described in the paragraphs above was employed to determine the annual population by PAED for 2000 to 2003.

The Rate of Growth Ordinance is set to expire in 2003, and as such, there is little guidance as to how permits will be issued in Monroe County after the year 2003. As a result, the basis of the modeling process changes after 2003 from the number of housing units constructed to the historical percent change total permanent resident population.

The forecasting process begins with determining the average percent increase in total permanent resident population over the period from 1990 to 2003 by PAED as shown in Column 85 of the Permanent Resident Population Table in Appendix 4. This percentage is derived from obtaining the percent increase in resident population by PAED for each year, and then taking the average of these percent increase figures over the thirteen year period. This average percent increase figure is then applied to the

total permanent resident population in 2003. The product of this equation was then added to the 2003 total population to yield the estimated total permanent resident population in 2004 by PAED.

A similar methodology was employed to determine the permanent resident population by PAED from 2005 to 2015. Essentially, the average percent increase figure was applied to the previous year's permanent resident population figures. The product of this equation was then added to the previous year's population to estimate the following year's total permanent resident population. A "drag" factor was applied to each year's percent increase figures by PAED. This drag factor increases by .1% each year over the ten year period, so that by 2015, the rate of increase in permanent resident population by PAED will have declined by 1.1%. This drag factor was employed as an assumption that the availability of building permits will decline as the carrying capacity of the Keys is reached. It is also likely that the availability of building sites will also decline in the early years of the twenty-first century. Analysis has shown that a 1.1% decline in mean percentage increases in population over a ten year period would not significantly affect the validity of the population forecasts. It is important to note this methodology reveals the beginnings of a decline in the total number of permanent residents in unincorporated Monroe County by 2014.

The methodology described above is not representative of the process used to develop the City of Key West figures. Key West developed population forecasts as a part of their Evaluation and Appraisal Report on their Comprehensive Plan. The methodology used by Key West differs from that used by the County. In the interest of being inclusive of the County-wide population, this report adopted the Key West figures, and manipulated them slightly so as to provide yearly data similar to that provided for the other areas of the County.

Peak Seasonal Resident and Visitor Population

The methodology discussed here relates to the table titled Summary Table of Peak Number Seasonal Residents and Visitors by PAED 1990-2015 (Appendix 5). As mentioned earlier, the peak seasonal population figures are not the total number of seasonal residents and visitors who come to the Keys over the year, but only those who could be accommodated (based upon available facilities) on any given evening. The information contained in seasonal population summary table stems from five sub-models, which relate to: the number of seasonal residences, the number of hotel and motel rooms, the number of recreational vehicle and campsites, the number of people staying with friends and relatives, and the number of people staying on live aboard vessels. The methodology used in each of the five sub-models is discussed below.

1. Seasonal Residents and Vacation Rental Visitors

The first step is to obtain the number of dwelling units used for seasonal purposes from the permanent resident population table found in Appendix 4. The preliminary number of seasonal housing units comes from the 1990 Census of Population and Housing (Column 11 of the Summary Table of Permanent Resident Population found in Appendix 4). The number of seasonal housing units completed between April 1, 1990 and December 31, 1990 is derived by applying the percentage of seasonal housing units to the total number of housing units as of December 31, 1990 (Column 15 of the Permanent Resident Table found in Appendix 4). The addition of these two figures yields the total number of seasonal units by PAED as of December 31, 1990.

The next step is to determine the number of persons per group who stay in seasonal residences and/or vacation rentals. This figure was taken from a study prepared by the Tourism Development Council. This report is titled *Visitor Profiles: Florida*

Keys/Key West. This report was prepared by Vernon Leeworthy for the Nature Conservancy and NOAA in 1996. This report indicates that the largest group sizes occur in the winter season, and amount to 2.67 people per group on average. This figure was applied to the total number of seasonal residences (which includes vacation rentals) to determine the potential peak number of seasonal residents or visitors staying in vacation rentals by PAED. This methodology was used to determine the peak number of seasonal residents and visitors in vacation rentals by PAED for the years 1990 through 2003.

Because the peak seasonal resident sub-model also rests on the number of dwelling units (similar to the permanent resident population model), it is subject to the problem which occurs after 2003, when we are no longer sure how new dwelling units will be permitted. As a result, this model also makes the shift from a dwelling-unit based foundation to a population-based foundation after 2003 (just as the permanent resident population model does). The percentage increases in the number of seasonal residents and visitors in vacation rentals by year by PAED are determined in Columns 34 through 46 of the Peak Population in Seasonal Residences Table in Appendix 6.

Then, the average percent increase in the seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population over the period from 1990 to 2003 by PAED was determined as shown in Column 47. This average percent increase figure was then applied to the seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population in 2003. The product of this equation was then added to the 2003 peak number of persons staying in seasonal dwelling units to yield the estimated peak seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population in 2004 by PAED.

A similar methodology was employed to determine the seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population by PAED from 2005 to 2015. Essentially, the average percent increase figure was applied to the previous year's population figures. The product of this equation was then added to the previous year's population to estimate the following year's total seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population. A "drag" factor was applied to each year's percent increase figures by PAED (similar to the one applied to the permanent resident population figures). This drag factor increases by .1% each year over the ten year period, so that by 2015, the percent increase in seasonal resident and vacation rental visitor population by PAED will have declined by 1.1%. It is important to note this methodology reveals the beginnings of a decline in the total number of seasonal residents and visitors in vacation rentals in unincorporated Monroe County by 2013, one year prior to similar declines expected for the permanent resident population forecasts.

2. Peak Number of People in Hotels and Motels

This is the second of the sub-models used to determine the peak seasonal population, and is titled Table of Peak Population in Hotels and Motels, and is found in Appendix 7 of this report.

The first step in the preparation of this model is to determine the number of hotel and motel rooms by PAED in 1990. The State of Florida's Department of Business and Professional Regulation Division of Hotels and Restaurants Master Listing of Accounts was used for this purpose. Information on the location and number of rooms of hotels, motels, resorts, and transient apartments was collected from the Master Listing and grouped according to PAED.

The next step was to determine the peak number of persons per room on average for all of these accommodations. This figure was taken from a study prepared by the Tourism Development Council. This report is titled *Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West*. This report indicates that the peak number of persons per room on average is 2.73 persons. This figure was used as the peak number of persons per room on average for the period from 1990 to 2015.

The next step was to determine the peak occupancy rate for transient accommodations. The occupancy rates were obtained through the TDC from the Smith Travel Research *Trend Report*. The *Trend Report* gives the occupancy rate figures by month from 1990 to 1999 for Key West and Monroe County. The peak monthly occupancy figure by year was extracted and used by this model.

With the information on the number of hotel and motel rooms collected and sorted by PAED, the information on the peak group size determined, and the yearly peak occupancy rates obtained, the peak population staying in hotels and motels by year by PAED was determined. For each year, the peak number of persons per room was applied to the number of hotel and motel rooms available. The peak occupancy figure was then applied to this figure to yield the peak number of people staying in hotel and motel rooms by year by PAED. This methodology was used to determine the peak number of visitors in hotels and motels from 1990 to 1999.

After 1999, the occupancy rate for hotels and motels in Monroe County are not known. To estimate the future occupancy rate, the average occupancy rate was determined for the years from 1990 to 1999 (as shown in Column 35 of the Peak Population in Hotels and Motels table in Appendix 7), and applied to the yearly number of hotel and motel rooms by PAED after 1999. Once

the projected occupancy rate was determined, the methodology described in the previous paragraph was used to determine the peak population staying in hotels and motels until 2006.

The number of hotel and motel rooms in Monroe County has remained relatively constant since 1996 when the Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan was adopted. The Comprehensive Plan prohibits the construction of new transient accommodations until 2006. As a result, there has been very little new hotel/motel room construction, but the model does include those hotel and motel rooms which have become vested from the Comprehensive Plan policy prohibiting new transient units.

It is expected that the prohibition in the Comprehensive Plan on new hotel and motel rooms will be lifted by 2007. As a result, the model was adapted to include a cumulative .1% increase in the number of visitors staying in hotels and motels per year from 2007 to 2015 based upon the increased prevalence of hotel and motel rooms.

3. Peak Number of People in Campsites and Recreational Vehicles

This is the third of the sub-models used to determine the peak seasonal population, and is found in Appendix 8 of this report. The first step in the preparation of this model was to determine the number of campsites and recreational vehicle spaces by PAED in 1990. These figures were derived from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) records related to on-site sewage capacity for these types of land uses. The DEP figures showed how many campsites and/or recreational vehicle spaces were present (or permitted) in a particular establishment. The establishments were located and agglomerated by PAED.

The next step was to determine the peak number of persons per campsite and/or vehicle on average for all of these establishments. This figure was taken from a study prepared by the Tourism Development Council. This report is titled *Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West*. This report indicates that the peak number of persons per campsite and/or vehicle on average is 2.93 persons. This figure was used as the peak number of persons per campsite and/or vehicle on average for the period from 1990 to 2015.

The next step was to determine the occupancy rate for these transient land uses. The occupancy rate figure was also obtained from the *Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West* report. The report shows the peak occupancy rate to be 94.31% in the peak months of the year for campsites and recreational vehicle parks. This figure was used as the occupancy rate per campsite and/or vehicle on average for the period from 1990 to 2015.

The peak population staying in campsites and recreational vehicles was determined by applying the peak number of persons per campsite or vehicle to the number of sites available. This number was then multiplied by the occupancy rate to determine the peak number of persons staying in campsites and recreational vehicle sites by year by PAED.

The total number of campsites and recreational vehicle spaces were held constant over the period from 1990 to 2006, based on the moratorium on transient housing uses stemming from the Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan. After 2006, the prohibition on these types of uses is expected to be lifted. As a result, the model was adapted to include a cumulative .1% increase in the number of visitors staying in campsites and recreational vehicles per year from 2007 to 2015 based upon the expected increase of these transient uses.

4. Peak Population Staying with Friends and Relatives

This is the fourth of the sub-models used to determine the peak seasonal resident and visitor population, and is found in Appendix 9 of this report. This is one of the most difficult numbers to predict since the number of people who visit an area, but who do not stay in transient accommodations, is very difficult to track.

The basic methodology used was to determine the percentage of total visitors who stay with friends and relatives, then apply this percentage figure to the total seasonal population by PAED in a particular year. The product was the number of people who stayed with friends and relatives.

The first step in the preparation of this sub-model is to determine the appropriate percentage of people who stay with friends and/or relatives when visiting Monroe County. This number was derived from the study prepared for the Tourism Development Council entitled *Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West*. This report indicates that 15.5% of all visitors to the Keys stay with friends and/or relatives. For ease in calculation, this percentage figure was used across all PAEDs for each of the years in the forecast.

The peak number of people staying with friends and relatives by PAED by year was derived by summing the total population staying in seasonal residences and vacation homes, the peak population staying hotel and motel rooms, and the peak population staying in campsites or recreational vehicle spaces, and then applying 15.5% to this sum. The product of this operation is the

peak number of people staying with friends and relatives. This methodology was used by PAED and by year for all years in the model.

5. Peak Population on Live Aboard Vessels

This is the fifth and final sub-model used to determine the total peak seasonal population by PAED. Two separate sources were used to determine these figures. The first source of information was a study published in 1990 by Gus Antonini et. al. called *Boat Live-Aboards in the Florida Keys: A New Factor in Waterfront Development.* This study determined that over the year from 1988 to 1989, there were 1,082 live-aboard vessels present in the Keys. It is unclear if this figure is the total for the year or the peak number of vessels at any given time. This report uses the figure as a peak number instead of a total for the year.

The next step in the modeling process was to dis-aggregate the peak number of vessels by PAED. This was accomplished by using the data on the number of live aboard vessels collected during the 1993 Marina Facilities Survey conducted by the Monroe County Marine Resources Department. This survey collected information on the number of live aboards found in waters around the Keys. Mile markers were used in the survey to describe areas where live aboard vessels were encountered. The vessel counts found in the survey were then agglomerated by PAED based upon the mile marker figures in the survey. The total number of live aboards found in a particular PAED was then divided by the total number of live aboard vessels found across Monroe County. This yielded a particular PAED's percentage of the number of live aboards in the county. It is interesting to note that 22% of the live aboards were located in incorporated areas in 1993.

Once percentage figures on the number of live aboards by PAED were determined, these percentage figures were applied to the peak number of vessels determined by the Antonini study. This exercise allowed the Antonini figures to be broken down by PAED.

The next step was to determine occupancy rates on live aboard vessels. The Antonini research indicated that there was an average of 1.8 persons per vessel in 1988-89. This figure was used in this model, and applied over the entire period.

With the number of vessels by PAED in 1990 determined, and the number of persons per vessel determined, the two could be multiplied, yielding the peak population of people staying on live aboards by PAED in 1990.

To obtain this peak population on live aboard vessels figure by year over the period from 1990 to 2015, the peak number of vessels by PAED was increased by .1% per year over the period. This percentage increase was selected based on a lack of rigorous data on the peak number of vessels present. There is anecdotal evidence that the peak number of live aboard vessels is increasing over time, but no concrete evidence of this increase. Due to the data limitations, a percentage increase of .1% per year was applied.

In conclusion, the data from all five sub-models mentioned above was agglomerated to yield the total peak seasonal population as reflected in the Summary Table of Peak Number of Seasonal residents and Visitors by PAED 1990-2015 (Appendix 5).

As in the case of the permanent resident population model, the methodology described above is not representative of the process used to develop the City of Key West figures. Key West developed population forecasts as a part of their Evaluation and

Appraisal Report on their Comprehensive Plan. The methodology used by Key West differs from that used by the County. In the interest of being inclusive of the County-wide population, this report adopted the Key West figures, and manipulated them slightly so as to provide yearly data similar to that provided for the other areas of the County. The Key West figures included the number of day visitors to Key West within their seasonal population. These day visitors have been subtracted from the Key West figures included within this report so as to be consistent with the data presented for the County and other incorporated areas.

ASSUMPTIONS

The final section of this report discusses the various assumptions that were made in order to produce the population estimates and forecasts.

The first assumption is that the population estimates and forecasts contained in this report only consider legally established dwellings or transient units. It is undeniable that there are more units in existence than those which were legally established. However, the exact number of these units is very difficult to determine or estimate. As a result, the potential number of unlawfully established units is not included within these models.

The second assumption is that current trends and conditions will continue into the future. Any number of events like major hurricanes or other natural disasters will undoubtedly affect the population of Monroe County. However, these events can not be predicted far in advance, and therefore, the models do not contemplate them. In addition to natural events, other factors like

governmental policy will also affect the ultimate population. People's values and desires also exert impacts, but it is simply too difficult to model these effects. As a result, these models assume that current trends and conditions will continue.

The third assumption is that the 1990 baseline data on population is true and correct. This data comes from the Bureau of the Census, and may or may not be accurate. However, it is the only source available which has been accepted by the state and federal governments. There is the strong possibility that the population of Monroe County was undercounted during the 1990 Census. Hopefully, the 2000 Census will address this problem. Once the information from the 2000 Census becomes available, it will be incorporated into this model in an attempt to make these figures even more accurate.

The fourth assumption is that the persons per housing unit figure is a more appropriate figure than persons per household when estimating the permanent resident population. Persons per household figures require data on occupied units, which requires information on vacancy rates. Since this information is not generally available in the Keys (and may not be relevant given the highly transitory nature of Keys residents) the use of a persons per total housing unit figure may be more appropriate since it eliminates the need for assumptions on vacancy rates.

The fifth assumption is the possibility that the total persons per housing unit figure in a PAED will remain constant from 1990 to 2015. The likelihood of this being the case is rather slim, however, the exact direction and magnitude of any changes is unknown. To keep the model simple, persons per housing unit was kept constant. These population estimates and forecasts may be modified after the results of the 2000 Census of Population are released, but this will not occur until 2002 at the earliest.

The sixth assumption is that certificates of occupancy are a better predictor of changes in permanent resident population as opposed to building permit figures. Historically, it takes anywhere from two to seven years for an average home to be completed in the Keys. It is more likely that a home will be occupied shortly after a certificate of occupancy is issued as compared to a building permit. For this reason, certificates of occupancy were used in this model.

The seventh assumption is that the number of dwelling units issued certificates of occupancy from 4/2/90 to 12/31/90 does not double-count housing units in existence as of April 1, 1990. As mentioned in the methodology section, the permanent resident population model uses the 1990 Census data as a starting point, but this Census data only applies to the number of dwelling units present on April 1, 1990. For greater accuracy, this population model considered all the housing units available over the course of the year. The possibility exists that a new dwelling unit was issued a certificate of occupancy after April 1, 1990, but people who were living in the dwelling obtained a census form, and returned it prior to April 1, 1990. In these situations, it is possible for this model to double-count those units. However, this number is expected to be insignificant when considering the population over a twenty-five year span.

The eighth assumption is that the information from the Bureau of the Census on the number of housing units held for occasional or seasonal use is appropriate. This figure was used in the model to determine the number of seasonal homes in the County from 1990 to 2015. While there is much debate about the number of transient rentals in the Keys, there is no definitive source on the number of homes being used for this purpose. The number of homestead exemptions was explored as a possible source, however, this data is not maintained in a way which can be applied over time. As a result of the existing data limitations, the Census Bureau information was used. It may be possible that the number of seasonal homes is undercounted by this model, but

until there is a mechanism for jurisdictions to track which homes are held for seasonal or transient purposes, and which ones are for permanent residents, we may never know the magnitude of seasonal and/or transient housing units.

The ninth assumption relates to governmental policy. The Five Year Work Program indicates that 204 building permits will be issued each year during 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 for portions of unincorporated Monroe County, Islamorada, and Marathon. For the sake of simplicity, this model assumes that 204 dwelling units will be completed and issued a certificate of occupancy each year for the same period. However, even if this assumption is incorrect, it should not affect the total number of dwelling units constructed.

The tenth assumption is that historical trends in the number of housing units constructed in northern Monroe County, Layton, and Key Colony Beach is a good predictor of the timing of additional housing unit development in the future. The growth in permanent resident housing units from 2000 to 2004 is based upon the Rate of Growth permit allocations, but these areas are not subject to the Rate of Growth provisions, as such, their historical trends are projected into the future. While this may not be the best approach, it is the only one available, and therefore has been used by this model.

The eleventh assumption relates to maximum party size of seasonal residents and visitors in a particular dwelling or transient unit. These party sizes are shown to remain constant in several of the models. There are yearly variations in party size, but research has shown that over time, party size does remain fairly constant. It is important to remember that seasonal population estimates and forecasts seek to show the potential peak population on any given night, so even if party sizes do shrink or expand on a monthly or yearly basis, the accuracy of seasonal population projections should not be greatly affected.

The twelfth assumption is that the moratorium on new transient units (hotel & motel rooms, campsites, etc.) will be lifted in 2006. This policy decision is as yet unknown. The Comprehensive Plan dictates that some allowances for these uses should be made by 2007, so the models contained within this report reflect that policy direction. There is always the possibility that the policy will change, and if so, the population figures will also change. This model will be revisited upon significant policy changes.

The final assumption, and perhaps the largest, relates to the "drag" factors applied to the permanent and seasonal resident populations. The models used in this report apply a .1% decrease to the growth rates for these two populations from 2004 to 2015. This assumption is based on the possibility that by 2004, there will be fewer building sites available, that there will continue to be a decline in the total number of people per housing unit, that the carrying capacity of the Keys will begin to be exceeded, and that as these things begin to take place, the attractiveness of the Keys to new residents will begin to decline.

Despite the fact that there are many assumptions contained within the models of population within this report, the possibility to alter and modify the models always exists. Should conditions change in a substantive way, the population estimates and forecasts will no doubt be reconsidered.

This concludes this report on the Monroe County population estimates and forecasts. The appendices on the following pages will show the various tables and footnotes used to determine the functional population of Monroe County. Questions about this report, the models, or the population estimates or forecasts should be directed to the Planning Department, which can be reached at the address shown on the inside cover of this report.

The following pages contain the appendices mentioned in previous sections of the report.

Appendix 1

Tables 2.28, 2.29, & 2.30 from the Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan Technical Document

Table 2.29
SeasonalPopulation Projections
Unicorporated Monroe County 1990-2010
By Planning Area/Enumeration (PAED) Districts

PAED	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010
LOWER KEYS					
1	1,734	1,903	2,072	2,257	2,454
2	717	787	856	933	1,014
3	944	1,035	1,127	1,228	1,335
4	2,117	2,323	2,529	2,755	2,996
5	2,154	2,364	2,573	2,803	3,048
6	981	1,076	1,172	1,277	1,388
Sub-Total	8,647	9,488	10,329	11,253	12,235
MIDDLE KEYS					
7	5,099	5,593	6,092	6,633	7,215
8	371	407	443	482	524
9	455	500	544	593	644
10	1,917	2,104	2,291	2,495	2,713
11	1,401	1,537	1,673	1,823	1,982
12	1,650	1,811	1,971	2,148	2,335
13	2,049	2,249	2,448	2,667	2,900
Sub-Total	12,942	14,201	15,462	16,841	18,313
UPPER KEYS					
14	4,745	5,204	5,669	6,173	6,714
15	1,500	1,646	1,792	1,953	2,123
16	2,940	3,225	3,512	3,826	4,160
17	2,703	2,966	3,229	3,518	3,825
18	2,948	3,235	3,522	3,837	4,172
19	418	459	499	544	591
20	2,236	2,453	2,671	2,909	3,163
21	3,862	4,237	4,613	5,025	5,464
22	169	186	202	221	240
Sub-Total	21,521	23,611	25,709	28,006	30,452
PAED TOTAL	**43,110**	**47,300**	**51,500**	**56,100**	**61,000**

Note: The City of Key West, Key Colony beach, and layton are not included.
Cape Sable is included in PAED 21; Windley Key is included in PAED 12

Source: U.S. Census 1990, Price Waterhouse.

Table 2.30
Functional Population Projections
Unicorporated Monroe County 1990-2010
By Planning Area/Enumeration (PAED) Districts

PAED	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010
LOWER KEYS					
1	6,275	6,480	6,667	6,894	7,132
2	3,823	3,946	4,057	4,181	4,323
3	2,730	2,915	3,079	3,262	3,475
4	6,100	6,895	7,561	8,302	9,212
5	6,362	7,265	8,015	8,852	9,884
6	1,422	1,517	1,614	1,718	1,829
Sub-Total	26,712	29,018	31,003	33,209	35,855
MIDDLE KEYS					
7	13,960	14,938	15,815	16,779	17,909
8	1,068	1,363	1,559	1,598	1,641
9	1,541	1,698	1,829	1,976	2,155
10	2,546	2,813	3,062	3,336	3,645
11	1,757	1,893	2,029	2,179	2,338
12	2,746	3,106	3,421	3,772	4,019
13	3,269	3,469	3,668	3,887	4,120
Sub-Total	26,887	29,280	31,383	33,527	35,827
UPPER KEYS					
14	9,150	10,155	11,048	12,031	13,192
15	3,933	4,303	4,623	4,980	5,404
16	5,227	5,912	6,510	6,894	7,228
17	5,168	5,679	6,135	6,641	7,230
18	7,075	8,167	9,081	9,966	10,300
19	1,326	1,462	1,576	1,704	1,859
20	3,785	4,002	4,220	4,458	4,712
21	5,649	6,447	7,154	7,936	8,580
22	230	270	303	342	387
Sub-Total	41,543	46,387	50,650	54,952	58,892
PAED TOTAL	**95,142**	**104,695**	**113,036**	**121,688**	**130,574**

Note: The City of Key West, Key Colony beach, and layton are not included.
Cape Sable is included in PAED 21; Windley Key is included in PAED 12


Source: U.S. Census 1990, Price Waterhouse.

Appendix 2

Monroe County Planning Area Enumeration District (PAED) Maps


Monroe County
Growth Management Division

Planning Analysis Area/Enumeration Districts




Monroe County
Growth Management Division

Planning Analysis Area/Enumeration Districts



Monroe County
Growth Management Division

Planning Analysis Area/Enumeration Districts



Appendix 3

Summary Table of Permanent Resident, Peak Seasonal, and Functional Population Figures by PAED from 1990 to 2015

PAED	1990 Permanent Resident Population	1990 Seasonal Population	1990 Functional Population	1991 Permanent Resident Population	1991 Seasonal Population	1991 Functional Population	1992 Permanent Resident Population	1992 Seasonal Population	1992 Functional Population	1993 Permanent Resident Population	1993 Seasonal Population	1993 Functional Population	1994 Permanent Resident Population	1994 Seasonal Population
Lower Keys														
1	4,560	1,273	5,833	4,581	1,274	5,855	4,597	1,275	5,873	4,604	1,276	5,880	4,621	1,277
2	3,140	1,257	4,398	3,179	1,261	4,440	3,195	1,260	4,455	3,207	1,269	4,476	3,241	1,269
3	1,844	1,686	3,530	1,876	1,688	3,564	1,915	1,698	3,603	1,965	1,708	3,673	2,006	1,705
4a	4,074	2,730	6,803	4,209	2,791	7,000	4,306	2,830	7,137	4,411	2,908	7,318	4,504	2,939
4b	37	15	51	41	16	56	44	17	61	47	19	66	48	19
5	4,352	3,096	7,448	4,482	3,131	7,613	4,568	3,151	7,719	4,695	3,201	7,896	4,765	3,213
6	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,983	2,323	440	1,883
Subtotal	18,446	11,940	30,387	18,809	12,044	30,853	19,066	12,105	31,170	19,368	12,264	31,632	19,626	12,305
Middle Keys														
7	8,932	6,730	15,662	8,981	6,696	15,678	9,041	6,645	15,686	9,080	8,813	15,893	9,118	6,714
8	707	2,051	2,758	714	2,033	2,747	719	2,003	2,722	725	2,089	2,814	731	2,038
9	1,101	1,130	2,231	1,114	1,130	2,244	1,119	1,126	2,245	1,135	1,142	2,277	1,140	1,135
10	653	338	992	664	339	1,002	674	338	1,011	685	351	1,037	697	348
11	357	1,948	2,304	357	1,946	2,303	358	1,944	2,302	359	1,996	2,345	359	1,980
12a	976	1,211	2,187	1,005	1,231	2,236	1,041	1,255	2,296	1,054	1,291	2,345	1,066	1,287
12b	140	950	1,090	150	937	1,087	150	909	1,058	150	975	1,125	150	929
13	1,226	3,083	4,309	1,241	3,149	4,391	1,250	3,077	4,327	1,267	3,265	4,532	1,268	3,142
Subtotal	14,092	17,441	31,533	14,226	17,461	31,687	14,351	17,297	31,648	14,465	17,913	32,368	14,628	17,574
Upper Keys														
14	4,514	2,936	7,449	4,649	3,002	7,651	4,740	3,042	7,782	4,804	3,099	7,903	4,856	3,112
15	2,610	1,585	4,195	2,713	1,628	4,341	2,739	1,631	4,369	2,826	1,696	4,521	2,913	1,722
16	2,290	4,520	6,811	2,297	4,507	6,805	2,304	4,486	6,790	2,310	4,559	6,869	2,323	4,526
17	2,544	2,514	5,058	2,615	2,508	5,123	2,691	2,497	5,168	2,807	2,649	5,455	2,863	2,594
18	4,163	4,150	8,313	4,206	4,156	8,362	4,234	4,151	8,385	4,362	4,569	8,931	4,381	4,534
19-20	2,494	2,125	4,619	2,575	2,161	4,736	2,626	2,330	4,956	2,666	2,368	5,033	2,676	2,360
21	1,530	2,944	4,473	1,543	2,953	4,496	1,553	2,955	4,508	1,562	3,001	4,563	1,565	2,982
22	61	103	164	61	103	164	61	103	164	63	103	166	63	103
Subtotal	20,205	20,876	41,081	20,660	21,019	41,679	20,937	21,185	42,122	21,399	22,043	43,442	21,641	21,935
TOTAL	**52,743**	**50,258**	**103,000**	**53,694**	**50,524**	**104,219**	**54,354**	**50,586**	**104,940**	**55,222**	**52,220**	**107,442**	**55,793**	**51,814**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	186	155	341	189	155	345	191	154	344	192	160	352	194	157
City of Key Colony B.	989	1,489	2,478	993	1,493	2,486	1,006	1,506	2,512	1,023	1,539	2,562	1,030	1,542
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	24,938	18,591	43,529	25,043	18,233	43,277	25,149	17,723	42,872	25,255	18,965	44,220	25,360	18,131
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**78,855**	**70,493**	**149,348**	**79,920**	**70,406**	**150,326**	**80,699**	**69,969**	**150,668**	**81,691**	**72,884**	**154,575**	**82,378**	**71,644**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department. See Footnotes for sources.

PAED	1994 Functional Population	1995 Permanent Resident Population	1995 Seasonal Population	1995 Functional Population	1996 Permanent Resident Population	1996 Seasonal Population	1996 Functional Population	1997 Permanent Resident Population	1997 Seasonal Population	1997 Functional Population	1998 Permanent Resident Population	1998 Seasonal Population	1998 Functional Population	1999 Permanent Resident Population
Lower Keys														
1	5,898	4,628	1,278	5,905	4,658	1,279	5,937	4,684	1,280	5,964	4,707	1,281	5,988	4,719
2	4,510	3,255	1,269	4,524	3,280	1,275	4,555	3,297	1,277	4,574	3,313	1,274	4,587	3,319
3	3,711	2,039	1,706	3,745	2,080	1,717	3,797	2,105	1,720	3,825	2,133	1,716	3,849	2,160
4a	7,442	4,612	2,987	7,599	4,714	3,047	7,761	4,804	3,093	7,897	4,872	3,110	7,983	4,916
4b	67	49	20	69	51	20	71	55	22	77	56	22	79	56
5	7,978	4,828	3,228	8,057	4,909	3,257	8,166	4,936	3,265	8,201	4,964	3,264	8,228	4,974
6	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,893	2,323	440
Subtotal	31,930	19,852	12,371	32,223	20,132	12,477	32,609	20,320	12,641	32,861	20,486	12,661	33,036	20,584
Middle Keys														
7	15,830	9,185	6,682	15,847	9,196	6,743	15,939	9,233	6,754	15,987	9,260	6,649	15,909	9,284
8	2,769	737	2,021	2,758	743	2,053	2,796	748	2,057	2,805	752	2,003	2,754	755
9	2,275	1,148	1,134	2,282	1,159	1,141	2,300	1,169	1,143	2,312	1,173	1,135	2,308	1,174
10	1,045	699	346	1,045	701	350	1,051	706	406	1,112	708	707	1,416	711
11	2,339	359	1,978	2,336	372	2,002	2,374	377	2,008	2,385	377	2,002	2,378	377
12a	2,355	1,086	1,298	2,383	1,097	1,318	2,413	1,104	1,322	2,426	-	-	-	-
12b	1,079	152	911	1,063	152	934	1,085	153	934	1,087	-	-	-	-
13	4,410	1,273	3,092	4,365	1,278	3,155	4,433	1,279	3,155	4,435	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	32,102	14,619	17,461	32,080	14,698	17,692	32,390	14,769	17,781	32,549	12,270	12,496	24,765	12,301
Upper Keys														
14	7,968	4,905	3,132	8,037	4,941	3,159	8,100	5,008	3,198	8,203	-	-	-	-
15	4,635	2,925	1,721	4,646	2,947	1,740	4,687	2,952	1,742	4,694	2,961	1,730	4,691	2,968
16	6,849	2,331	4,515	6,846	2,338	4,545	6,883	2,341	4,549	6,890	2,346	4,505	6,851	2,349
17	5,458	2,815	2,582	5,498	2,966	2,640	5,606	3,004	2,655	5,659	3,049	2,593	5,642	3,075
18	8,915	4,408	4,526	8,934	4,431	4,555	8,986	4,462	4,566	9,028	4,484	4,531	9,014	4,501
19-20	5,038	2,693	2,362	5,055	2,713	2,378	5,091	2,727	2,384	5,111	2,738	2,376	5,113	2,751
21	4,548	1,572	2,983	4,555	1,587	3,016	4,603	1,596	3,029	4,626	1,619	3,039	4,658	1,642
22	166	63	104	166	63	104	166	64	104	168	64	104	168	64
Subtotal	43,576	21,811	21,926	43,737	21,986	22,136	44,121	22,154	22,225	44,378	17,261	18,877	36,138	17,350
TOTAL	107,607	56,282	51,758	108,040	56,816	52,305	109,121	57,243	52,546	109,788	50,016	43,924	93,939	50,236
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,590	8,411	16,001	7,641
City of Layton	351	197	157	354	198	159	357	201	160	361	203	158	361	206
City of Key Colony B.	2,572	1,045	1,561	2,606	1,050	1,571	2,621	1,058	1,583	2,641	1,074	1,597	2,671	1,089
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	43,491	25,466	17,790	43,256	25,572	18,216	43,787	25,677	18,233	43,910	25,783	17,365	43,148	25,942
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	154,022	82,990	71,266	154,255	83,635	72,251	155,886	84,179	72,521	156,700	84,665	71,454	156,120	85,114

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department. See Footnotes for sources.

Functional Population

PAED	1999 Seasonal Population	1999 Functional Population	2000 Permanent Resident Population	2000 Seasonal Population	2000 Functional Population	2001 Permanent Resident Population	2001 Seasonal Population	2001 Functional Population	2002 Permanent Resident Population	2002 Seasonal Population	2002 Functional Population	2003 Permanent Resident Population	2003 Seasonal Population	2003 Functional Population
Lower Keys														
1	1,262	6,001	4,730	1,283	6,013	4,741	1,284	6,025	4,752	1,284	6,037	4,763	1,285	6,048
2	1,276	4,595	3,333	1,282	4,615	3,346	1,284	4,630	3,359	1,286	4,645	3,372	1,288	4,660
3	1,721	3,861	2,183	1,731	3,914	2,206	1,734	3,941	2,230	1,736	3,967	2,253	1,741	3,994
4a	3,135	8,050	4,975	3,178	8,154	5,035	3,209	8,244	5,095	3,239	8,334	5,155	3,270	8,424
4b	22	79	58	23	81	59	24	83	61	24	85	63	25	87
5	3,268	8,243	5,022	3,289	8,311	5,069	3,304	8,373	5,117	3,318	8,435	5,165	3,332	8,497
6	1,883	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	440	1,883	2,323
Subtotal	12,587	33,171	20,741	12,669	33,410	20,897	12,721	33,618	21,053	12,773	33,826	21,210	12,824	34,034
Middle Keys														
7	6,661	16,165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	2,013	2,768	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	1,137	2,311	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	743	1,454	719	835	1,553	728	902	1,629	734	970	1,704	742	972	1,714
11	2,002	2,379	379	2,011	2,391	382	2,015	2,397	385	2,019	2,404	387	2,023	2,410
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	12,775	25,077	1,098	2,846	3,944	1,108	2,917	4,026	1,119	2,989	4,108	1,129	2,995	4,124
Upper Keys														
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,736	4,704	2,991	1,759	4,749	3,013	1,770	4,783	3,036	1,781	4,816	3,058	1,792	4,850
16	4,515	6,864	2,352	4,554	6,905	2,354	4,556	6,911	2,357	4,559	6,918	2,360	4,622	6,981
17	2,612	5,687	3,100	2,683	5,783	3,126	2,694	5,819	3,151	2,704	5,855	3,177	2,714	5,891
18	4,542	9,043	4,516	4,580	9,097	4,532	4,586	9,118	4,546	4,592	9,140	4,564	4,598	9,161
19-20	2,384	5,135	2,764	2,400	5,163	2,776	2,405	5,182	2,789	2,411	5,200	2,801	2,417	5,218
21	3,075	4,716	1,647	3,101	4,748	1,653	3,109	4,762	1,659	3,118	4,776	1,665	3,126	4,791
22	104	168	65	104	169	65	104	169	65	104	169	65	104	169
Subtotal	18,967	36,317	17,435	19,180	36,615	17,619	19,224	36,744	17,604	19,269	36,872	17,689	19,372	37,061
TOTAL	44,329	94,565	39,273	34,696	73,969	39,525	34,863	74,387	39,776	35,030	74,806	40,027	35,192	75,219
Village of Islamorada	8,467	16,108	7,665	8,644	16,309	7,695	8,662	16,357	7,725	8,680	16,406	7,755	8,699	16,454
City of Layton	159	365	208	163	372	211	165	375	213	166	379	216	167	383
City of Key Colony B.	1,619	2,708	1,101	1,641	2,742	1,113	1,657	2,770	1,125	1,674	2,798	1,136	1,690	2,827
City of Marathon	-	-	11,272	10,182	21,455	11,312	10,195	21,507	11,352	10,208	21,560	11,391	10,221	21,612
City of Key West (p)	17,483	43,426	26,102	18,165	44,267	26,261	18,182	44,443	26,421	18,198	44,619	26,524	18,209	44,733
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	72,058	157,172	85,622	73,491	159,113	86,117	73,723	159,840	86,612	73,956	160,568	87,050	74,177	161,227

PAED	2004 Permanent Resident Population	2004 Seasonal Population	2004 Functional Population	2005 Permanent Resident Population	2005 Seasonal Population	2005 Functional Population	2006 Permanent Resident Population	2006 Seasonal Population	2006 Functional Population	2007 Permanent Resident Population	2007 Seasonal Population	2007 Functional Population	2008 Permanent Resident Population	2008 Seasonal Population
Lower Keys														
1	4,779	1,286	6,065	4,791	1,287	6,077	4,797	1,287	6,085	4,799	1,289	6,088	4,796	1,290
2	3,390	1,291	4,681	3,406	1,293	4,699	3,418	1,295	4,713	3,426	1,297	4,723	3,431	1,299
3	2,288	1,746	4,034	2,321	1,751	4,072	2,353	1,756	4,109	2,382	1,762	4,144	2,410	1,767
4a	5,249	3,318	8,567	5,339	3,364	8,704	5,426	3,409	8,835	5,509	3,452	8,961	5,588	3,492
4b	65	26	91	68	27	95	71	28	99	73	29	103	76	30
5	5,233	3,352	8,586	5,297	3,372	8,669	5,357	3,389	8,747	5,412	3,407	8,820	5,463	3,424
6	440	1,693	2,323	440	1,883	2,323	439	1,883	2,322	437	1,885	2,322	436	1,887
Subtotal	**21,445**	**12,903**	**34,347**	**21,662**	**12,977**	**34,639**	**21,860**	**13,048**	**34,908**	**22,040**	**13,121**	**35,160**	**22,199**	**13,189**
Middle Keys														
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	749	975	1,724	756	977	1,732	762	979	1,740	767	981	1,748	771	983
11	390	2,027	2,416	392	2,030	2,422	393	2,033	2,426	395	2,036	2,431	396	2,039
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**1,139**	**3,002**	**4,140**	**1,147**	**3,007**	**4,154**	**1,155**	**3,011**	**4,166**	**1,161**	**3,017**	**4,179**	**1,167**	**3,022**
Upper Keys														
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	3,098	1,810	4,906	3,131	1,827	4,958	3,163	1,843	5,006	3,193	1,857	5,050	3,219	1,871
16	2,365	4,627	6,992	2,368	4,631	6,999	2,369	4,632	7,000	2,367	4,632	6,999	2,363	4,630
17	3,232	2,736	5,968	3,285	2,757	6,042	3,335	2,778	6,112	3,382	2,798	6,180	3,427	2,817
18	4,596	4,609	9,205	4,624	4,620	9,244	4,648	4,628	9,276	4,667	4,636	9,305	4,682	4,647
19-20	2,826	2,429	5,255	2,849	2,439	5,288	2,869	2,449	5,317	2,886	2,458	5,344	2,900	2,465
21	1,675	3,142	4,818	1,685	3,156	4,840	1,692	3,167	4,859	1,698	3,176	4,875	1,703	3,183
22	65	104	170	66	105	170	66	105	170	66	105	171	66	105
Subtotal	**17,856**	**19,458**	**37,314**	**18,007**	**19,534**	**37,541**	**18,142**	**19,600**	**37,742**	**18,260**	**19,664**	**37,924**	**18,361**	**19,718**
TOTAL	**40,439**	**35,362**	**75,801**	**40,816**	**35,518**	**76,334**	**41,157**	**35,659**	**76,816**	**41,461**	**35,802**	**77,263**	**41,726**	**35,929**
Village of Islamorada	7,830	8,719	16,549	7,897	8,735	16,632	7,957	8,746	16,703	8,010	8,756	16,765	8,055	8,761
City of Layton	219	168	386	221	169	390	223	170	393	225	171	396	226	172
City of Key Colony B.	1,149	1,707	2,856	1,160	1,723	2,883	1,170	1,737	2,907	1,179	1,750	2,929	1,187	1,761
City of Marathon	11,441	10,231	21,673	11,480	10,238	21,718	11,508	10,241	21,749	11,524	10,247	21,771	11,529	10,250
City of Key West (p)	26,628	17,343	43,970	26,731	17,354	44,085	26,793	17,360	44,153	26,855	17,381	44,236	26,917	17,402
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**87,705**	**73,531**	**161,235**	**88,305**	**73,737**	**162,041**	**88,808**	**73,914**	**162,722**	**89,253**	**74,108**	**163,361**	**89,640**	**74,275**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department. See Footnotes for sources.

Funclional Population

PAED	2008 Functional Population	2009 Permanent Resident Population	2009 Seasonal Population	2009 Functional Population	2010 Permanent Resident Population	2010 Seasonal Population	2010 Functional Population	2011 Permanent Resident Population	2011 Seasonal Population	2011 Functional Population	2012 Permanent Resident Population	2012 Seasonal Population	2012 Functional Population	2013 Permanent Resident Population
Lower Keys														
1	6,086	4,788	1,291	6,079	4,775	1,291	6,067	4,758	1,292	6,050	4,736	1,293	6,029	4,709
2	4,730	3,433	1,300	4,732	3,431	1,300	4,731	3,426	1,300	4,726	3,417	1,300	4,717	3,405
3	4,177	2,435	1,772	4,207	2,458	1,777	4,236	2,479	1,782	4,261	2,498	1,786	4,284	2,514
4a	9,080	5,662	3,531	9,193	5,732	3,567	9,299	5,797	3,601	9,397	5,856	3,632	9,488	5,911
4b	106	79	31	110	82	33	114	85	34	118	89	35	123	91
5	8,887	5,508	3,439	8,947	5,548	3,453	9,001	5,583	3,465	9,048	5,613	3,476	9,088	5,637
6	2,322	433	1,889	2,322	431	1,891	2,321	428	1,892	2,320	424	1,894	2,319	421
Subtotal	35,388	22,339	13,253	35,592	22,468	13,312	35,770	22,555	13,368	35,922	22,632	13,415	36,047	22,687
Middle Keys														
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	1,754	775	985	1,760	778	987	1,765	780	988	1,768	781	990	1,771	782
11	2,434	396	2,041	2,437	396	2,043	2,439	396	2,044	2,440	395	2,044	2,440	394
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	4,189	1,171	3,026	4,197	1,174	3,030	4,204	1,176	3,032	4,208	1,177	3,034	4,211	1,176
Upper Keys														
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	5,090	3,243	1,882	5,125	3,264	1,893	5,156	3,281	1,901	5,182	3,295	1,909	5,204	3,306
16	6,993	2,357	4,625	6,981	2,348	4,818	6,965	2,337	4,608	6,945	2,323	4,596	6,919	2,306
17	6,245	3,470	2,836	6,305	3,509	2,853	6,362	3,545	2,869	6,414	3,578	2,883	6,461	3,608
18	9,328	4,692	4,653	9,345	4,697	4,858	9,355	4,697	4,861	9,359	4,693	4,683	9,358	4,685
19-20	5,366	2,912	2,472	5,384	2,921	2,477	5,398	2,927	2,481	5,408	2,930	2,484	5,413	2,930
21	4,886	1,705	3,188	4,893	1,706	3,190	4,896	1,705	3,189	4,895	1,703	3,187	4,890	1,699
22	171	66	105	171	66	105	171	66	105	171	65	105	171	65
Subtotal	38,078	18,444	19,761	38,205	18,510	19,793	38,303	18,558	19,815	38,373	18,588	19,826	38,414	18,600
TOTAL	77,656	41,954	36,040	77,994	42,142	36,135	78,277	42,290	36,213	78,503	42,397	36,275	78,672	42,464
Village of Islamorada	16,816	8,092	8,762	16,853	8,121	8,758	16,878	8,142	8,749	16,891	8,155	8,735	16,890	8,180
City of Layton	399	228	173	401	229	174	403	230	174	405	231	175	406	232
City of Key Colony B.	2,948	1,194	1,771	2,965	1,200	1,779	2,978	1,204	1,785	2,989	1,207	1,790	2,997	1,210
City of Marathon	21,778	11,522	10,249	21,770	11,503	10,244	21,747	11,473	10,236	21,709	11,432	10,224	21,656	11,379
City of Key West (p)	44,319	28,979	17,423	44,402	27,041	17,444	44,485	27,103	17,465	44,568	27,165	17,486	44,651	27,227
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	163,916	89,968	74,417	164,385	90,236	74,533	164,769	90,442	74,622	165,064	90,588	74,685	165,272	90,671

38378

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department. See Footnotes for sources.

PAED	2013 Seasonal Population	2013 Functional Population	2014 Permanent Resident Population	2014 Seasonal Population	2014 Functional Population	2015 Permanent Resident Population	2015 Seasonal Population	2015 Functional Population
Lower Keys								
1	1,293	6,002	4,678	1,293	5,971	4,642	1,293	5,936
2	1,299	4,704	3,390	1,298	4,688	3,371	1,296	4,667
3	1,790	4,304	2,528	1,793	4,322	2,540	1,797	4,336
4a	3,660	9,571	5,960	3,686	9,645	6,003	3,709	9,712
4b	36	127	94	37	131	96	38	135
5	3,484	9,121	5,655	3,492	9,147	5,668	3,497	9,166
6	1,896	2,317	416	1,898	2,315	412	1,900	2,312
Subtotal	13,459	36,146	22,721	13,497	36,218	22,733	13,530	36,263
Middle Keys								
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	990	1,773	782	991	1,773	781	992	1,773
11	2,044	2,438	393	2,043	2,436	391	2,042	2,433
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	3,035	4,211	1,175	3,034	4,209	1,172	3,034	4,206
Upper Keys								
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,914	5,221	3,314	1,918	5,232	3,318	1,921	5,239
16	4,581	6,889	2,280	4,564	6,854	2,270	4,545	6,815
17	2,897	6,504	3,634	2,909	6,543	3,657	2,919	6,576
18	4,662	9,347	4,671	4,660	9,331	4,653	4,657	9,310
19-20	2,485	5,414	2,927	2,484	5,411	2,921	2,483	5,404
21	3,181	4,880	1,693	3,173	4,866	1,685	3,163	4,849
22	105	171	65	106	170	64	106	170
Subtotal	19,826	38,426	18,694	19,815	38,408	18,569	19,793	38,362
TOTAL	**36,319**	**78,783**	**42,489**	**36,347**	**78,836**	**42,474**	**36,357**	**78,831**
Village of Islamorada	8,717	16,877	8,157	8,694	16,851	8,145	8,667	16,812
City of Layton	175	407	232	175	407	232	175	408
City of Key Colony B.	1,793	3,003	1,211	1,794	3,005	1,210	1,794	3,004
City of Marathon	10,210	21,589	11,318	10,191	21,507	11,241	10,170	21,411
City of Key West (p)	17,507	44,734	27,289	17,528	44,817	27,351	17,549	44,900
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**74,720**	**165,392**	**90,693**	**74,730**	**165,423**	**90,654**	**74,712**	**165,366**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department. See Footnotes for sources.

Appendix 4

Summary Table of Permanent Resident Population Figures by PAED from 1990 to 2015

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
PAED	1990 Census Tract (s)	1990 Census Block Groups	April 1, 1990 STF1A Total Population	April 1, 1990 STF1A Total Housing Units	April 1, 1990 STF 1A Total Occupied Housing Units	April 1, 1990 STF 1A Total Owner-Occupied Housing Units	April 1, 1990 STF 1A Total Renter-Occupied Housing Units	April 1, 1990 STF 1A Number of Vacant Housing Units	April 1, 1990 Housing Unit Percent Vacant (9 / 5)	April 1, 1990 STF 1A Total Number of Seasonal Units	April 1, 1990 Percent of Total Units Used as Seasonal (11 / 5)	Persons per Total Housing Units (l) (4 / 5)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 4/2/90 - 12/31/90 Based on # of CO's Issued
Lower Keys													
1	9718 & 9719 pt.	N/A	4,539	1,944	1,778	1,137	641	166	8.5%	43	2.2%	2.33	9
2	9717	N/A	3,108	1,352	1,098	686	412	254	18.8%	142	10.5%	2.30	14
3	9716	N/A	1,786	869	728	553	175	141	16.2%	86	9.9%	2.06	28
4a	9715	N/A	3,952	2,723	1,784	1,408	376	939	34.5%	654	24.0%	1.45	84
4b	9714 pt.	BG 4 (a)	31	27	15	13	2	12	44.4%	4	14.8%	1.15	5
5	9714 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4,5 (a)	4,206	2,453	1,799	1,385	414	654	26.7%	402	16.4%	1.71	85
6	9714 pt. & 9713 pt.	9714 BG 1 (b)	440	33	31	30	1	2	6.1%	0	0.0%	13.33	0
Subtotal	-	-	18,062	9,401	7,233	5,212	2,021	2,168	23.1%	1,331	14.2%	1.92	225
Middle Keys													
7	9713, 9712, & 9711	N/A	8,864	5,212	3,955	2,590	1,365	1,257	24.1%	777	14.9%	1.70	40
8	9710 pt.	BG 3 (c)	697	575	330	392	183	245	42.6%	197	34.3%	1.21	8
9	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	1,086	665	462	519	146	203	30.5%	90	13.5%	1.63	9
10	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	629	491	341	383	108	150	30.5%	66	13.4%	1.28	19
11	9710 pt.	BG 1(e)	358	412	185	324	88	227	55.1%	176	42.7%	0.86	1
12a	9709 pt.	BG 5	956	855	458	355	103	397	46.4%	292	34.2%	1.12	18
12b	9709 pt.	BG 1,2 (f)	140	130	81	58	23	49	37.7%	38	29.2%	1.08	0
13	9709 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4 (f)	1,220	966	646	389	257	320	33.1%	220	22.8%	1.26	5
Subtotal	-	-	13,948	9,306	6,458	5,010	2,273	2,848	30.6%	1,856	19.9%	1.50	100
Upper Keys													
14	9708	N/A	4,405	2,961	1,937	1,457	480	1,024	34.6%	777	26.2%	1.49	73
15	9707	N/A	2,433	1,623	1,109	726	383	514	31.7%	383	23.6%	1.50	118
16	9708	N/A	2,287	1,987	1,061	800	281	926	46.6%	793	39.9%	1.15	3
17	9705	N/A	2,465	1,565	1,077	714	363	488	31.2%	319	20.4%	1.58	50
18	9704	N/A	4,127	2,440	1,737	1,313	424	703	28.8%	489	20.0%	1.69	21
19-20 (g)	9703	N/A	2,457	1,602	1,070	814	256	532	33.2%	371	23.2%	1.53	24
21	9702.98 pt.	BG 1,2,3 (h)	1,505	1,597	672	570	110	925	57.9%	706	44.2%	0.94	26
22	9701 pt.& 9702.98 pt.	BG 1 (h)	61	36	36	23	5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.69	0
Subtotal	-	-	19,740	13,811	8,699	6,417	2,282	5,112	37.0%	3,838	27.8%	1.43	315
UNINC. TOTAL	-	-	51,750	32,518	22,390	16,639	6,576	10,128	31.1%	7,025	21.6%	1.59	640
Village of Islamorada	9709	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	9710	BG 1	183	145	77	48	29	68	46.9%	28	19.3%	1.26	2
City of Key Colony B.	9710	BG 3	977	1,152	518	318	137	634	55.0%	441	38.3%	0.85	14
City of Marathon	9710-9713	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	9719-9726	N/A	24,832	12,221	10,424	4,384	6,040	1,797	14.7%	440	3.6%	2.03	96
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	-	-	77,742	46,036	33,409	21,389	12,782	12,627	27.4%	7,934	17.2%	1.69	752

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Certificate of Occupancy Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments; Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

Permanent Residents

1	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
PAED	December 31, 1990 Total Housing Units (5 + 14)	December 31, 1990 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 15)	DECEMBER 31, 1990 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 15)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/91 - 12/31/91 Based on # of CO's Issued	December 31, 1991 Total Housing Units (15 + 18 (	December 31, 1991 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 19 (	DECEMBER 31, 1991 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 19)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/92 - 12/31/92 Based on # of CO's Issued	December 31, 1992 Total Housing Units (19 + 22)	December 31, 1992 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 23)	DECEMBER 31, 1992 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 23)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/93 - 12/31/93 Based on # of CO's Issued
Lower Keys												
1	1,953	43	4,560	9	1,962	43	4,581	7	1,969	44	4,597	3
2	1,366	143	3,140	17	1,363	145	3,179	7	1,390	146	3,195	5
3	897	89	1,844	16	913	90	1,876	19	932	92	1,915	24
4a	2,807	674	4,074	93	2,900	697	4,209	67	2,967	713	4,306	72
4b	32	5	37	4	36	5	41	2	38	6	44	3
5	2,536	416	4,352	76	2,614	428	4,482	50	2,684	437	4,568	74
6	33	0	440	0	33	0	440	0	33	0	440	0
Subtotal	9,626	1,370	18,446	215	9,841	1,409	18,809	152	9,993	1,437	19,066	181
Middle Keys												
7	5,252	783	8,932	29	5,281	787	8,981	35	5,316	793	9,041	23
8	583	200	707	6	589	202	714	4	593	203	719	5
9	674	91	1,101	8	682	92	1,114	3	685	93	1,119	10
10	510	69	653	8	518	70	664	8	526	71	674	9
11	413	176	357	0	413	176	357	1	414	177	358	1
12a	873	298	976	26	899	307	1,006	32	931	318	1,041	12
12b	130	38	140	9	139	41	150	0	139	41	150	0
13	971	221	1,226	12	983	224	1,241	7	990	225	1,250	13
Subtotal	9,406	1,876	14,092	98	9,504	1,899	14,226	90	9,594	1,920	14,351	73
Upper Keys												
14	3,034	796	4,514	91	3,125	820	4,649	61	3,186	838	4,740	43
15	1,741	411	2,610	69	1,810	427	2,713	17	1,827	431	2,739	58
16	1,990	794	2,290	6	1,996	797	2,297	6	2,002	799	2,304	5
17	1,615	329	2,544	45	1,660	338	2,615	42	1,702	347	2,681	80
18	2,461	493	4,163	26	2,487	498	4,206	16	2,503	502	4,234	76
19-20 (g)	1,626	377	2,494	53	1,679	389	2,675	33	1,712	396	2,626	26
21	1,623	717	1,530	14	1,637	724	1,543	11	1,648	729	1,553	10
22	36	0	61	0	36	0	61	0	36	0	61	1
Subtotal	14,126	3,918	20,205	304	14,430	3,993	20,660	186	14,616	4,040	20,937	299
UNINC. TOTAL	33,158	7,164	52,743	617	33,775	7,301	53,694	428	34,203	7,396	54,354	553
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	147	28	186	3	150	29	189	1	151	29	191	1
City of Key Colony B.	1,166	446	989	5	1,171	448	993	15	1,186	454	1,006	20
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	12,317	443	24,938	96	12,413	447	25,043	96	12,509	450	25,149	96
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	46,788	8,082	78,855	721	47,509	8,225	79,920	540	48,049	8,330	80,699	670

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Certificate of Occupancy Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments, Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

Permenent Residents

1	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38
PAED	December 31, 1993 Total Housing Units (23 + 26)	December 31, 1993 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 27)	DECEMBER 31, 1993 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 27)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/94 - 12/31/94 Based on # of CO's Issued	December 31, 1994 Total Housing Units (27 + 30)	December 31, 1994 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 31)	DECEMBER 31, 1994 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 31)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/95 - 12/31/95 Based on # of CO's Issued	December 31, 1995 Total Housing Units (31 + 34)	December 31, 1995 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 35)	DECEMBER 31, 1995 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 35)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/96 - 12/31/96 Based on # of CO's Issued
Lower Keys												
1	1,972	44	4,604	7	1,979	44	4,621	3	1,982	44	4,628	13
2	1,395	147	3,207	15	1,410	148	3,241	6	1,416	149	3,255	11
3	956	95	1,965	20	976	97	2,006	16	992	96	2,039	20
4a	3,039	730	4,411	64	3,103	745	4,604	75	3,178	763	4,612	70
4b	41	6	47	1	42	6	48	1	43	6	49	1
5	2,738	449	4,695	41	2,779	455	4,765	37	2,816	461	4,828	47
6	33	0	440	0	33	0	440	0	33	0	440	0
Subtotal	**10,174**	**1,468**	**19,368**	**148**	**10,322**	**1,495**	**19,625**	**138**	**10,460**	**1,622**	**19,852**	**162**
Middle Keys												
7	5,339	796	9,080	21	5,360	799	8,116	29	5,389	803	9,165	18
8	598	205	726	5	603	207	731	5	608	208	737	5
9	695	94	1,135	3	698	94	1,140	5	703	95	1,148	7
10	535	72	685	9	544	73	697	2	546	73	699	1
11	415	177	359	0	415	177	359	0	415	177	359	16
12a	943	322	1,054	12	955	326	1,068	16	971	332	1,086	10
12b	139	41	160	0	139	41	150	2	141	41	152	0
13	1,003	228	1,267	1	1,004	229	1,268	4	1,008	230	1,273	4
Subtotal	**9,667**	**1,936**	**14,455**	**51**	**9,718**	**1,946**	**14,528**	**63**	**9,781**	**1,960**	**14,619**	**61**
Upper Keys												
14	3,229	847	4,804	35	3,264	857	4,866	33	3,297	865	4,905	24
15	1,885	445	2,826	58	1,943	459	2,913	8	1,951	460	2,925	15
16	2,007	801	2,310	11	2,018	805	2,323	7	2,025	808	2,331	6
17	1,782	363	2,807	36	1,818	371	2,863	33	1,851	377	2,915	32
18	2,579	517	4,362	11	2,590	519	4,381	16	2,606	522	4,408	14
19-20 (g)	1,738	402	2,666	8	1,746	404	2,678	10	1,756	407	2,693	13
21	1,658	733	1,562	3	1,661	734	1,565	7	1,668	737	1,572	16
22	37	0	63	0	37	0	63	0	37	0	63	0
Subtotal	**14,915**	**4,109**	**21,399**	**162**	**15,077**	**4,149**	**21,641**	**114**	**15,191**	**4,177**	**21,811**	**120**
UNINC. TOTAL	**34,756**	**7,513**	**55,222**	**361**	**35,117**	**7,590**	**55,793**	**315**	**35,432**	**7,659**	**56,282**	**343**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	152	29	192	2	154	30	194	2	156	30	197	1
City of Key Colony B.	1,206	462	1,023	8	1,214	465	1,030	18	1,232	472	1,045	6
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	12,605	454	25,255	96	12,701	457	25,360	96	12,797	461	25,466	96
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**48,719**	**8,458**	**81,691**	**467**	**49,186**	**8,542**	**82,378**	**431**	**49,617**	**8,622**	**82,990**	**446**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Certificate of Occupancy Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments; Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

Permanent Residents

1	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
PAED	December 31, 1996 Total Housing Units (35 + 38)	December 31, 1996 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 39)	DECEMBER 31, 1996 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 39)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/97 - 12/31/97 Based on # of CO's Issued	December 31, 1997 Total Housing Units (39 + 42)	December 31, 1997 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 43)	DECEMBER 31, 1997 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 43)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/98 - 12/31/98 Based on # of CO's Issued	December 31, 1998 Total Housing Units (43 + 46)	December 31, 1998 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 47)	DECEMBER 31, 1998 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (j) (13 x 47)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/99 - 12/31/99 Based on # of CO's Issued (k)
Lower Keys												
1	1,995	44	4,658	11	2,006	44	4,684	10	2,016	45	4,707	5
2	1,427	150	3,280	7	1,434	151	3,297	7	1,441	151	3,313	3
3	1,012	100	2,080	12	1,024	101	2,105	14	1,038	103	2,133	13
4a	3,248	780	4,714	62	3,310	795	4,804	47	3,357	806	4,872	30
4b	44	7	51	4	48	7	55	1	49	7	56	0
5	2,863	469	4,909	16	2,879	472	4,936	16	2,895	474	4,964	6
6	33	0	440	0	33	0	440	0	33	0	440	0
Subtotal	10,622	1,550	20,132	112	10,734	1,570	20,320	95	10,829	1,567	20,485	57
Middle Keys												
7	5,407	806	9,196	22	5,429	809	9,233	16	5,445	812	9,260	14
8	613	210	743	4	617	211	748	3	620	212	752	3
9	710	96	1,159	6	716	97	1,169	2	718	97	1,173	1
10	547	74	701	4	551	74	706	2	553	74	708	2
11	431	184	372	5	436	186	377	0	436	186	377	0
12a	981	335	1,097	6	987	337	1,104	-	-	-	-	-
12b	141	41	152	1	142	42	153	-	-	-	-	-
13	1,012	230	1,278	1	1,013	231	1,279	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	9,842	1,977	14,698	49	9,891	1,987	14,769	23	7,772	1,382	12,270	20
Upper Keys												
14	3,321	871	4,941	45	3,366	883	5,008	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,966	464	2,947	3	1,969	465	2,952	6	1,975	466	2,961	5
16	2,031	811	2,338	3	2,034	812	2,341	4	2,038	813	2,346	3
17	1,883	384	2,966	24	1,907	389	3,004	29	1,936	395	3,049	16
18	2,620	525	4,431	18	2,638	529	4,462	13	2,651	531	4,484	10
19-20 (g)	1,769	410	2,713	9	1,778	412	2,727	7	1,785	413	2,738	9
21	1,684	744	1,587	10	1,694	749	1,596	24	1,718	759	1,619	24
22	37	0	63	1	38	0	64	0	38	0	64	0
Subtotal	15,311	4,209	21,986	113	15,424	4,238	22,154	83	12,141	3,378	17,261	87
UNINC. TOTAL	**35,775**	**7,736**	**56,816**	**274**	**36,049**	**7,795**	**57,243**	**201**	**30,742**	**6,347**	**50,016**	**144**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34	5,542	1,497	7,590	30
City of Layton	157	30	198	2	159	31	201	2	161	31	203	2
City of Key Colony B.	1,238	474	1,050	10	1,248	478	1,058	18	1,266	485	1,074	18
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	12,893	464	25,572	96	12,989	468	25,677	96	13,085	471	25,783	81
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**50,063**	**8,704**	**83,635**	**382**	**50,445**	**8,771**	**84,179**	**351**	**50,796**	**8,831**	**84,665**	**275**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments; Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

Permanent Residents

1	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62
PAED	December 31, 1999 Total Housing Units (47 + 50)	December 31, 1999 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 61)	DECEMBER 31, 1999 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (k) (13 x 61)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Built from 4/2/90 to 12/31/99 (14+18+22+26+30+34+38+42+46+50)	Historical Percentage of Regional Total of Dwelling Units Built (54 / Subtotal)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/00 - 12/31/00 Based on # of Permits Available (l)	December 31, 2000 Total Housing Units (51 + 56)	December 31, 2000 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 57)	DECEMBER 31, 2000 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (k) (13 x 57)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/01 - 12/31/01 Based on # of Permits Available (l)	December 31, 2001 Total Housing Units (57 + 60)	December 31, 2001 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 x 61)
Lower Keys												
1	2,021	45	4,719	77	5.2%	5	2,026	45	4,730	5	2,031	45
2	1,444	152	3,319	92	6.2%	6	1,450	152	3,333	6	1,455	153
3	1,051	104	2,160	182	12.3%	11	1,062	105	2,183	11	1,074	106
4a	3,387	813	4,916	664	44.7%	41	3,428	823	4,975	41	3,469	833
4b	49	7	56	22	1.5%	1	50	7	58	1	52	8
5	2,901	475	4,974	448	30.2%	28	2,929	480	5,022	28	2,957	485
8	33	0	440	0	0.0%	0	33	0	440	0	33	0
Subtotal	**10,886**	**1,597**	**20,584**	**1,485**	**100.0%**	**92**	**10,978**	**1,613**	**20,741**	**92**	**11,070**	**1,629**
Middle Keys												
7	5,459	814	9,284	247	56.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	623	213	755	48	11.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	719	97	1,174	54	12.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	555	75	711	64	14.6%	6	561	75	719	6	567	76
11	438	186	377	24	5.5%	3	439	188	379	3	442	189
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**7,792**	**1,385**	**12,301**	**437**	**100.0%**	**9**	**1,000**	**263**	**1,098**	**9**	**1,009**	**265**
Upper Keys												
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,980	467	2,968	357	26.3%	15	1,995	471	2,991	15	2,010	474
16	2,041	815	2,349	54	4.0%	2	2,043	815	2,352	2	2,046	816
17	1,952	398	3,075	387	28.5%	16	1,968	401	3,100	16	1,984	405
18	2,881	533	4,501	221	16.3%	9	2,670	535	4,516	9	2,680	537
19-20 (g)	1,794	415	2,751	192	14.1%	8	1,802	417	2,764	8	1,810	419
21	1,742	770	1,642	145	10.7%	8	1,748	773	1,647	6	1,754	775
22	38	0	64	2	0.1%	0	38	0	65	0	38	0
Subtotal	**12,208**	**3,399**	**17,350**	**1,358**	**100.0%**	**57**	**12,265**	**3,413**	**17,435**	**57**	**12,322**	**3,427**
UNINC. TOTAL	**30,886**	**6,381**	**50,236**	**3,280**	**100.0%**	**158**	**24,243**	**5,289**	**39,273**	**158**	**24,401**	**5,321**
Village of Islamorada	5,580	1,507	7,641	668	100.0%	22	5,602	1,513	7,665	22	5,624	1,519
City of Layton	163	31	206	18	100.0%	2	165	32	208	2	167	32
City of Key Colony B.	1,284	492	1,089	132	100.0%	14	1,298	497	1,101	14	1,312	502
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	24	6,831	1,127	11,272	24	6,855	1,130
City of Key West (p)	13,168	474	25,942	945	100.0%	81	13,247	477	26,102	81	13,328	480
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**51,079**	**8,885**	**85,114**	**5,043**	**100.0%**	**301**	**51,386**	**8,934**	**85,622**	**301**	**51,687**	**8,986**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Certificate of Occupancy Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments; Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

Permanent Residents

1	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73
PAED	DECEMBER 31, 2001 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (k) (13 x 61)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/02 - 12/31/02 Based on # of Permits Available (l)	December 31, 2002 Total Housing Units (61 + 64)	December 31, 2002 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 a 65)	DECEMBER 31, 2002 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (k) (13 x 65)	Total Number of Dwelling Units Completed 1/1/03 - 12/31/03 Based on # of Permits Available (l)	December 31, 2003 Total Housing Units (65 + 68)	December 31, 2003 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (12 a 69)	DECEMBER 31, 2003 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (k) (13 x 69)	% Increase in Population from 12/31/90 to 12/31/91 ((21 - 17) / 17)	% Increase in Population from 12/31/91 to 12/31/92 ((25 - 21) / 21)
Lower Keys											
1	4,741	5	2,035	45	4,752	5	2,040	45	4,763	0.46%	0.36%
2	3,346	6	1,461	153	3,359	6	1,467	154	3,372	1.24%	0.51%
3	2,206	11	1,085	107	2,230	11	1,096	108	2,253	1.78%	2.08%
4a	5,035	41	3,510	843	5,095	41	3,552	853	5,155	3.31%	2.31%
4b	59	1	53	8	61	1	54	8	63	12.50%	5.56%
5	5,069	26	2,984	489	5,117	28	3,012	494	5,165	2.99%	1.91%
6	440	0	33	0	440	0	33	0	440	0.00%	0.00%
Subtotal	20,897	92	11,162	1,646	21,053	92	11,254	1,662	21,210	1.97%	1.37%
Middle Keys											
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.55%	0.66%
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.03%	0.88%
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.19%	0.44%
10	725	6	573	77	734	6	579	78	742	1.57%	1.54%
11	382	3	445	190	385	3	448	191	387	0.00%	0.24%
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.98%	3.56%
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.92%	0.00%
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.24%	0.71%
Subtotal	1,108	9	1,018	267	1,119	9	1,027	269	1,129	0.95%	0.88%
Upper Keys											
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.00%	1.95%
15	3,013	15	2,025	478	3,036	15	2,040	481	3,058	3.96%	0.94%
16	2,354	2	2,048	817	2,357	2	2,050	818	2,360	0.30%	0.30%
17	3,126	16	2,001	408	3,161	16	2,017	411	3,177	2.79%	2.53%
18	4,532	9	2,689	539	4,548	9	2,698	541	4,564	1.06%	0.64%
19-20 (g)	2,776	8	1,818	421	2,789	8	1,826	423	2,801	3.28%	1.97%
21	1,653	6	1,760	778	1,659	6	1,768	781	1,665	0.86%	0.87%
22	65	0	38	0	65	0	38	0	65	0.00%	0.00%
Subtotal	17,519	57	12,379	3,441	17,804	57	12,435	3,455	17,689	2.25%	1.34%
UNINC. TOTAL	39,525	158	24,559	5,354	39,776	158	24,717	5,387	40,027	1.80%	1.23%
Village of Islamorada	7,695	22	5,646	1,525	7,725	22	5,668	1,531	7,755	-	-
City of Layton	211	2	169	33	213	2	171	33	216	2.04%	0.67%
City of Key Colony B.	1,113	14	1,326	508	1,125	14	1,340	513	1,136	0.43%	1.28%
City of Marathon	11,312	24	6,879	1,134	11,352	24	6,903	1,138	11,391	-	-
City of Key West (p)	26,261	81	13,409	483	26,421	0	13,409	483	26,624	0.42%	0.42%
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	86,117	301	51,988	9,037	86,612	220	52,208	9,085	87,050	1.35%	0.97%

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Certificate of Occupancy Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments; Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

1	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84
PAED	% Increase in Population from 12/31/92 to 12/31/93 [(29 - 25) / 35]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/93 to 12/31/94 [(33 - 29) / 29]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/94 to 12/31/95 [(37 - 33) / 33]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/95 to 12/31/96 [(41 - 37) / 37]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/96 to 12/31/97 [(45 - 41) / 41]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/97 to 12/31/98 [(49 - 45) / 45]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/98 to 12/31/99 (m) [(53 - 49) / 49]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/99 to 12/31/00 [(58 - 53) / 53]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/00 to 12/31/01 [(63 - 58) / 58]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/01 to 12/31/02 [(67 - 43) / 43]	% Increase in Population from 12/31/02 to 12/31/03 [(71 - 47) / 67]
Lower Keys											
1	0.15%	0.35%	0.15%	0.66%	0.55%	0.50%	0.25%	0.24%	0.24%	0.23%	0.23%
2	0.36%	1.08%	0.43%	0.78%	0.49%	0.49%	0.21%	0.39%	0.39%	0.39%	0.39%
3	2.58%	2.09%	1.64%	2.02%	1.19%	1.37%	1.25%	1.07%	1.06%	1.05%	1.04%
4a	2.43%	2.11%	2.42%	2.20%	1.91%	1.42%	0.89%	1.21%	1.20%	1.19%	1.17%
4b	7.89%	2.44%	2.38%	2.33%	9.09%	2.08%	0.00%	2.78%	2.71%	2.63%	2.57%
5	2.78%	1.50%	1.33%	1.67%	0.56%	0.56%	0.21%	0.96%	0.95%	0.94%	0.93%
6	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Subtotal	**1.59%**	**1.32%**	**1.16%**	**1.41%**	**0.94%**	**0.81%**	**0.48%**	**0.76%**	**0.75%**	**0.75%**	**0.74%**
Middle Keys											
7	0.43%	0.39%	0.54%	0.33%	0.41%	0.29%	0.26%	-	-	-	-
8	0.84%	0.84%	0.83%	0.82%	0.65%	0.49%	0.48%	-	-	-	-
9	1.46%	0.43%	0.72%	1.00%	0.85%	0.28%	0.14%	-	-	-	-
10	1.71%	1.68%	0.37%	0.18%	0.73%	0.36%	0.36%	1.08%	1.07%	1.06%	1.05%
11	0.24%	0.00%	0.00%	3.86%	1.16%	0.00%	0.00%	0.69%	0.68%	0.66%	0.67%
12a	1.29%	1.27%	1.68%	1.03%	0.61%	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	0.00%	0.00%	1.44%	0.00%	0.71%	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	1.31%	0.10%	0.40%	0.40%	0.10%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**0.72%**	**0.50%**	**0.63%**	**0.54%**	**0.48%**	**0.30%**	**0.26%**	**0.92%**	**0.94%**	**0.93%**	**0.92%**
Upper Keys											
14	1.35%	1.08%	1.01%	0.73%	1.36%	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	3.17%	3.08%	0.41%	0.77%	0.15%	0.30%	0.25%	0.76%	0.75%	0.75%	0.74%
16	0.25%	0.55%	0.35%	0.30%	0.15%	0.20%	0.15%	0.11%	0.11%	0.11%	0.11%
17	4.70%	2.02%	1.82%	1.73%	1.27%	1.52%	0.83%	0.83%	0.83%	0.82%	0.81%
18	3.04%	0.43%	0.62%	0.54%	0.69%	0.49%	0.38%	0.35%	0.35%	0.35%	0.34%
19-20 (g)	1.52%	0.46%	0.57%	0.74%	0.51%	0.39%	0.50%	0.45%	0.45%	0.45%	0.44%
21	0.61%	0.18%	0.42%	0.96%	0.59%	1.42%	1.40%	0.35%	0.35%	0.35%	0.35%
22	2.78%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2.70%	0.00%	0.00%	0.22%	0.22%	0.22%	0.22%
Subtotal	**2.21%**	**1.13%**	**0.79%**	**0.80%**	**0.76%**	**0.67%**	**0.52%**	**0.10%**	**0.49%**	**0.48%**	**0.48%**
UNINC. TOTAL	**1.60%**	**1.03%**	**0.88%**	**0.95%**	**0.75%**	**0.64%**	**0.44%**	**0.64%**	**0.64%**	**0.64%**	**0.63%**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	0.61%	0.67%	0.31%	0.39%	0.39%	0.39%
City of Layton	0.66%	1.32%	1.30%	0.64%	1.27%	1.26%	1.24%	1.23%	1.21%	1.20%	1.16%
City of Key Colony B.	1.69%	0.66%	1.48%	0.49%	0.81%	1.44%	1.42%	1.09%	1.08%	1.07%	1.06%
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.44%	0.44%	0.44%	0.44%
City of Key West (p)	0.42%	0.42%	0.42%	0.41%	0.41%	0.41%	0.62%	0.61%	0.61%	0.61%	0.39%
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**1.23%**	**0.84%**	**0.74%**	**0.78%**	**0.65%**	**0.58%**	**0.53%**	**0.60%**	**0.58%**	**0.57%**	**0.51%**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Certificate of Occupancy Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments; Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

Permanent Residents

1	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94
PAED	Mean Averxge Percent Increase from 12/31/90 to 12/31/03 [(72+73+74+75+76+77+ 78+79+80+81+82+83 84) / # of Observations]	DECEMBER 31, 2004 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (n) [71 + [71 x 85]]	DECEMBER 31, 2005 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [86+[86x(85-0.10%)]	DECEMBER 31, 2006 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [87+[87x(85-0.20%)]	DECEMBER 31, 2007 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [88+[88x(85-0.30%)]	DECEMBER 31, 2008 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [89+[89x(85-0.40%)]	DECEMBER 31, 2009 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [90+[90x(85-0.50%)]	DECEMBER 31, 2010 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [91+[91x(85-0.60%)]	DECEMBER 31, 2011 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [92+[92x(85-0.70%)]	DECEMBER 31, 2012 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [93+[93x(85-0.80%)]
Lower Keys										
1	0.34%	4,779	4,791	4,797	4,799	4,796	4,788	4,775	4,758	4,738
2	0.55%	3,390	3,406	3,418	3,426	3,431	3,433	3,431	3,426	3,417
3	1.56%	2,288	2,321	2,353	2,382	2,410	2,435	2,458	2,479	2,498
4a	1.83%	5,249	5,339	5,426	5,509	5,588	5,662	5,732	5,797	5,856
4b	4.23%	65	68	71	73	76	79	82	85	88
5	1.33%	5,233	5,297	5,357	5,412	5,463	5,508	5,548	5,583	5,613
6	0.00%	440	440	439	437	436	433	431	428	424
Subtotal	**1.08%**	**21,445**	**21,662**	**21,860**	**22,040**	**22,199**	**22,339**	**22,458**	**22,555**	**22,632**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	0.98%	749	756	762	767	771	775	778	780	781
11	0.63%	390	392	393	395	396	396	396	396	395
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**0.69%**	**1,139**	**1,147**	**1,155**	**1,161**	**1,167**	**1,171**	**1,174**	**1,176**	**1,177**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1.23%	3,096	3,131	3,163	3,193	3,219	3,243	3,264	3,281	3,295
16	0.23%	2,365	2,368	2,369	2,367	2,363	2,357	2,348	2,337	2,323
17	1.73%	3,232	3,285	3,335	3,382	3,427	3,470	3,509	3,545	3,578
18	0.71%	4,596	4,624	4,648	4,667	4,682	4,692	4,697	4,697	4,693
19-20 (g)	0.90%	2,826	2,849	2,869	2,886	2,900	2,912	2,921	2,927	2,930
21	0.65%	1,675	1,686	1,692	1,698	1,703	1,705	1,706	1,705	1,703
22	0.49%	65	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	65
Subtotal	**0.92%**	**17,858**	**18,007**	**18,142**	**18,260**	**18,361**	**18,444**	**18,510**	**18,558**	**18,588**
UNINC. TOTAL	**0.91%**	**40,439**	**40,816**	**41,157**	**41,461**	**41,726**	**41,954**	**42,142**	**42,290**	**42,397**
Village of Islamorada	0.96%	7,830	7,897	7,957	8,010	8,055	8,092	8,121	8,142	8,155
City of Layton	1.17%	218	221	223	225	226	228	229	230	231
City of Key Colony B.	1.08%	1,149	1,160	1,170	1,179	1,187	1,194	1,200	1,204	1,207
City of Marathon	0.44%	11,441	11,480	11,508	11,524	11,529	11,522	11,503	11,473	11,432
City of Key West (p)	0.48%	26,628	26,731	26,793	26,855	26,917	26,979	27,041	27,103	27,165
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**0.76%**	**87,705**	**88,305**	**88,808**	**89,253**	**89,640**	**89,968**	**90,236**	**90,442**	**90,588**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Certificate of Occupancy Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments; Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

1	95	96	97
PAEO	DECEMBER 31, 2013 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [94+[94x(65-0.90%)]]	DECEMBER 31, 2014 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [95+[95x(65-1.0%)]]	DECEMBER 31, 2015 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION (o) [96+[96x(65-1.1%)]]
Lower Keys			
1	4,709	4,678	4,642
2	3,405	3,390	3,371
3	2,514	2,528	2,540
4a	5,911	5,960	6,003
4b	91	94	96
5	5,637	5,655	5,668
6	421	416	412
Subtotal	22,687	22,721	22,733
Middle Keys			
7	-	-	-
8	-	-	-
9	-	-	-
10	782	782	781
11	394	393	391
12a	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-
13	-	-	-
Subtotal	1,176	1,175	1,172
Upper Keys			
14	-	-	-
15	3,306	3,314	3,318
16	2,308	2,290	2,270
17	3,608	3,634	3,657
18	4,685	4,671	4,653
19-20 (g)	2,930	2,927	2,921
21	1,699	1,693	1,685
22	65	65	64
Subtotal	18,600	18,594	18,569
UNINC. TOTAL	**42,464**	**42,489**	**42,474**
Village of Islamorada	8,160	8,157	8,145
City of Layton	232	232	232
City of Key Colony B.	1,210	1,211	1,210
City of Marathon	11,379	11,316	11,241
City of Key West (p)	27,227	27,289	27,351
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**90,671**	**90,693**	**90,654**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Certificate of Occupancy Data from Monroe County, Islamorada, Layton, Key Colony Beach and Key West Building Departments; Rate-of-Growth Ordinance guidelines

Appendix 5

Summary Table of Peak Number of Seasonal Residents and Visitors by PAED from 1990 to 2015

Peak Seasonal Population

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
PAED	1990 Census Tract (e)	1990 Census Block Groups	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1990	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1990	1990 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1990 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (sh)	1990 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1990 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (4+5+6+7+8)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1991	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1991
Lower Keys										
1	9718 & 9719 pt.	N/A	115	0	600	111	448	1,273	116	0
2	9717	N/A	383	86	495	149	145	1,257	388	83
3	9716	N/A	237	134	1,089	226	0	1,686	241	131
4a	9715	N/A	1,800	259	276	362	32	2,730	1,860	253
4b	9714 pt.	BG 4 (a)	13	0	0	2	0	15	14	0
5	9714 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4,5 (a)	1,111	134	1,268	390	193	3,096	1,144	131
6	9714 pt. & 9713 pt.	9714 BG 1 (b)	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0
Subtotal	-	-	**3,659**	**613**	**5,358**	**1,493**	**818**	**11,940**	**3,763**	**598**
Middle Keys										
7	9713, 9712, & 9711	N/A	2,091	1,686	1,683	846	425	6,730	2,102	1,644
8	9710 pt.	BG 3 (c)	533	865	180	245	229	2,051	539	844
9	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	244	127	608	152	0	1,130	246	124
10	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	183	110	0	45	0	338	186	107
11	9710 pt.	BG 1(e)	471	68	1,147	261	0	1,948	471	67
12a	9709 pt.	BG 5	796	247	6	162	0	1,211	820	241
12b	9709 pt.	BG 1,2 (f)	101	721	0	127	0	950	108	703
13	9709 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4 (f)	590	1,833	235	412	13	3,083	598	1,883
Subtotal	-	-	**5,009**	**5,656**	**3,858**	**2,251**	**666**	**17,441**	**5,070**	**5,613**
Upper Keys										
14	9708	N/A	2,126	242	174	394	0	2,936	2,189	236
15	9707	N/A	1,097	244	0	208	35	1,585	1,140	238
16	9706	N/A	2,121	718	1,072	606	3	4,520	2,127	701
17	9705	N/A	879	1,197	0	322	116	2,514	903	1,168
18	9704	N/A	1,317	364	1,912	557	0	4,150	1,331	355
19-20 (g)	9703	N/A	1,005	66	757	283	13	2,125	1,038	64
21	9702.98 pt.	BG 1,2,3 (h)	1,916	347	119	369	193	2,944	1,932	338
22	9701 pt. & 9702.98 pt.	BG 1 (h)	0	0	0	0	103	103	0	0
Subtotal	-	-	**10,460**	**3,179**	**4,034**	**2,739**	**464**	**20,876**	**10,661**	**3,101**
TOTAL	-	-	**19,128**	**9,448**	**13,250**	**6,483**	**1,948**	**50,258**	**19,494**	**9,312**
Village of Islamorada	9709	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	9710	BG 1	76	59	0	21	0	155	77	57
City of Key Colony B.	9710	BG 3	1,192	98	0	200	0	1,489	1,197	95
City of Marathon	9710-9713	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	9719-9726	N/A	2,139	13,188	293	2,421	551	18,591	2,153	12,864
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	-	-	**22,535**	**22,793**	**13,543**	**9,125**	**2,498**	**70,493**	**22,922**	**22,328**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
PAED	1991 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1991 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (eh)	1991 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1991 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (10 + 11 + 12 + 13 + 14	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1992	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1992	1992 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1992 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (eh)	1992 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1992 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (16 + 17 + 18 + 19 + 2	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1993
Lower Keys											
1	600	111	448	1,274	116	0	600	111	448	1,275	116
2	495	150	145	1,261	390	80	495	150	145	1,260	391
3	1,089	226	0	1,688	246	126	1,089	227	0	1,688	253
4a	276	370	32	2,791	1,903	244	276	376	32	2,830	1,949
4b	0	2	0	16	15	0	0	2	0	17	16
5	1,268	394	193	3,131	1,166	126	1,268	397	194	3,151	1,198
6	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0
Subtotal	**5,358**	**1,506**	**819**	**12,044**	**3,836**	**577**	**5,358**	**1,514**	**819**	**12,105**	**3,923**
Middle Keys											
7	1,683	842	425	6,696	2,116	1,586	1,683	835	426	6,645	2,125
8	180	242	229	2,033	542	814	180	238	229	2,003	547
9	608	152	0	1,130	248	120	608	151	0	1,128	251
10	0	45	0	339	189	103	0	45	0	338	192
11	1,147	261	0	1,946	472	64	1,147	261	0	1,944	473
12a	6	165	0	1,231	849	232	6	168	0	1,255	860
12b	0	126	0	937	108	678	0	122	0	909	108
13	235	421	13	3,149	602	1,816	235	411	13	3,077	610
Subtotal	**3,858**	**2,254**	**667**	**17,461**	**5,126**	**5,413**	**3,858**	**2,232**	**668**	**17,297**	**5,167**
Upper Keys											
14	174	403	0	3,002	2,232	228	174	408	0	3,042	2,262
15	0	214	35	1,628	1,151	230	0	214	35	1,631	1,188
16	1,072	604	3	4,507	2,133	676	1,072	602	3	4,486	2,139
17	0	321	116	2,508	926	1,126	0	318	116	2,487	970
18	1,912	558	0	4,156	1,339	343	1,912	557	0	4,151	1,380
19-20 (g)	757	288	13	2,161	1,059	191	757	311	13	2,330	1,075
21	119	370	193	2,953	1,945	326	119	371	194	2,955	1,957
22	0	0	103	103	0	0	0	0	103	103	0
Subtotal	**4,034**	**2,758**	**464**	**21,019**	**10,786**	**3,119**	**4,034**	**2,781**	**465**	**21,185**	**10,970**
TOTAL	**13,250**	**6,519**	**1,950**	**50,524**	**19,748**	**9,110**	**13,250**	**6,527**	**1,952**	**50,586**	**20,060**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	0	21	0	155	78	55	0	21	0	154	78
City of Key Colony B.	0	200	0	1,493	1,212	92	0	202	0	1,506	1,233
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	293	2,373	551	18,233	2,167	12,407	293	2,304	552	17,723	2,181
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**13,543**	**9,113**	**2,501**	**70,406**	**23,205**	**21,663**	**13,543**	**9,054**	**2,503**	**69,969**	**23,553**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

1	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
PAED	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1993	1993 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1993 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (sh)	1993 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1993 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (22 + 23 + 24 + 25 + 26	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1994	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1994	1994 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1994 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (sh)	1994 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1994 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (28 + 29 + 30 + 31 + 32
Lower Keys											
1	0	600	111	449	1,276	117	0	600	111	449	1,277
2	87	495	151	145	1,269	395	83	495	151	145	1,269
3	137	1,089	229	0	1,708	258	130	1,089	229	0	1,705
4a	264	276	386	32	2,908	1,990	250	276	390	32	2,939
4b	0	0	3	0	19	17	0	0	3	0	19
5	137	1,268	404	194	3,201	1,216	130	1,268	405	194	3,213
6	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883
Subtotal	**626**	**5,358**	**1,536**	**820**	**12,264**	**3,993**	**592**	**5,358**	**1,541**	**821**	**12,305**
Middle Keys											
7	1,722	1,683	857	426	6,813	2,134	1,628	1,683	844	427	6,714
8	883	180	250	229	2,089	552	835	180	243	230	2,038
9	130	608	153	0	1,142	252	123	608	152	0	1,135
10	112	0	47	0	351	195	106	0	47	0	348
11	100	1,147	267	0	1,986	473	94	1,147	266	0	1,980
12a	252	6	173	0	1,291	871	238	6	173	0	1,287
12b	736	0	131	0	975	108	696	0	125	0	929
13	1,971	235	436	13	3,265	611	1,863	235	420	13	3,142
Subtotal	**5,906**	**3,858**	**2,314**	**668**	**17,913**	**5,196**	**5,583**	**3,858**	**2,269**	**669**	**17,574**
Upper Keys											
14	247	174	416	0	3,099	2,287	234	174	418	0	3,112
15	250	0	223	36	1,696	1,224	236	0	226	36	1,722
16	734	1,072	611	3	4,559	2,150	693	1,072	607	3	4,526
17	1,223	0	340	116	2,649	989	1,156	0	333	116	2,594
18	664	1,912	613	0	4,569	1,386	627	1,912	608	0	4,534
19-20 (g)	207	757	316	13	2,368	1,080	196	757	315	13	2,360
21	354	119	377	194	3,001	1,961	335	119	374	194	2,982
22	0	0	0	103	103	0	0	0	0	103	103
Subtotal	**3,678**	**4,034**	**2,896**	**465**	**22,043**	**11,077**	**3,477**	**4,034**	**2,881**	**465**	**21,935**
TOTAL	**10,210**	**13,250**	**6,746**	**1,954**	**52,220**	**20,265**	**9,652**	**13,250**	**6,691**	**1,956**	**51,814**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	60	0	21	0	160	79	57	0	21	0	157
City of Key Colony B.	100	0	207	0	1,539	1,241	94	0	207	0	1,542
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	13,468	293	2,471	552	18,965	2,195	12,731	293	2,359	553	18,131
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**23,838**	**13,543**	**9,445**	**2,506**	**72,884**	**23,781**	**22,534**	**13,543**	**9,278**	**2,508**	**71,644**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43
PAED	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1995	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1995	1995 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1995 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	1995 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1995 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (34 + 35 + 36 + 37 + 38)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1996	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1996	1996 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1996 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)
Lower Keys										
1	117	0	600	111	450	1,278	118	0	600	111
2	397	81	495	151	146	1,269	400	83	495	152
3	262	127	1,089	229	0	1,706	267	130	1,089	230
4a	2,038	244	276	397	32	2,987	2,083	251	276	405
4b	17	0	0	3	0	20	17	0	0	3
5	1,232	127	1,268	407	194	3,228	1,253	130	1,268	411
6	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253
Subtotal	**4,063**	**578**	**5,358**	**1,550**	**822**	**12,371**	**4,138**	**594**	**5,358**	**1,564**
Middle Keys										
7	2,145	1,588	1,683	839	427	6,682	2,152	1,633	1,683	848
8	556	815	180	240	230	2,021	561	838	180	245
9	254	120	608	152	0	1,134	257	123	608	153
10	196	104	0	46	0	346	196	107	0	47
11	473	92	1,147	265	0	1,978	492	95	1,147	269
12a	885	232	6	174	0	1,298	895	239	6	177
12b	110	679	0	122	0	911	110	698	0	125
13	613	1,818	235	413	13	3,092	615	1,870	235	422
Subtotal	**5,233**	**5,447**	**3,858**	**2,253**	**670**	**17,461**	**5,277**	**5,602**	**3,858**	**2,284**
Upper Keys										
14	2,310	228	174	420	0	3,132	2,327	234	174	424
15	1,229	230	0	226	36	1,721	1,239	237	0	229
16	2,158	677	1,072	606	3	4,515	2,164	696	1,072	609
17	1,007	1,128	0	331	116	2,582	1,025	1,160	0	339
18	1,394	612	1,912	607	0	4,528	1,402	630	1,912	611
19-20 (g)	1,086	191	757	315	13	2,362	1,094	196	757	317
21	1,969	327	119	374	194	2,983	1,988	336	119	379
22	0	0	0	0	104	104	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**11,154**	**3,392**	**4,034**	**2,880**	**466**	**21,926**	**11,238**	**3,489**	**4,034**	**2,908**
TOTAL	**20,450**	**9,417**	**13,250**	**6,683**	**1,958**	**51,758**	**20,654**	**9,685**	**13,250**	**6,756**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	80	55	0	21	0	157	81	57	0	21
City of Key Colony B.	1,259	92	0	209	0	1,561	1,265	95	0	211
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	2,210	12,422	293	2,313	553	17,790	2,224	12,775	293	2,370
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**23,999**	**21,986**	**13,543**	**9,227**	**2,511**	**71,266**	**24,224**	**22,612**	**13,543**	**9,359**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

1	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54
PAED	1996 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1996 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (40 + 41 + 42 + 43 + 44	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1997	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1997	1997 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1997 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (eh)	1997 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1997 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (46 + 47 + 48 + 49 + 50	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1996	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1998	1998 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites
Lower Keys											
1	450	1,279	118	0	600	111	451	1,280	119	0	600
2	146	1,275	402	83	495	152	146	1,277	404	78	495
3	0	1,717	271	130	1,089	231	0	1,720	274	122	1,089
4a	32	3,047	2,123	251	276	411	32	3,093	2,153	236	276
4b	0	20	19	0	0	3	0	22	19	0	0
5	194	3,257	1,260	130	1,268	412	195	3,265	1,267	122	1,268
6	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0	1,630
Subtotal	**823**	**12,477**	**4,193**	**594**	**5,358**	**1,572**	**824**	**12,541**	**4,236**	**558**	**5,358**
Middle Keys											
7	428	6,743	2,161	1,633	1,683	849	428	6,754	2,167	1,535	1,683
8	230	2,053	564	838	180	245	230	2,057	567	788	180
9	0	1,141	259	123	608	153	0	1,143	259	116	608
10	0	350	198	154	0	54	0	406	198	414	0
11	0	2,002	497	95	1,147	270	0	2,008	497	89	1,147
12a	0	1,316	900	239	6	177	0	1,322	-	-	-
12b	0	934	111	698	0	125	0	934	-	-	-
13	13	3,155	616	1,870	235	422	13	3,155	-	-	-
Subtotal	**670**	**17,692**	**5,306**	**5,650**	**3,858**	**2,296**	**671**	**17,781**	**3,690**	**2,941**	**3,617**
Upper Keys											
14	0	3,159	2,356	234	174	429	0	3,196	-	-	-
15	36	1,740	1,241	237	0	229	36	1,742	1,244	222	0
16	3	4,545	2,167	696	1,072	610	3	4,549	2,172	654	1,072
17	117	2,640	1,038	1,160	0	341	117	2,655	1,054	1,090	0
18	0	4,555	1,412	630	1,912	613	0	4,566	1,419	592	1,912
19-20 (g)	13	2,378	1,099	196	757	318	13	2,384	1,104	185	757
21	194	3,018	2,000	336	119	380	195	3,029	2,028	316	119
22	104	104	0	0	0	0	104	104	0	0	0
Subtotal	**466**	**22,136**	**11,315**	**3,489**	**4,034**	**2,920**	**467**	**22,225**	**9,020**	**3,059**	**3,860**
TOTAL	**1,960**	**52,305**	**20,813**	**9,733**	**13,250**	**6,788**	**1,962**	**52,546**	**16,946**	**6,559**	**12,835**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,998	2,859	415
City of Layton	0	159	82	57	0	22	0	160	83	53	0
City of Key Colony B.	0	1,571	1,276	95	0	212	0	1,583	1,294	89	0
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	554	18,216	2,238	12,775	293	2,372	554	18,233	2,252	12,009	293
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**2,513**	**72,251**	**24,409**	**22,659**	**13,543**	**9,395**	**2,516**	**72,521**	**24,572**	**21,570**	**13,543**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64
PAED	1998 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (sh)	1998 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1998 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (52 + 53 + 54 + 55 + 56	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1999	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1999	1999 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	1999 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (sh)	1999 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 1999 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (58 + 59 + 60 + 61 + 62	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2000
Lower Keys										
1	111	451	1,281	119	0	600	111	452	1,282	120
2	151	146	1,274	405	78	495	152	146	1,276	407
3	230	0	1,716	278	123	1,089	231	0	1,721	281
4a	413	32	3,110	2,172	238	276	416	32	3,135	2,198
4b	3	0	22	19	0	0	3	0	22	20
5	412	195	3,264	1,269	123	1,268	412	195	3,268	1,282
6	253	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0
Subtotal	**1,574**	**824**	**12,551**	**4,263**	**563**	**5,358**	**1,578**	**825**	**12,587**	**4,307**
Middle Keys										
7	835	428	6,649	2,173	1,730	1,683	866	429	6,881	-
8	238	230	2,003	570	793	180	239	231	2,013	-
9	152	0	1,135	260	117	608	153	0	1,137	-
10	95	0	707	199	444	0	100	0	743	201
11	269	0	2,002	497	90	1,147	269	0	2,002	501
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**1,588**	**659**	**12,496**	**3,699**	**3,174**	**3,617**	**1,626**	**659**	**12,775**	**702**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	227	36	1,730	1,248	224	0	228	36	1,736	1,257
16	604	3	4,505	2,175	659	1,072	605	3	4,515	2,177
17	332	117	2,593	1,062	1,098	0	335	117	2,612	1,071
18	608	0	4,531	1,424	596	1,912	610	0	4,542	1,429
19-20 (g)	317	13	2,376	1,109	186	757	318	13	2,384	1,114
21	382	195	3,039	2,056	318	119	386	195	3,075	2,083
22	0	104	104	0	0	0	0	104	104	0
Subtotal	**2,471**	**467**	**18,877**	**9,074**	**3,082**	**3,860**	**2,483**	**468**	**18,987**	**9,112**
TOTAL	**5,633**	**1,951**	**43,924**	**17,036**	**6,818**	**12,835**	**5,687**	**1,952**	**44,329**	**14,121**
Village of Islamorada	1,127	13	8,411	4,025	2,880	415	1,135	13	8,467	4,041
City of Layton	21	0	158	84	54	0	21	0	159	85
City of Key Colony B.	214	0	1,597	1,312	90	0	217	0	1,619	1,327
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,008
City of Key West (p)	2,256	555	17,365	2,266	12,097	293	2,272	556	17,483	2,280
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**9,251**	**2,518**	**71,454**	**24,723**	**21,939**	**13,543**	**9,332**	**2,521**	**72,058**	**24,860**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75
PAED	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2000	2000 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2000 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2000 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2000 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (64 + 65 + 66 + 67 + 68)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2001	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2001	2001 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2001 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2001 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2001 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (70 + 71 + 72 + 73 + 74)
Lower Keys											
1	0	600	111	452	1,283	120	0	600	112	452	1,284
2	82	495	152	146	1,282	408	82	495	153	146	1,284
3	129	1,089	232	0	1,731	284	129	1,089	233	0	1,734
4a	249	276	422	33	3,178	2,225	249	276	426	33	3,209
4b	0	0	3	0	23	20	0	0	3	0	24
5	129	1,268	415	195	3,289	1,294	129	1,268	417	195	3,304
8	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883
Subtotal	**589**	**5,358**	**1,589**	**826**	**12,669**	**4,351**	**589**	**5,358**	**1,596**	**827**	**12,721**
Middle Keys											
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
10	521	0	112	0	835	203	578	0	121	0	902
11	94	1,147	270	0	2,011	504	94	1,147	270	0	2,015
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**615**	**1,147**	**382**	**0**	**2,846**	**708**	**672**	**1,147**	**392**	**0**	**2,917**
Upper Keys											
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	235	0	231	36	1,759	1,266	235	0	233	36	1,770
16	690	1,072	611	3	4,554	2,180	690	1,072	611	3	4,556
17	1,151	0	344	117	2,683	1,080	1,151	0	346	117	2,694
18	625	1,912	615	0	4,580	1,434	625	1,912	615	0	4,586
19-20 (g)	195	757	320	13	2,400	1,119	195	757	321	13	2,405
21	333	119	390	195	3,101	2,071	333	119	391	195	3,109
22	0	0	0	104	104	0	0	0	0	104	104
Subtotal	**3,229**	**3,860**	**2,511**	**468**	**19,180**	**9,150**	**3,229**	**3,860**	**2,517**	**469**	**19,224**
TOTAL	**4,433**	**10,365**	**4,482**	**1,294**	**34,696**	**14,208**	**4,490**	**10,365**	**4,505**	**1,296**	**34,863**
Village of Islamorada	3,017	415	1,158	13	8,644	4,057	3,017	415	1,161	13	8,662
City of Layton	56	0	22	0	163	86	56	0	22	0	165
City of Key Colony B.	94	0	220	0	1,641	1,341	94	0	222	0	1,657
City of Marathon	2,766	2,471	1,278	659	10,182	3,018	2,766	2,471	1,280	660	10,195
City of Key West (p)	12,673	293	2,363	556	18,165	2,293	12,673	293	2,365	557	18,182
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**23,040**	**13,544**	**9,524**	**2,522**	**73,491**	**25,003**	**23,096**	**13,544**	**9,555**	**2,525**	**73,723**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85
PAEO	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2002	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2002	2002 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2002 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (eh)	2002 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2002 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (76 + 77 + 78 + 79 + 80	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2003	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2003	2003 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2003 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (eh)
Lower Keys										
1	120	0	600	112	453	1,284	120	0	600	112
2	410	82	495	153	147	1,286	411	82	495	153
3	287	129	1,089	233	0	1,738	290	129	1,089	234
4a	2,251	249	276	430	33	3,239	2,278	249	276	434
4b	21	0	0	3	0	24	22	0	0	3
5	1,306	129	1,268	419	196	3,318	1,318	f29	1,268	421
6	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253
Subtotal	**4,395**	**589**	**5,358**	**1,603**	**828**	**12,773**	**4,438**	**589**	**5,358**	**1,810**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-
10	206	634	0	130	0	970	208	634	0	130
11	508	94	1,147	271	0	2,019	511	94	1,147	272
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**713**	**728**	**1,147**	**401**	**0**	**2,989**	**719**	**728**	**1,147**	**402**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,276	235	0	234	36	1,781	1,285	235	0	236
16	2,182	690	1,072	611	3	4,559	2,185	742	1,072	620
17	1,089	1,151	0	347	117	2,704	1,098	1,151	0	348
18	1,439	625	1,912	616	0	4,592	1,444	625	1,912	817
19-20 (g)	1,124	195	757	322	13	2,411	1,129	195	757	323
21	2,078	333	119	392	196	3,118	2,085	333	119	393
22	0	0	0	0	104	104	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**9,188**	**3,229**	**3,860**	**2,523**	**469**	**19,269**	**9,225**	**3,280**	**3,860**	**2,537**
TOTAL	**14,295**	**4,546**	**10,365**	**4,527**	**1,297**	**35,030**	**14,383**	**4,598**	**10,365**	**4,549**
Village of Islamorada	4,073	3,017	415	1,163	13	8,680	4,088	3,017	415	1,166
City of Layton	87	56	0	22	0	166	88	56	0	22
City of Key Colony B.	1,355	94	0	225	0	1,674	1,370	94	0	227
City of Marathon	3,029	2,766	2,471	1,281	661	10,208	3,039	2,766	2,471	1,283
City of Key West (p)	2,307	12,673	293	2,367	557	18,198	2,316	12,673	293	2,369
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**25,146**	**23,153**	**13,544**	**9,586**	**2,527**	**73,956**	**25,284**	**23,204**	**13,544**	**9,615**

1	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95
PAED	2003 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2003 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (82 + 83 + 84 + 85 + 86)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2004	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2004 (aa)	2004 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2004 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2004 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2004 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (88 + 89 + 90 + 91 + 92)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2005 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2005 (ae)
Lower Keys										
1	453	1,285	121	0	600	112	454	1,286	121	0
2	147	1,288	414	82	495	154	147	1,291	415	82
3	0	1,741	294	129	1,089	234	0	1,746	298	129
4a	33	3,270	2,319	249	276	441	33	3,318	2,359	249
4b	0	25	22	0	0	3	0	26	23	0
5	196	3,332	1,335	129	1,268	424	196	3,352	1,352	129
6	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0
Subtotal	**828**	**12,824**	**4,506**	**589**	**5,356**	**1,620**	**829**	**12,903**	**4,570**	**589**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-
10	0	972	210	634	0	131	0	975	212	634
11	0	2,023	514	94	1,147	272	0	2,027	517	94
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**0**	**2,995**	**724**	**3,494**	**1,147**	**403**	**0**	**3,002**	**729**	**3,494**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	36	1,792	1,301	235	0	238	36	1,810	1,316	235
16	3	4,622	2,190	742	1,072	621	3	4,627	2,192	742
17	117	2,714	1,117	1,151	0	351	118	2,736	1,135	1,151
18	0	4,598	1,454	625	1,912	619	0	4,609	1,463	625
19-20 (g)	13	2,417	1,139	195	757	324	13	2,429	1,149	195
21	196	3,126	2,099	333	119	395	196	3,142	2,110	333
22	104	104	0	0	0	0	104	104	0	0
Subtotal	**470**	**19,372**	**9,299**	**3,280**	**3,860**	**2,548**	**470**	**19,458**	**9,365**	**3,280**
TOTAL	**1,298**	**35,192**	**14,529**	**7,364**	**10,365**	**4,571**	**1,299**	**35,362**	**14,663**	**7,364**
Village of Islamorada	13	8,699	4,106	3,017	415	1,168	13	8,719	4,120	3,017
City of Layton	0	167	89	56	0	23	0	168	90	56
City of Key Colony B.	0	1,690	1,384	94	0	229	0	1,707	1,398	94
City of Marathon	661	10,221	3,048	2,766	2,471	1,284	662	10,231	3,053	2,766
City of Key West (p)	558	18,209	2,325	11,914	293	2,252	558	17,343	2,334	11,914
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**2,530**	**74,177**	**25,481**	**25,211**	**13,544**	**9,528**	**2,533**	**73,531**	**25,658**	**25,211**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105
PAED	2005 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2005 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2005 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2005 PEAK SEASONAL PDPULATION (94 + 95 + 96 + 97 + 98)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2006 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2006 (as)	2006 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2006 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2006 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2006 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (100 + 101 + 102 + 103 + 104
Lower Keys										
1	600	112	454	1,287	121	0	600	112	455	1,287
2	495	154	147	1,293	417	82	495	154	147	1,295
3	1,089	235	0	1,751	302	129	1,089	236	0	1,756
4a	276	447	33	3,364	2,398	249	276	453	33	3,409
4b	0	4	0	27	24	0	0	4	0	28
5	1,268	426	196	3,372	1,367	129	1,268	429	196	3,389
6	1,630	253	0	1,883	0	0	1,630	253	0	1,883
Subtotal	5,358	1,630	630	12,977	4,630	589	5,358	1,639	831	13,048
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
10	0	131	0	977	213	634	0	131	0	979
11	1,147	272	0	2,030	519	94	1,147	273	0	2,033
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	1,147	404	0	3,007	733	3,494	1,147	404	0	3,011
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	0	240	36	1,827	1,330	235	0	242	36	1,843
16	1,072	621	3	4,631	2,193	742	1,072	621	3	4,632
17	0	354	118	2,757	1,152	1,151	0	357	118	2,778
18	1,912	620	0	4,620	1,470	625	1,912	621	0	4,628
19-20 (g)	757	326	13	2,439	1,157	195	757	327	13	2,449
21	119	397	196	3,156	2,120	333	119	399	196	3,167
22	0	0	105	105	0	0	0	0	105	105
Subtotal	3,860	2,558	471	19,534	9,422	3,280	3,860	2,567	471	19,600
TOTAL	**10,365**	**4,592**	**1,301**	**35,518**	**14,784**	**7,364**	**10,365**	**4,611**	**1,302**	**35,659**
Village of Islamorada	415	1,170	13	8,735	4,129	3,017	415	1,172	13	8,746
City of Layton	0	23	0	169	91	56	0	23	0	170
City of Key Colony B.	0	231	0	1,723	1,410	94	0	233	0	1,737
City of Marathon	2,471	1,285	663	10,238	3,056	2,766	2,471	1,285	663	10,241
City of Key West (p)	293	2,254	559	17,354	2,339	11,914	293	2,255	559	17,360
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**13,544**	**9,555**	**2,535**	**73,737**	**25,809**	**25,211**	**13,544**	**9,579**	**2,538**	**73,914**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115
PAEO 4)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2007 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2007	2007 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2007 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2007 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2007 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (106 + 107 + 108 + 109 + 110)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2008 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2008	2008 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2008 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)
Lower Keys										
1	121	0	600	112	455	1,289	121	0	601	112
2	418	82	495	154	147	1,297	419	82	496	154
3	306	129	1,090	236	0	1,762	310	129	1,091	237
4a	2,434	249	277	459	33	3,452	2,469	249	277	464
4b	25	0	0	4	0	29	26	0	0	4
5	1,381	129	1,270	431	196	3,407	1,394	129	1,271	433
6	0	0	1,632	253	0	1,885	0	0	1,634	253
Subtotal	**4,686**	**590**	**5,363**	**1,649**	**832**	**13,121**	**4,739**	**591**	**5,369**	**1,658**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-
10	215	635	0	132	0	981	216	635	0	132
11	521	94	1,148	273	0	2,036	522	94	1,149	274
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**736**	**729**	**1,148**	**405**	**0**	**3,017**	**738**	**729**	**1,149**	**406**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,342	235	0	244	36	1,857	1,353	235	0	246
16	2,191	743	1,073	621	3	4,632	2,188	743	1,074	621
17	1,169	1,152	0	360	118	2,798	1,184	1,153	0	362
18	1,476	625	1,914	622	0	4,638	1,481	626	1,916	624
19-20 (g)	1,164	195	758	328	13	2,458	1,169	195	759	329
21	2,127	334	119	400	196	3,176	2,133	334	119	401
22	0	0	0	0	105	105	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**9,469**	**3,284**	**3,864**	**2,576**	**472**	**19,664**	**9,508**	**3,287**	**3,868**	**2,583**
TOTAL	**14,891**	**4,602**	**10,375**	**4,630**	**1,303**	**35,802**	**14,985**	**4,607**	**10,386**	**4,647**
Village of Islamorada	4,134	3,020	415	1,173	13	8,756	4,136	3,023	416	1,174
City of Layton	92	56	0	23	0	171	93	56	0	23
City of Key Colony B.	1,421	94	0	235	0	1,750	1,431	94	0	236
City of Marathon	3,055	2,769	2,473	1,286	664	10,247	3,051	2,772	2,476	1,286
City of Key West (p)	2,345	11,926	293	2,257	560	17,381	2,350	11,938	293	2,260
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**25,938**	**22,467**	**13,558**	**9,604**	**2,540**	**74,108**	**26,045**	**22,490**	**13,571**	**9,626**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125
PAED	2008 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2008 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (112 + 113 + 114 + 115 + 116)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2009 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2009	2009 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2009 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (sh)	2009 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2009 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (118 + 119 + 120 + 121 + 122)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2010 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2010
Lower Keys										
1	456	1,290	121	0	601	112	456	1,291	121	0
2	148	1,299	419	82	496	155	148	1,300	419	83
3	0	1,767	313	130	1,092	238	0	1,772	316	130
4a	33	3,492	2,502	250	277	469	33	3,531	2,533	250
4b	0	30	27	0	0	4	0	31	28	0
5	197	3,424	1,406	130	1,272	435	197	3,439	1,416	130
6	0	1,887	0	0	1,635	253	0	1,889	0	0
Subtotal	**833**	**13,189**	**4,788**	**591**	**5,374**	**1,667**	**833**	**13,253**	**4,832**	**592**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-
10	0	983	217	636	0	132	0	985	218	637
11	0	2,039	523	94	1,150	274	0	2,041	523	94
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	0	3,022	740	730	1,150	406	0	3,026	741	731
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	36	1,871	1,363	236	0	248	36	1,882	1,372	236
16	3	4,630	2,182	744	1,075	620	3	4,825	2,174	745
17	118	2,817	1,199	1,154	0	365	118	2,836	1,212	1,155
18	0	4,647	1,484	626	1,918	624	0	4,653	1,486	627
19-20 (g)	13	2,465	1,174	195	759	330	13	2,472	1,178	196
21	197	3,183	2,136	334	119	401	197	3,188	2,137	335
22	105	105	0	0	0	0	105	105	0	0
Subtotal	**472**	**19,718**	**9,538**	**3,290**	**3,872**	**2,588**	**473**	**19,781**	**9,558**	**3,293**
TOTAL	**1,305**	**35,929**	**15,065**	**4,611**	**10,396**	**4,661**	**1,306**	**36,040**	**15,131**	**4,616**
Village of Islamorada	13	8,761	4,133	3,026	416	1,174	13	8,762	4,126	3,029
City of Layton	0	172	93	57	0	23	0	173	94	57
City of Key Colony B.	0	1,761	1,439	94	0	238	0	1,771	1,446	94
City of Marathon	665	10,250	3,044	2,774	2,478	1,286	665	10,249	3,035	2,777
City of Key West (p)	561	17,402	2,355	11,950	294	2,263	561	17,423	2,361	11,962
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**2,543**	**74,275**	**26,130**	**22,512**	**13,585**	**9,645**	**2,545**	**74,417**	**26,192**	**22,535**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

1	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135
PAED	2010 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2010 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2010 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2010 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (124 + 125 + 126 + 127 + 128)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2011 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2011	2011 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2011 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2011 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2011 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (130 + 131 + 132 + 133 + 134
Lower Keys										
1	602	112	457	1,291	120	0	603	112	457	1,292
2	497	155	148	1,300	418	83	497	155	148	1,300
3	1,093	239	0	1,777	319	130	1,094	239	0	1,782
4a	277	474	33	3,567	2,561	250	278	479	33	3,601
4b	0	4	0	33	29	0	0	5	0	34
5	1,273	437	197	3,453	1,425	130	1,275	439	197	3,465
6	1,637	254	0	1,891	0	0	1,639	254	0	1,892
Subtotal	**5,379**	**1,675**	**834**	**13,312**	**4,872**	**592**	**5,385**	**1,682**	**835**	**13,366**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
10	0	132	0	987	219	637	0	133	0	988
11	1,151	274	0	2,043	523	94	1,153	274	0	2,044
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**1,151**	**407**	**0**	**3,030**	**741**	**732**	**1,153**	**407**	**0**	**3,032**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	0	249	36	1,893	1,379	236	0	250	36	1,901
16	1,076	619	3	4,618	2,163	746	1,078	618	3	4,608
17	0	367	118	2,853	1,225	1,156	0	369	118	2,869
18	1,920	625	0	4,658	1,486	628	1,922	626	0	4,661
19-20 (g)	760	331	13	2,477	1,180	196	761	331	13	2,481
21	119	402	197	3,190	2,136	335	119	402	197	3,189
22	0	0	105	105	0	0	0	0	105	105
Subtotal	**3,876**	**2,593**	**473**	**19,793**	**9,569**	**3,297**	**3,880**	**2,596**	**473**	**19,815**
TOTAL	**10,407**	**4,674**	**1,307**	**36,135**	**15,183**	**4,621**	**10,417**	**4,684**	**1,309**	**36,213**
Village of Islamorada	417	1,174	13	8,758	4,115	3,032	417	1,172	13	8,749
City of Layton	0	23	0	174	94	57	0	23	0	174
City of Key Colony B.	0	239	0	1,779	1,451	94	0	240	0	1,785
City of Marathon	2,481	1,285	666	10,244	3,022	2,780	2,483	1,284	667	10,236
City of Key West (p)	294	2,266	562	17,444	2,366	11,974	294	2,268	562	17,465
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**13,598**	**9,660**	**2,548**	**74,533**	**26,231**	**22,557**	**13,612**	**9,672**	**2,550**	**74,622**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145
PAED 4)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2012 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2012	2012 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2012 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2012 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2012 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (136 + 137 + 138 + 139 + 140)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2013 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2013	2013 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2013 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)
Lower Keys										
1	120	0	603	112	457	1,293	119	0	604	112
2	417	83	498	155	148	1,300	415	83	498	154
3	321	130	1,095	240	0	1,786	323	130	1,096	240
4a	2,588	250	278	483	33	3,632	2,612	251	278	487
4b	30	0	0	5	0	35	31	0	0	5
5	1,432	130	1,276	440	197	3,476	1,438	130	1,277	441
6	0	0	1,640	254	0	1,894	0	0	1,642	254
Subtotal	**4,908**	**593**	**5,390**	**1,688**	**836**	**13,415**	**4,939**	**594**	**5,398**	**1,694**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-
10	219	638	0	133	0	990	219	638	0	133
11	522	94	1,154	274	0	2,044	520	95	1,155	274
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**741**	**732**	**1,154**	**407**	**0**	**3,034**	**739**	**733**	**1,155**	**407**
Upper Keys										
t4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,385	236	0	251	36	1,909	1,390	236	0	252
16	2,151	746	1,079	616	3	4,596	2,137	747	1,080	614
17	1,236	1,157	0	371	118	2,883	1,247	1,159	0	373
18	1,485	628	1,924	626	0	4,663	1,482	629	1,926	626
19-20 (g)	1,181	196	762	332	13	2,484	1,181	196	762	332
21	2,133	335	120	401	197	3,187	2,128	336	120	400
22	0	0	0	0	105	105	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**9,571**	**3,300**	**3,884**	**2,597**	**474**	**19,826**	**9,564**	**3,303**	**3,887**	**2,597**
TOTAL	**15,220**	**4,625**	**10,427**	**4,692**	**1,310**	**36,275**	**15,242**	**4,630**	**10,438**	**4,698**
Village of Islamorada	4,099	3,035	417	1,171	13	8,735	4,080	3,038	418	1,168
City of Layton	94	57	0	23	0	175	95	57	0	23
City of Key Colony B.	1,455	94	0	240	0	1,790	1,458	95	0	241
City of Marathon	3,006	2,783	2,486	1,283	667	10,224	2,987	2,785	2,488	1,280
City of Key West (p)	2,372	11,986	295	2,271	563	17,486	2,377	11,998	295	2,274
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**26,246**	**22,580**	**13,625**	**9,680**	**2,553**	**74,685**	**26,239**	**22,602**	**13,639**	**9,684**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

Peak Seasonal Population

1	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155
PAED	2013 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2013 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (142 + 143 + 144 + 145 + 146)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2014 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2014	2014 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2014 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2014 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2014 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (148 + 149 + 150 + 151 + 152)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2015 (o)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2015
Lower Keys										
1	458	1,293	118	0	604	112	458	1,293	117	0
2	148	1,299	414	83	499	154	148	1,298	411	83
3	0	1,790	325	130	1,097	241	0	1,793	327	130
4a	33	3,660	2,633	251	279	490	33	3,686	2,652	251
4b	0	36	32	0	0	5	0	37	33	0
5	198	3,484	1,443	130	1,279	442	198	3,492	1,446	130
6	0	1,896	0	0	1,643	255	0	1,898	0	0
Subtotal	**837**	**13,459**	**4,968**	**594**	**5,401**	**1,699**	**838**	**13,497**	**4,987**	**595**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-
10	0	990	219	639	0	133	0	991	219	640
11	0	2,044	518	95	1,156	274	0	2,043	516	95
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**0**	**3,035**	**738**	**734**	**1,156**	**407**	**0**	**3,034**	**735**	**734**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	36	1,914	1,393	237	0	253	36	1,918	1,395	237
16	3	4,581	2,120	748	1,081	612	3	4,564	2,102	749
17	119	2,897	1,256	1,160	0	374	119	2,909	1,264	1,161
18	0	4,662	1,478	630	1,928	625	0	4,660	1,472	630
19-20 (g)	13	2,485	1,180	196	763	332	13	2,484	1,178	197
21	198	3,181	2,120	336	120	399	198	3,173	2,111	336
22	105	105	0	0	0	0	106	108	0	0
Subtotal	**474**	**19,826**	**9,547**	**3,307**	**3,891**	**2,595**	**475**	**19,815**	**9,521**	**3,310**
TOTAL	**1,311**	**36,319**	**15,250**	**4,634**	**10,448**	**4,702**	**1,313**	**36,347**	**15,243**	**4,639**
Village of Islamorada	13	8,717	4,057	3,041	418	1,165	13	8,694	4,030	3,044
City of Layton	0	175	95	57	0	24	0	175	95	57
City of Key Colony B.	0	1,793	1,459	95	0	241	0	1,794	1,459	95
City of Marathon	668	10,210	2,966	2,788	2,491	1,278	669	10,191	2,941	2,791
City of Key West (p)	563	17,507	2,382	12,010	295	2,277	564	17,528	2,388	12,022
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**2,555**	**74,720**	**26,208**	**22,625**	**13,653**	**9,685**	**2,558**	**74,730**	**26,155**	**22,648**

Prepared by the Monroe County Planning Department

1	156	157	158	159
PAED	2015 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites	2015 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah)	2015 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels	TOTAL 2015 PEAK SEASONAL POPULATION (154 + 155 + 156 + 157 + 158)
Lower Keys				
1	605	112	459	1,293
2	499	154	149	1,296
3	1,099	241	0	1,797
4a	279	493	33	3,709
4b	0	5	0	38
5	1,280	443	198	3,497
6	1,645	255	0	1,900
Subtotal	**5,406**	**1,703**	**838**	**13,530**
Middle Keys				
7	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	0	-
10	0	133	0	992
11	1,157	274	0	2,042
12a	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**1,157**	**407**	**0**	**3,034**
Upper Keys				
14	-	-	-	-
15	0	253	36	1,921
16	1,082	609	3	4,545
17	0	376	119	2,919
18	1,929	625	0	4,657
19-20 (g)	764	331	13	2,483
21	120	398	198	3,163
22	0	0	106	106
Subtotal	**3,895**	**2,592**	**475**	**19,793**
TOTAL	**10,459**	**4,703**	**1,314**	**36,357**
Village of Islamorada	419	1,161	13	8,667
City of Layton	0	24	0	175
City of Key Colony B.	0	241	0	1,794
City of Marathon	2,493	1,275	669	10,170
City of Key West (p)	296	2,279	564	17,549
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**13,666**	**9,683**	**2,561**	**74,712**

Appendix 6

Peak Population in Seasonal Residences by PAED from 1990 to 2015

Peak Number of People in Seasonal Residences

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
PAED	1990 Census Tract (o)	1990 Census Block Groups	April 1, 1990 Total Number of Seasonal Units (r)	December 31, 1990 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (o)	Peak Number of Persons per Party Staying in a Seasonal Dwelling Unit (l)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units Ouring 1990 (5 x 6)	December 31, 1991 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (o)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1991 (8 x 6)	December 31, 1992 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (o)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1992 (10 x 6)
Lower Keys										
1	9718 & 9719 pt.	N/A	43	43	2.67	115	43	116	44	116
2	9717	N/A	142	143	2.67	383	145	388	146	390
3	9716	N/A	86	89	2.67	237	90	241	92	248
4a	9715	N/A	654	674	2.67	1,800	697	1,860	713	1,903
4b	9714 pt.	BG 4 (a)	4	5	2.67	13	5	14	6	15
5	9714 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4,5 (a)	402	416	2.67	1,111	428	1,144	437	1,166
6	9714 pt.& 9713 pt.	9714 BG 1 (b)	0	0	2.67	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	-	-	1,331	1,370	2.67	3,659	1,409	3,763	1,437	3,838
Middle Keys										
7	9713, 9712, & 9711	N/A	777	783	2.67	2,091	787	2,102	793	2,116
8	9710 pt.	BG 3 (c)	197	200	2.67	533	202	539	203	542
9	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	90	91	2.67	244	92	248	93	248
10	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	66	69	2.67	183	70	186	71	189
11	9710 pt.	BG 1(e)	176	176	2.67	471	176	471	177	472
12a	9709 pt.	BG 5	292	298	2.67	796	307	820	318	849
12b	9709 pt.	BG 1,2 (f)	38	38	2.67	101	41	108	41	108
13	9709 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4 (f)	220	221	2.67	590	224	598	225	602
Subtotal	-	-	1,856	1,876	2.67	5,009	1,899	5,070	1,920	5,128
Upper Keys										
14	9708	N/A	777	796	2.67	2,128	820	2,189	836	2,232
15	9707	N/A	383	411	2.67	1,097	427	1,140	431	1,151
16	9706	N/A	793	794	2.67	2,121	797	2,127	799	2,133
17	9705	N/A	319	329	2.67	879	338	903	347	926
18	9704	N/A	489	493	2.67	1,317	498	1,331	502	1,339
19-20 (g)	9703	N/A	371	377	2.67	1,005	389	1,038	396	1,058
21	9702.98 pt.	BG 1,2,3 (h)	706	717	2.67	1,918	724	1,932	729	1,945
22	9701 pt.& 9702.98 pt.	BG 1 (h)	0	0	2.67	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	-	-	3,838	3,918	2.67	10,480	3,993	10,661	4,040	10,786
UNINC. TOTAL	-	-	7,025	7,164	2.67	19,128	7,301	19,494	7,396	19,748
Village of Islamorada	9709	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	9710	BG 1	28	28	2.67	78	29	77	29	78
City of Key Colony B.	9710	BG 3	441	446	2.67	1,192	448	1,197	454	1,212
City of Marathon	9710-9713	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	9719-9726	N/A	440	737	2.90	2,139	742	2,153	747	2,167
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	-	-	7,934	8,376	2.67	22,535	8,520	22,922	8,626	23,205

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

Peak Number of People in Seasonal Residences

1	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
PAED	December 31, 1993 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (s)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1993 (12 x 6)	December 31, 1994 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (s)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1994 (14 x 6)	December 31, 1995 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (s)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1995 (16 x 6)	December 31, 1996 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (s)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1996 (18 x 6)	December 31, 1997 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (s)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1997 (20 x 6)
Lower Keys										
1	44	116	44	117	44	117	44	118	44	118
2	147	391	148	395	149	397	150	400	151	402
3	95	253	97	258	98	262	100	267	101	271
4a	730	1,949	745	1,990	763	2,038	780	2,083	795	2,123
4b	6	16	6	17	6	17	7	17	7	19
5	449	1,198	455	1,216	461	1,232	469	1,253	472	1,260
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	1,469	3,923	1,495	3,993	1,522	4,063	1,550	4,138	1,570	4,193
Middle Keys										
7	796	2,125	799	2,134	803	2,145	806	2,152	809	2,161
8	205	547	207	552	208	556	210	561	211	564
9	94	251	94	252	95	254	96	257	97	259
10	72	192	73	195	73	196	74	196	74	198
11	177	473	177	473	177	473	184	492	186	497
12a	322	860	326	671	332	885	335	895	337	900
12b	41	106	41	108	41	110	41	110	42	111
13	228	610	229	611	230	613	230	615	231	616
Subtotal	1,936	5,167	1,946	5,196	1,960	5,233	1,977	5,277	1,987	5,306
Upper Keys										
14	847	2,262	857	2,287	865	2,310	871	2,327	883	2,358
15	445	1,188	459	1,224	460	1,229	464	1,239	465	1,241
16	801	2,139	805	2,150	808	2,158	811	2,164	812	2,157
17	363	970	371	989	377	1,007	384	1,025	389	1,038
18	517	1,380	519	1,386	522	1,394	525	1,402	529	1,412
19-20 (g)	402	1,075	404	1,080	407	1,086	410	1,094	412	1,099
21	733	1,957	734	1,961	737	1,969	744	1,988	749	2,000
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	4,109	10,970	4,149	11,077	4,177	11,154	4,209	11,238	4,238	11,315
UNINC. TOTAL	7,513	20,060	7,590	20,265	7,659	20,450	7,736	20,654	7,795	20,813
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	29	78	30	79	30	80	30	81	31	82
City of Key Colony B.	462	1,233	465	1,241	472	1,259	474	1,265	478	1,276
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	752	2,181	757	2,195	761	2,210	766	2,224	771	2,238
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	8,756	23,553	8,841	23,781	8,922	23,999	9,006	24,224	9,075	24,409

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Monroe County, Layton, Key Colony Beach, Key West Building Departments; TDC Visitor's Surveys

Peak Number of People in Seasonal Residences

1	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
PAED	December 31, 1998 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (e)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1998 (22 x 6)	December 31, 1999 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (s)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1999 (24 x 6)	December 31, 2000 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (e)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2000 (26 x 6)	December 31, 2001 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (e)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2001 (28 x 6)	December 31, 2002 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (e)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2002 (30 x 6)
Lower Keys										
1	45	119	45	119	45	120	45	120	45	120
2	151	404	152	405	152	407	153	408	153	410
3	103	274	104	278	105	281	106	284	107	287
4a	806	2,153	813	2,172	823	2,198	833	2,225	843	2,251
4b	7	19	7	19	7	20	8	20	8	21
5	474	1,267	475	1,269	480	1,282	485	1,294	489	1,306
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	1,587	4,236	1,597	4,263	1,613	4,307	1,629	4,351	1,646	4,396
Middle Keys										
7	812	2,167	814	2,173	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	212	567	213	570	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	97	259	97	260	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	74	198	75	199	75	201	76	203	77	206
11	186	497	186	497	188	501	189	504	190	508
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	1,382	3,690	1,385	3,699	263	702	265	708	267	713
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	466	1,244	467	1,248	471	1,257	474	1,266	478	1,276
16	813	2,172	815	2,175	815	2,177	816	2,180	817	2,182
17	395	1,054	398	1,062	401	1,071	405	1,080	408	1,089
18	531	1,419	533	1,424	535	1,429	537	1,434	539	1,439
19-20 (g)	413	1,104	415	1,109	417	1,114	419	1,119	421	1,124
21	759	2,028	770	2,056	773	2,063	775	2,071	778	2,078
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	3,378	9,020	3,399	9,074	3,413	9,112	3,427	9,150	3,441	9,188
UNINC. TOTAL	**6,347**	**16,946**	**6,381**	**17,036**	**5,289**	**14,121**	**5,321**	**14,208**	**5,354**	**14,295**
Village of Islamorada	1,497	3,998	1,507	4,025	1,513	4,041	1,519	4,057	1,525	4,073
City of Layton	31	83	31	84	32	85	32	88	33	87
City of Key Colony B.	485	1,294	492	1,312	497	1,327	502	1,341	508	1,355
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	1,127	3,008	1,130	3,018	1,134	3,029
City of Key West (p)	776	2,252	781	2,266	786	2,280	790	2,293	795	2,307
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**9,136**	**24,572**	**9,192**	**24,723**	**9,243**	**24,860**	**9,296**	**25,003**	**9,349**	**25,146**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Monroe County; Layton, Key Colony Beach, Key West Building Departments; TDC Visitable Surveys

Peak Number of People in Seasonal Residences

1	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
PAED	December 31, 2003 Total Number of Seasonal Housing Units (e)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2003 (32 x 6)	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1990-1991 [(9 - 7) / 7]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1991-1992 [(11 - 9) / 9]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1992-1993 [(13 - 11) / 11]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1993-1994 [(15 - 13) / 13]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1994-1995 [(17 - 15) / 15]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1995-1996 [(19 - 17) / 17]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1996-1997 [(21 - 19) / 19]
Lower Keys									
1	45	120	0.46%	0.36%	0.15%	0.35%	0.15%	0.66%	0.55%
2	154	411	1.24%	0.51%	0.36%	1.08%	0.43%	0.78%	0.49%
3	108	290	1.78%	2.08%	2.58%	2.09%	1.64%	2.02%	1.19%
4a	853	2,276	3.31%	2.31%	2.43%	2.11%	2.42%	2.20%	1.91%
4b	8	22	12.50%	5.56%	7.89%	2.44%	2.38%	2.33%	9.09%
5	494	1,318	2.99%	1.91%	2.78%	1.50%	1.33%	1.67%	0.56%
6	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Subtotal	**1,662**	**4,438**	**2.84%**	**1.94%**	**2.29%**	**1.77%**	**1.77%**	**1.85%**	**1.31%**
Middle Keys									
7	-	-	0.55%	0.66%	0.43%	0.39%	0.54%	0.33%	0.41%
8	-	-	1.03%	0.68%	0.84%	0.84%	0.83%	0.82%	0.65%
9	-	-	1.19%	0.44%	1.46%	0.43%	0.72%	1.00%	0.85%
10	78	208	1.57%	1.54%	1.71%	1.68%	0.37%	0.18%	0.73%
11	191	511	0.00%	0.24%	0.24%	0.00%	0.00%	3.86%	1.16%
12a	-	-	2.98%	3.56%	1.29%	1.27%	1.68%	1.03%	0.61%
12b	-	-	6.92%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.44%	0.00%	0.71%
13	-	-	1.24%	0.71%	1.31%	0.10%	0.40%	0.40%	0.10%
Subtotal	**269**	**719**	**1.21%**	**1.11%**	**0.79%**	**0.56%**	**0.72%**	**0.85%**	**0.54%**
Upper Keys									
14	-	-	3.00%	1.95%	1.35%	1.08%	1.01%	0.73%	1.36%
15	481	1,285	3.96%	0.94%	3.17%	3.08%	0.41%	0.77%	0.15%
16	818	2,185	0.30%	0.30%	0.25%	0.55%	0.35%	0.30%	0.15%
17	411	1,098	2.79%	2.53%	4.70%	2.02%	1.82%	1.73%	1.27%
18	541	1,444	1.06%	0.64%	3.04%	0.43%	0.62%	0.54%	0.69%
19-20 (g)	423	1,129	3.26%	1.97%	1.52%	0.46%	0.57%	0.74%	0.51%
21	781	2,085	0.86%	0.67%	0.61%	0.18%	0.42%	0.96%	0.59%
22	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Subtotal	**3,455**	**9,225**	**1.92%**	**1.17%**	**1.71%**	**0.97%**	**0.69%**	**0.76%**	**0.68%**
UNINC. TOTAL	**5,387**	**14,383**	**1.91%**	**1.30%**	**1.58%**	**1.02%**	**0.91%**	**1.00%**	**0.77%**
Village of Islamorada	1,531	4,088	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	33	88	2.04%	0.67%	0.66%	1.32%	1.30%	0.64%	1.27%
City of Key Colony B.	513	1,370	0.43%	1.28%	1.69%	0.66%	1.48%	0.49%	0.81%
City of Marathon	1,138	3,039	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	798	2,316	0.66%	0.66%	0.65%	0.65%	0.64%	0.64%	0.64%
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**9,400**	**25,284**	**1.72%**	**1.24%**	**1.50%**	**0.97%**	**0.92%**	**0.94%**	**0.76%**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Monroe County, Layton, Key Colony Beach, Key West Building Departments; TDC Visitor's Surveys

Peak Number of People In Seasonal Residences

1	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48
PAED	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1997-1998 [(23 - 21) / 21]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1998-1999 [(25 - 23) / 23]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 1999-2000 [(27 - 25) / 25]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 2000-2001 [(29 - 27) / 27]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 2001-2002 [(31 - 29) / 29]	% Increase in Peak Number of Persons in Seasonal Dwellings 2002-2003 [(33 - 31) / 31]	Mean Average Percent Increase from 1990 to 2003 [(34+35+36+37+38+39+40+41+42+43+44+45+46) / # of Observations]	Peak Number of Persons Staying In Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2004 [33 + (33 x 47)]
Lower Keys								
1	0.50%	0.25%	0.24%	0.24%	0.23%	0.23%	0.34%	121
2	0.49%	0.21%	0.39%	0.39%	0.39%	0.39%	0.55%	414
3	1.37%	1.25%	1.07%	1.06%	1.05%	1.04%	1.56%	294
4a	1.42%	0.89%	1.21%	1.20%	1.19%	1.17%	1.83%	2,319
4b	2.08%	0.00%	2.78%	2.71%	2.63%	2.57%	4.23%	22
5	0.56%	0.21%	0.96%	0.95%	0.94%	0.93%	1.33%	1,335
6	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0
Subtotal	**1.04%**	**0.62%**	**1.03%**	**1.02%**	**1.01%**	**1.00%**	**1.60%**	**4,506**
Middle Keys								
7	0.29%	0.26%	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	0.49%	0.48%	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	0.28%	0.14%	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	0.36%	0.36%	1.08%	1.07%	1.06%	1.05%	0.98%	210
11	0.00%	0.00%	0.69%	0.68%	0.68%	0.67%	0.63%	514
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**0.30%**	**0.25%**	**0.73%**	**0.79%**	**0.79%**	**0.78%**	**0.72%**	**724**
Upper Keys								
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	0.30%	0.25%	0.76%	0.75%	0.75%	0.74%	1.23%	1,301
16	0.20%	0.15%	0.11%	0.11%	0.11%	0.11%	0.23%	2,190
17	1.52%	0.83%	0.83%	0.83%	0.82%	0.81%	1.73%	1,117
18	0.49%	0.38%	0.35%	0.35%	0.35%	0.34%	0.71%	1,464
19-20 (g)	0.39%	0.50%	0.45%	0.45%	0.45%	0.44%	0.90%	1,139
21	1.42%	1.40%	0.35%	0.35%	0.35%	0.35%	0.65%	2,099
22	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0
Subtotal	**0.70%**	**0.60%**	**0.42%**	**0.42%**	**0.41%**	**0.41%**	**0.84%**	**9,299**
UNINC. TOTAL	**0.70%**	**0.53%**	**0.59%**	**0.62%**	**0.61%**	**0.61%**	**0.94%**	**14,529**
Village of Islamorada	0.33%	0.69%	0.39%	0.39%	0.39%	0.39%	0.43%	4,106
City of Layton	1.26%	1.24%	1.23%	1.21%	1.20%	1.18%	1.17%	89
City of Key Colony B.	1.44%	1.42%	1.09%	1.08%	1.07%	1.06%	1.08%	1,384
City of Marathon	-	-	0.06%	0.35%	0.35%	0.35%	0.28%	3,048
City of Key West (p)	0.63%	0.61%	0.61%	0.60%	0.60%	0.38%	0.61%	2,325
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**0.67%**	**0.61%**	**0.56%**	**0.57%**	**0.57%**	**0.55%**	**0.89%**	**25,481**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Monroe County, Layton, Key Colony Beach, Key West Building Departments; TDC Visitor's Surveys

Peak Number of People in Seasonal Residences

1	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56
PAED	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2005 (o) 48 + (48 x (47 - 0.10%)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2006 (o) 49 + (49 x (47 - 0.20%)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2007 (o) 50 + (50 x (47 - 0.30%)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2008 (o) 51 + (51 x (47 - 0.40%)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2009 (o) 52 + (52 x (47 - 0.50%)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2010 (o) 53 + (53 x (47 - 0.60%)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2011 (o) 54 + (54 x (47 - 0.70%)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2012 (o) 55 + (55 x (47 - 0.80%)
Lower Keys								
1	121	121	121	121	121	121	120	120
2	415	417	418	419	419	419	418	417
3	298	302	306	310	313	316	319	321
4a	2,359	2,398	2,434	2,469	2,502	2,533	2,561	2,588
4b	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
5	1,352	1,367	1,381	1,394	1,406	1,416	1,425	1,432
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	4,670	4,630	4,686	4,739	4,788	4,832	4,872	4,908
Middle Keys								
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	212	213	215	216	217	218	219	219
11	517	519	521	522	523	523	523	522
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	729	733	736	738	740	741	741	741
Upper Keys								
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,316	1,330	1,342	1,353	1,363	1,372	1,379	1,385
16	2,192	2,193	2,191	2,188	2,182	2,174	2,163	2,151
17	1,135	1,152	1,169	1,184	1,199	1,212	1,225	1,236
18	1,463	1,470	1,476	1,481	1,484	1,486	1,486	1,485
19-20 (g)	1,149	1,157	1,164	1,169	1,174	1,178	1,180	1,181
21	2,110	2,120	2,127	2,133	2,136	2,137	2,136	2,133
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	9,365	9,422	9,469	9,508	9,538	9,558	9,569	9,571
UNINC. TOTAL	14,663	14,784	14,891	14,985	15,065	15,131	15,183	15,220
Village of Islamorada	4,120	4,129	4,134	4,136	4,133	4,126	4,115	4,099
City of Layton	90	91	92	93	93	94	94	94
City of Key Colony B.	1,398	1,410	1,421	1,431	1,439	1,446	1,451	1,455
City of Marathon	3,053	3,056	3,055	3,051	3,044	3,035	3,022	3,006
City of Key West (p)	2,334	2,339	2,345	2,350	2,355	2,361	2,366	2,372
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	25,658	25,809	25,938	26,045	26,130	26,192	26,231	26,246

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Census of Population; Monroe County, Layton, Key Colony Beach, Key West Building Departments; TDC Visitor's Surveys

Peak Number of People in Seasonal Residences

1	57	58	59
PAED	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2013 (o) 56 + (56 x (47 - 0.90%))	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2014 (o) [57 + (57 x (47 - 1.0%))	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2015 (o) [58 + (58 x (47 - 1.1%))
Lower Keys			
1	119	118	117
2	415	414	411
3	323	325	327
4a	2,612	2,633	2,652
4b	31	32	33
5	1,438	1,443	1,446
6	0	0	0
Subtotal	4,939	4,966	4,987
Middle Keys			
7	-	-	-
8	-	-	-
9	-	-	-
10	219	219	219
11	520	518	516
12a	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-
13	-	-	-
Subtotal	739	738	735
Upper Keys			
14	-	-	-
15	1,390	1,393	1,395
16	2,137	2,120	2,102
17	1,247	1,256	1,264
18	1,482	1,478	1,472
19-20 (g)	1,181	1,180	1,178
21	2,128	2,120	2,111
22	0	0	0
Subtotal	9,564	9,547	9,521
UNINC. TOTAL	15,242	15,250	15,243
Village of Islamorada	4,080	4,057	4,030
City of Layton	95	95	95
City of Key Colony B.	1,458	1,459	1,459
City of Marathon	2,987	2,966	2,941
City of Key West (p)	2,377	2,382	2,388
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	26,239	26,208	26,155

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

Appendix 7

Peak Population in Hotels and Motels by PAED from 1990 to 2015

Peak Number of People in Hotels and Motels

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
PAED	1990 Census Tract (e)	1990 Census Block Groups	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1990 (u)	Peak Number of Persons per Room (v)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1990 (x)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1990 [(4 x 5) x 6]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1991 (u)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1991 (x)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1991 [(8 x 5) x 9]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1992 (u)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1992 (x)
Lower Keys											
1	9718 & 9719 pt.	N/A	0	2.73	89.5%	0	0	87.3%	0	0	84.2%
2	9717	N/A	35	2.73	89.5%	86	35	87.3%	83	35	84.2%
3	9716	N/A	55	2.73	89.5%	134	55	87.3%	131	55	84.2%
4a	9715	N/A	106	2.73	89.5%	259	106	87.3%	253	106	84.2%
4b	9714 pt.	BG 4 (a)	0	2.73	89.5%	0	0	87.3%	0	0	84.2%
5	9714 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4,5 (a)	55	2.73	89.5%	134	55	87.3%	131	55	84.2%
6	9714 pt. & 9713 pt.	9714 BG 1 (b)	0	2.73	89.5%	0	0	87.3%	0	0	84.2%
Subtotal	-	-	**251**	**2.73**	**89.5%**	**613**	**251**	**87.3%**	**598**	**251**	**84.2%**
Middle Keys											
7	9713, 9712, & 9711	N/A	690	2.73	89.5%	1,686	690	87.3%	1,644	890	84.2%
8	9710 pt.	BG 3 (c)	354	2.73	89.5%	865	354	87.3%	844	354	84.2%
9	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	52	2.73	89.5%	127	52	87.3%	124	52	84.2%
10	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	45	2.73	89.5%	110	45	87.3%	107	45	84.2%
11	9710 pt.	BG 1(e)	28	2.73	89.5%	68	28	87.3%	67	28	84.2%
12a	9709 pt.	BG 5	101	2.73	89.5%	247	101	87.3%	241	101	84.2%
12b	9709 pt.	BG 1,2 (f)	295	2.73	89.5%	721	295	87.3%	703	295	84.2%
13	9709 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4 (f)	750	2.73	89.5%	1,833	790	87.3%	1,883	790	84.2%
Subtotal	-	-	**2,315**	**2.73**	**89.5%**	**5,656**	**2,355**	**87.3%**	**5,613**	**2,355**	**84.2%**
Upper Keys											
14	9708	N/A	99	2.73	89.5%	242	99	87.3%	236	99	84.2%
15	9707	N/A	100	2.73	89.5%	244	100	87.3%	238	100	84.2%
16	9706	N/A	294	2.73	89.5%	718	294	87.3%	701	294	84.2%
17	9705	N/A	490	2.73	89.5%	1,197	490	87.3%	1,166	490	84.2%
18	9704	N/A	149	2.73	89.5%	364	149	87.3%	355	149	84.2%
19-20 (g)	9703	N/A	27	2.73	89.5%	66	27	87.3%	64	63	84.2%
21	9702.98 pt.	BG 1,2,3 (h)	142	2.73	89.5%	347	142	87.3%	338	142	84.2%
22	9701 pt. & 9702.98 pt.	BG 1 (h)	0	2.73	89.5%	0	0	87.3%	0	0	84.2%
Subtotal	-	-	**1,301**	**2.73**	**89.5%**	**3,179**	**1,301**	**87.3%**	**3,101**	**1,357**	**84.2%**
UNINC. TOTAL	-	-	**3,867**	**2.73**	**89.5%**	**9,448**	**3,907**	**87.3%**	**9,312**	**3,963**	**84.2%**
Village of Islamorada	9709	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	9710	BG 1	24	2.73	89.5%	59	24	87.3%	57	24	84.2%
City of Key Colony B.	9710	BG 3	40	2.73	89.5%	98	40	87.3%	95	40	84.2%
City of Marathon	9710-9713	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	9719-9726	N/A	5,074	2.90	89.5%	13,188	5,074	87.3%	12,864	5,074	84.2%
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	-	-	**9,005**	**2.73**	**89.5%**	**22,793**	**9,045**	**87.3%**	**22,328**	**9,101**	**84.2%**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

Peak Number of People in Hotels and Motels

1	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
PAED	Peak Number of People Staying In Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1992 [(11 x 5) x 12]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1993 (u)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1993 (x)	Peak Number of People Staying In Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1993 [(14 x 5) x 15]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1994 (u)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1994 (x)	Peak Number of People Staying In Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1994 [(17 x 5) x 18]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1995 (u)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1995 (x)	Peak Number of People Staying In Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1995 [(20 x 5) x 21]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1996 (u)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1996 (x)
Lower Keys												
1	0	0	91.4%	0	0	86.4%	0	0	84.3%	0	0	86.7%
2	80	35	91.4%	87	35	86.4%	83	35	84.3%	81	35	86.7%
3	126	55	91.4%	137	55	86.4%	130	55	84.3%	127	55	86.7%
4a	244	106	91.4%	264	106	86.4%	250	106	84.3%	244	106	86.7%
4b	0	0	91.4%	0	0	86.4%	0	0	84.3%	0	0	86.7%
5	126	55	91.4%	137	55	86.4%	130	55	84.3%	127	55	86.7%
6	0	0	91.4%	0	0	86.4%	0	0	84.3%	0	0	86.7%
Subtotal	577	251	91.4%	626	251	86.4%	592	251	84.3%	578	251	86.7%
Middle Keys												
7	1,586	690	91.4%	1,722	690	86.4%	1,628	690	84.3%	1,588	690	86.7%
8	814	354	91.4%	883	354	86.4%	835	354	84.3%	815	354	86.7%
9	120	52	91.4%	130	52	86.4%	123	52	84.3%	120	52	86.7%
10	103	45	91.4%	112	45	86.4%	106	45	84.3%	104	45	86.7%
11	64	40	91.4%	100	40	86.4%	94	40	84.3%	92	40	86.7%
12a	232	101	91.4%	252	101	88.4%	238	101	84.3%	232	101	86.7%
12b	678	295	91.4%	736	295	86.4%	696	295	84.3%	679	295	86.7%
13	1,816	790	91.4%	1,971	790	88.4%	1,863	790	84.3%	1,818	790	86.7%
Subtotal	5,413	2,367	91.4%	5,908	2,367	88.4%	5,583	2,367	84.3%	5,447	2,367	86.7%
Upper Keys												
14	228	99	91.4%	247	99	86.4%	234	99	84.3%	228	99	86.7%
15	230	100	91.4%	250	100	86.4%	236	100	84.3%	230	100	86.7%
16	676	294	91.4%	734	294	86.4%	693	294	84.3%	677	294	86.7%
17	1,126	490	91.4%	1,223	490	86.4%	1,156	490	84.3%	1,128	490	86.7%
18	343	266	91.4%	664	266	86.4%	627	266	84.3%	612	266	86.7%
19-20 (g)	191	83	91.4%	207	83	86.4%	196	83	84.3%	191	83	86.7%
21	328	142	91.4%	354	142	86.4%	335	142	84.3%	327	142	86.7%
22	0	0	91.4%	0	0	86.4%	0	0	84.3%	0	0	86.7%
Subtotal	3,119	1,474	91.4%	3,678	1,474	88.4%	3,477	1,474	84.3%	3,392	1,474	86.7%
UNINC. TOTAL	9,110	4,092	91.4%	10,210	4,092	86.4%	9,652	4,092	84.3%	9,417	4,092	86.7%
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	55	24	91.4%	60	24	86.4%	57	24	84.3%	55	24	86.7%
City of Key Colony B.	92	40	91.4%	100	40	86.4%	94	40	84.3%	92	40	86.7%
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	12,407	5,074	91.4%	13,468	5,074	88.4%	12,731	5,074	84.3%	12,422	5,074	86.7%
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	21,663	9,230	91.4%	23,838	9,230	86.4%	22,534	9,230	84.3%	21,986	9,230	86.7%

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: State of Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation; TDC Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; ROGO Ordinance Guidelines

Peak Number of People in Hotels and Motels

1	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
PAED	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1996 [(23 x 5) x 24]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1997 (u)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1997 (x)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1997 [(26 x 5) x 27]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1998 (u)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1998 (x)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1998] (29 x 5) x 30]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 1999 (y)	Peak Occupancy Rate for 1999 (x)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1999 [(32 x 5) x 33]	Average Peak Occupancy Rate [(6 + 9 + 12 + 15 + 18 + 21 + 24 + 27 + 30 + 33) / # of Observations]
Lower Keys											
1	0	0	86.7%	0	0	81.5%	0	0	82.1%	0	86.0%
2	83	35	86.7%	83	35	81.5%	78	35	82.1%	78	86.0%
3	130	55	86.7%	130	55	81.5%	122	55	82.1%	123	86.0%
4a	251	106	86.7%	251	106	81.5%	236	106	82.1%	238	86.0%
4b	0	0	86.7%	0	0	81.5%	0	0	82.1%	0	86.0%
5	130	55	86.7%	130	55	81.5%	122	55	82.1%	123	86.0%
6	0	0	86.7%	0	0	81.5%	0	0	82.1%	0	86.0%
Subtotal	594	251	86.7%	594	251	81.5%	558	251	82.1%	563	86.0%
Middle Keys											
7	1,633	690	86.7%	1,633	690	81.5%	1,635	772	82.1%	1,730	86.0%
8	838	354	86.7%	838	354	81.5%	788	354	82.1%	793	86.0%
9	123	52	86.7%	123	52	81.5%	116	52	82.1%	117	86.0%
10	107	65	86.7%	154	186	81.5%	414	198	82.1%	444	86.0%
11	95	40	86.7%	95	40	81.5%	89	40	82.1%	90	86.0%
12a	239	101	86.7%	239	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	698	295	86.7%	698	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	1,870	790	86.7%	1,870	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	5,602	2,387	86.7%	5,650	1,322	81.5%	2,941	1,416	82.1%	3,174	86.0%
Upper Keys											
14	234	99	86.7%	234	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	237	100	86.7%	237	100	81.5%	222	100	82.1%	224	86.0%
16	696	294	86.7%	696	294	81.5%	654	294	82.1%	659	86.0%
17	1,160	490	86.7%	1,160	490	81.5%	1,090	490	82.1%	1,098	86.0%
18	630	266	86.7%	630	266	81.5%	592	266	82.1%	596	86.0%
19-20 (g)	196	83	86.7%	196	83	81.5%	185	83	82.1%	188	86.0%
21	336	142	86.7%	336	142	81.5%	316	142	82.1%	318	86.0%
22	0	0	86.7%	0	0	81.5%	0	0	82.1%	0	86.0%
Subtotal	3,489	1,474	86.7%	3,489	1,375	81.5%	3,059	1,375	82.1%	3,082	86.0%
UNINC. TOTAL	9,685	4,112	86.7%	9,733	2,948	81.5%	6,559	3,042	82.1%	6,818	86.0%
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	1,285	81.5%	2,869	1,285	82.1%	2,880	86.0%
City of Layton	57	24	86.7%	57	24	81.5%	53	24	82.1%	54	86.0%
City of Key Colony B.	95	40	86.7%	95	40	81.5%	89	40	82.1%	90	86.0%
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West (p)	12,775	5,074	86.7%	12,775	5,074	81.5%	12,009	5,074	82.1%	12,097	86.0%
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	22,612	9,250	86.7%	22,659	9,371	81.5%	21,570	9,465	82.1%	21,939	86.0%

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

1	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45
PAED	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 2000 (y)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2000 [(36 x 5) ÷ 35]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 2001 (y)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2001 [(38 x 5) ÷ 35]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 2002 (y)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2002 [(40 x 5) x 35]	Number of Hotel and Motel Rooms in 2003 (z)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2003 [(42 x 5) ÷ 35]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2004 (ee) [(42 x 5) x 35]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2005 (ee) [(42 x 5) x 35]
Lower Keys										
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	35	82	35	82	35	82	35	82	82	82
3	55	129	55	129	55	129	55	129	129	129
4a	106	249	106	249	106	249	106	249	249	249
4b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	55	129	55	129	55	129	55	129	129	129
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	251	589	251	589	251	589	251	589	589	589
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	222	521	246	578	270	634	270	634	634	634
11	40	94	40	94	40	94	40	94	94	94
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	262	615	286	672	310	728	310	728	3,494	3,494
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	100	235	100	235	100	235	100	235	235	235
16	294	690	294	690	294	690	316	742	742	742
17	490	1,151	490	1,151	490	1,151	490	1,151	1,151	1,151
18	266	625	266	625	266	625	266	625	625	625
19-20 (g)	83	195	83	195	83	195	83	195	195	195
21	142	333	142	333	142	333	142	333	333	333
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	1,375	3,229	1,375	3,229	1,375	3,229	1,397	3,280	3,280	3,280
UNINC. TOTAL	1,888	4,433	1,912	4,490	1,936	4,546	1,958	4,598	7,364	7,364
Village of Islamorada	1,285	3,017	1,285	3,017	1,285	3,017	1,285	3,017	3,017	3,017
City of Layton	24	56	24	56	24	56	24	56	56	56
City of Key Colony B.	40	94	40	94	40	94	40	94	94	94
City of Marathon	1,178	2,766	1,178	2,766	1,178	2,766	1,178	2,766	2,766	2,766
City of Key West (p)	5,074	12,673	5,074	12,673	5,074	12,673	5,074	12,673	11,914	11,914
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	9,489	23,040	9,513	23,096	9,537	23,153	9,559	23,204	25,211	25,211

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: State of Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation; TDC Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; ROGO Ordinance Guidelines

Peak Number of People in Hotels and Motels

1	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55
PAED	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2006 (as) [[42 x 5] x 35]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2007 [46 + (46 x 0.10%)]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Roomx During 2008 [47 + (47 x 0.10%)]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2009] 48 + (48 x 0.10%)]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2010 [49 + (49 x 0.10%)]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Roome During 2011 [50 + (50 x 0.10%)]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2012 [51 + (51 x 0.10%)]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2013] 52 + (52 x 0.10%)]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2014 [53 + (53 x 0.10%)]	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2015 [54 + (54 x 0.10%)]
Lower Keys										
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	82	82	82	82	83	83	83	83	83	83
3	129	129	129	130	130	130	130	130	130	130
4a	249	249	249	250	250	250	250	251	251	251
4b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	129	129	129	130	130	130	130	130	130	130
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	589	590	591	591	592	592	593	594	594	595
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	634	635	635	636	637	637	638	638	639	640
11	94	94	94	94	94	94	94	95	95	95
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	3,494	729	729	730	731	732	732	733	734	734
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	235	235	235	236	236	236	236	236	237	237
16	742	743	743	744	745	746	746	747	748	749
17	1,151	1,152	1,153	1,154	1,155	1,156	1,157	1,159	1,160	1,161
18	625	625	626	626	627	628	628	629	630	630
19-20 (g)	195	195	195	195	196	196	196	196	196	197
21	333	334	334	334	335	335	335	336	336	336
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	3,280	3,284	3,287	3,290	3,293	3,297	3,300	3,303	3,307	3,310
UNINC. TOTAL	7,364	4,602	4,607	4,611	4,616	4,621	4,625	4,630	4,634	4,639
Village of Islamorada	3,017	3,020	3,023	3,026	3,029	3,032	3,035	3,038	3,041	3,044
City of Layton	56	56	56	57	57	57	57	57	57	57
City of Key Colony B.	94	94	94	94	94	94	94	95	95	95
City of Marathon	2,766	2,769	2,772	2,774	2,777	2,780	2,783	2,785	2,788	2,791
City of Key West (p)	11,914	11,926	11,938	11,950	11,962	11,974	11,986	11,998	12,010	12,022
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	25,211	22,467	22,490	22,512	22,535	22,557	22,580	22,602	22,625	22,648

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: State of Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation; TDC Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; ROGO Ordinance Guidelines

Appendix 8

Peak Population in Campsites and Recreational Vehicles by PAED from 1990 to 2015

Peak Number of People in Campsites and Recreational Vehicles

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
PAED	1990 Census Tract (s)	1990 Census Block Groups	Total Number of Campsite and Recreational Vehicle Sites (sb)	Peak Number of Persons Per Site or Vehicle (sc)	Peak Utilization Rate (sd)	1990 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	1991 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	1992 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	1993 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	1994 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	1995 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]
Lower Keys											
1	9718 & 9719 pt.	N/A	217	2.93	94.31%	600	600	600	600	600	600
2	9717	N/A	179	2.93	94.31%	495	495	495	495	495	495
3	9716	N/A	394	2.93	94.31%	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089
4a	9715	N/A	100	2.93	94.31%	276	276	276	276	276	276
4b	9714 pt.	BG 4 (a)	0	2.93	94.31%	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	9714 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4,5 (a)	459	2.93	94.31%	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268
6	9714 pt. & 9713 pt.	9714 BG 1 (b)	590	2.93	94.31%	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630
Subtotal	-	-	**1,939**	**2.93**	**94.31%**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**
Middle Keys											
7	9713, 9712, & 9711	N/A	609	2.93	94.31%	1,683	1,683	1,683	1,683	1,683	1,683
8	9710 pt.	BG 3 (c)	65	2.93	94.31%	180	180	180	180	180	180
9	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	220	2.93	94.31%	608	608	608	608	608	608
10	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	0	2.93	94.31%	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	9710 pt.	BG 1(e)	415	2.93	94.31%	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147
12a	9709 pt.	BG 5	2	2.93	94.31%	6	6	6	6	6	6
12b	9709 pt.	BG 1,2 (f)	0	2.93	94.31%	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	9709 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4 (f)	85	2.93	94.31%	235	235	235	235	235	235
Subtotal	-	-	**1,396**	**2.93**	**94.31%**	**3,858**	**3,858**	**3,858**	**3,858**	**3,858**	**3,858**
Upper Keys											
14	9708	N/A	63	2.93	94.31%	174	174	174	174	174	174
15	9707	N/A	0	2.93	94.31%	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	9706	N/A	388	2.93	94.31%	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072
17	9705	N/A	0	2.93	94.31%	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	9704	N/A	692	2.93	94.31%	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912
19-20	9703	N/A	274	2.93	94.31%	757	757	757	757	757	757
21	9702.98 pt.	BG 1,2,3 (h)	43	2.93	94.31%	119	119	119	119	119	119
22	9701 pt.& 9702.98 pt.	BG 1 (h)	0	2.93	94.31%	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	-	-	**1,460**	**2.93**	**94.31%**	**4,034**	**4,034**	**4,034**	**4,034**	**4,034**	**4,034**
UNINC. TOTAL	-	-	**4,795**	**2.93**	**94.31%**	**13,250**	**13,250**	**13,250**	**13,250**	**13,250**	**13,250**
Village of Islamorada	9709	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	9710	BG 1	0	2.93	94.31%	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Key Colony B.	9710	BG 3	0	2.93	94.31%	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marathon	9710-9713	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West	9719-9726	N/A	106	2.93	94.31%	293	293	293	293	293	293
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	-	-	**4,901**	**2.93**	**94.31%**	**13,543**	**13,543**	**13,543**	**13,543**	**13,543**	**13,543**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: TDC 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix: Sampling Methodologies and Estimation Methods

1	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
PAEO	1996 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	1997 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	1998 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	1999 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	2000 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	2001 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	2002 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsitex [(4 x 5) x 6]	2003 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	2004 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	2005 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	2006 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites [(4 x 5) x 6]	2007 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites 21 + (21 x 0.10%)
Lower Keys												
1	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600
2	495	495	495	495	495	495	495	495	495	495	495	495
3	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,090
4a	276	276	276	276	276	276	276	276	276	276	276	277
4b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,268	1,270
6	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,632
Subtotal	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,358**	**5,363**
Middle Keys												
7	1,683	1,683	1,683	1,683	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	180	180	180	180	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	608	608	608	608	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,147	1,148
12a	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	235	235	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**3,858**	**3,858**	**3,617**	**3,617**	**1,147**	**1,147**	**1,147**	**1,147**	**1,147**	**1,147**	**1,147**	**1,148**
Upper Keys												
14	174	174	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,072	1,073
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,914
19-20	757	757	757	757	757	757	757	757	757	757	757	758
21	119	119	119	119	119	119	119	119	119	119	119	119
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**4,034**	**4,034**	**3,860**	**3,860**	**3,860**	**3,860**	**3,860**	**3,860**	**3,860**	**3,860**	**3,860**	**3,864**
UNINC. TOTAL	**13,250**	**13,250**	**12,835**	**12,835**	**10,365**	**10,365**	**10,365**	**10,365**	**10,365**	**10,365**	**10,365**	**10,375**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	415	415	415	415	415	415	415	415	415	415
City of Layton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Key Colony B.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	2,471	2,471	2,471	2,471	2,471	2,471	2,471	2,473
City of Key West	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**13,543**	**13,543**	**13,543**	**13,543**	**13,544**	**13,544**	**13,544**	**13,544**	**13,544**	**13,544**	**13,544**	**13,558**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: TDC 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix: Sampling Methodologies and Estimation Methods

Peak Number of People In Campsites and Recreational Vehicles

1	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
PAED	2008 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites 22 + (22 x 0.10%)	2009 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites 23 + (23 x 0.10%)	2010 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites 24 + (24 x 0.10%)	2011 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites 25 + (25 x 0.10%)	2012 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites 26 + (26 x 0.10%)	2013 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites 27 + (27 x 0.10%)	2014 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites 28 + (28 x 0.10%)	2015 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites 29 + (29 x 0.10%)
Lower Keys								
1	601	601	602	603	603	604	604	605
2	496	496	497	497	498	498	499	499
3	1,091	1,092	1,093	1,094	1,095	1,096	1,097	1,099
4a	277	277	277	278	278	278	279	279
4b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	1,271	1,272	1,273	1,275	1,276	1,277	1,279	1,280
6	1,634	1,635	1,637	1,639	1,640	1,642	1,643	1,645
Subtotal	**5,369**	**5,374**	**5,379**	**5,385**	**5,390**	**5,396**	**5,401**	**5,406**
Middle Keys								
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	1,149	1,150	1,151	1,153	1,154	1,155	1,156	1,157
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**1,149**	**1,150**	**1,151**	**1,153**	**1,154**	**1,155**	**1,156**	**1,157**
Upper Keys								
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	1,074	1,075	1,076	1,078	1,079	1,080	1,081	1,082
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	1,916	1,918	1,920	1,922	1,924	1,926	1,928	1,929
19-20	759	759	760	761	762	762	763	764
21	119	119	119	119	120	120	120	120
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**3,868**	**3,872**	**3,876**	**3,880**	**3,884**	**3,887**	**3,891**	**3,895**
UNINC. TOTAL	**10,386**	**10,396**	**10,407**	**10,417**	**10,427**	**10,438**	**10,448**	**10,459**
Village of Islamorada	416	416	417	417	417	418	418	419
City of Layton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Key Colony B.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marathon	2,476	2,478	2,481	2,483	2,486	2,488	2,491	2,493
City of Key West	293	294	294	294	295	295	295	296
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**13,571**	**13,585**	**13,598**	**13,612**	**13,625**	**13,639**	**13,653**	**13,666**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: TDC 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix: Sampling Methodologies and Estimation Methods

Appendix 9

Peak Population Staying with Friends and/or Relatives by PAED from 1990 to 2015

Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
PAED	1990 Census Tract (e)	1990 Census Block Groups	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1990 (ae)	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1990 (af)	1990 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	1990 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) [(4 + 5 + 6) x 15.5%]	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1991 (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1991 (af)	1991 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)
Lower Keys									
1	9718	N/A	115	0	600	111	116	0	600
2	9717	N/A	383	86	495	149	388	83	495
3	9716	N/A	237	134	1,089	226	241	131	1,089
4a	9715	N/A	1,800	259	276	362	1,860	253	276
4b	9714 pt.	BG 4 (a)	13	0	0	2	14	0	0
5	9714 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4,5 (a)	1,111	134	1,268	390	1,144	131	1,268
6	9714 pt. & 9713 pt.	9714 BG 1 (b)	0	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630
Subtotal	-	-	**3,659**	**613**	**5,358**	**1,493**	**3,763**	**598**	**5,358**
Middle Keys									
7	9713, 9712, & 9711	N/A	2,091	1,686	1,683	846	2,102	1,644	1,683
8	9710 pt.	BG 3 (c)	533	865	180	245	539	844	180
9	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	244	127	608	152	246	124	608
10	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	183	110	0	45	186	107	0
11	9710 pt.	BG 1(e)	471	68	1,147	261	471	67	1,147
12a	9709 pt.	BG 5	796	247	6	162	820	241	6
12b	9709 pt.	BG 1,2 (f)	101	721	0	127	108	703	0
13	9709 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4 (f)	590	1,833	235	412	598	1,883	235
Subtotal	-	-	**5,009**	**5,656**	**3,858**	**2,251**	**5,070**	**5,613**	**3,858**
Upper Keys									
14	9708	N/A	2,126	242	174	394	2,189	236	174
15	9707	N/A	1,097	244	0	208	1,140	238	0
16	9706	N/A	2,121	718	1,072	606	2,127	701	1,072
17	9705	N/A	879	1,197	0	322	903	1,168	0
18	9704	N/A	1,317	364	1,912	557	1,331	355	1,912
19-20 (g)	9703	N/A	1,005	66	757	283	1,038	64	757
21	9702.98 pt.	BG 1,2,3 (h)	1,916	347	119	369	1,932	338	119
22	9701 pt. & 9702.98 pt.	BG 1 (h)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	-	-	**10,460**	**3,179**	**4,034**	**2,739**	**10,661**	**3,101**	**4,034**
TOTAL	-	-	**19,128**	**9,448**	**13,250**	**6,483**	**19,494**	**9,312**	**13,250**
Village of Islamorada	9709	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	9710	BG 1	76	59	0	21	77	57	0
City of Key Colony B.	9710	BG 3	1,192	98	0	200	1,197	95	0
City of Marathon	9713-9710	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West	9719-9726	N/A	2,139	13,188	293	2,421	2,153	12,864	293
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	-	-	**22,535**	**22,793**	**13,543**	**9,125**	**22,922**	**22,328**	**13,543**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives

1	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
PAED	1991 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) [[8 + 9 + 10] x 15.5%]	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1992 (as)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1992 (af)	1992 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	1992 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) [[12 + 13 + 14] x 15.5%]	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1993 (as)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1993 (af)	1993 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	1993 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) [[16 + 17 + 18] x 15.5%]	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1994 (as)
Lower Keys										
1	111	116	0	600	111	116	0	600	111	117
2	150	390	80	495	150	391	87	495	151	395
3	226	246	126	1,089	227	253	137	1,089	229	258
4a	370	1,903	244	276	376	1,949	264	276	386	1,990
4b	2	15	0	0	2	16	0	0	3	17
5	394	1,166	126	1,268	397	1,198	137	1,268	404	1,216
6	253	0	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630	253	0
Subtotal	**1,506**	**3,836**	**577**	**5,358**	**1,514**	**3,923**	**626**	**5,358**	**1,536**	**3,993**
Middle Keys										
7	842	2,116	1,586	1,683	835	2,125	1,722	1,683	857	2,134
8	242	542	814	160	238	547	883	180	250	552
9	152	248	120	608	151	251	130	608	153	252
10	45	189	103	0	45	192	112	0	47	195
11	261	472	64	1,147	261	473	100	1,147	267	473
12a	185	849	232	6	168	860	252	6	173	871
12b	126	108	678	0	122	108	736	0	131	108
13	421	602	1,816	235	411	610	1,971	235	436	611
Subtotal	**2,254**	**5,126**	**5,413**	**3,858**	**2,232**	**5,167**	**5,906**	**3,858**	**2,314**	**5,196**
Upper Keys										
14	403	2,232	228	174	408	2,262	247	174	416	2,287
15	214	1,151	230	0	214	1,188	250	0	223	1,224
16	604	2,133	676	1,072	602	2,139	734	1,072	611	2,150
17	321	926	1,126	0	318	970	1,223	0	340	989
18	558	1,339	343	1,912	557	1,380	664	1,912	613	1,386
19-20 (g)	288	1,059	191	757	311	1,075	207	757	316	1,080
21	370	1,945	326	119	371	1,957	354	119	377	1,961
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**2,758**	**10,786**	**3,119**	**4,034**	**2,781**	**10,970**	**3,678**	**4,034**	**2,896**	**11,077**
TOTAL	**6,519**	**19,748**	**9,110**	**13,250**	**6,527**	**20,060**	**10,210**	**13,250**	**6,746**	**20,265**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	21	78	55	0	21	78	60	0	21	79
City of Key Colony B.	200	1,212	92	0	202	1,233	100	0	207	1,241
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West	2,373	2,167	12,407	293	2,304	2,181	13,468	293	2,471	2,195
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**9,113**	**23,205**	**21,663**	**13,543**	**9,054**	**23,553**	**23,838**	**13,543**	**9,445**	**23,781**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives

1	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
PAED	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1994 (ae)	1994 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	1994 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) [(20 + 21 + 22) x 15.5%]	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1995 (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1995 (af)	1995 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	1995 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) [(24 + 25 + 26) x 15.5%]	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1996 (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1996 (af)	1996 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)
Lower Keys										
1	0	600	111	117	0	600	111	118	0	600
2	83	495	151	397	81	495	151	400	83	495
3	130	1,089	229	262	127	1,089	229	267	130	1,089
4a	250	276	390	2,038	244	276	397	2,083	251	276
4b	0	0	3	17	0	0	3	17	0	0
5	130	1,268	405	1,232	127	1,268	407	1,253	130	1,268
6	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630
Subtotal	**592**	**5,358**	**1,541**	**4,063**	**578**	**5,358**	**1,550**	**4,138**	**594**	**5,358**
Middle Keys										
7	1,628	1,683	844	2,145	1,588	1,683	839	2,152	1,633	1,683
8	835	180	243	556	815	180	240	561	838	180
9	123	608	152	254	120	608	152	257	123	608
10	106	0	47	196	104	0	46	196	107	0
11	94	1,147	266	473	92	1,147	265	492	95	1,147
12a	238	6	173	885	232	6	174	895	239	6
12b	696	0	125	110	679	0	122	110	698	0
13	1,863	235	420	613	1,818	235	413	615	1,870	235
Subtotal	**5,583**	**3,858**	**2,269**	**5,233**	**5,447**	**3,858**	**2,253**	**5,277**	**5,602**	**3,858**
Upper Keys										
14	234	174	418	2,310	228	174	420	2,327	234	174
15	236	0	226	1,229	230	0	226	1,239	237	0
16	693	1,072	607	2,158	677	1,072	605	2,164	696	1,072
17	1,156	0	333	1,007	1,128	0	331	1,025	1,160	0
18	627	1,912	608	1,394	612	1,912	607	1,402	630	1,912
19-20 (g)	196	757	315	1,086	191	757	315	1,094	196	757
21	335	119	374	1,969	327	119	374	1,988	336	119
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**3,477**	**4,034**	**2,881**	**11,154**	**3,392**	**4,034**	**2,880**	**11,238**	**3,489**	**4,034**
TOTAL	**9,652**	**13,250**	**6,691**	**20,450**	**9,417**	**13,250**	**6,683**	**20,654**	**9,685**	**13,250**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	57	0	21	80	55	0	21	81	57	0
City of Key Colony B.	94	0	207	1,259	92	0	209	1,265	95	0
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West	12,731	293	2,359	2,210	12,422	293	2,313	2,224	12,775	293
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**22,534**	**13,543**	**9,278**	**23,999**	**21,986**	**13,543**	**9,227**	**24,224**	**22,612**	**13,543**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

1	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
PAED	1996 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) [(28 + 29 + 30) x 15.5%]	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1997 (as)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1997 (af)	1997 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	1997 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) [(32 + 33 + 34) x 15.5%]	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1998 (as)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1998 (af)	1998 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	1998 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (36 + 37 + 38) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 1999 (as)
Lower Keys										
1	111	118	0	600	111	119	0	600	111	119
2	152	402	83	495	152	404	78	495	151	405
3	230	271	130	1,089	231	274	122	1,089	230	278
4a	405	2,123	251	276	411	2,153	236	276	413	2,172
4b	3	19	0	0	3	19	0	0	3	19
5	411	1,260	130	1,268	412	1,267	122	1,268	412	1,269
6	253	0	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630	253	0
Subtotal	**1,564**	**4,193**	**594**	**5,358**	**1,572**	**4,236**	**558**	**5,358**	**1,574**	**4,263**
Middle Keys										
7	848	2,161	1,633	1,683	849	2,167	1,535	1,683	835	2,173
8	245	564	838	180	245	567	788	180	238	570
9	153	259	123	608	153	259	116	608	152	260
10	47	198	154	0	54	198	414	0	95	199
11	269	497	95	1,147	270	497	89	1,147	269	497
12a	177	900	239	6	177	-	-	-	-	-
12b	125	111	698	0	125	-	-	-	-	-
13	422	616	1,870	235	422	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**2,284**	**5,306**	**5,650**	**3,858**	**2,296**	**3,690**	**2,941**	**3,617**	**1,588**	**3,699**
Upper Keys										
14	424	2,358	234	174	429	-	-	-	-	-
15	229	1,241	237	0	229	1,244	222	0	227	1,248
16	609	2,167	696	1,072	610	2,172	654	1,072	604	2,175
17	339	1,038	1,160	0	341	1,054	1,090	0	332	1,062
18	811	1,412	630	1,912	613	1,419	592	1,912	608	1,424
19-20 (g)	317	1,099	196	757	318	1,104	185	757	317	1,109
21	379	2,000	336	119	380	2,028	316	119	382	2,056
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**2,908**	**11,315**	**3,489**	**4,034**	**2,920**	**9,020**	**3,059**	**3,860**	**2,471**	**9,074**
TOTAL	**6,756**	**20,813**	**9,733**	**13,250**	**6,788**	**16,946**	**6,559**	**12,835**	**5,633**	**17,036**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	3,998	2,859	415	1,127	4,025
City of Layton	21	82	57	0	22	83	53	0	21	84
City of Key Colony B.	211	1,276	95	0	212	1,294	89	0	214	1,312
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West	2,370	2,238	12,775	293	2,372	2,252	12,009	293	2,256	2,266
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**9,359**	**24,409**	**22,659**	**13,543**	**9,395**	**24,572**	**21,570**	**13,543**	**9,251**	**24,723**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives

1	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
PAED	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 1999 (af)	1999 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	1999 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (40 + 41 + 42) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2000 (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2000 (af)	2000 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2000 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (44 + 45 + 46) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2001 (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2001 (af)	2001 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)
Lower Keys										
1	0	600	111	120	0	600	111	120	0	600
2	78	495	152	407	82	495	152	408	82	495
3	123	1,089	231	281	129	1,089	232	284	129	1,089
4a	238	276	416	2,198	249	276	422	2,225	249	276
4b	0	0	3	20	0	0	3	20	0	0
5	123	1,268	412	1,282	129	1,268	415	1,294	129	1,268
6	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630
Subtotal	**563**	**5,358**	**1,578**	**4,307**	**589**	**5,358**	**1,589**	**4,351**	**589**	**5,358**
Middle Keys										
7	1,730	1,683	866	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	793	180	239	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	117	608	153	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	444	0	100	201	521	0	112	203	578	0
11	90	1,147	269	501	94	1,147	270	504	94	1,147
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	3,174	3,617	1,626	702	615	1,147	382	708	672	1,147
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	224	0	228	1,257	235	0	231	1,266	235	0
16	659	1,072	605	2,177	690	1,072	611	2,180	690	1,072
17	1,098	0	335	1,071	1,151	0	344	1,080	1,151	0
18	596	1,912	610	1,429	625	1,912	615	1,434	625	1,912
19-20 (g)	186	757	318	1,114	195	757	320	1,119	195	757
21	318	119	386	2,063	333	119	390	2,071	333	119
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**3,082**	**3,860**	**2,483**	**9,112**	**3,229**	**3,860**	**2,511**	**9,150**	**3,229**	**3,860**
TOTAL	**6,818**	**12,835**	**5,687**	**14,121**	**4,433**	**10,365**	**4,482**	**14,208**	**4,490**	**10,365**
Village of Islamorada	2,880	415	1,135	4,041	3,017	415	1,158	4,057	3,017	415
City of Layton	54	0	21	85	56	0	22	86	56	0
City of Key Colony B.	90	0	217	1,327	94	0	220	1,341	94	0
City of Marathon	-	-	-	3,008	2,766	2,471	1,278	3,018	2,766	2,471
City of Key West	12,097	293	2,272	2,280	12,673	293	2,363	2,293	12,673	293
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**21,939**	**13,543**	**9,332**	**24,860**	**23,040**	**13,544**	**9,524**	**25,003**	**23,096**	**13,544**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study: 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix: Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

1	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59
PAED	2001 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (48 + 49 + 50) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2002 (as)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2002 (af)	2002 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2002 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (52 + 53 + 54) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2003 (as)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2003 (af)	2003 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2003 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (56 + 55 + 56) x 15.5%
Lower Keys									
1	112	120	0	600	112	120	0	600	112
2	153	410	82	495	153	411	82	495	153
3	233	287	129	1,089	233	290	129	1,089	234
4a	426	2,251	249	276	430	2,278	249	276	434
4b	3	21	0	0	3	22	0	0	3
5	417	1,306	129	1,268	419	1,318	129	1,268	421
6	253	0	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630	253
Subtotal	**1,596**	**4,395**	**589**	**5,358**	**1,603**	**4,438**	**589**	**5,358**	**1,610**
Middle Keys									
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	121	206	634	0	130	208	634	0	130
11	270	508	94	1,147	271	511	94	1,147	272
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**392**	**713**	**728**	**1,147**	**401**	**719**	**728**	**1,147**	**402**
Upper Keys									
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	233	1,276	235	0	234	1,285	235	0	236
16	611	2,182	690	1,072	611	2,185	742	1,072	620
17	346	1,089	1,151	0	347	1,098	1,151	0	348
18	615	1,439	625	1,912	616	1,444	625	1,912	617
19-20 (g)	321	1,124	195	757	322	1,129	195	757	323
21	391	2,078	333	119	392	2,085	333	119	393
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**2,517**	**9,188**	**3,229**	**3,860**	**2,523**	**9,225**	**3,280**	**3,860**	**2,537**
TOTAL	**4,505**	**14,295**	**4,546**	**10,365**	**4,527**	**14,383**	**4,598**	**10,365**	**4,549**
Village of Islamorada	1,161	4,073	3,017	415	1,163	4,088	3,017	415	1,166
City of Layton	22	87	56	0	22	88	56	0	22
City of Key Colony B.	222	1,355	94	0	225	1,370	94	0	227
City of Marathon	1,280	3,029	2,766	2,471	1,281	3,039	2,766	2,471	1,283
City of Key West	2,365	2,307	12,673	293	2,367	2,316	12,673	293	2,369
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**9,555**	**25,146**	**23,153**	**13,544**	**9,586**	**25,284**	**23,204**	**13,544**	**9,615**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives

1	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69
PAED	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2004 (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2004 (af)	2004 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2004 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (60 + 61 + 62) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2005 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2005 (af)	2005 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2005 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (64 + 65 + 66) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2006 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2006 (af)
Lower Keys										
1	121	0	600	112	121	0	600	112	121	0
2	414	82	495	154	415	82	495	154	417	82
3	294	129	1,089	234	298	129	1,089	235	302	129
4a	2,319	249	276	441	2,359	249	276	447	2,398	249
4b	22	0	0	3	23	0	0	4	24	0
5	1,335	129	1,268	424	1,352	129	1,268	426	1,367	129
6	0	0	1,630	253	0	0	1,630	253	0	0
Subtotal	**4,506**	**589**	**5,358**	**1,620**	**4,570**	**589**	**5,358**	**1,630**	**4,630**	**589**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	210	634	0	131	212	634	0	131	213	634
11	514	94	1,147	272	517	94	1,147	272	519	94
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**724**	**3,494**	**1,147**	**403**	**729**	**3,494**	**1,147**	**404**	**733**	**3,494**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,301	235	0	238	1,316	235	0	240	1,330	235
16	2,190	742	1,072	621	2,192	742	1,072	621	2,193	742
17	1,117	1,151	0	351	1,135	1,151	0	354	1,152	1,151
18	1,454	625	1,912	619	1,463	625	1,912	620	1,470	625
19-20 (g)	1,139	195	757	324	1,149	195	757	326	1,157	195
21	2,099	333	119	395	2,110	333	119	397	2,120	333
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**9,299**	**3,280**	**3,860**	**2,548**	**9,365**	**3,280**	**3,860**	**2,558**	**9,422**	**3,280**
TOTAL	**14,529**	**7,364**	**10,365**	**4,571**	**14,663**	**7,364**	**10,365**	**4,592**	**14,784**	**7,364**
Village of Islamorada	4,106	3,017	415	1,168	4,120	3,017	415	1,170	4,129	3,017
City of Layton	89	56	0	23	90	56	0	23	91	56
City of Key Colony B.	1,384	94	0	229	1,398	94	0	231	1,410	94
City of Marathon	3,048	2,766	2,471	1,284	3,053	2,766	2,471	1,285	3,056	2,766
City of Key West	2,325	11,914	293	2,252	2,334	11,914	293	2,254	2,339	11,914
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**25,481**	**25,211**	**13,544**	**9,528**	**25,658**	**25,211**	**13,544**	**9,555**	**25,809**	**25,211**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives

1	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79
PAED	2006 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2006 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (68 + 69 + 70) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2007 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2007 (af)	2007 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2007 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (71 + 72 + 73) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2008 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2008 (af)	2008 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2008 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (76 + 77 + 78) x 15.5%
Lower Keys										
1	600	112	121	0	600	112	121	0	601	112
2	495	154	418	82	495	154	419	82	496	154
3	1,089	236	306	129	1,090	236	310	129	1,091	237
4a	276	453	2,434	249	277	459	2,469	249	277	464
4b	0	4	25	0	0	4	26	0	0	4
5	1,268	429	1,381	129	1,270	431	1,394	129	1,271	433
6	1,630	253	0	0	1,632	253	0	0	1,634	253
Subtotal	**5,358**	**1,639**	**4,686**	**590**	**5,363**	**1,649**	**4,739**	**591**	**5,369**	**1,658**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	0	131	215	635	0	132	216	635	0	132
11	1,147	273	521	94	1,148	273	522	94	1,149	274
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**1,147**	**404**	**736**	**729**	**1,148**	**405**	**738**	**729**	**1,149**	**406**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	0	242	1,342	235	0	244	1,353	235	0	246
16	1,072	621	2,191	743	1,073	621	2,188	743	1,074	621
17	0	357	1,169	1,152	0	360	1,184	1,153	0	362
18	1,912	621	1,476	625	1,914	622	1,481	626	1,916	624
19-20 (g)	757	327	1,164	195	758	328	1,169	195	759	329
21	119	399	2,127	334	119	400	2,133	334	119	401
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**3,860**	**2,567**	**9,469**	**3,284**	**3,864**	**2,576**	**9,508**	**3,287**	**3,868**	**2,583**
TOTAL	**10,365**	**4,611**	**14,891**	**4,602**	**10,375**	**4,630**	**14,985**	**4,607**	**10,386**	**4,647**
Village of Islamorada	415	1,172	4,134	3,020	415	1,173	4,136	3,023	416	1,174
City of Layton	0	23	92	56	0	23	93	56	0	23
City of Key Colony B.	0	233	1,421	94	0	235	1,431	94	0	236
City of Marathon	2,471	1,285	3,055	2,769	2,473	1,286	3,051	2,772	2,476	1,286
City of Key West	293	2,255	2,345	11,926	293	2,257	2,350	11,938	293	2,260
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**13,544**	**9,579**	**25,938**	**22,467**	**13,558**	**9,604**	**26,045**	**22,490**	**13,571**	**9,626**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives

1	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89
PAED	Peak Number of Persons Staying In Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2009 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying In Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2009 (af)	2009 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites (ag)	2009 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (80 + 81 + 82) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying In Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2010 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying In Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2010 (af)	2010 Peak Number of Persons Staying In RVs or Campsites (ag)	2010 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (84 + 85 + 86) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying In Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2011 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying In Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2011 (af)
Lower Keys										
1	121	0	601	112	121	0	602	112	120	0
2	419	82	496	155	419	83	497	155	418	83
3	313	130	1,092	238	316	130	1,093	239	319	130
4a	2,502	250	277	469	2,533	250	277	474	2,561	250
4b	27	0	0	4	28	0	0	4	29	0
5	1,406	130	1,272	435	1,416	130	1,273	437	1,425	130
6	0	0	1,635	253	0	0	1,637	254	0	0
Subtotal	**4,788**	**591**	**5,374**	**1,667**	**4,832**	**592**	**5,379**	**1,675**	**4,872**	**592**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	217	636	0	132	218	637	0	132	219	637
11	523	94	1,150	274	523	94	1,151	274	523	94
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**740**	**730**	**1,150**	**406**	**741**	**731**	**1,151**	**407**	**741**	**732**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,363	236	0	246	1,372	236	0	249	1,379	236
16	2,182	744	1,075	620	2,174	745	1,076	619	2,163	746
17	1,199	1,154	0	365	1,212	1,155	0	367	1,225	1,158
18	1,484	626	1,918	624	1,486	627	1,920	625	1,486	828
19-20 (g)	1,174	195	759	330	1,178	196	760	331	1,180	196
21	2,136	334	119	401	2,137	335	119	402	2,136	335
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**9,538**	**3,290**	**3,872**	**2,588**	**9,558**	**3,293**	**3,876**	**2,593**	**9,569**	**3,297**
TOTAL	**15,065**	**4,611**	**10,396**	**4,661**	**15,131**	**4,616**	**10,407**	**4,674**	**15,183**	**4,621**
Village of Islamorada	4,133	3,026	416	1,174	4,126	3,029	417	1,174	4,115	3,032
City of Layton	93	57	0	23	94	57	0	23	94	57
City of Key Colony B.	1,439	94	0	238	1,446	94	0	239	1,451	94
City of Marathon	3,044	2,774	2,478	1,286	3,035	2,777	2,481	1,285	3,022	2,780
City of Key West	2,355	11,950	294	2,263	2,361	11,962	294	2,266	2,366	11,974
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**26,130**	**22,512**	**13,585**	**9,645**	**26,192**	**22,535**	**13,598**	**9,660**	**26,231**	**22,557**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

1	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99
PAED	2011 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2011 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (88 + 89 + 90) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2012 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2012 (af)	2012 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2012 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (92 + 93 + 94) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2013 (o) (ae)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2013 (af)	2013 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2013 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (96 + 97 + 98) x 15.5%
Lower Keys										
1	603	112	120	0	603	112	119	0	604	112
2	497	155	417	83	498	155	415	83	498	154
3	1,094	239	321	130	1,095	240	323	130	1,096	240
4a	278	479	2,588	250	278	483	2,612	251	278	487
4b	0	5	30	0	0	5	31	0	0	5
5	1,275	439	1,432	130	1,276	440	1,438	130	1,277	441
6	1,639	254	0	0	1,640	254	0	0	1,642	254
Subtotal	**5,385**	**1,682**	**4,908**	**593**	**5,390**	**1,688**	**4,939**	**594**	**5,396**	**1,694**
Middle Keys										
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	0	133	219	638	0	133	219	638	0	133
11	1,153	274	522	94	1,154	274	520	95	1,155	274
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**1,153**	**407**	**741**	**732**	**1,154**	**407**	**739**	**733**	**1,155**	**407**
Upper Keys										
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	0	250	1,385	236	0	251	1,390	236	0	252
16	1,078	616	2,151	746	1,079	616	2,137	747	1,080	614
17	0	369	1,236	1,157	0	371	1,247	1,159	0	373
18	1,922	628	1,485	628	1,924	626	1,482	629	1,926	628
19-20 (g)	761	331	1,181	196	762	332	1,181	196	762	332
21	119	402	2,133	335	120	401	2,128	336	120	400
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**3,880**	**2,596**	**9,571**	**3,300**	**3,884**	**2,597**	**9,564**	**3,303**	**3,887**	**2,597**
TOTAL	**10,417**	**4,684**	**15,220**	**4,625**	**10,427**	**4,692**	**15,242**	**4,630**	**10,438**	**4,698**
Village of Islamorada	417	1,172	4,099	3,035	417	1,171	4,080	3,038	418	1,168
City of Layton	0	23	94	57	0	23	95	57	0	23
City of Key Colony B.	0	240	1,455	94	0	240	1,458	95	0	241
City of Marathon	2,483	1,284	3,006	2,783	2,486	1,283	2,987	2,785	2,488	1,280
City of Key West	294	2,268	2,372	11,986	295	2,271	2,377	11,998	295	2,274
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**13,612**	**9,672**	**26,246**	**22,580**	**13,625**	**9,680**	**26,239**	**22,602**	**13,639**	**9,684**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives

1	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107
PAED	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2014 (o) (aa)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2014 (at)	2014 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2014 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (96 + 97 + 98) x 15.5%	Peak Number of Persons Staying in Seasonal Dwelling Units During 2015 (o) (aa)	Peak Number of People Staying in Hotel and Motel Rooms During 2015 (at)	2015 Peak Number of Persons Staying in RVs or Campsites (ag)	2015 Peak Number of Persons Staying with Friends and Relatives (ah) (104 + 105 + 106) x 15.5%
Lower Keys								
1	118	0	604	112	117	0	605	112
2	414	83	499	154	411	83	499	154
3	325	130	1,097	241	327	130	1,099	241
4a	2,633	251	279	490	2,652	251	279	493
4b	32	0	0	5	33	0	0	5
5	1,443	130	1,279	442	1,446	130	1,280	443
6	0	0	1,643	255	0	0	1,645	255
Subtotal	4,966	594	5,401	1,699	4,987	595	5,406	1,703
Middle Keys								
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	219	639	0	133	219	640	0	133
11	518	95	1,156	274	516	95	1,157	274
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	738	734	1,156	407	735	734	1,157	407
Upper Keys								
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	1,393	237	0	253	1,395	237	0	253
16	2,120	748	1,081	612	2,102	749	1,082	609
17	1,256	1,160	0	374	1,264	1,161	0	376
18	1,478	630	1,928	625	1,472	630	1,929	625
19-20 (g)	1,180	196	763	332	1,178	197	764	331
21	2,120	336	120	399	2,111	336	120	398
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	9,547	3,307	3,891	2,595	9,521	3,310	3,895	2,592
TOTAL	**15,250**	**4,634**	**10,448**	**4,702**	**15,243**	**4,639**	**10,459**	**4,703**
Village of Islamorada	4,057	3,041	418	1,165	4,030	3,044	419	1,161
City of Layton	95	57	0	24	95	57	0	24
City of Key Colony B.	1,459	95	0	241	1,459	95	0	241
City of Marathon	2,966	2,788	2,491	1,278	2,941	2,791	2,493	1,275
City of Key West	2,382	12,010	295	2,277	2,388	12,022	296	2,279
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**26,208**	**22,625**	**13,653**	**9,685**	**26,155**	**22,648**	**13,666**	**9,683**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: 1990 Kearney/Centaur Tourism Study; 1996 Visitor Profiles:Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix; Monroe County Planning Department Population Tables

Appendix 10

Peak Population Staying on Live Aboard Vessels by PAED from 1990 to 2015

Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
PAEO	1990 Census Tract (a)	1990 Census Block Groups	Percentage of the Total Number of Liveaboard Vessels in Monroe County [al]	Average # of Persons Per Liveaboard Vessel [aj]	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 1990 [al]	1990 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels [5 x 6]	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 1991 [6 + (6 x 0.10%)]	1991 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 7)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 1992 [6 + (6 x 0.10%)]	1992 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels [4 x 10]	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 1993 [10 + (10 x 0.10%)]
Lower Keys											
1	9718 & 9719 pt.	N/A	17.91%	1.8	249	448	249	448	249	448	249
2	9717	N/A	5.80%	1.8	80	145	81	145	81	145	81
3	9716	N/A	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4a	9715	N/A	1.29%	1.8	18	32	18	32	18	32	18
4b	9714 pt.	BG 4 (a)	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	9714 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4,5 (a)	7.73%	1.8	107	193	107	193	108	194	108
6	9714 pt. & 9713 pt.	9714 BG 1 (b)	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	-	-	**32.73%**	**1.8**	**454**	**818**	**455**	**819**	**455**	**819**	**456**
Middle Keys											
7	9713, 9712, & 9711	N/A	17.01%	1.8	236	425	236	425	237	426	237
8	9710 pt.	BG 3 (c)	9.15%	1.8	127	229	127	229	127	229	127
9	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	9710 pt.	BG 2 (d)	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	9710 pt.	BG 1(e)	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12a	9709 pt.	BG 5	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12b	9709 pt.	BG 1,2 (f)	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	9709 pt.	BG 1,2,3,4 (f)	0.52%	1.8	7	13	7	13	7	13	7
Subtotal	-	-	**26.68%**	**1.8**	**370**	**666**	**371**	**667**	**371**	**668**	**371**
Upper Keys											
14	9708	N/A	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	9707	N/A	1.42%	1.8	20	35	20	35	20	35	20
16	9706	N/A	0.13%	1.8	2	3	2	3	2	3	2
17	9705	N/A	4.64%	1.8	64	116	64	116	65	116	65
18	9704	N/A	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19-20 (g)	9703	N/A	0.52%	1.8	7	13	7	13	7	13	7
21	9702.98 pt.	BG 1,2,3 (h)	7.73%	1.8	107	193	107	193	108	194	108
22	9701 pt. & 9702.98 pt.	BG 1 (h)	4.12%	1.8	57	103	57	103	57	103	57
Subtotal	-	-	**18.56%**	**1.8**	**258**	**464**	**258**	**464**	**258**	**465**	**258**
UNINC. TOTALS	-	-	**77.96%**	**1.8**	**1,082**	**1,948**	**1,083**	**1,950**	**1,084**	**1,952**	**1,085**
Village of Islamorada	9709	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Layton	9710	BG 1	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Key Colony B.	9710	BG 3	0.00%	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marathon	9710-9713	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West	9719-9726	N/A	22.04%	1.8	306	551	306	551	306	552	307
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	-	-	**100.00%**	**1.8**	**1,388**	**2,498**	**1,389**	**2,501**	**1,391**	**2,503**	**1,392**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: Monroe County Planning Department; Antonini et. al. "Boat Live-Aboards in the Florida Keys: A New Factor in Waterfront Development"

Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels

1	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
PAEO	1993 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 a 12)	Peak Number of Livesboard Vessels in 1994 (12 +) 12 a 0.10%)	1994 Peak Number of Peopls on Liveaboard Vessels (4 a 14)	Psak Number of Livseboard Vessels in 1995 (14 + (14 x 0.10%)	1995 Peak Number of People on Livsaboard Vessels (4 a 16)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 1996 (16 + (16 a 0.10%)	1996 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 s 18)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 1997 (18 + (18 x 0.10%)	1997 Psak Number of Peopls on Livesboard Vessels (4 x 20)	Psak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 1998 (20 + (20 x 0.10%)	1998 Peak Number of People on Livsaboard Vessels (4 a 22)	Peak Number of Livsaboard Vessels in 1999 (22 + (22 x 0.10%)	1999 Psak Number of People on Livseboard Vessels (4 x 24)
Lower Keys													
1	449	250	449	250	450	250	450	250	451	251	451	251	452
2	145	81	145	81	146	81	146	81	146	81	146	81	146
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4a	32	18	32	18	32	18	32	18	32	18	32	18	32
4b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	194	108	194	108	194	108	194	108	195	108	195	108	195
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**820**	**456**	**821**	**457**	**822**	**457**	**823**	**458**	**824**	**458**	**824**	**458**	**825**
Middle Keys													
7	426	237	427	237	427	238	428	238	428	238	428	238	429
8	229	128	230	128	230	128	230	128	230	128	230	128	231
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-
12b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-
13	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**668**	**372**	**669**	**372**	**670**	**372**	**670**	**373**	**671**	**366**	**659**	**366**	**659**
Upper Keys													
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-
15	36	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36
16	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3
17	116	65	116	65	116	65	117	65	117	65	117	65	117
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19-20 (g)	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13
21	194	108	194	108	194	108	194	108	195	108	195	108	195
22	103	57	103	58	104	58	104	58	104	58	104	58	104
Subtotal	**465**	**259**	**465**	**259**	**466**	**259**	**466**	**259**	**467**	**260**	**467**	**260**	**468**
UNINC. TOTALS	**1,954**	**1,086**	**1,956**	**1,088**	**1,958**	**1,089**	**1,960**	**1,090**	**1,962**	**1,084**	**1,951**	**1,085**	**1,952**
Village of Islamorada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	13	7	13
City of Layton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Key Colony B.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marathon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Key West	552	307	553	307	553	308	554	308	554	308	555	309	556
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**2,506**	**1,394**	**2,508**	**1,395**	**2,511**	**1,396**	**2,513**	**1,398**	**2,516**	**1,399**	**2,518**	**1,400**	**2,521**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: Monroe County Planning Department; Antonini et. al. "Boat Live-Aboards in the Florida Keys: A New Factor in Waterfront Development"

Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels

1	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37
PAEO	Peak Number of Livaaboard Veeeels in 2000 [24 + (24 x 0.10%)]	2000 Peak Number of People on Livesboard Vessels [4 x 26]	Peak Number of Livexboard Vessels in 2001 [26 + (26 x 0.10%)]	2001 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels [4 x 28]	Peak Number of Liveeboard Vaaaels in 2002 [28 + (28 x 0.10%)]	2002 Peak Number of People on Livesboard Vesseie [4 x 30]	Peak Number of Livesboard Veeeels in 2003 [30 + (30 x 0.10%)]	2003 Peak Number of People on Livesboerd Veesels [4 x 32]	Peak Number of Livesboard Veeeels in 2004 [32 + (32 x 0.10%)]	2004 Peak Number of Psople on Livaeboerd Vaaaels [4 x 34]	Peak Number of Livesboard Vaaaals in 2005 [34 + (34 x 0.10%)]	2005 Pask Number of People on Liveaboard Veseeie [4 x 36]
Lower Keys												
1	251	452	251	452	252	453	252	453	252	454	252	454
2	81	146	81	146	81	147	82	147	82	147	82	147
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4a	18	33	18	33	18	33	18	33	18	33	18	33
4b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	108	195	109	195	109	196	109	196	109	196	109	196
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**459**	**826**	**459**	**827**	**460**	**826**	**460**	**828**	**461**	**829**	**461**	**830**
Middle Keys												
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1 t	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Upper Keys												
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36
16	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3
17	65	117	65	117	65	117	65	117	65	118	65	118
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19-20 (g)	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13
21	108	195	109	195	109	196	109	196	109	196	109	196
22	58	104	58	104	58	t04	58	104	58	104	58	105
Subtotal	**260**	**468**	**260**	**469**	**261**	**469**	**261**	**470**	**261**	**470**	**261**	**471**
UNINC. TOTALS	**719**	**1,294**	**720**	**1,296**	**720**	**1,297**	**721**	**1,298**	**722**	**1,299**	**723**	**1,301**
Village of Islamorada	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13
City of Layton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Key Colony B.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marathon	366	659	367	660	367	661	367	661	368	662	368	663
City of Key West	309	556	309	557	310	557	310	558	310	558	310	559
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**1,401**	**2,522**	**1,403**	**2,525**	**1,404**	**2,527**	**1,406**	**2,530**	**1,407**	**2,533**	**1,408**	**2,535**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: Monroe County Planning Department; Antonini et. al. "Boat Live-Aboards in the Florida Keys: A New Factor in Waterfront Development"

1	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49
PAEO	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2006 [36 + (36 x 0.10%)]	2006 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 38)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2007 [38 + (38 x 0.10%)]	2007 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 40)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2008 [40 + (40 x 0.10%)]	2008 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 42)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2009 [42 + (42 x 0.10%)]	2009 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 44)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2010 [44 + (44 x 0.10%)]	2010 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 46)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2011 [46 + (46 x 0.10%)]	2011 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 48)
Lower Keys												
1	253	455	253	455	253	456	253	456	254	457	254	457
2	82	147	82	147	82	148	82	148	82	148	82	148
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4a	18	33	18	33	18	33	18	33	18	33	18	33
4b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	109	196	109	196	109	197	109	197	109	197	110	197
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**462**	**831**	**462**	**832**	**463**	**833**	**463**	**833**	**463**	**834**	**464**	**835**
Middle Keys												
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**0**	0	**0**	**0**	0	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	0	**0**	**0**
Upper Keys												
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36
16	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3
17	65	118	65	118	66	118	66	118	66	118	66	118
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19-20 (g)	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13
21	109	196	109	196	109	197	109	197	109	197	110	197
22	58	105	58	105	58	105	58	105	58	105	58	105
Subtotal	**262**	**471**	**262**	**472**	**262**	**472**	**263**	**473**	**263**	**473**	**263**	**473**
UNINC. TOTALS	**723**	**1,302**	**724**	**1,303**	**725**	**1,305**	**726**	**1,306**	**726**	**1,307**	**727**	**1,309**
Village of Islamorada	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13
City of Leyton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Key Colony B.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marathon	369	663	369	664	369	665	370	665	370	666	370	667
City of Key West	311	559	311	560	311	561	312	561	312	562	312	562
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**1,410**	**2,538**	**1,411**	**2,540**	**1,413**	**2,543**	**1,414**	**2,545**	**1,415**	**2,548**	**1,417**	**2,550**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: Monroe County Planning Department; Antonini et. al. "Boat Live-Aboards in the Florida Keys: A New Factor in Waterfront Development"

Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels

1	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57
PAED	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2012 [48 + (48 x 0.10%)]	2012 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 50)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2013 [50 + (50 x 0.10%)]	2013 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 52)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2014 [52 + (52 x 0.10%)]	2014 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 54)	Peak Number of Liveaboard Vessels in 2015 [54 + (54 x 0.10%)]	2015 Peak Number of People on Liveaboard Vessels (4 x 56)
Lower Keys								
1	254	457	254	458	255	458	255	459
2	82	148	82	148	82	148	83	149
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4a	18	33	18	33	18	33	18	33
4b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	110	197	110	198	110	198	110	198
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	**464**	**836**	**465**	**837**	**465**	**838**	**466**	**838**
Middle Keys								
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Upper Keys								
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	20	36	20	36	20	36	20	36
16	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3
17	66	118	66	119	66	119	66	119
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19-20 (g)	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13
21	110	197	110	198	110	198	110	198
22	59	105	59	105	59	106	59	106
Subtotal	**263**	**474**	**264**	**474**	**264**	**475**	**264**	**475**
UNINC. TOTALS	**728**	**1,310**	**728**	**1,311**	**729**	**1,313**	**730**	**1,314**
Village of Islamorada	7	13	7	13	7	13	7	13
City of Layton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Key Colony B.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marathon	371	667	371	668	372	669	372	669
City of Key West	313	563	313	563	313	564	314	564
COUNTY TOTAL (q)	**1,418**	**2,553**	**1,420**	**2,555**	**1,421**	**2,558**	**1,423**	**2,561**

SEE FINAL PAGE FOR FOOTNOTES

SOURCE: Monroe County Planning Department; Antonini et. al. "Boat Live-Aboards in the Florida Keys: A New Factor in Waterfront Development"

Appendix 11

Footnotes

FOOTNOTES

(a) The Census places No Name Key within Tract 9714 Block Group 4, but the figures for No Name Key are calculated seperately in this model.

(b) The Census includes W. Summerland, Bahia Honda, Ohio, & Missouri Keys within Tract 9714 Block Group 1. The totals for these islands as well as Pigeon Key have been aggregated seperately in this model.

(c) The City of Key Colony Beach is excluded from the totals for this PAED.

(d) The Census includes Grassy and Duck Keys within Tract 9710 Block Group 2, but the figures for either PAED are calculated seperately in this model.

(e) The City of Layton is excluded from the totals for this PAED.

(f) The Census includes Windley Key (PAED 12b) within Tract 9709 Block Groups 1 and 2. The totals for Windley Key have been aggregated seperately in this model.

(g) PAEDs 19 and 20 are divided by US Highway 1. Neither the Census data nor the Building Department data have been aggregated in this way. As a result, the population figures for both these PAEDs have been aggregated in this model.

(h) The Census includes Cross Key (PAED 22) within Tracts 9701 and 9702.98, but the figures for Cross Key have been aggregated seperately in this model.

(i) This is a surrogate measurement that compares the total population to the total number of housing units. This figure accounts for persons in group quarters and the number of vacant housing units. This figure is NOT persons per household, which is based upon the number of persons in occupied housing units only.

(j) This number accounts for persons in group quarters, and accounts for the number of vacant housing units based upon the persons-per-total-housing-units figure (column 13). This number does NOT include seasonal population.

(k) This number was derived by examining the number of CO's by PAED through December 31, 1999.

(l) This number uses the total number of residential permits available based on the current ROGO system. PAED totals were derived by mutiplying the total number of permits available for a sub-district by a PAED's historical contribution to the number of units built in that sub-district from 1990 to 1999 (column 55).

(m) The 1999 Total Population is an estimate based on the number of Certificates of Occupancy issued.

(n) These population forecasts are based on the mean historical percent increases. There are currently no ROGO guidelines for development after 2003.

(o) The percent increase figure has a .10% reduction (over the previous year's total) which is applied as an assumption that population will tend to level off or decline as a function of reduced carrying capacity and slower growth rates.

(p) Key West population figures come from Tables 1.1 and 1.3 of their Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR). Key West totals in these tables differ from 1990 Census STF1A figures based upon their inclusion of military housing/population (not included in the Census). For consistency, the Key West EAR figures were used in this model, but the military housing/population figures were removed from the 1990 data columns. This data comes from Key West, not the Monroe County Planning Dept. Please note that the Key West Peak Seasonal Tourist Population figures INCLUDE day visitors, while the Monroe County figures do not.

(q) The County Total figure excludes Mainland Monroe County, the Marquesas, and the Dry Tortugas.

(r) The number of seasonal units is derived from the 1990 Census.

(s) This number is taken from the Permanent Resident Population Estimates and Forecasts model, which is based upon the number of Certificates of Occupancy issued. Key West figures are taken from their Evaluation and Appraisal Report, not from the Monroe County Planning Department.

(t) This number is taken from Table A.1.32 of the TDC's "Technical Appendix: Sampling Methodologies and Estimation Methods Applied to the Florida Keys/Key West Visitors Surveys" document prepared by Vernon Leeworthy in December of 1996. Key West's figure comes from their Evaluation and Appraisal Report.

(u) This number is derived from the State of Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation Master List of Hotels, Motels, B&B's and Transient Apartments. The number of units which were issued Certificates of Occupancy duirng the year by the Monroe County Building Department have been added to the State's figures.

(v) This number comes from the TDC's Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West 1996 Study Technical Appendix Table A.1.32 on Page 28.

(x) This number comes from the "Trend Report" prepared by Smith Travel Research for the TDC. This rate includes all of Monroe County including Key West, and is the highest monthly occupancy rate for the year.

(y) This number is derived by including the existing number of rooms plus any projects which may have been vested. The Village at Hawks Cay has approximately 71 units which are not constructed by 1999. These remaining units have been divided up evenly over 2000, 2001, and 2002.

(z) This number includes all vested hotel/motel units remaining.

(aa) This number remains constant as a result of the Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan Policy 101.2.6 (ROGO) which places a moratorium on these units.

(ab) This number comes from the TDC's Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West 1996 Study Technical Appendix Table A.1.30 Pages 25 and 26. The numbers found in the TDC study are for 1996, but have been used for the period from 1990 to 2015 based upon the moratorium on these types of uses noted in Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan Policy 101.2.6.

(ac) This number comes from the TDC's Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West 1996 Study Technical Appendix Table A.1.31 "Number per Party" on Page 27.

(ad) This number comes from the TDC's Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West 1996 Study Technical Appendix Table A.1.31 on Page 27. The figure is the peak "Capacity Utilization Rate", which is "campsite usage" divided by total campsite capacity. This number serves as a peak occupancy rate for this submodel.

(ae) This number is derived from the Peak Number of People in Seasonal Residences table.

(af) This number is derived from the Peak Number of People in Hotels and Motels table.

(ag) This number is derived from the Peak Population in Campsites and Recreational Vehicles Model.

(ah) This number results from the TDC's Visitors Guide: Florida Keys/Key West Technical Appendix Table A.1.34 Page 31. This study has determined that 15.5% of all visitors to the Keys stay with friends and relatives.

(al) This number is derived from the 1993 Marina Survey performed by the Monroe County Marine Resources Department.

FOOTNOTES

(aj) This number is derived from the Antonini et. al. study "Boat Live-Aboards in the Florida Keys: A New Factor in wtarefront Development" (Page x of the abstract).

(al) This number is derived by dividing the total number of liveaboard vessels (from the Antonini study) by the appropriate percentages found in the Marine Resources 1993 survey.

APPENDIX 2



SECTION 2

Population and Water Demand Forecast Summary

2.1 Introduction

The development of accurate population projections and water use demands for the Florida Keys is challenging because of the high number of seasonal residents (those residing in the Keys for 6 months or less), and day visitors (from cruise ships docking in Key West or visitors from the mainland). The population projections developed by the Monroe County Planning Department (MCPD) and used in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) *Florida Keys Carrying Capacity Study* (USACE, 2003) are used as the basis for the majority of population projections developed by other agencies, and were also used for this analysis.

The MCPD permanent and seasonal population projections were used to develop a functional population (permanent plus seasonal) for FKAA's service area through 2025. The projected population was then multiplied by FKAA's projected per capita demand to project customer demand in the service area. The per capita demand is projected to increase as the changing demographics of the Keys result in higher individual water use (for example, redevelopment of existing property usually involves some level of irrigation or other water-consuming amenities where none previously existed).

2.2 Projected Population

2.2.1 Permanent Population

The MCPD permanent population projections include the most appropriate and applicable information and are viewed as the basis of future projections in this Plan. From 1990 through 1995, the permanent population of Monroe County increased from 78,856 to 79,200 (0.4 percent); from 1995 through 2000, it increased from 79,200 to 79,589 (0.5 percent); and from 2000 through 2005, it increased from 79,589 to 81,701 (2.7 percent).

The MCPD data in the USACE *Carrying Capacity Study* (USACE, 2003) project a permanent population of 79,589 for 2000; 81,701 in 2005; 83,400 in 2010; 83,799 in 2015; 84,200 in 2020; and 84,603 in 2025. Exhibit 2-1 summarizes the MCPD permanent population projections. The permanent population is projected to grow at an overall average rate of 1.2 percent for each 5-year period from 2005 through 2025, with a higher rate (2.1 percent from 2005 to 2010) and a lower rate (0.5 percent) thereafter as Monroe County nears build-out.

2.2.2 Seasonal Population

The MCPD seasonal population projections in the USACE *Carrying Capacity Study* (USACE, 2003) also were used to project the seasonal population for Monroe County. Although there are no exact counts of the seasonal population, the MCPD developed historical seasonal population projections for 1990, 1995, and 2000. The MCPD projected that the 1990 seasonal population of 70,493 increased by 1.1 percent to a 1995 population of 71,266, and further increased by 3.1 percent to a 2000 seasonal population of 73,491.The MCPD continues these seasonal population projections in 5-year increments starting with a seasonal population of 73,737 in 2005; 74,533 in 2010; 74,712 in 2015; 74,891 in 2020; and 75,071 in 2025. The seasonal population is projected to increase at an overall average rate of 0.4 percent for each 5-year period from 2005 through 2025, with a 1.1 percent increase between 2005 and 2010 and a 0.24 percent increase from 2010 through 2025.

2.2.3 Functional Population

The term "functional population" is a concept that incorporates three elements of population: permanent residents, seasonal visitors, and day visitors. Because of the unique nature of the Keys, which has an economy based on seasonal tourism, it is appropriate to use one "population" number that incorporates these three separate population components.

In 2004 and 2005, CH2M HILL developed population projections for the FKAA service area. The population projections were based on those developed by the Monroe County Planning Department, and combined the permanent population with the seasonal population to form a "functional population" that was used to estimate water demand.

As part of this effort, CH2M HILL contacted the agencies or municipalities listed in Exhibit 2-1 to determine if they could provide data that could be used to develop population projections for the FKAA service area. Ultimately, it was decided to use the functional population projections developed by Monroe County.

Exhibit 2-2 presents the MCPD permanent, seasonal, and functional populations between 1990 and 2025. For this Plan, the functional population value is used in all per capita calculations and estimates. There are approximately 3.6 people per customer account within FKAA's service area using functional population as the basis.

Exhibit 2-3 summarizes the percent change in the functional population in 5-year intervals, starting in 1990.

EXHIBIT 2-1
Sources of Population Projection Data

Agency or Municipality	Type of Data	Contact Person	Data Source	Comments
University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR)	Permanent Population Projections	N/A	2003 Annual Report	Projections for the entire state of Florida by county from 2000 through 2025.
Monroe County Planning Department	Permanent and Seasonal Population Projections	N/A	2004 Comprehensive Plan and Facilities Capacity Assessment	Seasonal and permanent population projections were based off 1990 US Census and updated with the 2000 US Census numbers for the entire county.
Monroe County Tourist Development Council (TDC)	Hotel, Cruise Ship, and Airline Data	Jessica Mazzola, Director of Market Research	Three Penny Revenue Report, Call Counts, Trend Report and Airline Passenger Data Report FY 2004	The most detailed seasonal data, but did not account for the “day trip” visitor.
Islamorada	Permanent Population Projections	Patrick Small, Principal Planner	2003 Comprehensive Plan	Developed based on the US Census.
Key Colony Beach	Permanent and Seasonal Population Projections	Clyde Burnett, Mayor	1992 Comprehensive Plan	Developed based on the US Census.
Key West	Permanent and Seasonal Population Projections	Ty Symrowski, City Planner	1994 Comprehensive Plan	Developed based on the US Census.
Layton	Permanent and Seasonal Population Projections	Norm Anderson, City Council	N/A	Developed based on the US Census.
Marathon	Permanent and Seasonal Population Projections	Katie Parker, Planner	2003 Comprehensive Plan	Developed based on the US Census.
U.S. Census	Permanent Population Estimates	N/A	2000 Census Estimates	Permanent population estimates for 2000 by county.
South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD)	Population and Water Demand Projections	N/A	Lower East Coast Regional Water Supply Plan (LEC RWSP) (2003)	Draft data from November 2003.
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)	Permanent and Seasonal Population Projections	N/A	Carrying Capacity Study (2003)	Included constraints on growth and environmental factors.

Note:
N/A = not applicable

EXHIBIT 2-2
Monroe County Historic and Projected Population Projections

Year	Permanent Population	Seasonal Population	Functional Population (Permanent + Seasonal + Day Visitors)
1990	**78,856**	**70,493**	**149,349**
1991	78,925	70,648	149,572
1992	78,994	70,802	149,796
1993	79,062	70,957	150,019
1994	79,131	71,111	150,243
1995	**79,200**	**71,266**	**150,466**
1996	79,278	71,421	150,698
1997	79,356	71,575	150,931
1998	79,433	71,730	151,163
1999	79,511	71,884	151,396
2000	**79,589**	**73,491**	**153,080**
2001	80,011	73,540	153,552
2002	80,434	73,589	154,023
2003	80,856	73,639	154,495
2004	81,279	73,688	154,966
2005	**81,701**	**73,737**	**155,438**
2006	82,041	73,896	155,937
2007	82,381	74,055	156,436
2008	82,720	74,215	156,935
2009	83,060	74,374	157,434
2010	**83,400**	**74,533**	**157,933**
2011	83,480	74,569	158,049
2012	83,560	74,605	158,164
2013	83,639	74,640	158,280
2014	83,719	74,676	158,395
2015	**83,799**	**74,712**	**158,511**
2016	83,879	74,748	158,627
2017	83,959	74,784	158,743
2018	84,040	74,819	158,859
2019	84,120	74,855	158,975
2020	**84,200**	**74,891**	**159,091**
2021	84,281	74,927	159,208
2022	84,361	74,963	159,324
2023	84,442	74,999	159,441
2024	84,522	75,035	159,557
2025	**84,603**	**75,071**	**159,674**

EXHIBIT 2-3
5-Year Historic and Projected Percent Changes in Functional Population

5-Year Period	Percent Change in Functional Population
1990–1995	0.75
1995–2000	1.74
2000–2005	1.54
2005–2010	1.61
2010–2015	0.37
2015–2020	0.37%
2020–2025	0.37%

2.3 Historic Water Production

CH2M HILL reviewed the raw water pumpage records from the FKAA's Florida City well-field from 1990 through 2005. These data, obtained from the FKAA's previous water use permit (WUP) applications, Monthly Operating Reports (MORs), and Monthly Production Reports (MPRs), are summarized in Exhibit 2-4. Exhibit 2-5 summarizes the same data for finished water; however, because of limited continuous annual historic data, a summary from 2000 to 2005 is presented.

Unlike population growth, which increased less than 2 percent for each 5-year period from 1990 through 2005 (Exhibit 2-3), the average-day raw water demand for the FKAA service area increased much more rapidly. From 1990 to 1995, the average-day raw water demand for the service area increased from 12.06 mgd to 14.07 mgd, an increase of 16.7 percent. From 1995 to 2000, the average-day demand increased by 21.2 percent, from 14.07 mgd to 17.06 mgd. From 2000 to 2005, average-day demand increased by only 4.0 percent, from 17.06 mgd to 17.73 mgd.

As seen in Exhibits 2-4 and 2-5, the 2000 drought with water restrictions resulted in significantly lower demands in 2001 (the year in which water restrictions were fully implemented). It is also possible that reduction in travel following the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks resulted in less tourism.

EXHIBIT 2-4
Historic Raw Water Production

Year	Annual Demand (MG)	Average Daily Demand (mgd)	Max Day Demand (mgd)	Average Monthly Demand (MG)	Per Capita Demand (gpcd)
1990	4,404	12.06	**14.89**	367.01	80.74
1991	4,290	11.75	14.87	357.53	78.53
1992	4,742	12.98	15.9	395.16	86.67
1993	5,163	14.14	16.76	430.29	94.23
1994	5,076	13.90	16.88	423.01	92.50
1995	5,140	14.07	**16.49**	428.37	93.53
1996	5,273	14.44	17.82	439.40	95.80
1997	5,357	14.67	18.36	446.38	97.17
1998	5,622	15.39	18.29	468.49	101.82
1999	5,953	16.30	20.24	496.06	107.65
2000	6,230	17.06	**20.79**	519.15	111.42
2001	5,627	15.41	19.15	468.89	100.32
2002	6,191	16.95	20.46	515.93	110.05
2003	6,288	17.22	22.20	524.01	111.43
2004	6,383	17.48	22.00	531.92	112.77
2005	6,477	17.73	**22.39**	539.75	114.10

Notes:
MG=million gallons
mgd=million gallons per day
gpcd=gallons per capita per day
per capita=functional population

EXHIBIT 2-5
Historic Finished Water Production

Year	Annual Demand (MG)	Average Daily Demand (mgd)	Max Day Demand (mgd)	Average Monthly Demand (MG)	Per Capita Demand (gpcd)
2000	6,116	16.76	20.46	510	109.46
2001[a]	5,558	15.23	19.24	463	99.17
2002	6,031	16.52	19.95	503	107.28
2003	6,248	17.12	20.43	521	110.80
2004	6,347	17.39	20.43	529	112.22
2005	6,338	17.36	22.30	528	111.71

Notes:
[a]2001 maximum day for historic finished water was higher than maximum day for raw water (Exhibit 2-3) because of higher pumpage from storage tanks at Florida City during peak hours.
MG=million gallons mgd=million gallons per day
gpcd=gallons per capita per day per capita=functional population

Exhibit 2-6 compares the changes in population, raw water demand, and per capita use for the 5-year periods from 1990 through 2005.

EXHIBIT 2-6
5-Year Historic Percent Changes in Functional Population, Raw Water Demand, and Per Capita Water Demand

5-Year Period	Percent Change in Functional Population	Percent Change in Average Day Raw Water Demand	Percent Change in per Capita Water Demand
1990–1995	0.75	16.72	15.85
1995–2000	1.74	21.19	19.12
2000–2005	1.54	3.97	2.39

The high rate of growth in raw water demand, along with an increasing per capita demand over time, as seen in Exhibit 2-4, is primarily the result of a strong shift in demographics seen in the FKAA service area. The Keys as a whole are seeing an increasing number of affluent customers moving into the area who are then displacing the lower-income demographic group. These new customers are either improving existing homes or tearing them down and building larger, more expensive homes that use more water. Thus, new customers are consuming more water on a per capita basis and resulting in a higher per capita and average-day demand for the FKAA service area. This hypothesis was explored by investigating two issues believed to possibly contribute to this trend:

- Swimming pool construction permits, and
- Differences in water use following a home sale.

2.3.1 Swimming Pool Construction Permits

Homes constructed or redeveloped by higher-income residents typically have swimming pools, which further increase the water demand for that residence. CH2M HILL obtained the annual number of swimming pool construction permits issued by Monroe County from 1995 through 2004, as shown in Exhibit 2-7.

EXHIBIT 2-7
Annual Swimming Pool Construction Permits Issued by Monroe County

Year	Number of New Swimming Pool Construction Permits Issued	Percent Annual Increase
1995	65	N/A
1996	82	26
1997	88	7
1998	98	11
1999	88	-10
2000	96	9
2001	88	-8
2002	111	26
2003	195	76
2004	153	-22
Annual Average	**106**	**13**

The rate of swimming pool permit issuance increased, on average, by 13 percent annually from 1995 through 2004, which included both the drought year and recovery of 2001 as well as 2004, which had multiple hurricanes. In the past 3 years (2002 through 2004), pool permit issuance increased by an average of 27 percent annually (except 2004). Since 1995, the number of permits issued annually has increased by more than 250 percent.

2.3.2 Current Homeowner Versus Former Homeowner Water Use

In a trend that has become commonplace across Florida, higher-income residents are displacing lower-income residents and substantially improving their "new" homes. Many of these improvements involve upgrading the landscaping with lush foliage that typically requires substantially more irrigation than the previous landscaping. In addition, many times smaller homes are replaced with larger homes with more bathrooms. As a result of these changes, many of these residences show large increases in water use without a corresponding increase in population. Additionally, higher-income residents are typically less sensitive to increases in their water bill and are less likely to decrease their water use in response to the increased cost. Combined, these factors can result in an increased per capita water use by new residents.

CH2M HILL analyzed residential home sales prices to determine if the increase in home sales prices could be correlated with increasing per capita water demand. Individual account data were only available from 2000 through 2004, and to compare a full year of usage for each customer, only home sales occurring between 2002 and 2003 were used, which allowed for at least one full year of usage data before and after the sale. Water use in 2001 was excluded because of the impact of the water restrictions in effect at this time.

The FKAA service area is made up of several municipalities that are going through redevelopment and changes in demographics at different paces. To substantiate the correlation between increased home values and water use, CH2M HILL examined the home sale data for each separate municipality and for Monroe County as a whole.

1. CH2M HILL analyzed 2002 and 2003 home sale data provided by the Monroe County Property Appraiser to identify transactions in which the previous sale price was $150,000 or less. In 2002, 163 sales met this criterion; 268 purchases met this criterion in 2003.

2. The annual water use for each group of residential accounts was summed for 2000 through 2004.

3. For homes sold in 2002, their 2003 and 2004 water use was compared to their water use in 2000. Data from 2001 were excluded because of the drought, and data from 2002 (the sale year) were not included because of homes being sold at different times during the year and the lack of a full year's worth of water use under either the old or new homeowners.

4. The water use in homes sold in 2004 was compared against their 2002 water use. Data from 2001 and 2003 were excluded as noted above.

For the 2002 home sales in Monroe County, water use increased by 13.4 percent in 2003 and 21.1 percent in 2004 when compared to water use in 2000. For the 2003 home sales, water use increased by 11.4 percent in 2004 when compared to 2002 water use.

A technical memorandum documenting the projected change in per capita use, prepared for the South Florida Water Management District as part of the FKAA's water use permitting process is included as Appendix A.

2.4 Projected Finished Water Demand

The projected finished water demands for the FKAA service area from 2005 through 2025 are shown in tabular form in Exhibit 2-8 and in graphical form in Exhibit 2-9.

EXHIBIT 2-8
FKAA Service Area Projected 2005–2025 Population and Finished Water Demands

	Population			Finished Water Demands					
Year	Permanent	Seasonal	Functional	per capita (gpcd)	Avg. Day (mgd)	Max. Day (mgd)	Annual (MG)	Avg. Mo. (MG)	Max. Mo. (MG)
2005 (Actual)	**81,701**	**73,737**	**155,438**	**114.08**	**17.73**	**22.39**	**6477**	**539.74**	**597.15**
2006	82,041	73,896	155,937	119.15	18.58	23.23	6786	565.53	625.69
2007	82,381	74,055	156,436	119.99	18.77	23.46	6856	571.31	632.08
2008	82,720	74,215	156,935	122.34	19.2	24.00	7013	584.40	646.56
2009	83,060	74,374	157,434	124.75	19.64	24.55	7174	597.79	661.38
2010	**83,400**	**74,533**	**157,933**	**127.08**	**20.07**	**25.09**	**7331**	**610.88**	**675.86**
2011	83,480	74,569	158,049	129.58	20.48	25.60	7480	623.36	689.67
2012	83,560	74,605	158,164	132.01	20.88	26.10	7626	635.54	703.14
2013	83,639	74,640	158,280	134.45	21.28	26.60	7773	647.71	716.61
2014	83,719	74,676	158,395	136.87	21.68	27.10	7919	659.89	730.08
2015	**83,799**	**74,712**	**158,511**	**139.30**	**22.08**	**27.60**	**8065**	**672.06**	**743.55**
2016	83,879	74,748	158,627	140.90	22.35	27.94	8163	680.28	752.64
2017	83,959	74,784	158,743	142.43	22.61	28.26	8258	688.19	761.40
2018	84,040	74,819	158,859	144.03	22.88	28.60	8357	696.41	770.49
2019	84,120	74,855	158,975	145.56	23.14	28.93	8452	704.32	779.24
2020	**84,200**	**74,891**	**159,091**	**147.15**	**23.41**	**29.26**	**8551**	**712.54**	**788.34**
2021	84,281	74,927	159,208	147.61	23.5	29.38	8583	715.28	791.37
2022	84,361	74,963	159,324	148.13	23.6	29.50	8620	718.33	794.73
2023	84,442	74,999	159,441	148.64	23.7	29.63	8656	721.37	798.10
2024	84,522	75,035	159,557	149.04	23.78	29.73	8686	723.80	800.80
2025	**84,603**	**75,071**	**159,674**	**149.55**	**23.88**	**29.85**	**8722**	**726.85**	**804.16**

Notes:
Population data based on Monroe County Planning Department data in the USACE *Carrying Capacity Study* (2003).
Maximum-day demand based on maximum-day to average-day ratio of 1.25 (2002–2005 average).
Maximum-month demand based on maximum-month to average-month ratio of 1.11 (2002–2005 average).
The projections include the U.S. Navy's historic use of 1.05 mgd out of their contract for 2.4 mgd.




EXHIBIT 2-9
Graphic Representation of FKAA Service Area Projected 2005–2025 Population and Finished Water Demands

Including the existing U.S. Navy's historic demand of 1.05 mgd, the projected average-day finished water demand for the FKAA service area is expected to be 20.07 mgd in 2010, 22.08 mgd in 2015, 23.41 mgd in 2020, and 23.88 mgd in 2025. Maximum-day demands to be used to determine treatment plant capacity needs are expected to be 25.09 mgd in 2010, 27.60 mgd in 2015, 29.26 mgd in 2020, and 29.85 mgd in 2025 (see Exhibit 2-8).

In comparing FKAA's finished water demands and per capita water use to other large utilities across South Florida, as listed in the SFWMD Lower East Coast (LEC) Water Supply Plan (Chapter 5 – Table 59), both FKAA's existing (2005) per capita water use (114.08 gpcd) and projected (2025) per capita water use (149.55 gpcd) are well below the LEC Water Supply Plan's calculated average of 172 gpcd.

2.4.1 Peak Hour Demands

FKAA provided daily log sheets from the J. Robert Dean Water Treatment Plant (WTP) for selected periods in 2004 and 2005 with above-normal demand, such as Spring Break, Memorial Day, and July 4th.

The highest hourly flow rate (23.9 mgd) occurred on March 25, 2005. The 2005 average daily flow rate was 17.73 mgd; therefore, the peak hour demand peaking factor is the peak hour

(23.9 mgd) divided by the average daily flow for the year (17.73 mgd), or 1.35. This is significantly lower than the typical utility's 3.0 to 6.0 average-day to peak-hour demand ratio reported by Haestad Methods (2003). This discrepancy is attributed to the buffering capacity of the nearly 45 MG of storage in FKAA's distribution and transmission system.

This peaking factor was used in conjunction with the average-day demands in Exhibit 2-8 to develop peak hour demands for the FKAA service area. Exhibit 2-10 presents the projected peak hour demand for the FKAA service area through 2025.

EXHIBIT 2-10
Projected Peak Hour Demands

Year	Average Day Demand (mgd)	Peak Hour Demand (mgd)
2006	18.58	25.08
2007	18.77	25.34
2008	19.2	25.92
2009	19.64	26.51
2010	**20.07**	**27.09**
2011	20.48	27.65
2012	20.88	28.19
2013	21.28	28.73
2014	21.68	29.27
2015	**22.08**	**29.81**
2016	22.35	30.17
2017	22.61	30.52
2018	22.88	30.89
2019	23.14	31.24
2020	**23.41**	**31.60**
2021	23.5	31.73
2022	23.6	31.86
2023	23.7	32.00
2024	23.78	32.10
2025	**23.88**	**32.24**

Notes:
Peak hour demand based on the 2005 peak hour to average hour ratio of 1.35.

2.5 Demand by Service Type

2.5.1 Demand by Service Type

FKAA records water usage in the following general categories: residential, commercial, government, Navy, and Key West municipal government use. FKAA provided water use

information for each of these service categories from October 2003 through September 2005. Exhibits 2-11 and 2-12 present tabular and graphical summaries, respectively, of the average monthly water use for each service category.

Nearly half (56 percent) of the water produced by the FKAA is used by residential customers. Commercial customers are the next-largest category, with an average use of 32 percent. No large commercial customers (that is, those using 40 percent or more of the water sold in the commercial category) have been identified.

The U.S. Navy is FKAA's largest single customer, accounting for 7 percent of its monthly production. Government, senior citizen, and Key West municipal use makes up another 5 percent.

EXHIBIT 2-11
Average Monthly Water Use by Category, million gallons, October 2003–September 2005

Month	Residential	Commercial	Navy	Government	Key West Municipal	Senior Citizen	Total
Jan	273	153	29	16	3	2	476
Feb	236	136	29	15	3	2	421
Mar	241	141	27	14	3	2	428
Apr	291	167	28	17	4	2	509
May	262	152	30	16	4	2	466
Jun	268	149	31	18	4	2	472
Jul	273	158	28	17	4	2	482
Aug	248	143	30	14	3	2	440
Sep	242	136	24	16	3	2	423
Oct	194	113	30	15	3	2	357
Nov	229	136	29	15	3	2	414
Dec	223	129	29	15	4	2	402
Average	248	143	29	15	3	2	441
Percent	56%	32%	7%	3 %	1%	1%	




EXHIBIT 2-12
Graphic Summary of Average Monthly Water Use by Category, million gallons, October 2003–September 2005

FKAA's contract with the DoD calls for supplying the U.S. Navy with up to 2.4 mgd of water. From 2000 to 2004, deliveries to the U.S. Navy have averaged 1.05 mgd (see Exhibit 2-13).




EXHIBIT 2-13
U.S. Navy Water Usage from 1996 to 2005

2.5.2 Unmetered Water

FKAA provided master tap readings for its distribution system (excluding Key West and the Navy) for the period December 2002 through December 2005. The data included the monthly total for each master tap, the total customer sales for each master tap, and the difference unaccounted-for-water (UAW).

FKAA's transmission and distribution system has certain specified maintenance requirements and activities that result in water being un-metered. These include regular flushing activities to maintain water quality, extensive flushing required during change of chlorine residuals twice per year, water loss resulting from transmission and distribution main break, and draining of potable water storage tanks for maintenance.

Exhibit 2-11 does not include UAW, which has historically averaged 10 to 12 percent. The American Water Works Association (AWWA) recommends that UAW should not exceed 5 percent, and that a goal of less than 10 percent is desirable. A total of 4 months with UAW (March, May, October, and December) contribute to the high overall average UAW. FKAA is not aware of any particular events (maintenance, line breaks, etc.) that may have contributed to the high UAW in those months. If UAW in those months could be reduced, FKAA's UAW would be significantly less.

To summarize data from the annual UAW reports that are provided to the SFWMD, the following percentages were recorded:

Year	Percentage UAW
2003	10.5
2004	9.71
2005	14.93
2006 (Year To Date June 2006)	11.45

FKAA should set a goal of 10 percent or less UAW in their system based on the uniqueness and operating pressures that FKAA experiences in their system. FKAA should continue to correlate transmission and distribution meter reads so that the data are correlated accurately and so that the actual losses in the transmission mains and the distribution system can be assessed. After this task is complete, identifying and locating potential losses throughout the system may be needed.

2.6 Conclusions

Population projections developed by the MCPD were used to develop water demand projections for the FKAA service area through 2025. FKAA's average daily raw water demand is expected to increase from 17.73 mgd in 2005 to 20.07 mgd in 2010, 22.08 mgd in 2015, 23.41 mgd in 2020, and 23.88 mgd in 2025. Maximum-day and peak hour demand were calculated using peaking factors of 1.25 and 1.35, respectively.

Residential (nearly half) and commercial (more than one-quarter) account for nearly three-quarters of the FKAA's water demand. UAW comprises approximately 12 percent of FKAA's total production; the remaining water is used primarily by the U.S. Navy and state and local governments.

UAW in the FKAA service area is higher than other water systems, and may be the result of FKAA's metering and accounting system, along with system leaks. Additionally, UAW is not distributed evenly throughout the service area, but varies considerably from key to key. Converting the 160 or so master meters along the transmission mains to automatic meter reading may help in identifying the largest areas of UAW. Additional tasks that FKAA could implement include a formal water audit and more specific investigations of water leaks in areas suspected of having UAW.

APPENDIX 3

County Population with Seasonal Replacement Calculations

Monroe County Population Projection Seasonal Replacement Series				Perm Change	@70% loss		ROGO Growth	
YEAR	Permanent	Seasonal	Functional					
2000	79,589	73,491	153,080					
2001	80,011	73,540	153,551	422				
2002	80,434	73,589	154,023	423				
2003	80,856	73,639	154,495	422				
2004	81,236	73,688	154,924	380				
2005	82,413	73,737	156,150	1,177				
2006	80,510	75,228	155,738	(1,903)	-1332.1	1332.1		
2007	78,987	76,453	155,440	(1,523)	-1066.1	2398.2		
2008	76,081	78,647	154,728	(2,906)	-2034.2	4432.4		
2009	77,925	77,516	155,441	1,844	1290.8	3141.6	ROGO DU	ROGO pop *.7 @2.7pph
2010	76,887	78,401	155,288	(1,038)	-726.6	3868.2		
2011	78,080	77,974	156,054	1,193	835.1	3033.1	197	372.33
2012	77,960	78,431	156,391	(120)	84		197	372.33
2013	77,840	78,887	156,727	(120)	84		197	372.33
2014	77,720	79,343	157,063	(120)	84		197	372.33
2015	77,600	79,800	157,400	(120)	84		197	372.33
2016	77,460	80,270	157,730	(140)	98		197	372.33
2017	77,320	80,740	158,060	(140)	98		197	372.33
2018	77,180	81,211	158,391	(140)	98		197	372.33
2019	77,040	81,681	158,721	(140)	98		197	372.33
2020	76,900	82,151	159,051	(140)	98		197	372.33
2021	76,760	82,622	159,382	(140)	98		197	372.33
2022	76,620	83,092	159,712	(140)	98		197	372.33
2023	76,480	83,562	160,042	(140)	98		197	372.33
2024	76,340	84,033	160,373	(140)	98		197	372.33
2025	76,200	84,503	160,703	(140)	98		197	372.33
2026	76,060	84,973	161,033	(140)	98		197	372.33
2027	75,920	85,444	161,364	(140)	98		197	372.33
2028	75,780	85,914	161,694	(140)	98		197	372.33
2029	75,640	86,384	162,024	(140)	98		197	372.33
2030	75,500	86,855	162,355	(140)	98		197	372.33

APPENDIX 4

FISHKIND & ASSOCIATES

Memorandum

TO: Debbie Love, AICP, Senior Planner, Keith and Schnars, P.A.

FROM: Stanley P. Geberer, Associate
Fishkind & Associates, Inc.

SUBJECT: DCA Finding Regarding Population Methodology

DATE: November 16, 2010

1.0 Background

Fishkind has prepared the population projections for unincorporated Monroe County. We have reviewed extensively with County Staff, and provided an update to the final report on August 30 which addressed a series of non-formal questions DCA posed and finally had a conference call with DCA to review their questions and our responses. After a 60 day review DCA has found the methodology unacceptable as shown below.

> Department has completed its review of the methodology utilized for the seasonal population and identified its concerns below. Due to these concerns, the Department does not find the projection methodologies to be profcssionally acceptable.

This memo will review the items of DCA concern.

2.0 Discussion of Concerns 1-10

Concern 1

> 1. For Water Supply planning, the Department has previously accepted the methodologies employed by the Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority (FKAA) and recommended that the County utilize the FKAA methodology. The data submitted to date to the Department does not provide the base data utilized by FKAA and how the County applied the FKAA methodology for calculating the seasonal population.

The most recent FKAA projections to year 2025 were used as the baseline seasonal projections. These data are attached as Appendix 1. These data were applied directly to the seasonal forecast series and modified as described in Concern 3.

Concern 2

12051 Corporate Blvd., Orlando, Florida 32817 • (407) 382-3256 • FAX (407) 382-3254 • email Homeoffice@fishkind.com

2. Please provide a justification for the use of the Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) to extend the FKAA series from 2025 to 2030 for the functional population. This is a geometric extrapolation and should be used with caution. The computed CAGR has not been provided.

The new planning horizon must be extended from 2025 to 2030. Compound annual growth rate is an appropriate mechanism whereby baseline growth may be extrapolated. Over the time horizon from 15 to 20 years, the error level of employing other methodologies cannot be said to be superior given these are the final out years of the planning horizon and are subject to the greatest degree of error. The comment from DCA suggesting "caution" should be used is gratuitous to the extent it implies caution was not used, and adds no meaningful dialogue. Further, the Department has not rejected the use of CAGR and fails to suggest a more appropriately method herein.

The computed CAGR from year 2000-2025 is as follows:

(FKAA seas pop 2025/FKAA seas pop 2000)^(1/26)-1=.082%

Concern 3

3. The County indicates there will be a decline in the permanent population as forecast by BEBR. The County has indicated that there will be an increase in seasonal growth equivalent to 70% of the decline in permanent population. For example, the County has submitted information that indicates that retail sales have decreased since 2006. Information submitted regarding changes in annual average daily traffic counts may be attributable to other causes that were not evaluated. Please provide an explanation as to how this addition to the FKAA projections makes sense within the context of the FKAA projection methodology. This addition as well as the addition in the next comment number 4, effectively changes the methodology.

The FKAA Seasonal Population methodology as described by FKAA is shown below:

FKAA Seasonal Population Methodology

2.2.2 Seasonal Population

The MCPD seasonal population projections in the USACE *Carrying Capacity Study* (USACE, 2003) also were used to project the seasonal population for Monroe County. Although there are no exact counts of the seasonal population, the MCPD developed historical seasonal population projections for 1990, 1995, and 2000. The MCPD projected that the 1990 seasonal population of 70,493 increased by 1.1 percent to a 1995 population of 71,266, and further increased by 3.1 percent to a 2000 seasonal population of 73,491.The MCPD continues these seasonal population projections in 5-year increments starting with a seasonal population of 73,737 in 2005; 74,533 in 2010; 74,712 in 2015; 74,891 in 2020; and 75,071 in 2025. The seasonal population is projected to increase at an overall average rate of 0.4 percent for each 5-year period from 2005 through 2025, with a 1.1 percent increase between 2005 and 2010 and a 0.24 percent increase from 2010 through 2025.

The historic data on seasonal populations presented by FKAA above indicate seasonal population is increasing at an increasing rate. The FKAA methodology failed to incorporate this into its seasonal projections. FKAA projected seasonal population increases at 1/3 the level of the most recent available data initially and then provided a decreased rate of growth through 2025. This rate is far slower than recent trend data FKAA observed. There is no explanation or justification in the FKAA methodology for the failure to recognize and include the robust levels of seasonal growth identified. Table 1 compares the FKAA seasonal growth rates with County seasonal growth rates.

Table 1. Seasonal Population Growth Rates

	FKAA Series	Monroe County	Net Difference
1990-1995	1.10%		
1995-2000	3.12%		
2000-2005	0.33%	0.33%	
2005-2010	1.08%	7.73%	4,904
2010-2015	0.24%	2.70%	1,965
2015-2020	0.24%	2.71%	2,035
2020-2025	0.24%	2.64%	2,034
2025-2030	0.41%	2.57%	1,906
Total Difference 2005-2030			12,843

The approach taken in the preparation of the County seasonal projections is to correct for this problem in the original FKAA methodology in recognizing the more dramatic seasonal population expansion.

Concern 4

4. The County included the following sentence which is not clear: "Further, we have added the equivalent of 70% of the ROGO growth to seasonal population to the FKAA seasonal series, reflecting the seasonal shift component not evidenced in FKAA's original data." This appears to add additional population. This has not been adequately explained or justified. The source data, calculations, and results have not been provided.

Please see response to Concern 3.

Concern 5

5. Please provide justification for the use of the persons-per-household (PPH) figure of 2.5. No data or analysis has been provided for the average size of seasonal households. Please include data or analysis regarding "seasonality." For example, there was no analysis of the maximum occupancy of seasonal units and the amount of time that these seasonal units are occupied at this maximum rate.

For the purposes of this analysis seasonal and permanent household sizes used are the same. The preponderance of data provided supports the use of 2.5 persons per household. The Population Report is cited below.

Excerpt from August 30 Population Report – page 11

It is also noted, for units shifting to seasonal status and for population associated with ROGO growth, a persons-per-household figure of 2.5 was used.

Intercensal data (see Tables 5 and 6) suggests an increase in persons per household by year 2009. Fishkind believes seasonally occupied homes and rentals have a higher person per household occupancy due to the increasing size of newly built units. For these reasons 2.5 was used for seasonal households.

Table 5.

Persons Per Household Census/Isite			
	Total	Unincorp	
1990	2.33	2.38	
2000	2.27	2.29	
2009	3.12	2.89	
2014	5.29	4.94	

source: I-Site online data

Table 6. - Average Number of Bedrooms and Bathrooms per Single Family Unit by Year Built

Average Bed/Bath	
2.65	Pre-1970
3.33	1970-1989
3.63	1990-1999
3.77	2000-2008

Source: Monroe Co. Property Appraiser, Fishkind & Associates, Inc.

Because seasonal population is derived from the seasonal population as presented by FKAA, seasonal occupancy rates are implicit in the analysis, for the original seasonal series.

Concern 6

6. Based on Table 7, the seasonal population is roughly equivalent to the residential population. However, the number of seasonal units is much less than the number of occupied (resident) units. Does the FKAA data include population other than those living in housing and if so, how is that accounted for in the data and in the projection?

The percentage of non-homesteaded units is higher than homesteaded units in Monroe County, suggesting seasonal population could be at least equivalent to permanent.

The FKAA methodology includes cruise ship visitors, visitors from the mainland and those residing in the keys for 6 months or less. They are accounted for in the FKAA calculation of seasonal residents.

Concern 7

7. Please provide additional citation for The "I-Site" source. If the data is Census data, then that should be made clear. Projection data from a private sector, third party source cannot be evaluated without a complete explanation of how the projections were made, e.g. the full methodology with all support data must be provided.

The I-site data was not used in any methodological calculation in the Monroe County population projections. I-site data was used as a reference source only in referring to support for use of the 2.5 persons per household figure.

Concern 8

8. Section 8.0, third paragraph on page 10 discusses "an increase in seasonal population shown in the American Community Survey (ACS)." The ACS does not estimate seasonal population.

The ACS provides estimates of seasonal units as shown in Table 2-H3, page 5 of the population report. The change in seasonal population is based in part on the increase in seasonal units identified in the ACS data.

Concern 9

9. Section 5.0 and Tables 1 and 2 provide Census data. There were 51,617 housing units (occupied and vacant) in 2000. Census data indicates there were 21,900 owner occupied units. However, the second paragraph on page 6 indicates that there were 16,005 homestead units and 20,784 non-homestead units in 2000, which add to a total of 36,789 housing units. The housing units for homesteaded/non-homesteaded units should be reconciled with the Census housing units or data provided to explain the difference.

The difference cannot be reconciled without imposing egregious costs on the government and citizens of Monroe County in order to do so. The Property Appraiser is the source of homestead and non-homestead status. The property Appraiser data is not reconcilable with Census unit counts. This is a vexing problem addressed by the Regional Planning Council in the hurricane evacuation modeling effort as well. It is believed the difference lies in substandard housing, non-permitted housing, maritime live-aboards and other housing.

It is for this reason the analysis and projections were made on a population basis not a housing unit basis. The data on housing units is used as a guide to help estimate changes in the mix and character of resident and seasonal population.

Concern 10

10. The final paragraph in Section 1.0 states that a "reconciliation of the Fishkind and Hurricane model data will take place subsequent to the Hurricane data publication." Is this a preliminary report? Will the final population projection be submitted with your Evaluation and Appraisal Report?

The Regional Planning Council has reviewed and affirmed the County's population projection methodology. A final review by RPC will be requested and submitted with the EAR.

AGENT AUTHORIZATION LETTER

Islamorada, Village of Islands, Florida • Planning and Development Services Department
86800 Overseas Highway • Islamorada, Florida 33036 • 305-664-6400 • www.islamorada.fl.us

Note: Pursuant to Section 30-212(d)(2) of the Code of Ordinances of Islamorada, Village of Islands, Florida (the "Village"), all owners and any person having a contractual interest in the land shall give their permission for every application for a development permit. Therefore, more than one agent authorization letter must be submitted if there are multiple owners or persons having a contractual interest in the site.

Date: 08-01-2024

I hereby authorize Ty Harris PA 110 Plantation Shores Drive Tavernier FL 386-956-8776
(Name, Address and Phone Number of Authorized Agent)

to be listed as authorized agent on behalf of Leben Family LLLP
(Name of Owner or Person Having Contractual Interest)

for the purpose of conducting all business necessary to process and obtain approval from the Village Planning and Development Services Department, in regard to:

FLUM & Re-zoningapplication(s).
(Project Name / Application Type)

Property Address: 76800 Overseas Hwy

Real Estate Number(s): 00396950-000200

This authorization shall be effective on the date this affidavit is notarized and shall remain in effect until terminated by the undersigned owner. This authorization acts as a durable power of attorney only for the purposes stated herein.

The undersigned understands the liabilities involved in the granting of this agency and accepts full responsibility, thus holding the Village harmless, for any and all of the actions of the agent named, related to the acquisition of development permits for the aforementioned owner/applicant.

Digital signatures do not require notarization when they can be verified by a Trusted Certificate issued by a third-party Certificate Authority. If you are not using a "verifiable digital signature", print this document and have it notarized.

Property Owner Signature: _____

Printed Name: Rayme Suarez

STATE OF Florida

COUNTY OF Monroe

Sworn to and subscribed before me by means of ✓ physical appearance or ___online notarization, this 1 day of August, 2024, by Rayme Suarez (name of person signing the application) as Attorney in fact for (type of authority e.g. officer, manager / member, trustee, attorney in fact) for Leben Family Partnership (name of entity or party on behalf of whom application was executed).

Signature of Notary Public–State of Florida

SEAL:

LAURA GASSER
Commission # HH 030960
Expires August 10, 2024
Bonded Thru Budget Notary Services

✓ Personally Known ___Produced Identification Type of ID _____


RC
C
OVERSEAS HWY
RC
MU
COLUMBUS DR
RM
Monroe County BOCC, Maxar


RC
C
OVERSEAS HWY
RC
MU
COLUMBUS DR
RM
Monroe County BOCC, Maxar

Monroe County, FL

Disclaimer

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the data is intended for ad valorem tax purposes only and should not be relied on for any other purpose.

By continuing into this site you assert that you have read and agree to the above statement.

Summary

Parcel ID	00396950-000200
Account#	8647603
Property ID	8647603
Millage Group	50VI
Location Address	76800 OVERSEAS Hwy, LOWER MATECUMBE KEY
Legal Description	PT NELY 653 FT OF SWLY 1725 FT OF TR B (3.2AC) MATECUMBE OCEAN BAY SEC 2 LOWER MATECUMBE KEY PB2-98 OR887-1513/19 OR876-2425/26 OR888-722/24 OR1084-420 OR1155-1329 OR1252-1842 OR1505-1476 OR2266-227/28 OR2446-1212/13C OR2446-1215/17 OR2724-1851/52 OR2865-2009ORD OR2870-1005/06 OR2870-1007/09 (Note: Not to be used on legal documents.)
Neighborhood	10021
Property Class	CLUB (7700)
Subdivision	MATECUMBE OCEAN BAY SEC 2
Sec/Twp/Rng	15/64/36
Affordable Housing	No



Owner

LEBEN FAMILY LLLP
2530 NE 47th St
Lighthouse Point FL 33064

Valuation

		2023 Preliminary Values	2022 Certified Values	2021 Certified Values	2020 Certified Values
+	Market Improvement Value	$161,775	$171,019	$171,019	$177,952
+	Market Misc Value	$115,300	$114,884	$114,969	$115,054
+	Market Land Value	$330,100	$330,100	$330,100	$330,100
=	Just Market Value	**$607,175**	**$616,003**	**$616,088**	**$623,106**
=	Total Assessed Value	**$607,175**	**$616,003**	**$616,088**	**$623,106**
-	School Exempt Value	$0	$0	$0	$0
=	School Taxable Value	**$607,175**	**$616,003**	**$616,088**	**$623,106**

Historical Assessments

Year	Land Value	Building Value	Yard Item Value	Just (Market) Value	Assessed Value	Exempt Value	Taxable Value	Maximum Portability
2022	$330,100	$171,019	$114,884	$616,003	$616,003	$0	$616,003	$0
2021	$330,100	$171,019	$114,969	$616,088	$616,088	$0	$616,088	$0
2020	$330,100	$177,952	$115,054	$623,106	$623,106	$0	$623,106	$0
2019	$330,100	$177,952	$115,138	$623,190	$616,678	$0	$623,190	$0
2018	$330,100	$165,257	$65,260	$560,617	$560,617	$0	$560,617	$0

The Maximum Portability is an estimate only and should not be relied upon as the actual portability amount. Contact our office to verify the actual portability amount.

Land

Land Use	Number of Units	Unit Type	Frontage	Depth
COMMERCIAL HIGHWAY (100H)	2.20	Acreage	0	0
ENVIRONMENTALLY SENS (000X)	1.00	Acreage	0	0

Buildings

Building ID	43899	**Exterior Walls**	AB AVE WOOD SIDING
Style		**Year Built**	1994
Building Type	1 STY STORE-B / 11B	**EffectiveYearBuilt**	2000
Building Name		**Foundation**	
Gross Sq Ft	2760	**Roof Type**	
Finished Sq Ft	1566	**Roof Coverage**	
Stories	3 Floor	**Flooring Type**	
Condition	GOOD	**Heating Type**	
Perimeter	282	**Bedrooms**	0
Functional Obs	0	**Full Bathrooms**	0
Economic Obs	0	**Half Bathrooms**	0
Depreciation %	30	**Grade**	350
Interior Walls		**Number of Fire Pl**	0

Code	Description	Sketch Area	Finished Area	Perimeter
OPX	EXC OPEN PORCH	1,194	0	0
FLA	FLOOR LIV AREA	1,566	1,566	0
TOTAL		**2,760**	**1,566**	**0**

Yard Items

Description	Year Built	Roll Year	Size	Quantity	Units	Grade
ASPHALT PAVING	1991	1992	0 x 0	1	1410 SF	2
ASPHALT PAVING	1991	1992	0 x 0	1	43200 SF	2
TIKI	1993	1994	12 x 24	1	288 SF	2
CH LINK FENCE	1993	1994	4 x 120	1	480 SF	3
TIKI	1993	1994	8 x 8	1	64 SF	5
CH LINK FENCE	1993	1994	12 x 999	1	11988 SF	3
CH LINK FENCE	1993	1994	12 x 141	1	1692 SF	3
UTILITY BLDG	1993	1994	12 x 18	1	216 SF	2
WALL AIR COND	1994	2010	0 x 0	1	1 UT	1
TIKI	1993	2010	8 x 8	1	64 SF	2


Sales

Sale Date	Sale Price	Instrument	Instrument Number	Deed Book	Deed Page	Sale Qualification	Vacant or Improved	Grantor	Grantee
8/15/2017	$0	Warranty Deed	2136214	2870	1007	11 - Unqualified	Improved	LEBEN FAMILY LLLP	
8/15/2017	$1,050,000	Warranty Deed	2136213	2870	1005	37 - Unqualified	Improved	GUS MORHART INC	
2/5/2015	$1,000,000	Warranty Deed		2724	1851	01 - Qualified	Improved		
12/18/2009	$720,000	Warranty Deed		2446	1215	01 - Qualified	Improved		
12/18/2009	$100	Warranty Deed		2446	1212	11 - Unqualified	Improved		
11/19/2006	$850,000	Warranty Deed		2266	227	Q - Qualified	Improved		
3/1/1998	$620,000	Warranty Deed		1505	1476	U - Unqualified	Improved		
4/1/1993	$161,200	Warranty Deed		1252	1842	Q - Qualified	Vacant		
2/1/1989	$150,000	Warranty Deed		1084	420	U - Unqualified	Vacant		
7/1/1983	$150,000	Warranty Deed		888	722	Q - Qualified	Vacant		

View Tax Info

View Taxes for this Parcel

Sketches (click to enlarge)



Photos





Map



TRIM Notice

2023 TRIM Notice (PDF)

No data available for the following modules: Permits.

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the


| User Privacy Policy | GDPR Privacy Notice

Last Data Upload: 9/12/2023, 4:02:45 AM

Contact Us

Developed by Schneider GEOSPATIAL

C
NR
OVERSEAS HWY
Monroe County BOCC, Maxar, Microsoft




SITE PLAN

SCALE: 1"=30'

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

PART OF TRACT 'B', MATECUMBE OCEAN BAY SUBDIVISION, SEC. 2



VICINITY MAP

DEVELOPMENT DATA

RE NUMBER: 59695O-000200

LAND USE DISTRICT: SUBURBAN RESIDENTIAL (SR)

ADJACENT DISTRICTS: SUBURBAN RESIDENTIAL (SR) NATIVE (NA)

EXISTING CONDITIONS:

UNDEVELOPED, LOW TROPICAL HARDWOOD HAMMOCK (6276) MED. TO GOOD QUALITY (60%) & DISTURBED (34%)

PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION:

4 - COURT TENNIS COMPLEX INCLUDING:
1 - EMPLOYEE HOUSING UNIT (FUTURE)
134 L.F. - 12' CHAIN-LINK FENCE
650 L.F. - 4' CHAIN LINK FENCE

ELEVATIONS:

FLOOD ZONE: AE
ELEVATION: 8
FINISH FLOOR ELEVATION: 8'

LAND AREA:

GROSS TOTAL LAND AREA: 139,547 SF = 3.2 ACRES

REGISTER BUILDABILITY DATA

TENNIS COURTS 7200 X 6	=	43,200 SF
EMPLOYEE HOUSING	=	4,356 SF
PARKING	=	6,400 SF
ACCESSORY CLEARING	=	13,525 SF
CLUBHOUSE WITH .25 FAR	=	3,840 SF
TOTAL	=	71,404 SF
WITH .50 O.S.R.	=	X2
TOTAL	=	142,802 SF

LINEAR FRONTAGE

PER ORIGINAL O.S.R. ALLOWS FOR:
DISTURBED 1.06 ACRES (.20) = 36,250 SF
HAMMOCK 2.24 ACRES (.20) = 39,125 SF
TOTAL = 75,355 SF


DISTRICT O.S.R. ALLOWS FOR:
**** SUBURBAN RESIDENTIAL (.50) = 71,401 SF*


DENSITY:

ALLOCATED = 1 UNIT PER ACRE X 3.0 = 3 UNITS
MAXIMUM NET = 10 UNITS PER BUILDABLE ACRE X 1.2 = 12 UNITS

SHEET INDEX

NO.	CONTENT
1	SITE PLAN, VICINITY MAP & DEVELOPMENT DATA
2	CLUBHOUSE; 1ST & 2ND FLOOR PLANS W/ELECTRIC RISER & SCHEDULES, PLUMBING RISER
3	ELEVATIONS (3) W/ CONNECTION DETAILS, SHUTTER DETAIL & SECTIONS.
4	FOUNDATION PLAN, SECTIONS, NOTES, & ELEVATION (1)
5	SLAB PLAN W/ SCHEDULES, 2ND FLOOR & ROOF FRAMING PLANS, DETAILS & SECTIONS
6	ACCESSORY STRUCTURES, OUTDOOR LIGHTING PLAN & LANDSCAPE PLAN
7	DRAINAGE PLAN, DETAILS & CALCULATIONS
8	TENNIS COURT LAYOUT & SECTIONS, FENCE & LIGHT DETAILS, CLAY COURT DRAINAGE
9	PARKING LOT & CISTERN PLAN, SECTIONS & DETAILS





HANDICAPPED PARKING SPACE DETAIL

SCALE: 3/32" = 1'-0"

PARKING:

REGULAR 8'6" x 18' SPACES = 70
HANDICAP 12' x 20' SPACES = 2
TOTAL PARKING SPACES = 72
REQUIRED PARKING SPACES = 72
10'x50' LOADING ZONE = 1

LANDSCAPING:

PARKING LOT CLASS "C" MIN. REQUIRED FOR 22 CARS
800 SF PLANTING AREA
2 CANOPY TREES
6 SHRUBS

HIGHWAY FRONTAGE

SCENIC CORRIDOR (U.S. 1) CLASS "B"
75' BUFFER YARD, USING EXISTING HAMMOCK

MAJOR STREET (OTHER) CLASS "B"
30' BUFFER YARD, LESS THAN FOR EXISTING NATIVE TO BE MAINTAINED, NO SOD.

SIDE YARD NONE REQUIRED

REAR YARD IS CLASS "A" USING EXISTING HAMMOCK

SUGGESTED PLANT LIST

CANOPY (12')	MAHOGANY	
	MASTIC	
UNDERSTORY	SATIN LEAF	
	RED STOPPER	
	SIMPSON STOPPER	
SHRUBS (3')	CUTTLE COLUBRINA	
	PARKINSII	
	DWARF WAMPEE	
	ELEUTHERIAN	
	SEAGRAPE	

TENNIS COMPLEX FOR MR. GARY REGISTER
LOWER MATECUMBE KEY, ISLAMORADA FL.

Alan D Green
ARCHITECT
P.O. Box 322 / MM 83 / ISLAMORADA, FLORIDA 33036 Phone 305/664-9250

REVISIONS: 4-15-93, 8-5-93

1

Ty Harris P.A.
110Plantation Shores Drive
Tavernier, FL 33070
tyharrispa.com
tyharrispa@gmail.com (386) 956-8776

August 1, 2024

VIA E-MAIL DELIVERY

Jennifer L. DeBoisbriand
Planning Director
Islamorada, Village of Islands
Village Administrative Center
86800 Overseas Highway
Islamorada, FL 33036

RE: FLUM & Re-Zoning Application for the Tennis Center

Dear Jennifer,

This law firm represents Leben Family LLP, the owner of Parcel #00396950-000200, the "Tennis Center". The request is to amend the current FLUM from a residential category to a commercial category and to re-zone the property to a zoning district consistent with its historic commercial use as a private tennis club. This application is necessary and appropriate because the Tennis Center was operating as a legal non-conforming business; however, since the Tennis Center has been closed for a period greater than 365 days, the "legal" protection afforded to active non-conforming uses have been lost pursuant to Policy 1-2.2.5: Discontinued Non-Conforming Uses, which states:

> Non-conforming uses that have ceased operations for one (1) year or more shall be considered discontinued and shall not be re-established.

An appropriate FLUM and re-zoning are necessary to re-establish the legal status of the Tennis Center and any future commercial re-development. The Village has two zoning districts which permit private clubs like the Tennis Center. The first is Village Center.

Sec 30-692 Village Center (VC) Zoning District

1. *Purpose and intent*.
    1. The purpose of the village center (VC) zoning district is to recognize and enhance the vitality of the core, mixed use business centers. In this zoning district, integrated business and residential uses shall be permitted, while requiring bufferyards and other mitigation techniques to ensure a smooth transition where these parcels interface with residential land uses. Businesses in this district

promote walk-up traffic and are conducive to enhancing pedestrian-related facilities.
2. This zoning district is established within the Mixed Use (MU) FLUM category.

Because the Tennis Center is located on Lower Matecumbe Key and is not in a mixed-use business center or easily accessed by walk-up traffic, this zoning district may not be the most appropriate to re-establish the commercial use. The second zoning district is Neighborhood Commercial.

Sec 30-697 Neighborhood Commercial (NC) Zoning District

1. *Purpose and intent*.
    1. The purpose of the neighborhood commercial (NC) zoning district is to provide a compact commercial area designed and intended to allow for a mix of residential, office and local retail sales servicing the daily needs of local residents within convenient traveling distance.
    2. This zoning district is established within the Mixed Use (MU) FLUM category.

NC appears to be the more appropriate commercial category because there is a mix of residential and existing commercial (TC) uses in the immediate area fronting US1. Moreover, the NC zoning district appears to be consistent with existing commercial zoning on Lower Matecumbe in that there are existing NC zoned parcels but no VC zoned properties. Both zoning districts require the MU FLUM as there is no other Future Land Use Category that permits private clubs.

Accordingly, this application is consistent with and promotes numerous Comprehensive Plan Goals, Objectives, and Policies. The Applicant respectfully requests that you recommend approval to an appropriate commercial use category which allows the current Tennis Center. The request is to amend the Future Land Use Map from RC to Mixed Use (MU) (FLUM) and Neighborhood Commercial (NC) from the current zoning of native residential (NR).

The Property: Is a 3.2-acre site on Lower Matecumbe Key. The property is known as the Tennis Center. Uses include a tennis court complex with a retail pro shop. The developed 2.2-acre portion of the property contains no significant habitat and is mostly devoid of vegetation. The remaining 1 acre is considered environmentally sensitive. As part of a redevelopment plan, the Applicant will volunteer to place a conservation easement over the undeveloped portion of the property. The developed portion of the property is not recognized as habitat to any state or federally listed animal species. Additionally, the developed portion of the property is not within the state conservation recreation lands' boundary or critical habitat area.

Prior Approval History: None.

Needs Analysis and Supporting Data: The applicant is required to submit all information required to adequately address the filing requirements adopted by the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity ("DEO"). In addition, the applicant must submit all other information necessary to address the comprehensive planning criteria of the Village. Pursuant to the DEO

State Coordinated Review Submittal Guidelines, in the case of FLUM amendments, the following information is provided.

1. *A description of the availability of and the demand on the following public facilities: sanitary sewer, solid waste, drainage, potable water, traffic circulation, schools and recreation, as appropriate.*

At the present time, no additional development is contemplated for the property and the existing structures are to remain the same. Accordingly, there is existing adequate water, sewer, and solid waste services to service the existing developed parcel. Additionally, the traffic circulation, schools, and recreational open space have already been assessed and accounted for since this property was developed in the early 1990's.

2. *Information regarding the compatibility of the proposed land use amendments with the Comprehensive Plan future land use element objectives and policies, and those of other affected elements*.

The following Comprehensive Plan elements are applicable to this application:

Policy 1-2.3.1 Residential Conservation (RC)

Areas designated Residential Conservation (RC) on the Future Land Use Map shall allow residential uses at a maximum density of one (1) single family residential unit per four (4) acres. This designation is intended to balance the protection of environmentally sensitive lands, (by providing a buffer to protect large parcels of more environmentally sensitive lands from the encroachment of development), wildlife corridors and transition zones, and areas suitable for re-vegetation, with the protection of the property rights of the owners. On sites designated RC, no more than one (1) unit shall be established per platted lot or parcel existing as of the effective date of this Comprehensive Plan, except for those platted lots or parcels identified as Disturbed with Exotics on the Village habitat maps and containing no tropical hardwood hammock. Substandard lots of less than four (4) acres in size which are contiguous and in common ownership shall be assembled in order to meet the density standards of this policy. Notwithstanding the density limitation, a caretaker's unit may be developed as an accessory use on a conforming parcel, if found to be consistent with the Village's environmental regulations. Such units shall be permitted pursuant to the requirements of the Village's Building Permit Allocation System, only as affordable housing. Criteria established in the Land Development Regulations shall include restrictions on size and location so as to not require clearing of additional land. Within RC, properties are generally suitable as sender sites for transfers of development rights, but may also be receiver sites from C and RC parcels, if needed to achieve the acreage required to construct a maximum of one (1) unit on the receiver parcel(s), or for the addition of a caretakers cottage. The Village may develop an incentive program to extinguish the development rights from RC properties. The RC future land use designation shall allow home occupations having no environmental impact, in accordance with provisions to be established within the Land Development Regulations.

The proposed FLUM amendment and re-zoning request is consistent with the Goals, Objectives, and Policies, in 1-2.8.1 of the Village Comprehensive Plan because the Tennis Center pre-dates the implementation of the Village Comprehensive Plan. The minimum acreage requirement for RC lots is four (4) acres. The property is 3.2 acres and contains a commercial structure and not a residential use. Accordingly, the RC FLUM designation was and is not appropriate to the site because it ignored the existing use and commercial structure when the property was designated as RC. By designating the property as RC, the Village rendered the use and structure nonconforming in contravention to its own land use policies and land development regulations. The most appropriate use of this site is commercial.

> Policy 1-2.1.9: Promote Low And Medium Intensity And Varied Commercial Designations
>
> Islamorada, Village of Islands shall promote low and medium intensity professional and business offices, as well as general retail sales and services designed to meet the needs for goods and services of local residents and Village visitors and shall limit the development of new high intensity uses. The Village shall not promote an increase in highway-oriented businesses that cater to a transient drive-through clientele.

The requested MU FLUM and NC zoning are consistent with Policy 1-2.1.9. Lower Matecumbe has approximately fourteen lots designated at NC. The lots are located between Gulf View Drive to the north and White Marlin Blvd. to the south. Half of these lots front US1. These properties are used as storage lots except for the gas station and convenience store fronting US1 at the corner of White Marlin Blvd. These properties represent approximately 2.12 acres in Lower Matecumbe Key.

> Policy 1-2.4.1: Guide The Location Of Commercial Uses And Revitalize Commercial Areas
>
> Mixed Use (MU) is the only FLUM category in which commercial uses shall be permitted. The general pattern of commercial land uses in MU shall:
>
> 1. Prevent negative impacts on the fragile coastal ecosystem by directing commercial development away from environmentally sensitive lands and critical habitat;
> 2. Revitalize all existing commercial areas and further distinguish Village Activity Centers;
> 3. Restrict the scale and intensity of commercial development outside of the Village Activity Centers and other appropriate areas in the Village;
> 4. Promote safe and efficient vehicle, cyclist and pedestrian movement;
> 5. Prevent or minimize Village costs to provide infrastructure;
> 6. Avoid encroachment of incompatible commercial activity into established residential neighborhoods;
> 7. Enhance the unique character of the Village's commercial land uses through incentives for bufferyards and landscaping; and
> 8. Facilitate within the Village Activity Centers, the creation of aesthetically pleasing commercial spaces outdoors, as places for social leisure and interaction, while limiting light industrial uses, outdoor storage and sales as a primary use of land, and outdoor retail sales as an accessory use of land.

The fact that the property is already developed with a commercial use support the designation of this property as Mixed-Use with a Neighborhood Commercial zoning.

Policy 1-2.4.6: Establish Zoning District Criteria For MU

The following criteria shall be used as guidelines for designating Zoning Districts within the Mixed Use FLUM category:

1. **Residential Zoning Districts**: In general, residential Zoning Districts shall be established where there are existing residential neighborhoods within the Village Activity Centers.
2. **Commercial Zoning Districts**: In general, commercial Zoning Districts shall be established where there are existing commercial uses, including single vacant parcels of land located between two (2) existing commercial uses.
3. **Integrated Use Zoning Districts**: Integrated use Zoning Districts, which encourage commercial and residential uses to co-locate on the same parcel or within the same building shall be established to maintain and promote affordable, workforce and employee housing. Appropriate locations for integrated use zoning shall include, in general, areas where such uses already exist, the core areas of the Village Activity Centers and other select locations along U.S. 1 where existing structures, business types and locations lend themselves to compatible co-existence with residential uses.
4. **School and Recreational Zoning Districts**: These Zoning Districts shall be established to accommodate existing or proposed school or recreational uses.

The proposed FLUM and re-zoning are consistent with Policy 1-2.4.6(2) - commercial Zoning Districts shall be established where there are existing commercial uses.

GOAL 1-1: IMPLEMENT FUTURE LAND USE VISION
OBJECTIVE 1-1.1: PLAN AND DESIGN FOR RESIDENTIAL QUALITY
OBJECTIVE 1-1.2: REINFORCE AND ENHANCE THE VILLAGE'S APPEARANCE

Realizing the following:

That the Village incorporated to create a Comprehensive Plan to reclaim the Keys by conserving, preserving, and retaining our remarkable assets—our waters and natural environment—and our quality of life;

That the Village is and must continue to be synonymous with sport fishing, diving, the Everglades National Park, the living coral reef, Indian Key, Lignumvitae Key, Shell Key, Windley Quarry, and many species of fish and fowl;

That the Comprehensive Plan must further understanding of the ecological limits of our Keys and prohibit any further degradation of our natural resources by incompatible land and marine activities such as casino boats, sea planes, personal watercraft, and other watercraft that are operated improperly; and

That the Comprehensive Plan must describe public and private actions needed to protect and retain the Village's waterways and natural resources comprising our unique ecosystem as well as preserve the quiet solitude of the backcountry.

The Comprehensive Plan shall provide a growth management framework that:

- Directs development only to sites that can accommodate such activity without promoting conflict with natural resources;

- Encourages sustainability by limiting growth in order to establish and maintain acceptable levels of service for hurricane evacuation, potable water and wastewater services, traffic flow, recreation and open space as well as reclaim and preserve the quality of our natural resources;
- Keeps development away from the shoreline and wetlands;
- Provides a sound basis for developing land use controls that ensure acceptable levels of service for hurricane evacuation, protect coastal resources, including nearshore waters, wetlands, grass bed flats, mangroves, as well as hammocks, and establish a basis for managing landscaping, signage, parking, drainage, and water quality;
- Relies on ecological constraints to establish limits for growth and create standards and criteria to ensure that human induced activities do not diminish assets of our unique coastal environment; and
- Ensures that the character and location of land uses incorporate best management practices and principles of resource conservation, promote orderly land use transition, and minimize threats to health, safety, and welfare which may be caused by incompatible land uses, environmental degradation, hazards and nuisances.

The proposed FLUM amendment and re-zoning are consistent with Goal 1-1 and Objective 1-1. The proposal. By re-purposing existing developed sites, the application supports the criteria in these elements of the Village of Islamorada Comprehensive Plan.

All proposed FLUM amendments must be consistent with the Principles for Guiding Development within the Florida Keys Area of Critical State Concern, pursuant to § 380.0552(7), Florida Statutes. The Principles are listed below.

(a) *Strengthening local government capabilities for managing land use and development so that local government is able to achieve these objectives without continuing the area of critical state concern designation*.

This application is consistent with the Principles for Guiding Development within the Florida Keys Area of Critical State Concern based on the most recent report to the Administration Commission outlined in the Work Program. The proposal re-purposes existing developed sites.

(b) *Protecting shoreline and marine resources, including mangroves, coral reef formations, seagrass beds, wetlands, fish and wildlife, and their habitat*.

The proposed FLUM amendment and re-zoning have no impact on existing habitat or protected resources.

(c) *Protecting upland resources, tropical biological communities, freshwater wetlands, native tropical vegetation (for example, hardwood hammocks and pinelands), dune ridges and beaches, wildlife, and their habitat*.

The proposed FLUM amendment and re-zoning have no impact on existing habitat or protected resources.

(d) *Ensuring the maximum well-being of the Florida Keys and its citizens through sound economic development*.

Reusing exiting developed site over clearing of other lands achieves this element.

(e) *Limiting the adverse impacts of development on the quality of water throughout the Florida Keys*.

As stated, this is an already developed site.

(f) *Enhancing natural scenic resources, promoting the aesthetic benefits of the natural environment, and ensuring that development is compatible with the unique historic character of the Florida Keys*.

This application protects the "historical character" of Islamorada in reusing an already developed commercial property.

(g) *Protecting the historical heritage of the Florida Keys*. See answer above.

(h) *Protecting the value, efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and amortized life of existing and proposed major public investments, including:*
1. The Florida Keys Aqueduct and water supply facilities;
2. Sewage collection, treatment, and disposal facilities;
3. Solid waste treatment, collection, and disposal facilities;
4. Key West Naval Air Station and other military facilities;
5. Transportation facilities;
6. Federal parks, wildlife refuges, and marine sanctuaries;
7. State parks, recreation facilities, aquatic preserves, and other publicly owned properties;
8. City electric service and the Florida Keys Electric Co-op; and
9. Other utilities, as appropriate.

The application has no impact on facilities as it is an already developed site.

(i) *Protecting and improving water quality by providing for the construction, operation, maintenance, and replacement of stormwater management facilities; central sewage collection; treatment and disposal facilities; and the installation and proper operation and maintenance of onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems*.

The application has no impact on facilities as it does not propose any new development.

(j) *Ensuring the improvement of nearshore water quality by requiring the construction and operation of wastewater management facilities that meet the requirements of § 381.0065(4)(l) and 403.086(10), as applicable, and by directing growth to areas served by central wastewater treatment facilities through permit allocation systems*.

The application has no impact on facilities as it does not propose any new development.

(k*) Limiting the adverse impacts of public investments on the environmental resources of the Florida Keys*.

This application does not create adverse impacts on environmental resources or affect public investment in infrastructure.

(l) *Making available adequate affordable housing for all sectors of the population of the Florida Keys*.

No impact as stated above.

(m) *Providing adequate alternatives for the protection of public safety and welfare in the event of a natural or manmade disaster and for a post-disaster reconstruction plan*.

No impact as stated above.

(n) *Protecting the public health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of the Florida Keys and maintaining the Florida Keys as a unique Florida resource*.

The application accomplishes this objective as stated in previous responses.

The Village Council shall make its determination on proposed FLUM amendments on legitimate public purpose based on one or more of the following factors:

1. *Demand for the proposed FLUM category in the village in relation to the amount of land currently assigned the FLUM designation and available to accommodate that demand*.

The Village accepted an additional 300 affordable housing units. According to the ratio of commercial property needed to support a new deed-restricted affordable housing unit, the Village will require an additional 71,700 square feet of commercial square footage to support these new units (239 sq.ft. per unit). By repurposing existing developed sites, the Village can meet this need and reduce pressure to develop property that is currently vacant and undeveloped.

2. *Compatibility of the site's physical, geological, hydrological and other environmental features, with the uses permitted in the proposed FLUM category*.

The site has been has been a commercial property since the early 1990's. The site's physical and environmental features have been shaped by this use over time. The proposed change to the FLUM and zoning will have no impact on these criteria.

3. *Data errors, including errors in mapping, vegetative types and natural features described in the comprehensive plan*.

There are no errors in the mapping and natural features – the property contains no listed species and is largely devoid of vegetation in the area already developed. The Applicant will place a conservation easement over the undeveloped portion of the site.

4. *New issues*.

None, except the increased need for commercial square footage as a function of the acceptance of new deed-restricted affordable housing units.

5. *Recognition of a need for additional detail or comprehensiveness. However, in no event shall an amendment be approved which will result in an adverse community change*.

The proposed re-zoning and FLUM will not have an adverse impact on the community since the existing use will not change and the zoning and FLUM request serve to protect that use as provided for in the Village of Islamorada Comprehensive plan.

Enclosures.

1. Application Fee & Deposit.
2. Application letter.
3. Agent Authorization.
4. Application for Map Amendment & Re-zoning.
5. Property Record Card.
6. Warranty Deed.
7. Needs Analysis & Data.
8. Survey.
9. Existing site condition photographs.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (386) 956-8776 or email tyharrispa@gmail.com.

Kind regards,



Ty Harris








8

Council Communication

To: Mayor and Village Council
From: Jennifer DeBoisbriand , Planning Director
Date: July 24, 2025
SUBJECT: **Public Hearing - 80951 Old Hwy- Variance Rear Yard Setback TAB F**

Background:
The subject property is located at 80951 Old Highway. The property is located in Upper Matecumbe Key. The site maintains a lot area of approximately 11,180 square feet. The site is zoned Settlers Residential (SR) District and is located within the Mixed Use (MU) Future Land Use Map (FLUM) category. The site is adjacent to commercial properties, located within the Highway Commercial (HC) Zoning District to the north, south and west and residential properties, located within Settlers Residential and Multi-Family Zoning Districts to the east.

There is currently a multifamily duplex residence on the site. The applicant is seeking a variance to encroach within the 20 foot rear setback and to allow a 9 foot rear setback.

Analysis:
According to Section 30-221: “A variance is relaxation of the terms of this chapter where such action will not be contrary to the public interest and where, owing to conditions peculiar to the property and not the result of actions of the applicant, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary and undue hardship on the property. As used in this section, a variance is authorized only for height, setbacks, parking, and loading requirements, and landscaping, unless otherwise specified in this chapter. Under no circumstances shall the director of planning and development services or the village council grant a variance to permit a floor area ratio or a use not permitted under the terms of this chapter.”

Pursuant to Sec. 30-221 (d) “When considering an application for a variance, the director and village council shall consider the following factors, and no variance shall be approved which fails to meet any standard below. Failure to comply with any standard shall be deemed adverse to the public interest.

(1) Special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;

In order meet the existing foundation the concrete slab must encroach into the setback. The addition is being constructed for those with mobility issues and the aging population and the access needs to be level with the existing home.

(2) The special conditions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant;

The topography, size and shape of the property dictate how the slab should be poured.

(3) Literal interpretation of the provisions of this chapter deprives the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this chapter and works unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;

Under section 30-691 accessory structures are limited to single family structures only. This literal interpretation is a hardship for the applicant.

(4) Granting the variance requested conveys the same treatment to the individual owner as to the owner of other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;

Granting the variance would provide this applicant with same treatment by allowing what amounts to an accessory structure a reduced setback.

(5) The variance, if granted, is the minimum variance that makes possible the reasonable use of the land, building, or structure; and

Yes

(6) The grant of the variance is in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this chapter, and is not injurious to the neighborhood, or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare."


The property is surrounded by commercial activity. Granting this variance will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.

Budget Impact:
None

Staff Impact:
None

Recommendation:
Staff has reviewed the request for a Variance and determined that the request is consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the comprehensive plan and does comply with applicable provisions of the Code of Ordinances. Therefore, Staff recommends that the Village Council APPROVE the proposed Variance to the construction of an attached accessory structure within the required 20-foot rear setback and allow a 9 foot rear setback by adoption of the attached Resolution.

Attachments:
1. 80951 CC Backup
2. Resolution 80951 old hwy


Monroe County, FL
Log In
Search
search...
Layers
Map
Search
Sales Search
Results
Sales Results
Report
Home
Tax Estimator
Homestead Fraud
Layer List
Legend
Quick Links:
Real Property Search
Tangible Property Search
View Map
Layers:
Annotation
Buildings
Major Roads
Centerline
Easements
Hooks
Lot Lines
Road Center
Rights of Way
Section Lines
Shoreline
Condo Building
Qualified Condo Sales
Qualified Parcel Sales
Conservation Easement
FEMA_Flood
Key Names
Subdivisions
Parcels
Personal Property
Section Poly
County Outline
2025 Aerial Photography
2024 Aerial Photography
2023 Aerial Photography
2022 Aerial Photography
2021 Aerial Photography
2018 Aerial Photography
2015 Aerial Photography
2012 Aerial Photography
Restore Layer Defaults
UPPER MATECUMBE
660 ft
Results:
Parcel ID - 00096200-000000
Alt Id - 1111783
Address - 80951 OLD Hwy
Owner - ESSLINGER PATRICIA S
View: Report | Google Maps
POWERED BY esri
773687.99, 209050.32
Parcel ID 00096200-000000
Sec/Twp/Rng 05/64/37
Property Address 80951 OLD Hwy
UPPER MATECUMBE KEY
District 50VI
Brief Tax Description 5 64 37 ISLAND OF UPPER MATECUMBE PB1-41 PT LOT 6 OR286-169/170 OR322-21/24 OR799-260 OR816-1751/1752R/S OR1655-1908/09QC OR1659-1923D/C OR2051-2400/01C OR2124-653/54 OR2299-874 OR2803-886LET/ADM
(Note: Not to be used on legal documents)
Alternate ID 1111783
Class MULTI-FAMILY DUPLEX
Owner Address ESSLINGER PATRICIA S
80951 Old Hwy
Islamorada, FL 33036

Monroe County, FL

PROPERTY RECORD CARD

Disclaimer

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the data is intended for ad valorem tax purposes only and should not be relied on for any other purpose.

By continuing into this site you assert that you have read and agree to the above statement.

Summary

Parcel ID	00096200-000000
Account#	1111783
Property ID	1111783
Millage Group	50VI
Location Address	80951 OLD Hwy, UPPER MATECUMBE KEY
Legal Description	5 64 37 ISLAND OF UPPER MATECUMBE PB1-41 PT LOT 6 OR286-169/170 OR322-21/24 OR799-260 OR816-1751/1752R/S OR1655-1908/09QC OR1659-1923D/C OR2051-2400/01C OR2124-653/54 OR2299-874 OR2803-886LET/ADM (Note: Not to be used on legal documents.)
Neighborhood	4450
Property Class	MULTI-FAMILY DUPLEX (0802)
Subdivision	
Sec/Twp/Rng	05/64/37
Affordable Housing	No



Owner

ESSLINGER PATRICIA S
80951 Old Hwy
Islamorada FL 33036

Valuation

		2024 Certified Values	2023 Certified Values	2022 Certified Values	2021 Certified Values
+	Market Improvement Value	$241,922	$230,926	$233,675	$198,073
+	Market Misc Value	$18,001	$16,045	$15,528	$9,012
+	Market Land Value	$244,087	$246,072	$204,482	$169,824
=	Just Market Value	**$504,010**	**$493,043**	**$453,685**	**$376,909**
=	Total Assessed Value	**$247,834**	**$240,616**	**$386,306**	**$351,187**
-	School Exempt Value	($30,000)	($30,000)	$0	$0
=	School Taxable Value	**$217,834**	**$210,616**	**$453,685**	**$376,909**

Historical Assessments

Year	Land Value	Building Value	Yard Item Value	Just (Market) Value	Assessed Value	Exempt Value	Taxable Value	Maximum Portability
2024	$244,087	$241,922	$18,001	$504,010	$247,834	$30,000	$217,834	$256,176
2023	$246,072	$230,926	$16,045	$493,043	$240,616	$30,000	$210,616	$252,427
2022	$204,482	$233,675	$15,528	$453,685	$386,306	$0	$453,685	$0
2021	$169,824	$198,073	$9,012	$376,909	$351,187	$0	$376,909	$0
2020	$169,824	$147,986	$1,451	$319,261	$319,261	$0	$319,261	$0
2019	$168,455	$150,262	$1,509	$320,226	$320,226	$0	$320,226	$0
2018	$160,265	$150,262	$1,567	$312,094	$312,094	$0	$312,094	$0

The Maximum Portability is an estimate only and should not be relied upon as the actual portability amount. Contact our office to verify the actual portability amount.

Land

Land Use	Number of Units	Unit Type	Frontage	Depth
MULTI RES HWY (080H)	11,180.00	Square Foot	0	0

Buildings

Building ID	6883	**Exterior Walls**	C.B.S.
Style	GROUND LEVEL	**Year Built**	1963
Building Type	M.F. - R2 / R2	**EffectiveYearBuilt**	2010
Building Name		**Foundation**	CONCRETE SLAB
Gross Sq Ft	1653	**Roof Type**	GABLE/HIP
Finished Sq Ft	1200	**Roof Coverage**	METAL
Stories	1 Floor	**Flooring Type**	CERM/CLAY TILE
Condition	AVERAGE	**Heating Type**	FCD/AIR DUCTED
Perimeter	190	**Bedrooms**	2
Functional Obs	0	**Full Bathrooms**	2
Economic Obs	0	**Half Bathrooms**	0
Depreciation %	16	**Grade**	500
Interior Walls	DRYWALL	**Number of Fire Pl**	0

Code	Description	Sketch Area	Finished Area	Perimeter
FLA	FLOOR LIV AREA	1,200	1,200	140
PTO	PATIO	453	0	182
TOTAL		**1,653**	**1,200**	**322**

Yard Items

Description	Year Built	Roll Year	Size	Quantity	Units	Grade
CONC PATIO	1995	2006	2 x 5	1	10 SF	2
FENCES	2020	2021	6 x 215	1	1290 SF	2
RW2	2021	2023	1 x 80	1	80 SF	5
TIKI	2021	2023	35 x 11	1	385 SF	5
FENCES	2020	2021	6 x 115	1	690 SF	2
RES POOL	2024	2025	11 x 20	1	220 SF	5
CUSTOM PATIO	2024	2025	0 x 0	1	600 SF	4

Sales

Sale Date	Sale Price	Instrument	Instrument Number	Deed Book	Deed Page	Sale Qualification	Vacant or Improved	Grantor	Grantee
5/25/2007	$282,500	Warranty Deed		2299	874	H - Unqualified	Improved		
6/14/2005	$445,000	Warranty Deed		2124	653	Q - Qualified	Improved		


Permits

Number	Date Issued	Status	Amount	Permit Type	Notes
PRMCH202401077	10/16/2024	Active	$5,725	Residential	NORTH APARTMENT REPLACEMENT OF A 1.5 TON 16.0 SEER 2 RUUD FRONT RETURN EQUIPMENT 16.0 SEER 2 AIR HANDLER AND CONDENSING UNIT
PRBLD202300933	10/19/2023	Completed	$3,500	Residential	ATF PERMIT- INSTALL 404 SF CONCRETE SLAB UNDER EXISTING CHICKEE
PRBLD202201490	04/05/2023	Completed	$75,500	Residential	20' X 12' IN GROUND POOL W/ 12" X 24" BOARDER
PRMCH202100996	07/29/2021	Completed	$4,465	Residential	REPLACE A/C 1.5 TON 16 SEER
PRBLD202001271	06/15/2021	Completed	$10,500	Residential	2 CHICKEE HUTS 9X36 AND 11X35
PRBLD202000124	02/26/2020	Completed	$3,500	Residential	R/R 115 LF OF WOOD 6' HIGH FENCE, INSTALL 215 LF OF NEW 6' HIGH WOOD FENCING
PRRFG201700370	03/08/2017	Completed	$5,000	Residential	R/R ROOF - (METAL)
20062473	11/01/2006	Completed	$1		WOOD FENCE/20062474 RENEWAL OF EXPIRED PERMIT
2006575	03/16/2006	Completed	$1		WOOD FENCE/PERMIT 20062474 RENEWAL OF THIS EXPIRED PERMIT
20052795	02/28/2006	Completed	$1		ENCLOSE EXISTING PORCH
20051905	09/27/2005	Completed	$1		INTERIOR REMODEL EXTERIOR PAINT/WINDOWS/DOORS

View Tax Info

View Taxes for this Parcel

Sketches (click to enlarge)



Photos



Map




TRIM Notice

SCHNEIDER GEOSPATIAL

User Privacy Policy GDPR Privacy Notice
Last Data Upload: 5/8/2025, 1:50:38 AM

80951 OLD HIGHWAY ADDITION



LEGAL DESCRIPTION:
5 64 37 ISLAND OF UPPER MATECUMBE PB1-41
PT LOT 6OR286-169/170 OR322-21/24
OR799-260 OR816-1751/1752R/S
OR1655-1908/09QC
OR1659-1923D/COR2051-2400/01C
OR2124-653/54 OR2299-874
OR2803-886LET/ADM

PARCEL # 00096200-000000
ALT KEY: 1111783

ZONING:
SETTLERS RESIDENTIAL SR

BUFFERS:
114 ft. Rear property line - 7 Canopy trees / 4 Understory trees / 32 shrubs
103 ft. Side property line – 7 Canopy trees / 4 Understory trees / 29 shrubs
97 ft. Side property line – 6 Canopy trees / 3 Understory trees / 27 shrubs

DESIGN CRITERIA:

FLORIDA BUILDING CODE 2023
WINDSPEED = 180 MPH/ASCE 7
FLOOR LIVE LOAD = 40 PSF
ROOF LIVE LOAD = 55 PSF
FLOOR DEAD LOAD = 25 PSF
ROOF DEAD LOAD = 25 PSF
EXPOSURE = "D"
IMPORTANCE FACTOR = 1.0
INTERNAL PRESSURE COEFFICIENT = 0.18

FLOOD ZONE:
AE 8' NGVD
DFE 9' NGVD

BUILDING AREA:


EXISTING A/C AREA: 1,200 SF
NEW A/C AREA: 500 sf
TOTAL CONDITIONED AREA: 1,700 SF

CONSTRUCTION TYPE 2


SCOPE OF WORK:
1. NEW 500 SF CONCRETE & CMU ADDITION (BEDROOM & BATHROOM).

2. CONNECT TO EXISTING SEWER, ELECTRICAL AND WATER.

SHEET INDEX	
Sheet Number	Sheet Name
GENERAL	
T1.0	Cover Sheet
T2.0	Specifications
T3.0	Specifications
ARCHITECTURAL	
C1.0	Site Plan
ARCHITECTURAL	
A1.0	Site & 1st Floor Plan
A2.0	Section
A3.0	Exterior Elevations
STRUCTURAL	
S1.0	Foundation Plan
S2.0	First Flr Struct Plan
ELEC MECH PLUMB DRAWINGS	
E1.0	Electrical Plans
MP1	HVAC & Plumbing Plans



1 T1.0 SURVEY REFERENCE
SCALE: NOT TO SCALE



LOCATION MAP
SCALE: NOT TO SCALE

REV 050125: LOWERED FLOOR ELEVATION BELOW DFE

SM
TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL INC.
11270 6th Ave Gulf
Marathon, FL 33050
305-766-8301
architect.terramar@gmail.com
www.terramararchitectural.com

Digitally signed by William Knetge
Date: 2025.05.01 20:03:30 -04'00'

TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL, INC. WILLIAM KNETGE REGISTERED ARCHITECT AR0014775

This item has been digitally signed and sealed by William Knetge of Terra Mar Architectural, Inc. on the date adjacent to the seal. Printed copies of this document are not considered signed and sealed. and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies.

Cover Sheet

80951 Old Hwy
Islamorada, FL 33036

NOVEMBER 6, 2023

0096200-000000

T1.0

COPYRIGHT TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL, INC.; DO NOT COPY WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

ABBREVIATIONS

A/C	AIR CONDITIONED
ADA	AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT
ADJ	ADJACENT
AFF	ABOVE FINISHED FLOOR
AHU	AIR HANDLING UNIT
ALUM	ALUMINUM
ALT	ALTERNATE
APPROX	APPROXMATE
ARCH	ARCHITECT
ASPH	ASPHALT
AUTO	AUTOMATIC
BD	BOARD
BEL	BELOW
BET	BETWEEN
BLDG	BUILDING
BM	BEAM
BOTT	BOTTOM
BRG	BEARING
CB	CATCH BASIN
CEM	CEMENT
CER	CERAMIC
CFCI	CONTRACTOR FURNISHED CONTRACTOR INSTALLED
CI	CAST IRON
CJ	CONTROL JOINT
CLG	CEILING
CLR	CLEAR
CMU	CONCRETE MASONRY UNIT
COL	COLUMN
CONC	CONCRETE
COND	CONDUIT
CONSTR	CONSTRUCTION
CONT	CONTINUOUS
CPT	CARPET
CTNK	COUNTERSUNK
CNTR	COUNTER
CT	CERAMIC TILE
CTR	CENTER
CU	CUBIC
CW	COLD WATER
DBL	DOUBLE
DEPT	DEPARTMENT
DET	DETAIL
DIA	DIAMETER
DIAG	DIAGONAL
DIM	DIMENSION
DISP	DISPENSER
DN	DOWN
DR	DOOR
DS	DOWNSPOUT
D/W	DISHWASHER
DWG	DRAWING
E	EAST
EA	EACH
EJ	EXPANSION JOINT
EL	ELEVATION
ELEC	ELECTRICAL
ELEV	ELEVATOR
EMER	EMERGENCY
ENCL	ENCLOSURE
ENTR	ENTRANCE
EO	EVERY OTHER
EQ	EQUAL
EW	EACH WAY
EXIST	EXISTING
EXH	EXHAUST
EXP	EXPANSION
EXT	EXTERIOR
EW	EACH WAY
FA	FIRE ALARM
FBC	FLORIDA BUILDING CODE
FD	FLOOR DRAIN
FDN	FOUNDATION
FEC	FIRE EXTINGUISHER CABINET
FIN	FINSHISHED (SUFACE)
FLASH	FLASHING
FLEX	FLEXIBLE
FLUOR	FLUORESCENT
FOC	FACE OF CONCRETE
FOF	FACE OF FINISH
FOM	FACE OF MASONRY
FOS	FACE OF STUD/ STRUCTURE
FS	FULL SIZE
FT	FEET
FTG	FOOTING
GA	GAUGE
GALV	GALVAIZED
GND	GROUND
GR	GRADE
GWB	GYPSUM WALL BOARD
GYP	GYPSUM
HB	HOSE BIBB
HC	HOLLOW CORE
HDWD	HARDWOOD
HDWE	HARDWARE
HT	HEIGHT
HM	HOLLOW METAL
HORIZ	HORIZONTAL
HR	HOUR
HTR	HEATER
HVAC	HEATING, VENTILATION AND AIR CONDITIONING
HWH	HOT WATER HEATER
INCL	INCLUDNG
ID	INSIDE DIAMETER
IN	INCH
INSUL	INSULATION
INT	INTERIOR
JAN	JANITOR
JT	JOINT
KD	KNOCK DOWN
KO	KNOCK OUT
L	LENGTH
LAM	LAMINATE
LAV	LAVATORY
LB	POUND
LDR	LEADER
LONG	LONGITUDINAL
LP	LOW POINT
LVR	LOUVER
LW	LIGHTWEIGHT
MAS	MASONRY
MAX	MAXIMUM
MBR	MEMBER
MECH	MECHANICAL
MEMB	MEMBRANE
MEZZ	MEZZANNE
MFR	MANUFACTURER
MH	MANHOLE
MIN	MINIMUM
MISC	MISCELLANEOUS
MLD	MOULDING
MO	MASONRY OPENING
MOD	MODULAR
MOV	MOVBLE
MTD	MOUNTED
MULL	MULLION
N	NORTH
NIC	NOT IN CONTRACT
NO	NUMBER
NOM	NOMINAL
NM	NON METALLIC
NTS	NOT TO SCALE
OA	OVERALL
OBS	OBSCUE
OC	ON CENTER
OD	OUTSIDE DIAMETER
OFF	OFFICE
OFCI	OWNER FURNISHED CONTRACTOR INSTALLED
OFOI	OWNER FURNISHED OWNER INSTALLED
OHDR	OVERHEAD DOOR
OPNG	OPENING
OPP	OPPOSITE
PC	PRECAST CONCRETE
PCF	POUNDS PER CUBIC FOOT
PERF	PERFORATED
PL	PLATE
PLAM	PLASTIC LAMINATE
PLAS	PLASTER
PLF	PER LINEAR FOOT
PLUMB	PLUMBING
PLYWD	PLYWOOD
PTD	PAINTED
PSF	PER SQUARE FOOT
PSI	PER SQUARE INCH
PVC	POLYVINYL CHLORIDE
PVMNT	PAVEMENT
RA	RETURN AIR
RAD	RADIUS
RD	ROOF DRAIN
REC	RECESSED
REF	REFRIGERATOR
REG	REGISTER
REINF	REINFORCING
REQ	REQUIRED
RESIL	RESILIENT
RO	ROUGH OPENING
ROW	RIGHT OF WAY
RTN	RETURN
S	SOUTH
SAN	SANITARY
SC	SOLID CORE
SCHED	SCHEDULE
SD	STORM DRAIN
SEAL	SEALANT
SECT	SECTION
SF	SQUARE FOOT
SHT	SHEET
SIM	SIMILAR
SPEC	SPECIFICATION
SQ	SQUARE
SS	STAINLESS STEEL
STC	SOUND TRANSMISSION COEFFCIENT
STD	STANDARD
STL	STEEL
STOR	STORAGE
STRUCT	STRUCTURAL
SUSP	SUSPENDED
SW	SWITCH
SYM	SYMMETRICAL
SYS	SYSTEM
TEL	TELEPHONE
TEMP	TEMPERED
T&B	TOP AND BOTTOM
T&G	TONGUE AND GROOVE
THRES	THRESHOLD
THK	THICK
TOB	TOP OF BEAM
TOC	TOP OF CURB
TOS	TOP OF STEEL
TOW	TOP OF WALL
TOIL	TOILET
TR	TREAD
TYP	TYPICAL
UL	UNDERWRITERS LABORATORY
UNO	UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE
VENT	VENTILATION
VERT	VERTICAL
VOL	VOLUME
VTR	VENT THRU ROOF
W	WEST OR WIDE
W/	WITH
WC	WATER CLOSET
WIN	WINDOW
WO	WINDOW OPENING
W/O	WITHOUT
WP	WATERPROOF
WSCT	WAINSCOT
WT	WEIGHT
WWF	WELDED WIRE FABRIC

SPECIFICATIONS

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

1. ALL WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH CURRENT CODES AND REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL GOVERNING JURISDICTIONS AND AGENCIES REGARDLESS WHETHER WRITTEN HEREIN.
2. THESE PLANS ARE SOLELY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT AND MAY NOT BE USED OR MODIFIED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN APPROVAL OF TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL.
3. SHOP DRAWINGS OF TRUSSES AND ANY OTHER ENGINEERED SYSTEMS SHALL BEAR THE SEAL OF A REGISTERED FLORIDA ENGINEER AND SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE ARCHITECT AND JURIDATION OF AUTHORITY.
4. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE FAMILIAR WITH ALL SITE CONDITIONS AND SHALL PROTECT UTILITIES, ADJACENT PROPERTIES AND WORK OF OTHERS.
5. ANY CONFLICTS OBSERVED BY THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE ARCHITECT IN WRITING.
6. CONTRACTOR SHALL FURNISH ALL SUBCONTRACTORS WITH A COMPLETE SET OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
7. GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL OBSERVE AND VERIFY ALL WORK BY SUBCONTRACTORS.
8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DO THE WORK IN STRICT CONFORMANCE WITH THE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS. ANY DEVIATION SHALL BE RECORDED AND PROVIDED TO THE ARCHITECT AT THE TIME OF DISCOVERY. CONTRACTOR SHALL BEAR ALL COSTS TO REMEDY UNAPPROVED WORK.
9. BY COMMENCEMENT OF WORK CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT ALL INFORMATION AS PERTAINING TO THIS PROJECT HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND ALL QUESTIONS HAVE BEEN RESOLVED TO THE CONTRACTORS SATISFACTION.
10. CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS OF GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.

DIVISION 03 CONCRETE

CFCI 03 21 00 REINFORCING STEEL
ALL REINFORCING STEEL SHALL BE GRADE 60, ASTM A615. ALL BENDS & HOOKS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACI-318.
CHAIRS SHALL BE STAINLESS STEEL OR NON METALLIC ONLY. THE USE OF WOOD BLOCKS, STONES, OR OTHER MATERIALS SHALL NOT BE PERMITTED.

STEEL SHALL THE FOLLOWING MINIMUM CONVERAGE:
A) CONCRETE PLACED DIRECTLY IN CONTACT WITH GROUND: 3".
B) CONCRETE EXPOSED DIRECTLY TO WEATHER OR IN CONTACT WITH THE GROUND AFTER REMOVAL OF FORMS:1-1/2".
C) CONCRETE NOT EXPOSED DIRECTLY TO THE GROUND OR WEATHER= WALLS AND SLABS: 3/4", BEAMS: 1-1/2
D) ALL OTHERS: 1-1/2".

CFCI 03 31 00 STRUCTURAL CONCRETE
ALL CONCRETE SHALL CONFOM TO ACI 318, AND SHALL ATTAIN A MINIMUM 28 DAY COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF 4000 P.S.I.

Contractor shall provide

1.02 REFERENCES
A. ACI 301 - Specification for Structural Concrete
B. ACI 318 - Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete and Commentary
C. ACI 350R - Environmental Engineering Concrete Structure
D. ASTM C33 - Specification for Concrete Aggregates
E. ASTM C94 - Specification for Ready-Mixed Concrete
F. ASTM C150 - Specification for Portland Cement
G. ASTM C260 - Specification for Air-Entraining Admixtures for Concrete
H. ASTM C494 - Specification for Chemical Admixtures for Concrete

1.03 QUALITY ASSURANCE
A. Perform work in accordance with ACI 301 and 318. B. Obtain materials from same source throughout the WORK. C. Tests: As listed in "Methods of Sampling and Testing", Section 18, ASTM C94. Qualifications of laboratory, responsibilities of all parties involved, and designation of the party to employ, and to pay for, specified services are covered in the Supplementary
General Provisions.
1. Concrete:
a) Mix and Control: The verifications and control of concrete mixes shall be the work of an independent testing laboratory. Cost of testing shall be paid by CONTRACTOR. b) Laboratory Services shall be as follows:
1. Contractor shall submit design mixes and testing as required by ACI 301.
1.04 TESTS
A. Testing and analysis of concrete will be performed in accordance to ACI 301.
B. Submit proposed mix design of each class of concrete to ARHITECT for review prior to commencement of work.
C. Four concrete test cylinders will be taken by contractor for every 75 or less cu yds of each class of concrete placed each day.
D. One slump and entrained air test will be taken for each set of test cylinders taken.
PART 2 PRODUCTS
2.01 CONCRETE MATERIALS
A. Cement: ASTM C150, Type I, II, or III Portland Type.
B. Fine and Coarse Aggregates: ASTM C33.
C. Water: Clean and not detrimental to concrete.
2.02 ADMIXTURES
A. Chemical Admixture: ASTM C494, of any type must be approved prior to use.
2.03 CONCRETE MIX
A. Mix concrete in accordance with ASTM C94.
B. Provide concrete for all applications of the following characteristics:
1. Class B - Normal Weight 4,000 psi @ 28 days
C. Use set-retarding admixtures during hot weather only when approved.
D. Do not add water to concrete mix during application.
PART 3 EXECUTION
3.01 INSPECTION
Verify anchors, seats, plates, reinforcement, and other items to be cast into concrete are accurately placed, held securely, and will not cause hardship in placing concrete.
3.02 PREPARATION
Prepare previously placed concrete by cleaning with steel brush and applying bonding agent. Bonding agent shall be approved prior to use. Apply bonding agent in accordance with manufacturer requirements.
3.03 PLACING CONCRETE
A. Notify the Architect & Owner a minimum of 96 hours prior to commencement of concreting operations.
B. Place concrete in accordance with ACI 301..
C. Ensure reinforcement, inserts, embedded parts, formed joints and opening are not disturbed during concrete placement.
D. Maintain concrete cover around reinforcing as per ACI 318.
E. Place concrete continuously between predetermined construction joints. Construction joints not in construction plans shall be approved.
F. All construction joints shall be constructed in accordance with construction documents.
G. Excessive honeycomb or embedded debris in concrete is not acceptable. Notify Architect upon discovery.
H. All steel chairs shall be stainless steel or plastic.
1. Concrete shall be placed during daylight hours unless otherwise approved by the Architect. Placing of concrete in a portion of work shall not be started unless that portion of the work can be completed during daylight. Daylight is defined as the period one hour before sunrise to one hour after sunset.
2. If it is desired by the CONTRACTOR to place concrete during non-daylight hours, the CONTRACTOR shall provide an adequate lighting system approved by the Architect. Approval of the placing of concrete during non-daylight hours shall in no way lessen the responsibility of the CONTRACTOR as related to the WORK.
3.04 FINISHING
A. Concrete surfaces shall have rough edges tooled-off; irregularities shall be filled pointed up and spot finished.
B. Dress all exposed concrete corners with a ¾" x ¾" chamfer.
3.05 FIELD QUALITY CONTROL
A. Concrete Control: The verification and control of all concrete shall be performed by an independent testing laboratory. Cost of testing shall be paid by CONTRACTOR All concrete testing shall be performed by ACI certified technicians in accordance with ASTM C94.
B. Laboratory Services shall be as follows:
1. Make, cure, store and break test cylinders conforming to requirements of ASTM C31 "Standard Method of Making and Curing Concrete Test Specimens in the Field"; ASTM C39 "Standard Method of Test for Compressive Strength of Cylindrical Specimens"; ASTM C143 "Standard Method of Test for Slump of Portland Cement Concrete"; ASTM C172 Test cylinders and slump tests shall be made at job site and under no circumstances shall they be taken at a central mixing plant.
2. Reports on all tests conducted by laboratory shall be rendered promptly and distributed as follows:
a) Architect - (1) copy
b) CONTRACTOR - As requested
c) Jurisdiction having Authority- (1) copy
3. Reports of control cylinders for job placed concrete shall conform with the requirements of ASTM C94.
C. Contractors Function in Concrete Testing
03-033/10-00 (Concrete) 03300-5
1. Contractor shall comply with ACI 301. Contractor shall provide assistance as necessary for cylinder sampling.
2. Keep a daily log, recording quantities of each class of concrete used, the area of location of each quantity of concrete relating to its controlling cylinder and the slump of this concrete, and general weather conditions. The CONTRACTOR shall furnish this information to the laboratory for inclusion in the test reports. The CONTRACTOR shall obtain delivery tickets showing the class and strength of concrete, the size of coarse aggregate and the slump order. The CONTRACTOR shall identify these tickets relative to the area of placement of the concrete and shallretain them on file. He shall produce the tickets, should Owner so request.
D. Detailed Requirements
1. Of the test cylinders taken as per Section 1.07, one shall be broken at 7 days, two shall be broken at 28 days and one held in reserve.
2. Acceptance of concrete shall be in accordance with ACI 301.
3.06 PATCHING
A. Patch imperfections.
3.07 DEFECTIVE CONCRETE
A. Modify or replace concrete not conforming to required levels and lines, details, and elevations.
B. Repair or replace concrete not properly placed or of the specified type.
3.08 PROTECTION
A. Immediately after placement, protect concrete from premature drying, excessively hot or cold temperatures, and mechanical injury.
B. Maintain concrete with minimal moisture loss at relatively constant temperature for period necessary for hydration of cement and hardening of concrete.
C. Formwork on concrete slabs and beams shall remain in place minimum 28 days.
END OF SECTION

DIVISION 04 MASONRY

SECTION 04220 CONCRETE MASONRY UNITS
PART 1 GENERAL
1.01 SUMMARY
A. Section Pertains to Manufactured Concrete Masonry Units, including, but not limited to, Standard Concrete Masonry Units, Ground Face Concrete Masonry Units, Exposed Aggregate Concrete Masonry Units, Fluted Concrete Masonry Units, Interlocking Concrete Masonry Units, Sound-Absorbing Concrete Masonry Units, and Split-Face Concrete Masonry Units.
1.02 SECTION INCLUDES
A. Manufactured Concrete Masonry Units; both loadbearing and nonloadbearing and intended for use in unit masonry assemblies.
1.03 PRODUCTS SUPPLIED BUT NOT INSTALLED IN THIS SECTION
A. Manufactured Concrete Masonry Units.
1.04 RELATED SECTIONS
A. Section 04050 - Basic Masonry Materials and Methods.
B. Section 04060 - Masonry Mortar.
C. Section 04090 - Masonry Accessories; Control Joint Materials, Embedded Flashing, Expansion Joint Materials, Weep Holes.
D. Section 04910 - Masonry Restoration and Cleaning.
1.05 UNIT PRICES
A. Price-per-unit or per Bid Form.
1.06 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES
A. Per Concrete Masonry Unit.
1.07 REFERENCES
A. ASTM C33 - Standard Specifications for Concrete Aggregates
B. ASTM C90 - Standard Specification for Loadbearing Concrete Masonry Units.
C. ASTM C129 - Standard Specification for Nonloadbearing Concrete Masonry Units.
D. ASTM C140 - Sampling and Testing Concrete Masonry Units and Related Units.
E. ASTM C270 - Standard Specification for Mortar for Unit Masonry.
F. ASTM C331 - Lightweight Aggregates for Concrete Masonry Units.
G. ASTM C426 - Linear Drying Shrinkage of Concrete Masonry Units.
H. ASTM C476 - Standard Specification for Grout for Masonry.
I. ASTM C1209 - Terminology of Concrete Masonry Units and Related Units.
J. ASTM C1232 - Terminology of Masonry.
K. ASTM E514 - Water Penetration and Leakage Through Masonry.
L. ACI 530-95/ASCE 5-95/TMS 402-95 - Building Code Requirements for Masonry Structures.
M. ACI 530.1-95/ASCE 6-95/TMS 602-95 - Specification for Masonry Structures.
N. National Concrete Masonry Association (NCMA) Concrete Masonry Shapes and Sizes Manual.
O. National Concrete Masonry Association (NCMA) TEK Manual for Concrete Masonry Design and Construction.
1.10 CERTIFICATIONS
A. Complies with ASTM C33 - Standard Specification for Concrete Aggregates.
B. Complies with ASTM C90 - Loadbearing Concrete Masonry Units, as applicable.
C. Complies with ASTM C129 - Nonloadbearing Concrete Masonry Units, as applicable.
D. Complies with ASTM C331 - Lightweight Aggregates for Concrete Masonry Units, as applicable.
E. Conforms to National Concrete Masonry Association (NCMA) Shapes and Sizes Manual, as applicable.
1.14 STORAGE AND PROTECTION
A. Store pallets on level ground in single stacks only.
B. Upon delivery, site manager shall cover pallets with waterproof material as protection from inclement weather; provide ventilation to avoid condensation.
1.15 WARRANTY
A. Units shall be free from defects in materials for 1 year from date of shipment.
B. ASTM C90, B-1, B.2 and B.2.1, Finish and Appearance, applies.
C. Inspect shipment for unit defects upon delivery. Defects shall be immediately reported to manufacturer.
PART 2 PRODUCTS
2.01 MANUFACTURER
A. Rinker Florida Plant or Equal
2.03 MATERIALS
A. Structural Standard Concrete Masonry Units, Exposed Aggregate Concrete Masonry Units, Ground Face Concrete Masonry Units, Fluted Concrete Masonry Units, Interlocking Concrete Masonry Units, Sound-Absorbing Concrete Masonry Units, Split-Face Concrete Masonry Units, as required.
1. GENERAL
a. Unless otherwise indicated or specified, concrete masonry units shall be standard units with nominal face dimensions of 16 inch length x 8 inch height (15 5/8 x 7 5/8 actual) x width indicated.
(1) 2", 3", 4", 6", 8", 10" and 12" nominal widths are available.
(2) Ground Face Concrete Masonry Units shall be approximately 3/32" less than NCMA actual width due to ground face surface.
b. Provide, or cut, all related matching accessory shapes and sizes as required for lintels, corners, jambs, sills, control joints, headers, bonding, and other special conditions.
(1) Make all cuts with motorized masonry saw, using abrasive or diamond blade.
c. Special units shall match color and texture of standard units.
d. Where units are placed so end of a unit is exposed, such as at corners or intersections, the exposed end of that block shall have a surface that matches the color and texture of the other units.
e. Provide units with normal weight aggregate conforming to ASTM C33 or lightweight aggregate conforming to ASTM C331, as required.
f. Normal weight aggregate shall be #10 architectural screened aggregate
g. Cure all units in a moisture-controlled atmosphere to conform to ASTM C90.
h. ASTM C90, Standard Specification for Loadbearing Concrete Masonry Units, shall apply as required.
i. ASTM C129, Standard Specification for Nonloadbearing Concrete Masonry Units, shall apply as required.
2.04 ACCESSORIES
A. MORTAR
1. Shall comply with ASTM C270, Standard Specification for Mortar for Unit Masonry. Mortar shall be type S.
2. Water for masonry mortar shall be clean and free of oils, acids, alkalis, salts, organic materials, or other substances that are harmful to mortar or any metal in the wall.
3. Admixtures containing chlorides accelerate corrosion of steel reinforcing, ferrous ties and anchors, metal doors, and metal window frames. Chloride containing admixtures are, therefore, not permitted.
4. Aggregates for mortar must comply with ASTM C144, Standard Specification for Aggregates for Masonry Mortar.
5. Mortar shall contain integral water repellent admixture, Rheomix Rheopel™ by Master Builders, Inc., Cleveland, Ohio or equal.
B. GROUT
1. Shall comply with ASTM C476, Standard Specification for Grout for Masonry.
2. Water for grout shall be clean and potable.
3. Admixtures shall comply with ASTM C476, 3.1.5.
2.05 FABRICATION TOLERANCES
A. Shall comply with ASTM C90, Standard Specification for Loadbearing Concrete Masonry Units, Article 7, Permissible Variations in Dimensions.
2.06 FINISHES
2 .07 SOURCE QUALITY CONTROL
B. Test results in accordance with ASTM C140, Standard Test Methods for Sampling and Testing Concrete Masonry Units and Related Units, shall be available upon request from the manufacturer.
PART 3 EXECUTION
3.01 PREPARATION
A. Foundation must be level and clean, free of ice, dirt, oil, mud, and other substances.
3.02 INSTALLATION
A. Installers shall be experienced masons using best masonry practices.
B. Lay up walls plumb and true, with courses level, accurately spaced and coordinated with other work.
C. Pull units from more than one pallet at a time.
D. Install only quality units. Reject defective or damaged units.
E. As work progresses, build in all metal doorframes, window sashes, louvers, conduit, pipe, panel boards, and other items as required. Build in openings and recesses as required for work of other trades.
F. Temporarily brace masonry walls that may be exposed to wind loads or other conditions that could affect stability.
G. Install weep holes, vents, flashing at locations as indicated on plans and in strict accordance with best masonry flashing practices.
H. Temperatures between 40 and 90° F (4.4 and 32.3° C) are considered "normal" temperatures for masonry construction and do not require special procedures or weather protection protocols. When ambient temperatures fall below 40° F (4.4° C) or above 90° F (32.3° C), special construction procedures shall be considered to ensure the final construction is not adversely affected.
I. Protect newly constructed walls by draping weather-resistant coverings over wall and extending them below any mortar susceptible to washout.
3.03 CLEANING
A. Minimize mortar and grout smears on face of units during installation.
B. Mortar droppings can be removed with trowel or chisel after being allowed to harden.
C. Remove remaining mortar with stiff fiber brush.
D. Clean completed walls with masonry cleaner, strictly following manufacturer's directions. Rinse thoroughly.
3.04 LONG TERM MAINTENANCE
A. Concrete Masonry Units are a long lasting and durable material. Estimated life is 100+ years.
B. Owner shall assess condition of units periodically by checking overall appearance of structure for signs of damage.
C. Patch or replace damaged or cracked units as required.
D. Perform routine cleaning with standard commercial cleaning agents.
END OF SECTION

DIVISION 05 METALS

CFCI 05 12 00 STRUCTURAL STEEL
ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL MEMBERS TO BE HOT DIPPED GALVANIZED. CONTRACTOR TO SUBMIT STEEL SHOP DRAWINGS TO OWNER & ARCHITECT PRIOR TO ORDERING. STEEL COLUMNS AT INTERIOR SHALL BE CLAD W/ 5/8" GYPSUM BD.

CFCI 05 40 00 COLD FORMED METAL JOIST FRAMING
ALL LOAD BEARING METAL FRAMED PARTITIONS SHALL BE 16GA, HOT DIPPED GALVANIZED, AS MANUF. BY MARINOWARE. Install per manufacturer requirements.

MARINOWARE
1-800-627-4661
www.marinoware.com

DIVISION 06 WOOD

ALL CONCEALED WOOD FRAMING LUMBER SHALL BE EQUIVALENT TO SOUTHERN PINE #2 WITH A MINIMUM FIBER STRESS OF 1400 PSI.
ALL LUMBER IN CONTACT WITH MASONRY OR CONCRETE SHALL BE PRESSURE TREATED OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED BY AN APPROVED SEPARATING MATERIAL.

06 0530 - WOOD SHEATHING
EXTERIOR WALL AND ROOF SHEATHING SHALL BE NEW STRUCTURAL 1 EXTERIOR.INSTALL PER MANUFACTURER REQUIREMENTS AND PER FLORIDA BUILDING CODE.

CFCI 06 17 60 PREFABRICATED WOOD TRUSS

TRUSS MANUFACTURER SHALL BE: DECO TRUSS COMPANY, INC.
13980 SW 252 STREET
PRINCETON, FLORIDA 33032
TEL: 305-257-1910 FAX: 305-257-1911

1. PREPARE THE TRUSS DESIGN DRAWINGS IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN THE LATEST APPROVED EDITION OF THE ANSI/TPI 1 - 1995 "NATIONAL DESIGN STANDARD FOR METAL PLATE CONNECTED WOOD TRUSS CONSTRUCTION."
2.FOR EACH TRUSS DESIGN DRAWING, SET FORTH AS A MINIMUM THE FOLLOWING :
3.SLOPE OR DEPTH, SPAN AND SPACING;
4.LOCATION OF ALL JOINTS;
5.REQUIRED BEARING WIDTHS;
6.DESIGN LOADS AS APPLICABLE;
7.TOP CHORD LIVE LOAD
8.TOP CHORD DEAD LOAD;
9.BOTTOM CHORD LIVE LOAD;
10.BOTTOM CHORD DEAD LOAD;
11.CONCENTRATED LOADS AND THEIR POINTS OF APPLICATION; AND
12.CONTROLLING WIND LOADS;
13. ADJUSTMENTS TO LUMBER AND METAL CONNECTOR PLATE DESIGN VALUES FOR CONDITIONS OF USE;
14.EACH REACTION FORCE AND DIRECTION;
15.METAL CONNECTOR PLATE TYPE, SIZE, THICKNESS OR GAGE, AND THE DIMENSIONAL LOCATION OF EACH METAL CONNECTOR PLATE EXCEPT WHERE SYMMETRICALLY LOCATED RELATIVE TO THE JOINT INTERFACE.
16.LUMBER SIZE, SPECIES, AND GRADE FOR EACH MEMBER;
17.CONNECTION REQUIREMENTS FOR :(A) TRUSS TO TRUSS GIRDER; (B) TRUSS PLY TO PLY; AND (C) FIELD SPLICES;
18.CALCULATED DEFLECTION RATIO AND/OR MAXIMUM DEFLECTION FOR LIVE AND TOTAL LOAD;
19.MAXIMUM AXIAL COMPRESSION FORCES IN THE TRUSS MEMBERS TO ENABLE THE BUILDING DESIGNER TO DESIGN THE SIZE, CONNECTIONS AND ANCHORAGE OF THE PERMANENT CONTINUOUS LATERAL BRACING. FORCES SHALL BE SHOWN ON THE TRUSS DESIGN DRAWING
20.REQUIRED PERMANENT TRUSS MEMBER BRACING LOCATION AND SIZING.

CFCI 06 45 00 WOOD TRIM STANDING & RUNNING
BASEBOARD AND TRIM SHALL BE AS SELECTED BY OWNER

REV 050125: LOWERED FLOOR ELEVATION BELOW DFE

TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL INC.
11270 6th Ave Gulf
Marathon, FL 33050
305-766-8301
architect.terramar@gmail.com
www.terramararchitectural.com

Digitally signed by William Knetge
Date: 2025.05.01 20:03:57 -04'00'

TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL INC.
WILLIAM F. KNETGE
AR0014775
REGISTERED ARCHITECT

This item has been digitally signed and sealed by William Knetge of Terra Mar Architectural, Inc. on the date adjacent to the seal. Printed copies of this document are not considered signed and sealed. and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies.

Specifications

80951 Old Hwy
Islamorada, FL 33036

NOVEMBER 6, 2023

0096200-000000

T2.0

COPYRIGHT TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL, IN.; DO NOT COPY WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

DIVISION 07 THERMAL & MOISTURE PROTECTION

CFCI 07 21 13 BOARD INSULATION
EXTERIOR WALLS TO HAVE R-5, ¾" RIGID POLYISO, FOIL BACKED INSULATION, DYPLAST PRODUCTS "DP SHIELD" OR EQUAL.
DYPLAST PRODUCTS, 12501 NW 38TH AVE, MIAMI, FL 33054 (305) 921-0100
www.dyplastproducts.com

CFCI 07 21 16 BLANKET INSULATION
ROOF ASSEMBLY SHALL INCLUDE R-30 "CERTAPRO" THERMAL EXTENDED RANGE PAPERLESS BATTS, AS PRODUCED BY CERTAINTEED CORP. OR APPROVED EQUAL. INSULATION TO BE INSTALLED AT TOP CHORD OF TRUSS, PER MANUFACTURERS REQS.
CERTAINTEED CORP. www.certainteed.com

ALTERNATE: ICYNENE OPEN CELL INSULATION OF THICKNESS TO PROVIDE R-30 OR EQUAL.

CFCI 07 92 00 SEALANTS
EXTERIOR SEALANTS SHALL BE DOW CORNING SILICONE BUILDING SEALANT OR APPROVED EQUAL. Install per manufacturer requirements.
DOW CORNING CORPORATION www.dowcorning.com

DIVISION 08 OPENINGS

CFCI 08 11 20 VINYL DOORS
EXTERIOR GLASS DOORS SHALL BE PGT VINYL IMPACT . INSTALL PER MIAMI-DADE NOA.
WWW.PGTWINDOWS.COM

CFCI 08 14 20 WOOD DOORS
INTERIOR WOOD DOORS SHALL BE BY ETO DOORS AS SELECTED BY OWNER. TRIM TO MATCH.
WWW.ETODOORS.COM

CFCI 08 51 13 VINYL WINDOWS
EXTERIOR WINDOWS SHALL BE PGT VINYL IMPACT WINDOWS. INSTALL PER MIAMI-DADE NOA.
WWW.PGTWINDOWS.COM

DIVISION 09 FINISHES

CFCI 09 24 00 - PORTLAND CEMENT PLASTER (STUCCO)

PORTLAND CEMENT STUCCO AS MANUFACTURED BY CEMEX. 2 COAT MIN. 5/8" THICKNESS.

CEMEX, Inc. U.S. OPERATIONS HEADQUARTERS
929 Gessner Rd., Suite 1900, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77024
(713) 650-6200, (800) 999-8529 www.cemexusa.com

CFCI 09 25 40 - STUCCO ACCESSORIES

PROVIDE 1/4" EXPANSION TRIM LEVEL WITH 1ST FLOOR LINE.

PLASTIC COMPONENTS INC. 9051 NW 97th Terrace Miami, FL 33178 800.327.7077 •
Fax 305.887.2452 • www.plasticomponents.com

CFCFI 09 67 00 FLUID APPLIED WATERPROOFING

WHERE INDICATED ON PLANS, ROOF, BALCONIES COMPOSED OF CONCRETE SHALL RECEIVE LATICRETE "HYDROBAN" ROLL-ON WATERPROOFING MEMBRANE. PRODUCTS SHALL BE INSTALLED OVER CLEAN, DRY SURFACE IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S INSTRUCTIONS.

LATICRETE, INC.
WWW.LATICRETE.COM

CFCFI 09 29 00 GYPSUM BD
TYPICAL INTERIOR GYPSUM BOARD SHEATHING SHALL BE GEORGIA PACIFIC "DENS ARMOR PLUS" TYPE X 5/8" FIREGUARD PAPERLESS GYPSUM BOARD OR APPROVED EQUAL. TILE BACKER IN SHOWERS/WET AREAS SHALL BE GEORGIA PACIFIC "DENS SHIELD" TYPE X 5/8" TILE BACKER OR APPROVED EQUAL.Install per manufacturer requirements.

GEORGIA PACIFIC CO.
WWW.GP.COM

CFCI 09 24 00 - PORTLAND CEMENT PLASTER (STUCCO)

PORTLAND CEMENT STUCCO AS MANUFACTURED BY CEMEX. 2 COAT MIN. 5/8" THICKNESS.
INSTALLATION SHALL COMPLY W/ ASTM C 926 & ASTM C 1063.

CEMEX, Inc. U.S. OPERATIONS HEADQUARTERS
929 Gessner Rd., Suite 1900, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77024
(713) 650-6200, (800) 999-8529 www.cemexusa.com

CFCI 09 25 40 - STUCCO ACCESSORIES

STUCCO BANDS; EXPANSION JOINTS LAP SIDING TRACK AND TERMINATIONS SHALL BE PLASTIC COMPONENTS VINYL.

PLASTIC COMPONENTS INC. 9051 NW 97TH TERRACE MIAMI, FL 33178 800.327.7077 •
FAX 305.887.2452 • WWW.PLASTICOMPONENTS.COM

CFCI 09 91 00 - PAINTS
EXTERIOR PAINT FOR APPLICATION ON STUCCO SHALL BE BEHR MASONR,STUCCO & BRICK PAINT No. 68.
BEHR PROCESS CORPORATION, 3400 W. SEGERSTROM AVE., SANTA ANA, CA 92704
www.behr.com

DIVISION 11 EQUIPMENT

CFCI 11 30 00 APPLIANCE SPECIALTIES

CEILING FANS SHALL BY HUNTER FAN CO. WITH MODEL SELECTED BY OWNER. CEILING FANS SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH COASTAL TRIM KIT.

DIVISION 22 PLUMBING

CFCI 22 11 00 WATER DISTRIBUTION PIPING
RIGID: RIGID WATER DISTRIBUTION PIPING SHALL BE NOVEON "FLOWGUARD GOLD" CHLORINATED POLYVINYL CHLORIDE (CPVC) PIPING. "FLOWGUARD GOLD CTS" CPVC PIPE AND FITTINGS SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM D 2846. PIPE AND FITTINGS SHALL BE MANUFACTURED TO THE NOMINAL DIMENSION CITED IN THE APPLICABLE ASTM STANDARD, AND BE THE PRODUCT OF ONE MANUFACTUER. FITTINGS SHALL BE INJECTION-MOLDED. ALL PIPE AND FITTINGS SHALL CONFIRM TO NATIONAL SANITARY FOUNDATION (NSF) STANDARD 14 AND 61.

ALT FLEXIBLE: FLEXIBLE PIPING SHALL BE IPEX "TEMPRITE" CROSS-LINKED POLYETHYLENE (PEX) FLEXIBLE PIPING AND SHALL BE CERTIFIED AS MEETING THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F 876 AND F 877.

CFCI 22 13 16 SANITARY WASTE & VENT PIPING
PVC SCHEDULE 40. FITTINGS SHALL BE MANUFACTURED FROM VIRGIN RIGID PVC (POLYVINYL CHLORIDE) VINYL COMPOUNDS. PVC DWV FITTINGS SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM D 2665. PIPE AND FITTINGS SHALL BE MANUFACTURED AS A SYSTEM AND BE THE PRODUCT OF ONE MANUFACTURER. ALL PIPE AND FITTINGS SHALL BE MANUFACTURED IN THE UNITED STATES. ALL SYSTEMS SHALL UTILIZE A SEPARATE WASTE AND VENT SYSTEM. PIPE AND FITTINGS SHALL CONFORM TO NATIONAL SANITATION FOUNDATION STANDARD.

CFCI 22 42 10 RESIDENTIAL PLUMBING FIXTURES
BATHROOM, KITCHEN AND LAUNDRY FIXTURES SHALL BE BY KOHLER INC. MODELS SHALL BE SELECTED BY OWNER. PROVIDE TRIM KITS FOR ALL FIXTURES TO MATCH SELECTED FINISH.
CONTRACTOR SHALL FLUSH ALL WATER LINES FREE OF DEBRIS AND SHALL SANITIZE THE LINES WITH BLEACH AS REQUIRED FLORIDA STATUTES.
FLOW RATES (GPM) SHALL COMPLY WITH FLORIDA BUILDING CODE.

DIVISION 23 HVAC

CFCI 23 31 16 HVAC DUCTS
BATHROOM EXHAUST VENT SHALL BE VINYL FLEX.
SEE MECHANICAL PLAN FOR SIZING.

CFCI 23 34 50 BATH EXHAUST FANS
5DDD05A WHISPER GREEN SELECT. 80 CFM.
PANASONIC VENTILATION 866-292-7282
http://www.panasonic.com/business/building-products/ventilation-systems/products/whisper-green-select.asp

CFCFI 23 81 00 AIR CONDITIONING
MITSUBISHI MINI SPLIT SYSTEM AIR CONDITIONING AS SPECIFIED ON PLANS.
PROVIDE 3/4" INSULATED CONDENSATE LINE TO DRAIN AT EXTERIOR PER F.B.C. U.N.O.
PROVIDE ELECRICAL DISCONNECT AT CONDENSOR. DISCONNECT TO BE RUN FROM METER DISTRIBUTION PANEL. CONDENSER ATTACHMENT TO BE DESIGNED TO WITHSTAND 180 MPH. WIND 3 SEC GUST. WHERE REQUIRED AIR HANDLER SHALL BE RAISED ON WELDED ALUM. STAND.
CONDENSATE LINES SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH COUPLERS TO ALLOW REMOVAL, PORT FOR ACCESSIBLE CLEANING, OVERFLOW SHUT OFF SWITCH.

CFCFI 23 81 00 AIR CONDITIONING
MITSUBISHI MINI SPLIT SYSTEM AIR CONDITIONING AS SPECIFIED ON PLANS.
PROVIDE 3/4" INSULATED CONDENSATE LINE TO DRAIN AT EXTERIOR PER F.B.C. U.N.O.
PROVIDE ELECRICAL DISCONNECT AT CONDENSOR. DISCONNECT TO BE RUN FROM METER DISTRIBUTION PANEL. CONDENSER ATTACHMENT TO BE DESIGNED TO WITHSTAND 180 MPH. WIND 3 SEC GUST. WHERE REQUIRED AIR HANDLER SHALL BE RAISED ON WELDED ALUM. STAND.
CONDENSATE LINES SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH COUPLERS TO ALLOW REMOVAL, PORT FOR ACCESSIBLE CLEANING, OVERFLOW SHUT OFF SWITCH.

IVISION 26 ELECTRICAL

CFCI 25 05 00 COMMON ELECTRICAL MATERIALS AND METHODS
ALL EXTERIOR CONDUIT SHALL BE WATER TIGHT RIGID PVD CONDUIT.
ALL INTERIOR CONDUIT SHALL BE Carlon "Flex-Plus Blue" Electrical Nonmetallic Tubing AND SHALL CONFORM to 2004 NEC, Article 362.
ALL DISTRIBUTION PANELS, DISCONNECTS SHALL BE MANUFACTURED BY SQUARE D.
ALL LOW VOLTAGE WIRING SHALL BE RUN IN NM CONDUIT.
ALL EXTERIOR DISTRIBUTION BOXES SHALL BE NEMA 4.

CFCI 26 09 23 LIGHTING CONTROL DEVICES
LIGHTING CONTROL DEVICES AND WALL PLATES SHALL BE LEVITON "DECORA" DEVICES, WITH NYLON WALL PLATES.

OFCI 26 50 00 LIGHTING
LIGHTING SHALL BE INSTALLED PER NEC REQUIREMENTS. ALL EXTERIOR HARDWARE SHALL BE NON-METALLIC. ALL FIXTURES SHALL BE LAMPED AND OPERATIONAL. PROVIDE LATEX CAULK AT ALL EXTERIOR ENDS OF CONDUIT TO PREVENT CONDENSATION.

DIVISION 31 EARTHWORK

CFCI 31 62 00 PILES
1. PILE FOUNDATION; SEE STRUCTURAL PLANS
(I) SUPPLY AND INSTALL AUGERCAST 7,000 PSI CONCRETE PILE FOUNDATION TO PROVIDE A LOAD CAPACITY OF 30,000 LBS PER PILE MINIMUM.
(II) SEE CONCRETE SPECIFICATION.
2. CONTRACTOR TO ACQUAINT THEMSELF WITH THE SITE OF WORK.
PRIOR TO THE SUBMISSION OF THE TENDER THE CONTRACTOR IS DEEMED TO HAVE VISITED THE SITE TO ACQUAINT HIMSELF WITH THE EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS, MEANS OF ACCESS, NATURE AND PROXIMITY OF ADJACENT PROPERTIES AND OTHER MATTERS LIABLE TO AFFECT THE OVERALL CONTRACT PRICE. THE AWARD OF THE CONTRACT SHALL BE BASED ON THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THE CONTRACTOR IS COMPLETELY FAMILIAR WITH THE CLIMATIC AND PHYSICAL CONDITIONS PREVAILING ON SITE. NO EXTRA PAYMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED AT A LATER DATE DUE TO THE CONTRACTOR'S FAILURE TO ALLOW FOR CONTINGENCIES ARISING FROM THE WORK AND THE NATURE OF EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ENSURE THAT HIS SYSTEM AND METHOD OF PILE INSTALLATION IS SUITABLE AND SAFE FOR USE AT THE PROPOSED SITE OF WORK. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT IMMEDIATELY TO THE ARCHITECT ANY CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH, IN THE CONTRACTOR'S OPINION, INDICATE GROUND CONDITIONS THAT MAY DIFFER FROM THOSE EXPECTED BY HIM. THE CONTRACTOR'S REPORT SHALL BE IN THE FORM OF A WRITTEN NOTICE WHICH SHALL BE GIVEN TO THE ARCHITECT AT THE EARLIEST POSSIBLE TIME AFTER ENCOUNTERING SUCH CONDITIONS AND OBSTRUCTIONS.
3. SYSTEMS AND NATURE OF NEIGHBORING BUILDINGS
THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PAY VERY CAREFUL ATTENTION TO CONSTRUCTION CONSTRAINTS IMPOSED BY NEIGHBORING BUILDINGS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL EXERCISE EXTRA CARE AND IMPLEMENT ADEQUATE MONITORING MEASURES WHEN CARRYING OUT HIS PILING OPERATIONS SO AS NOT TO DISTURB OR DAMAGE EXISTING ADJACENT PROPERTIES AND BUILDING FOUNDATIONS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR AND SHALL BEAR THE COST OF ANY CLAIMS FOR DAMAGE TO ADJACENT BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES ARISING FROM HIS EXECUTION OF THE FOUNDATION.
4. AUGER SPOILS SHALL REMAIN ON SITE.
5. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE PILE LOG TO ARCHITECT WITH THE FOLLOWING DATA: DEPTH, TIME & DATE, SITE LOCATION, OPERATOR CONTACT INFORMATION.

SM

TERRA MAR
ARCHITECTURAL INC.
11270 6th Ave Gulf
Marathon, FL 33050
305-766-9301
architect.terramar@gmail.com
www.terramararchitectural.com

Digitally signed by William Knetge
Date: 2025.05.01 20:04:14 -04'00'

WILLIAM F KNETGE
TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL
AR0014775
REGISTERED ARCHITECT

This item has been digitally signed and sealed by William Knetge of Terra Mar Architectural, Inc. on the date adjacent to the seal. Printed copies of this document are not considered signed and sealed. and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies.

Specifications

80951 Old Hwy
Islamorada, FL 33036

NOVEMBER 6, 2023

0096200-000000

T3.0

REV 050125: LOWERED FLOOR ELEVATION BELOW DFE

COPYRIGHT TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL, IN.; DO NOT COPY WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

STORM WATER CALCULATION


Previously Improved Site

(I) New Impervious Area 1,258 ft²

(J) Rainfall = 1.25"

(K) Cubic Feet Required = Impervious Area x Rainfall / 12 = I x J / 12 = 131 ft³

SWALE: 131 CF/ 6 SF = 22 LF REQ'D
23 LF PROVIDED



TYPICAL SWALE SECTION


STORM WATER NOTES:
1. SLOPE ALL GRADE MINIMUM 1/8 "/FT TOWARD YARD DRAINS AND AWAY FROM SHORELINE. SEE ARROW DIRECTION ON PLAN.

2. NO FILL PROPOSED THIS PROJECT; ONLY FILL FROM SWALES.

3. SEE SURVEY FOR EXISTING GRADES.

4. SWALES SHALL BE PLANTED WITH SOD.

5. GRADES SHOWN ARE PROPOSED; SEE SURVEY FOR EXISTING GRADES.



1 Site
1/8" = 1'-0"

SITE DATA
TOTAL LAND AREA: 11,180 SF

NEW STRUCTURE TO DRIPLINE: 859 SF
NEW DECK: 541 SF

EXIST STRUCTURE TO DRIPLINE: 1,594 SF
EXIST DECK, POOL, STEPS: 942 SF

TOTAL IMPERVIOUS AREA: 3,794 SF
34% COVERAGE; OPEN AREA 66%

SITE PLAN NOTES:
1. NO MODIFICATIONS TO EXISTING VEGETATION.

2. SEE DEMOLITION PLAN FOR AREAS TO BE REMOVED.

3. ELECTRICAL LOCATED BELOW FLOOD LEVEL SHALL BE SUBMERSIBLE PUMP CABLE W/ SOLID COPPER WIRE; CONDUIT SHALL BE NM WITH ONE SIZE INCREASE TO ALLOW FUTURE VACUUM.

4. ALL BELOW FLOOD ELECTRICAL SHALL BE ON ONE CIRCUIT W/ DISCONNECT LOCATED ABOVE FLOOD ELEVATION.

TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL INC.
11270 6th Ave Gulf
Marathon, FL 33050
305-766-9301
architect.terramar@gmail.com
www.terramararchitectural.com

Digitally signed by William Knetge
Date: 2025.05.01 20:04:31 -04'00'

WILLIAM F KNETGE AR0014775 REGISTERED ARCHITECT TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL

This item has been digitally signed and sealed by William Knetge of Terra Mar Architectural, Inc. on the date adjacent to the seal. Printed copies of this document are not considered signed and sealed. and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies.

Site Plan

80951 Old Hwy
Islamorada, FL 33036

NOVEMBER 6, 2023

0096200-000000

C1.0

REV 050125: LOWERED FLOOR ELEVATION BELOW DFE

COPYRIGHT TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL, IN.; DO NOT COPY WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

GENERAL ARCHITECTURAL NOTES:

1. ALL WORK SHALL COMFORM TO THE CURRENT FLORIDA BUILDING CODE AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE POWER COMPANY.

2. ALL WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH INDUSTRY BEST PRACTICES.

3. ALL WORK SHALL BE PLUMB AND LEVEL (WITHIN 1/4" BUBBLE) UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. ALL MITER JOINTS SHALL BE FREE OF GAPS AND VOIDS.

4. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY OWNER AND ARCHITECT IN WRITING REGARDING ANY DEVIATION FROM PLANS AND SPCIFICATIONS.

5. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY EXISTING CONDITIONS PRIOR TO START OF WORK.

6. FINISHES SHALL BE SELECTED BY OWNER UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

7. CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE FLOORING AND STAIR HEIGHTS WITH OWNDERS FINISH SELECTION.

<u>WINDOW AND DOOR NOTES:</u>

1. ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE CURRENT FLORIDA BUILDING CODE.

2. ALL WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH INDUSTRY BEST PRACTICES

3. ALL WORK SHALL BE PLUMB AND LEVEL (WITHIN 1/4" BUBBLE) UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. ALL MITER JOINTS SHALL BE FREE OF GAPS AND VOIDS.

4. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY OWNER AND ARCHITECT IN WRITING REGARDING ANY DEVIATION FROM PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS.

5. INSTALL WINDOWS AND DOORS PER MANUFACTURERS REQUIREMENTS.

6. ALL OPENINGS SHALL RECEIVE PAINTABLE SILICONE PRIOR TO START OF WORK.

7. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EXISTING CONDITIOND PRIOR TO START OF WORK

8. PROVIDE LATICRETE HYDROBAN MEMBRANE AROUND ALL WINDOWS AND DOORS PER MANUF. REQUIREMENTS. ENSURE COMPLETE SEAL WITH SILICONE SEALANT AROUND PERIMETER AT JOINT BETWEEN PRODUCT AND STUCCO.


9. FINISHES SHALL BE SLECTED BY OWNER UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED


10. WINDOW SIZES SHOWN ARE BASED ON EXISTING OPENINGS. SELECT CLOSEST STANDARD WINDOW SIZES OFFERED MY MANUFACTUREER. NOTIFY ARCHITECT IF SIZES DIFFER MORE THAN 2"


11. ALL WINDOWS AND DOORS SHALL BE SINGLE LITE. NO MUTTONS.

12. OWNER SHALL SELECT COLORS, WINDOW TINT AND FINISH OF ALL WINDOWS AND DOORS.

13. WINDOWS AND DOOR SOLAR HEAT GAIN COEFFICIENT (SHGC) VALUE SHALL MATCH ENERGY CALCULATIONS.







3 A1.0 WINDOW DETAILS

SCALE: NOT TO SCALE

1 A1.0 FIRST FLOOR

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

DOOR SCHEDULE

Mark	TYPE	MANUFACTURER / MODEL	Dimensions			MATERIAL	GLAZING	NOTES	TEST PRESSURE PSF	DESIGN PRESSURE
			Width	Height	Thickness					
101	DBL FRENCH	MR GLASS	6' - 0"	8' - 0"	0' - 2"	ALUM	IMPACT	EGRESS	+80/ -80	+46/ -50.4
102	FRENCH	MR GLASS	2' - 10"	8' - 0 3/4"	0' - 1 29/32"	ALUM	IMPACT		+80/ -80	+48.5/ -54.5
103	INT-POCKET	TBD	2' - 6"	8' - 0"	0' - 1 3/8"	WD				
104	SWING	TBD	3' - 0"	8' - 0"	0' - 2"	WD				
105	INT-POCKET	TBD	2' - 6"	8' - 0"	0' - 1 3/8"	WD				

WINDOW SCHEDULE

Mark	Manufacturer	WINDOW TYPE	Material	Width	Height	Sill Height	Comments	Test Pressure PSF	Design Pressure PSF
101	MR GLASS	CSMNT	ALUMINUM	2' - 0"	3' - 0"	6' - 0"		+80/ -100	+51.2/ -55.4
103	MR GLASS	SINGLE-HUNG	ALUMINUM	3' - 0"	4' - 0"	4' - 0"		+80/ -140	+50.7/ -61.2

Key Value	Keynote Text
B	2 X 4 @ 16" O.C. STUD WALL WITH 5/8" GYP BD BOTH SIDES. UL-U305 (1 HR) OR R302.6
E	8" THK CMU WALL, INTERIOR: 1 X 2 PT FURR STRIPS, 3/4" INSUL BD, 5/8" GYP BD, PAINT. EXTERIOR: 5/8" MIN STUCCO, PAINT
M	22" x 24" ATTIC ACCESS PANEL
O	PROVIDE ACCESS PANEL TO HVAC EQUIPMENT

REV 050125: LOWERED FLOOR ELEVATION BELOW DFE

TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL INC.
11270 6th Ave Gulf
Marathon, FL 33050
305-766-9301
architect.terramar@gmail.com
www.terramararchitectural.com

Digitally signed by William Knetge
Date: 2025.05.01 20:04:46 -04'00'

TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL, INC
LICENSED ARCHITECT
WILLIAM KNETGE
AR0014775
REGISTERED ARCHITECT

This item has been digitally signed and sealed by William Knetge of Terra Mar Architectural, Inc. on the date adjacent to the seal. Printed copies of this document are not considered signed and sealed. and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies.

Site & 1st Floor Plan


80951 Old Hwy
Islamorada, FL 33036

NOVEMBER 6, 2023


0096200-000000

A1.0


COPYRIGHT TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL, IN.; DO NOT COPY WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.



1 ROOF DETAIL SLOPED
3/4" = 1'-0"



2 3D View 2



4 Section 2
1/2" = 1'-0"

Key Value	Keynote Text
S2	FOUNDATION; SEE STRUCTURAL
S5	CONCRETE RAKE BEAM; SEE ROOF PLAN.
S6	8" CMU STEM WALL; EXTEND CONC. COLUMNS TO GRADE BEAM.
S7	OUTLOOKERS; SEE ROOF FRAMING PLAN.
S8	1 x 4" FIRE BLOCKING; CONTIN.
S9	5/8" MIN STUCCO FINISH; PAINT
S10	60 MIL VAPOR BARRIER; CLEAN NON STRUCTURAL SCREENING SAND COMPACTED; TERMITE TREATMENT PER FBC.
S11	R-30 ICYNENE INSULATION.
S12	CONCRETE SLAB; SEE STRUCTURAL
S14	SOFFIT: 1 X 4 FURRING @ 16" O.C. 5/8" GYPSUM BOARD; 5/8" STUCCO; PAINT.
S17	METAL PANEL ROOF; SEE SPECIFICATIONS.
S28	ENGINEERED ROOF TRUSS SYSTEM. STAMPED SHOP DRAWINGS BY MFR. WILL BE A DEFERRED SUBMITTAL TO AHJ (BLDG DEPT)
S31	SIMPSON HETA20 (HDG OR ZMAX) EACH TRUSS ALL FOLDED COMPLETELY OVER TRUSS TOP CHORD AND FASTENED BOTH SIDES. DOUBLED AT GIRDERS.

REV 050125: LOWERED FLOOR ELEVATION BELOW DFE

SM
TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL INC.
11270 6th Ave Gulf
Marathon, FL 33050
305-766-9301
architect.terramar@gmail.com
www.terramararchitectural.com

Digitally signed by William Knetge
Date: 2025.05.01 20:05:32 -04'00'

TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL, INC
WILLIAM KNETGE
AR0014775
REGISTERED ARCHITECT

This item has been digitally signed and sealed by William Knetge of Terra Mar Architectural, Inc. on the date adjacent to the seal. Printed copies of this document are not considered signed and sealed. and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies.

Section


80951 Old Hwy
Islamorada, FL 33036

NOVEMBER 6, 2023


0096200-000000

A2.0

COPYRIGHT TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL, IN.; DO NOT COPY WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.



3 Elevation 3 - a
1/4" = 1'-0"



1 Elevation 1 - a
1/4" = 1'-0"

4 Elevation 4 - a
1/4" = 1'-0"

2 Elevation 2 - a
1/4" = 1'-0"

Key Value	Keynote Text
V1	METAL ROOFING; COLOR SELECTED BY OWNER.
V2	STUCCO 2 COAT FINSIH, MIN 5/8" THK; PAINT.
V3	IMPACT WINDOW/ DOOR.
V5	VINYL FENCE BY OTHERS.
V6	FASCIA WRAP W/ PREFINISHED ALUM TO MATCH ROOFING.
V8	3/4" CDX PLYWD; 8d @ 4" O.C. (2) LAYERS GRACE ULTRA; METAL LATHE; 5/8" STUCCO PAINT.

REV 050125: LOWERED FLOOR ELEVATION BELOW DFE

TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL INC.
11270 6th Ave Gulf
Marathon, FL 33050
305-766-9301
architect.terramar@gmail.com
www.terramararchitectural.com

Digitally signed by William Knetge
Date: 2025.05.01 20:05:58 -04'00'

TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL INC. WILLIAM F. KNETGE AR0014775 REGISTERED ARCHITECT

This item has been digitally signed and sealed by William Knetge of Terra Mar Architectural, Inc. on the date adjacent to the seal. Printed copies of this document are not considered signed and sealed. and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies.

Exterior Elevations

80951 Old Hwy
Islamorada, FL 33036

NOVEMBER 6, 2023

0096200-000000


A3.0

COPYRIGHT TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL, IN.; DO NOT COPY WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

All grades of steel (minimum yield strengths)

D = Finished inside bend diameter
d = Bar diameter

Bar Size	D	180° HOOKS		90° HOOKS
		A or G	J	A or G
#3	2¼″	5″	3″	6″
#4	3″	6″	4″	8″
#5	3¾″	7″	5″	10″
#6	4½″	8″	6″	1′-0″
#7	5¼″	10″	7″	1′-2″
#8	6″	11″	8″	1′-4″
#9	9½″	1′-3″	11¾″	1′-7″
#10	10¾″	1′-5″	1′-1¼″	1′-10″
#11	12″	1′-7″	1′-2¾″	2′-0″
#14	18¼″	2′-3″	1′-9¾″	2′-7″
#18	24″	3′-0″	2′-4½″	3′-5″



CLASS "B" TENSION LAP SPLICES

BAR SIZE \ f'c	3,000 psi	4,000 psi	5,000 psi
#4	43"	37"	33"
#5	54"	47"	42"
#6	64"	56"	50"
#7	94"	81"	73"
#8	107"	93"	83"
#9	121"	105"	94"
#10	136"	118"	105"
#11	151"	131"	117"

FOUNDATION SCHEDULE


MARK	DESCRIPTION (W x H)	TOP STEEL	BOTTOM STEEL	TIES
GBI	12 X 16	(3) #5	(3) #5	#3 @ 8" O.C.
GB2	24 x 24 x 12H	(4) #5 EW	(4) #5 EW	N/A
P1	16" DIA AUGER PILE; 5#5 VERT; #3 TIES @ 8" O.C. EMBED MIN. 3' INTO NATURAL UNDISTURBED ROCK; SEE SPECIFICATIONS.			
P2	4" X 4" X 1/4" HSS PIN PILE; FILL W/ 3000 PSI CONC. DRIVE MIN. 3' INTO NATURAL UNDISTURBED ROCK; SEE SPECIFICATIONS.			
ALL BEAM AND PILE CAP ELEVATIONS SHALL BE DERIVED FROM THE ARCH. FLOOR PLAN				

8" PRECAST U-LINTELS *STANDARD LENGTHS*


CAST-CRETE

OVERALL LENGTH	TOP STEEL	BOTTOM STEEL
3'-0"	2 -7/32" wire	2 -#3 rebar
3'-4"	2 -7/32" wire	2 -#3 rebar
3'-6"	2 -7/32" wire	2 -#3 rebar
4'-0"	2 -7/32" wire	2 -#3 rebar
4'-6"	2 -7/32" wire	2 -#3 rebar
4'-8"	2 -7/32" wire	2 -#3 rebar
5'-4"	2 -7/32" wire	2 -#3 rebar
5'-10"	2 -7/32" wire	2 -#3 rebar
6'-0"	2 -7/32" wire	2 -#4 rebar
6'-6"	2 -7/32" wire	2 -#4 rebar
6'-8"	2 -7/32" wire	2 -#4 rebar
7'-4"	2 -7/32" wire	2 -#4 rebar
7'-6"	2 -7/32" wire	2 -#4 rebar
8'-0"	2 -#3 rebar	2 -#4 rebar
8'-8"	2 -#3 rebar	2 -#4 rebar
9'-4"	2 -#3 rebar	2 -#4 rebar
10'-0"	2 -#3 rebar	2 -#4 rebar
10'-6"	2 -#3 rebar	2 -#4 rebar
10'-8"	2 -#3 rebar	2 -#5 rebar
11'-4"	2 -#3 rebar	2 -#5 rebar
12'-0"	2 -#3 rebar	2 -#5 rebar
13'-4"	2 -#3 rebar	2 -#5 rebar
14'-0"	2 -#3 rebar	2 -#5 rebar

Additional lengths available by special order



1 Foundation plan
1/4" = 1'-0"



2 PILE DETAIL TYPICAL
1/2" = 1'-0"

STRUCTURAL DESIGN DATA

Floor & Roof Live Loads (R-3 • Single-Family Dwellings)

Attics:	20 psf w/ storage, 10 psf w/o storage
Habitable Attics, Bedroom:	30 psf
All Other Rooms:	40 psf
Garage:	40 psf
Roofs:	20 psf

(Balcony and Deck live loads are 150% of the adjacent space served.)

Wind Design Data


Ultimate Wind Speed:	180 mph	Nominal Wind Speed:	139 mph
Risk Category:	II	Wind Exposure:	D
Enclosure Classification:	Enclosed	End Zone Width (a):	4.00 ft.
Internal Pressure Coefficient:	0.18	Roof Geometry:	Gable
Roof Slope:	3.0 in 12 (14.0°)	Mean Roof Height:	11 ft.

(The Nominal Wind speed was used to determine the Component and Cladding design pressures.)

(This Building is in a Wind-Borne Debris Region, and all exterior glazed openings shall be protected from wind-borne debris.)

Components and Cladding

Roof Zone 1:	+31.0 psf max.,	-94.4 psf min.
Roof Zone 2e:	+31.0 psf max.,	-94.4 psf min.
Roof Zone 2n:	+31.0 psf max.,	-137.7 psf min.
Roof Zone 2r:	+31.0 psf max.,	-137.7 psf min.
Roof Zone 3e:	+31.0 psf max.,	-137.7 psf min.
Roof Zone 3r:	+31.0 psf max.,	-163.7 psf min.
Overhang at Roof Zone 1:		-108.3 psf min.
Overhang at Roof Zone 2e:		-108.3 psf min.
Overhang at Roof Zone 2n:		-151.6 psf min.
Overhang at Roof Zone 2r:		-151.6 psf min.
Overhang at Roof Zone 3e:		-177.6 psf min.
Overhang at Roof Zone 3r:		-207.9 psf min.
Wall Zone 4:	+51.2 psf max.,	-55.4 psf min.
Wall Zone 5:	+51.2 psf max.,	-68.4 psf min.



REV 050125: LOWERED FLOOR ELEVATION BELOW DFE

TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL INC.
11270 6th Ave Gulf
Marathon, FL 33050
305-766-9301
architect.terramar@gmail.com
www.terramararchitectural.com

Digitally signed by William Knetge
Date: 2025.05.01 20:06:16 -04'00'

TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL INC. WILLIAM F. KNETGE AR0014775 REGISTERED ARCHITECT

This item has been digitally signed and sealed by William Knetge of Terra Mar Architectural, Inc. on the date adjacent to the seal. Printed copies of this document are not considered signed and sealed. and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies.

Foundation Plan


80951 Old Hwy
Islamorada, FL 33036

NOVEMBER 6, 2023

0096200-000000

S1.0

COPYRIGHT TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL, IN.; DO NOT COPY WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.



A= PROVIDE ADDITIONAL BAR OVER SUPPORT- CENTER ON 1/3 SPANS
B= CONTINUOUS BARS AT BOTTOM
C= 90 DEG. STANDARD ACI HOOK WITH LEG MIN.12 BAR DIA.

BEAM REINFORCING DETAIL

14
S2.0

BEAM REINFORCING DETAIL

SCALE: NOT TO SCALE

BEAM SCHEDULE					
MARK	DESCRIPTION (W ...	TOP STEEL	MID STEEL	BOTTOM STEEL	TIES
B1	8" X 16"	(2) #5		(2) #5	#3 @ 8" O.C.; (4) 2" @ ENDS

NOTE:
1. ANCHOR FILLED CELLS INTO SLAB OR BEAM ABOVE / BELOW AND LINTEL.
2. PROVIDE FILLED CELLS W/ (1) #5 @ EACH SIDE OF OPENING UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE
3. SEE SECTIONS FOR BEAMS THAT MAY VARY.

MASONRY NOTES:

1. CENTER LINE OF GRADE BEAM MATCH CENTER OF PILES U.N.O.

2. COORDINATE TOP OF SLAB WITH FINAL FLOO RFINISHES, REFER TO ARCHITECTURAL PLANS FOR FINISH ELEVATIONS.

3. PROVIDE #9 LADDER TYPE JOINT REINFORCING @16" O.C. (EVERY 2ND COURSE) FOR CONCRETE MASONRY WALL


4. COORDINATE THIS DRAWING W/ ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS FOR DOOR AND WINDOW ROUGH OPENINGS DIMENSIONS & LOCATIONS


5. STARTER COURSE OF MASONRY SHALL BE SET IN 3/4" SLAB RECESS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.


6. SEE SPECS FOR CONCRETE RESTORATION






7. CONFIRM OPENING SIZES WITH DOOR AND WINDOW MANUFACTURERS PRIOR TO START OF CONSTRUCTION.


8. PROVIDE #5 VERT. @ 16" O.C. U.N.O. GROUT SOLID ALL CELLS.








COLUMN SCHEDULE		
C-1		4,000 PSI CONC COLUMN, 4 #5 VERT., #3 TIES @ 12" O.C. MIN WIDTH 12"
C-2		4,000 PSI CONC COLUMN, 6 #5 VERT., #3 TIES @ 12" O.C. MIN WIDTH 16"
C-3		4,000 PSI SQUARE CONC COLUMN, 4 #5 VERT., #3 TIES @ 12" O.C.
C-4		4,000 PSI SQUARE CONC COLUMN, 8 #5 VERT., #3 TIES @ 12" O.C.
C-5		4,000 PSI CONC COLUMN, 6 #5 VERT., #3 TIES @ 12" O.C.
C6		4,000 PSI 8" SQUARE CONC COLUMN, 4#5 VERT.,#3 TIES @12" O.C.



11 ROOF STRUCTURAL PLAN Copy 1
1/4" = 1'-0"



1 FIRST FLOOR STRUCTURAL PLAN
1/4" = 1'-0"

REV 050125: LOWERED FLOOR ELEVATION BELOW DFE

SM

TERRA MAR
ARCHITECTURAL INC.
11270 6th Ave Gulf
Marathon, FL 33050
305-766-9301
architect.terramar@gmail.com
www.terramararchitectural.com

Digitally signed by William Knetge
Date: 2025.05.01 20:06:34 -04'00'

TERRAMAR ARCHITECTURAL
WILLIAM F KNETGE
AR0014775
REGISTERED ARCHITECT

This item has been digitally signed and sealed by William Knetge of Terra Mar Architectural, Inc. on the date adjacent to the seal. Printed copies of this document are not considered signed and sealed. and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies.

First Flr Struct Plan

80951 Old Hwy
Islamorada, FL 33036

NOVEMBER 6, 2023

0096200-000000

S2.0

COPYRIGHT TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL, IN.; DO NOT COPY WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

Accessory structure 80951 NORTH

A.I.C. = 10,000

PANEL 'A' (Proposed)

100 AMP
20-SPACE MDP

CKT	BRKR	PO	WIRE	DESCRIPTION	Note	VA	VA	DESCRIPTION	Note	WIRE	PO	BRKR	CKT
1	30A	2P	#8	AHU1	1,2	5000	12,000	Water Heater	1	#8	2P	50A	2
3													4
5	20A	2P	#10	CCU1	1,2	3000		Bedroom Lighting	3	#14	1P	15A	6
7								Bedroom Recep.	3	#14	1P	15A	8
9	15A	1P	#14	Closet Lighting	3			SPARE					10
11	15A	1P	#14	Attic Lighting	3			SPARE					12
13	15A	1P	#14	Outdoor Lights				SPARE					14
15	15A	1P	#14	Ouutdor Recep.				SPARE					16
17	15A	1P	#14	Bathroom				SPARE					18
19	15A	1P	#14	Smoke Alarm	3			SPARE					20
				Load Sub-Total:		**8,000**	**12,000**	**Load Sub-Total**					
							20,000	**Total Connected Load**					

LOAD CALCULATIONS (220.42)	PANEL 'A'
Accessory Total Sq. Ft.:	500 sf
SF x 3 watts per Sq. Ft. :	1,500 VA
Total General Lighting Load	1,500 VA
HVAC (Heat > AC)	5,000 VA
Water Heater	12,000 VA
	VA
Total Computed Load:	18,500 VA
18500 ÷ 240 VAC =	77 Amps
Provide 100 Amp Panel & #3AWG Feeders	

Notes:

1. Breaker sizes to be determined by manufacturer specification.
2. HACR-rated breakers req'd for HVAC equipment. Size by mfr. spec.
3. [210.12 & 210.17] AFCI protection is required for this branch circuit.

A.I.C. = 10,000

MDP 'NORTH' (Existing)

150 AMP
30-SPACE MLO

CKT	BRKR	PO	WIRE	DESCRIPTION	Note	VA	VA	DESCRIPTION	Note	WIRE	PO	BRKR	CKT
1	50A	2P		Range		8000	6,000	AHU1			2P	30A	2
3													4
5	30A	2P		Water heater		4500	2,640	CCU1			2P	15A	6
7													8
9	30A	2P		Dryer		4500		BEDROOM			1P	20A	10
11								LAUNDRY			1P	20A	12
13	15A	1P		ISLAND GFCI			1,500	WASHER			1P	20A	14
15	15A	1P		Dishwasher		1,500		REAR GFI			1P	20A	16
17	15A	1P		Bathroom			1,500	MICROWAVE			1P	20A	18
19	15A	1P		Living Rm				COUNTER GFCI			1P	20A	20
21	30A	2P		Grinder Pump		1840	1,500	REFRIG			1P	20A	22
23								TV					24
25	15A	1P		Spare				Spare					26
27	15A	1P		Spare				Spare					28
29	15A	1P		Spare				Spare					30
				Load Sub-Total:		**20,340**	**13,140**	**Load Sub-Total**					
							33,480	**Total Connected Load**					


LOAD CALCULATIONS (220.42)	MDP 'NORTH'
Dwelling Total Sq. Ft.:	605 sf
SF x 3 watts per Sq. Ft. :	1,815 VA
Total General Lighting Load	1,815 VA
HVAC (Heat > AC)	6,000 VA
Water Heater	4,500 VA
Dryer	5,000 VA
Range (8,000VA @ 80%)	6,400 VA
Grinder Pump (1HP)	1,840 VA
Laundry	1,500 VA
Small Appliances (3)	4,500 VA
	VA
Total Computed Load :	31,555 VA
31555 VA ÷ 240 VAC =	131 Amps
Existing 150 Amp Panel & #1AWG Feeders	

5 E - Revised Schedules & Calculations
3/8" = 1'-0"

LOAD CALCULATIONS (220.82)	SERVICE
Dwelling Total Sq. Ft.:	1,105 sf
Total Connected Load :	53,480 VA
SF x 3 watts per Sq. Ft. :	3,315 VA
Total Load	56,795 VA
HVAC (Heat > AC)	11,000 VA
Total Load less HVAC :	45,795 VA
Less First 10KW at 100% :	(10,000) VA
Remainder :	35,795 VA
First 10 KW @ 100% :	10,000 VA
Remainder at 40% :	14,318 VA
Heat > AC at 100%:	11,000 VA
Total Computed Load :	35,318 VA
35318 VA ÷ 240 VAC =	147 Amps
Existing 150 Amp CSED	


6 E - Service Calculation
3/8" = 1'-0"

(c) CONCRETE ENCASED ELECTRODE. AN ELECTRODE ENCASED BY AT LEAST 2" (50.8mm) OF CONCRETE, LOCATED WITHIN AND NEAR THE BOTTOM OF A CONCRETE FOUNDATION OR FOOTING THAT IS IN DIRECT CONTACT WITH THE EARTH, CONSISTING OF AT LEAST 20 FEET (6.1m) OF ONE OR MORE BARE OR ZINC GALVANIZED OR OTHER ELECTRICALLY CONDUCTIVE COATED STEEL REINFORCING BARS OR RODS OF NOT LESS THAN 1/2" (12.7mm) DIAMETER, OR CONSISTING OF AT LEAST 20 FEET (6.1M) OF BARE COPPER CONDUCTOR NOT SMALLER



NOTE: PER DETAIL THAT CONCRETE ENCASED GROUNDING ELECTRODE EXTENDS OUT OF THE FOOTER FOR CONNECTION TO GROUNDING ELECTRODE CONDUCTOR.

GROUNDING DIAGRAM




4 RISER DIAGRAM
1/2" = 1'-0"

ELECTRICAL LEGEND

	CEILING FAN W/ LIGHT KIT	CATV	CABLE TV OUTLET
			PHONE OUTLET
		T	THERMOSTAT
	2' X 4' RECESSED FLOURESCENT LIGHT	S	SMOKE DETECTOR
			ELECTRICAL PANEL
	SURFACE MOUNTED CLG FIXTURE	G	GARAGE DOOR OPENER
			EXHAUST FAN/LIGHT COMBO
	RECESSED LIGHT	S	ELECTRICAL SWITCH
	WALL MOUNTED LIGHT	S_T	ELECTRICAL TIMER SWITCH
GFI	GFCI DUPLEX RECEPTACLE	S_3	3 WAY ELECTRICAL SWITCH
	DUPLEX RECEPTACLE	S^D	DIMMER ELECTRICAL SWITCH
	220V RECEPTACLE	WP	WATERPROOF FIXTURE
	SIMPLEX RECEPTACLE	LW	LOW VOLTAGE FIXTURE
C	COMPUTER DATA PORT		SPLIT WIRED RECEPTACLE
	ELECTRICAL DISCONNECT	J	JUNCTION BOX
INT	INTERCOM		LED LIGHT STRIP
FLR	RECESSED FLOOR OUTLET		EXTERIOR MOUNTED FLOOD LIGHT

GENERAL ELECTRICAL NOTES:

1. ALL WORK SHALL COMFORM TO THE CURRENT FLORIDA BUILDING CODE AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE POWER COMPANY.
2. ALL WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH INDUSTRY BEST PRACTICES.
3. ALL EQUIPMENT SHALL BE UL-LISTED FPR ITS USE AND LOCATION.
4. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EQUIPMENT ELECTRICAL REQUIREMENTS, INTERLOCK, BONDING AND GROUNDING REQUIREMENTS.
5. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY OWNER AND ARCHITECT IN WRITING REGARDING ANY DEVIATION FROM PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS
6. ALL INTERIOR WIRING SHALL BE INSULATED COPPER IN ENT (SMURF) CONDUIT OR SCH 40 PVC U.N.O. NO ROMEX SHALL BE PERMITTED. ALL LOW VOLTAGE SHALL BE RUN IN NON-METALLIC CONDUIT.
7. SMOKE DETECTORS SHALL BE HARD-WIRED FOR BOTH 120V POWER AND COMMUNICATION LINK THROUGHOUT THE STRUCTURE. LINK WIRE SHALL BE MIN. 14 AWG COPPER OF A COLOR NOT USED IN ANY BRANCH CIRCUIT.
8. ALL TELEPHONE AND CABLE SERVICE ENTRANCES SHALL BE RUN IN UNDERGROUND RACEWAYS.
9. VERIFY ALL EXISTING CONDITIONS PRIOR TO START OF WORK
10. NO CONDUITS OR BOXES SHALL BE VISIBLE IN FINISHED AREAS. ALL FACEPLATES SHALL BE LEVEL AND FLUSH WITH WALL SURFACES.
11. ALL OUTLETS AND SWITCHES SHALL BE INSTALLED ABOVE BASE FLOOD HEIGHT.
12. NO ELECTRICAL SHALL BE INSTALLED WITHIN 10' - 0" OF WATER SURFACE U.N.O. ALL OUTDOOR ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT SHALL BE INSTALLED IN NEMA 3X OR 4X ENCLOSURES (110.28. CORROSIVE AGENTS), THIS REQUIREMENT SHALL NOT APPLY TO LOW VOLTAGE DEVICES (I.E., 24VDC OR LESS).
13. CONDUITS SHALL NOT OCCUPY MORE THAN 10% OF COLUMN OR BEAM CROSS SECTIONAL AREA.
14. A FINAL PANEL SCHEDULE FOR ALL PANELS SHALL BE PROVIDED TO ARCHITECT.
15. ALL LOW VOLTAGE WIRING SHALL BE RUN IN NM CONDUIT.
16. ALL EXTERIOR OUTLETS SHALL BE WEATHERPROOF NON-CORROSIVE INCLUDING IN-USE COVERS AND FACEPLATES. PAINT INSIDE ALL EXTERIOR OUTLET BOXES TO PREVENT CORROSION.
17. PROVIDE LABELING ON PANELS, BOXES AND EQUIPMENT AS REQUIRED BY NEC.
18. ALL UTILITIES AND EQUIPMENT AND ACCESSORIES (ELECTRICAL, MECHANICAL AND PLUMBING) SERVICING THE BUILDING SHALL BE INSTALLED ABOVE THE DESIGN FLOOD ELEVATION.
19. ALL RECEPTACLES SHALL BE TAMPER RESISTANT.
20. NOT LESS THAN 90% OF THE LAMPS PERMANENTLY INSTALLED SHALL HAVE AN EFFICACY OF AT LEAST 45 LUMENS/W OR SHALL UTILIZE LAMP WITH AN EFFICACY OF NOT LESS THAN 65 LUMENS/W
21. ALL OUTDOOR INSTALLATIONS SHALL COMPLY WITH NEC 110.12, NEC 110.28 AND NEC 358.12
22. ALL DEDICATED EQUIPMENT CIRCUITS SHALL HAVE OCP COMPLIANT WITH MANUFACTURER'S SERVICE TAG REQUIREMENTS.
23. ALL EXTERIOR LIGHTING SHALL BE CUTOFF FIXTURES PER VILLAGE OF ISLAMORADA REQUIREMENTS.
24. PROVIDE PULL STRING LIGHT FIXTURE ABOVE ATTIC ACCESS.



1 FIRST FLOOR
1/4" = 1'-0"

REV 050125: LOWERED FLOOR ELEVATION BELOW DFE

TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL INC.
11270 6th Ave Gulf
Marathon, FL 33050
305-766-9301
architect.terramar@gmail.com
www.terramararchitectural.com

Digitally signed by William Knetge
Date: 2025.05.01 20:06:55 -04'00'

This item has been digitally signed and sealed by William Knetge of Terra Mar Architectural, Inc. on the date adjacent to the seal. Printed copies of this document are not considered signed and sealed. and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies.

Electrical Plans


80951 Old Hwy
Islamorada, FL 33036


NOVEMBER 6, 2023

0096200-000000

E1.0

COPYRIGHT TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL, INC. DO NOT COPY WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.





AHU VERTICAL MOUNTING DETAIL
NTS

AIR CONDITIONING EQUIPMENT SCHEDULE		
UNIT	AHU #1	CU #1
MIN. SEER2	19	19
LOCATION	WALL MOUNTED	INTERIOR STAND
SIZE	1.5 TONS	1.5 TONS
ELEC SERV	240VAC	240VAC
NOM HEATING	5 KW COIL	N/A
MANUF/MODEL	LENNOX/ CBX-018	LENNOX/ XP19-018

GENERAL HVAC NOTES:

1. ALL WORK SHALL COMFORM TO THE CURRENT FLORIDA BUILDING CODE AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE POWER COMPANY.

2. ALL WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH INDUSTRY BEST PRACTICES.

3. REFER TO THE C1.0 SITE PLAN FOR SITE LAYOUT.


4. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EXISTING CONDITIONS, LOCATIONS AND LIMITATIONS OF EXISITNG SANITARY AND WATER.

5. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY OWNER AND ARCHITECT IN WRITING REGARDING ANY DEVIATION FROM PLANS AND SPCIFICATIONS.


6. HVAC FLEX DUCT LONGER THAT 3' IS PROHIBITED.

7. SEE MECHANICAL CUT SHEETS FOR EQUIPMENT DETAILS.

3 A/C CONDENSER BRACKET
6" = 1'-0"



4 FIRST FLOOR
1/4" = 1'-0"



1 FIRST FLOOR
1/4" = 1'-0"

Key Value	Keynote Text
P1	HOT, COLD SUPPLY SHOWER VALVE AND HEAD
P8	2" TRAP W/ CLEAN OUT; CHROME PLATED BRASS ESCUTCHEONS & VALVES.
P10	SHOWER PRIVACY FENCE BY OTHERS
P11	4" PVC/STAINLESS SHOWER DRAIN W/ 2" P-TRAP; COORD W/ MEMBRANE.
P13	3" TOILET FLANGE W/ WAX RING
P15	1.5" AUTOVENT (AAV).
P18	CONNECT TO EXISTING GRAVITY LINE
P19	1" CONDENSATE LINE TO LANDSCAPING AT 3" TO 6" ABOVE GRADE; PROVIDE TRAP AND THREADED ACCESS FOR CLEANING LINES.
P21	2" VENT THRU ROOF; COMBINE TO MINIMIZE ROOF PENETRATIONS. COORDINATE WITH ROOF MEMBRANE. APPROVED BOOT REQ'D.
P23	24" DIAMETER FRENCH DRAIN; 57 AGGREGATE; GEOTEXTILE.

5 TRENCH BACKFILL DETAIL
1/2" = 1'-0"

6 SEWER CONNECTION DIAGRAM
1/2" = 1'-0"

GENERAL PLUMBING NOTES:

1. ALL WORK SHALL COMFORM TO THE CURRENT FLORIDA BUILDING CODE AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE POWER COMPANY.

2. ALL WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH INDUSTRY BEST PRACTICES.

3. REFER TO THE C1.0 SITE PLAN FOR SITE LAYOUT.

4. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EXISTING CONDITIONS, LOCATIONS AND LIMITATIONS OF EXISITNG SANITARY AND WATER.

5. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY OWNER AND ARCHITECT IN WRITING REGARDING ANY DEVIATION FROM PLANS AND SPCIFICATIONS.

6. ALL SANITARY SLOPE SHALL COMPLY WITH THE FLORIDA PLUMBING CODE.

7. ALL PIPING SHALL BE BACKFILLED WITH CLEAN FILL.

8. ALL DOMESTIC WATER BELOW SLAB SHALL BE SLEEVED.

9. COORDINATE VENTS WITH G.C.

10. PROVIDE 1" SCHEDULE 80 PVC WATER MAIN TO HOUSE. PROVIDE SHUTOFF VALVE IN FIBERGLASS HAND HOLE WITHIN 3' OF BUILDING.

11. ALL SHOWERS SHALL RECIEVE 40 MIL OATEY SHOWER PAN LINER. NO SEAMS PERMITED, SEAL PER MANUFACTURER AT DRAIN.

REV 050125: LOWERED FLOOR ELEVATION BELOW DFE

TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL INC.
11270 6th Ave Gulf
Marathon, FL 33050
305-766-9301
architect.terramar@gmail.com
www.terramararchitectural.com

Digitally signed by William Knetge
Date: 2025.05.01 20:07:14 -04'00'

WILLIAM KNETGE AR0014775 REGISTERED ARCHITECT TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL INC

This item has been digitally signed and sealed by William Knetge of Terra Mar Architectural, Inc. on the date adjacent to the seal. Printed copies of this document are not considered signed and sealed and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies.

HVAC & Plumbing Plan

80951 Old Hwy
Islamorada, FL 33036

NOVEMBER 6, 2023

0096200-000000

MP1

COPYRIGHT TERRA MAR ARCHITECTURAL, IN.; DO NOT COPY WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

Islamorada, Village of Islands
Planning & Development Services
86800 Overseas Highway, Islamorada, FL 33036
T: 305-664-6400, F: 305-664-6467

APPLICATION FOR VARIANCE

Pursuant to Code Chapter 30, Article IV, Division 2

Application Type:	Fee:	*Deposit:	Total Fee:
Residential	$1,500.00	$500.00	$2,000.00
Nonresidential	$2,000.00	$500.00	$2,500.00

* A deposit is required for development approval or permits which necessitate additional review and processing, and/or public hearing and notice requirements. Applicants are required to pay a cost recovery deposit which shall be credited toward the fee charged for such additional review and processing and shall pay additional deposits as may be required from time to time. A debit based upon the actual time expended in reviewing an application and the applicable actual amount charged to the Village shall be charged against the cost recovery deposit.

An application must be deemed complete and in compliance with the Village Code by Staff prior to the items being scheduled for review. **See list of required submittals and documents below.**

APPLICANT / AGENT (if applicable): Property owner must submit a **notarized** letter authorizing the applicant/agent to act on their behalf including the agent's name, address and phone number.

Name: Patricia S Esslinger
Mailing Address: 80951 Old Highway, Islamorada, FL 33036
Primary Phone: 305-393-4433 Fax: _____
Email: patti.stanley@me.com

PROPERTY OWNER:

Name: Patricia S Esslinger
Mailing Address: 80951 Old Highway, Islamorada, FL 33036
Primary Phone: 305-393-4433 Fax: _____
Email: patti.stanley@me.com

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY: If in metes and bounds, attach legal description on separate sheet.

Physical Address: 80951 Old Highway, Islamorada, FL 33036 Mile Marker: _____
Lot: 6 Block: _____ Subdivision: _____
☐ Plantation Key ☐ Windley Key ☒ Upper Matecumbe Key ☐ Lower Matecumbe Key
Real Estate (RE) Number: 00096200-000000 Alternate Key: 1111783

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION:

Zoning District: Settlers Residential Future Land Use Category: _____
Total Land Area: _____ Square Feet 11,180
Existing Use of Property: Multi-family Duplex

Proposed Use of Property: Multi-Family Duplex

PROPOSED VARIANCE:

State the Code Section(s) and the Land Development Regulations standard(s) required (i.e., setbacks, parking, loading,

Sec 30-691 Settlers residential (SR) Zoning District , Specifically Section 3.4.C Rear Yard Setback of minimum 20 feet

PROPOSED VARIANCE (Continued):

Describe the variance requested:

Requesting to have a variance to encroach on the 20 foot setback so that the Concrete Slab of attached addition can be constructed at or as close to the height of the concrete slab of the existing structure

List all applications for development permits submitted for the site within the past three (3) years. Please indicate the type of application, name of applicant, date of application and whether the application was approved, denied or withdrawn.

- PRBLD202500406 Patricia S Esslinger Building 4/29/2025 Under review
- PRMCH202401077 Patricia S Esslinger Mechanical 10/7/2024 Approved
- PRBLD202301268 Patricia S Esslinger. Building 12/14/2023 Approved
- PRBLD202300933 Patricia S Esslinger Building 8/30/2023 Approved
- PRBLD202201490 Patricia S Esslinger Building 12/14/2022 Approved

CRITERIA: No variance shall be approved which fails to meet any standard below. Failure to comply with any standard shall be deemed adverse to the public interest. Please describe how the proposed variance meets each standard. Attach additional sheets if necessary.

1. Special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district:

   In this instance the dwelling falls into a unique circumstance whereas the ordinance allows for Accessory structures for single family structures, but since the dwelling is a multi-family structure that falls into a gray area. The permit has been approved as such for an attached accessory structure with the accessory structure setback consideration, but in doing so that requires us to construct the concrete slab at the current NGVD which places the concrete slab higher than the current structure and requires several landings in order to access the home which makes it difficult for aging and mobility impacted individuals to access the Accessory Structure/Addition

2. The special conditions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant:

   No, They Do not

3. Literal interpretation of the provisions of the Land Development Regulations ("LDRs") deprives the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of the LDRs and works unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant:

   This application is being affected by two sections of ordinance Sec 30-691 where Accessory structures are only intended for single family use and the Setbacks require at least 20' from the rear.

   Under the attached accessory structure we were able to overcome the hurdle of the setbacks, but are being required to construct at (or above) NGVD for the new construction, where as if we could construct as an addition with a variance on the setback, we could construct below NGVD to match that of the current and primary structure.

4. Granting the variance requested conveys the same treatment to the individual owner as to the owner of other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district:

   Yes, granting this variance to this parcel is directly in the benefit of the individual owner and that parcel in particular in reference

5. The variance, if granted, is the minimum variance that makes possible the reasonable use of the land, building, or structure:

   The minimal Variance would be to allow for the addition to be built at the same location as already proposed and approved, but as an addition that allows it to be built at only a 9' setback instead of the 20' setback at the NGVD of the existing primary structure.

6. The grant of the variance is in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this chapter, and is not injurious to the neighborhood, or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare:

   This parcel of land is surrounded by commercial property on all 3 sides and the approval of this variance will not impede or be injurious to that of the county, the village, the neighborhood, or public welfare.

REQUIRED SUBMITTALS: All of the following must be submitted in order to have a complete application.

- [x] **Correct application fee (Total Fee Amount).**
- [ ] **Notarized agent authorization letter**, if applicable, including the agent's name, address and phone number authorizing the applicant/agent to act on all property owners' behalf.
- [x] **Proof of ownership** e.g. warranty deed, lease or pending sale contract.
- [x] **Property record card(s)** from the Monroe County Property Appraiser.
- [x] **Location map** showing where the project is located on the Key.
- [x] **Photographs** of site from adjacent streets or a recent aerial photograph with property boundaries delineated.
- [x] **Signed and sealed survey** prepared by a Florida registered surveyor. The survey shall include elevations and location of all existing structures, paved areas, location of all utility structures, all easements, all bodies of water on and adjacent to the site, docks, piers, mean high water line and total acreage by habitat.
- [x] **Site plan** prepared by a professional architect, engineer, or landscape architect. See Code Section 30-215 for minimum site plan requirements.

If deemed necessary to complete a full review of the application, the Planning and Development Services Department reserves the right to request additional information.

I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in this application, and that to the best of my knowledge such information is true, complete and accurate. I certify that all information required has been provided.

Digital signatures do not require notarization when they can be verified by a Trusted Certificate issued by a third-party Certificate Authority. If you are not using a "verifiable digital signature", print this document and have it notarized.

Patricia Stanley Esslinger — 5/5/25

Signature of Applicant **Date**

Print Name: PATRICIA STANLEY ESSLINGER

STATE OF FL

COUNTY OF Monroe

Sworn to and subscribed before me by means of ✓ physical appearance or ____ online notarization, this 5th day of May, 20 25, by Patricia Stanley (name of person signing the application) as owner (type of authority e.g. officer, manager / member, trustee, attorney in fact) for _____ (name of entity or party on behalf of whom application was executed).

Signature of Notary Public

SEAL: DEBORAH ESSLINGER MY COMMISSION # HH 497437 EXPIRES: February 27, 2028

☒ Personally Known ☐ Produced Identification Type of ID _____


DESCRIPTION:

A tract of land in a part of "Lot 6 of Plat of Survey" as recorded in Plat Book 1, Page 41 of the Public Records of Monroe County, Florida and being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows: Commencing at the intersection of the Easterly line of Lot 6 and the Southerly right-of-way line of U.S. Highway No. 1, bear South 44 degrees, 11 minutes and 30 seconds West for a distance of 143.87 feet to a point; thence bear South 25 degrees, 35 minutes and 30 seconds East for a distance of 207.87 feet to the Point of Beginning of the tract of land hereinafter described; from said Point of Beginning, continue bearing South 25 degrees, 35 minutes and 30 seconds East for a distance of 103.90 feet to a point; thence bear South 53 degrees, 25 minutes and 30 seconds West for a distance of 113.03 feet to a point; thence bear North 25 degrees, 43 minutes and 30 seconds West for a distance of 97.50 feet to a point; thence bear North 50 degrees, 10 minutes and 16 seconds East for a distance of 114.51 feet, back to the Point of Beginning.

Described property being designated Tract 6 according to an unrecorded map made by C. G. Bailey dated June 11, 1962.

SURVEY NOTES:

1. The sketch hereon represents a boundary survey of a parcel(s) of land with existing aboveground improvements located, and spot elevations.
2. Elevations are shown thus: X 5.1' and refer to mean sea level, 1929 adjustment (NGVD), and have a prime reference of R276, El. 9.564'
3. No underground utilities or structures were located by this survey.
4. No encroachments or notorious evidence of occupation and/or use of the described parcel for rights-of-way, ingress and egress were noted by this survey unless shown hereon.
5. The bearings and/or North arrow hereon were derived from the recorded plat or the description hereon. The bearing base for this survey is denoted thus: (*).
6. This parcel lies in N.F.I.P Flood Zone AE , base flood elevation 8' , as shown on map panel #12087C0992 , revised on 2/18/05.
7. The description was furnished by the client. Only easements and rights-of-way depicted or described on the recorded plat or stated in the description hereon are shown.
8. Additions or deletions to survey maps/reports by other than the signing party/parties is prohibited without written consent of the signing party/parties.



ABBREVIATIONS/LEGEND

P.S.M. – Professional Surveyor & Mapper
P.L.S. – Professional Land Surveyor
L.S. – Land Surveyor
L.B. – Licensed Business
C. – Calculated
D. – Deed
Fin.Flr. – finished floor
El. – Elevation
F.T. – fish table
Pk. – PARKER KALON FASTENERS
MAG. – Magnetic Nail
U.G.E. – Underground Electric
P.B. – Plat Book, P.–Page
Wi. – Buried waterline
N.I.S. – not in service
C.B.S. – concrete block shed
LPGT – liquid petroleum gas tank
P.O.B. – Point of Beginning
Rad./N.R. – Radial/Non-Radial
P.O.C. – Point of Commencement
N.S.E.W. – North, South, East, West
P.C.P. – permanent control point
P.R.C. – Point of reverse Curvature
P.C.C. – Point of Compound Curve
P.C./P.T. – Point of Curvature/Tangency
P.R.M. – permanent reference monument
NGVD – National Geodectic Vertical Datum
NAVD – North American Vertical Datum
S.U.L. – sate upland line
F.D.O.T. – Florida Department of Transportation
R. – Record
Fnd. – Found
Pl. – Plat
M. – Measured
conc. – Concrete
cor. – corner
F.P. – fence post
A/C. – air conditioner
Cond. – Conduit
F.S. – frame shed
M.S. – metal shed
P.S. – plastic shed
Add. – addition
Pit. – planter
R.O.W. – right of way
T.R. – telephone riser
L.P. – light/lamp pole
Balc. – balcony
Fnc. – fence
Bldg. – building
Res. – residence
G.A. – guy anchor
M.H.W.L. – mean high water line

- Nail / PK Nail Found
- Nail/PK nail & disc stamped LB#7882 set
- Pipe Found
- Pipe/Cap stamped LB #7882 set
- Rebar Found
- Wood Utility Pole
- Concrete Utility Pole
- OU — Overhead Utility
- X — Chainlink fence
- Wood/plastic fence
- Water Meter/sewer valve
- Rock
- Manhole
- Concrete Davit Base

Note: All pipes set are 7/8" (outside diameter) with cap stamped LB #7882 registration number. All PK nail/Mag or 60d spikes set are with discs stamped with LB#7882 Unless otherwise indicated, all pipes found are 7/8" (outside diameter). Unless indicated, points have No identification.

MASSEY-RICHARDS SURVEYING & MAPPING, LLC

Phone: (305)853-0066 / Fax: (305)853-0233

88888 Overseas Highway / P.O. Box 619, Tavernier, Fl. 33070

Client: Stanley

Section 5 Township 64 South, Range 37 East

Upper Matecumbe Key Monroe County, Florida

Surveyed: 1/7/22 Fieldbook No. L233

Drawing No. 18320 Drawn By: AMR Scale: 1" = 40'

Florida Certificate of Authorization No. LB #7882

I hereby certify that the survey hereon was performed under my direct supervision and is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

David S. Massey, P.S.M. # 5125

NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND THE ORIGINAL SEAL OF A FLORIDA LICENSED SURVEYOR AND MAPPER

RESOLUTION NO. 25-XX

A RESOLUTION OF THE VILLAGE COUNCIL OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA, CONSIDERING THE REQUEST OF PATRICIA ESSLINGER FOR A VARIANCE FROM THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 30-691(E)(4)(C) – REAR YARD SETBACK, TO ALLOW FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF AN ADDITION WITHIN THE REAR YARD SETBACK FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 80951 OLD HWY ON UPPER MATECUMBE KEY, WITHIN THE SR (SETTLERS RESIDENTIAL) ZONING DISTRICT, WITH REAL ESTATE NUMBER 00096200-000000, AS LEGALLY DESCRIBED HEREIN; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Patricia Esslinger (the "Applicant") is the owner of property located at 80951 Old Highway on Upper Matecumbe Key, with Parcel Identification Number 00096200-000000 and legally described below (the "Property") within Islamorada, Village of Islands, Florida (the "Village"); and,

WHEREAS, the Applicant has submitted an application for a variance from Section 30-691(e)(4)(c) to allow for the construction of an attached accessory structure within the rear yard setback. The proposed variance is for an eleven foot (11') reduction in the required twenty foot (20') rear yard setback; and

WHEREAS, on July 24, 2025, the Village Council of Islamorada, Village of Islands, Florida (the "Village Council") conducted a duly noticed Public Hearing regarding the Variance Request (the "Request").

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE VILLAGE COUNCIL OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Recitals. The above recitals are true, correct, and incorporated herein by this reference.

Section 2. **Findings of Fact.** The Village Council, having considered the Request, the relevant support materials and public testimony given at the Hearing, does hereby find and determine:

1. The Request complies with the standards of Code Sec. 30-221(d) for considering Variances.

2. The Request is consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the Village Comprehensive Plan, including standards for building and structural intensities and densities, and intensities of use.

3. The Village Council, having considered the testimony and evidence presented by all parties, including Village Staff, does hereby find and determine:

a. The Application for a Variance **does/does not** meet the requirements set forth in Code Section 30-221 and **has/has not** demonstrated through competent substantial evidence that the criteria for granting the Request have been met; and,

b. The Village Council **approves/denies** the Request submitted by the Applicant, for the following described property:

5 64 37 ISLAND OF UPPER MATECUMBE PB1-41 PT LOT 6 as shown in the Public Records of Monroe County.

Section 3. **Conclusions of Law.** Based upon the above Findings of Fact, the Village Council does hereby make the following Conclusions of Law:

(1) The Request has been processed in accordance with the applicable provisions of the LDRs, and will not be detrimental to the community as a whole.

(2) In rendering its decision, as reflected in this Resolution, the Village Council has:

(a) Accorded procedural due process; and,

(b) Observed the essential requirements of the law; and,

(c) Supported its decision by substantial competent evidence contained within the record.

(3) The Request is hereby **APPROVED/DENIED.**

Section 4. Effective Date. This Resolution shall not take effect until after both thirty (30) days following the date it is filed with the Village Clerk, during which time the Request herein shall be subject to appeal as provided in the Code; and following the thirty (30) days, this resolution shall not be effective or acted upon by the Applicant until forty-five (45) days following the rendition to the Department of Commerce (DOC), pursuant to Chapter 9J-1 of the Florida Administrative Code. During those forty-five (45) days, the DOC may appeal this resolution to the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission, and that such an appeal stays the effectiveness of this Resolution until the appeal is resolved by agreement or order.

Remainder of the page intentionally left blank.

Motion to adopt by_____, seconded by_____.

FINAL VOTE AT ADOPTION

Mayor Sharon Mahoney
Vice Mayor Don Horton
Councilman Steve Friedman
Councilwoman Deb Gillis
Councilwoman Anna Richards

PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS 25TH DAY OF JULY 2025.

_____
SHARON MAHONEY, MAYOR

ATTEST:

_____
MARNE MCGRATH, VILLAGE CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY
FOR THE USE AND BENEFIT OF
ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS:

_____
JOHN QUICK, VILLAGE ATTORNEY

This Resolution was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk of this ____ day of _____, 2024.

Council Communication

To: Mayor and Village Council
From: Jennifer DeBoisbriand , Planning Director
Date: July 24, 2025
SUBJECT: **Administrative Appeal of Dwelling Unit Determination for 82925 and 82929 Overseas Hwy TAB G**

Background:
The subject properties are located at 82929 and 82925 Overseas Highway with the Real Estate Numbers 00403640-000000 and 00403630-000000 (the “Property”), and are within the Village Center (VC) zoning district.

In December of 2023, the Applicant requested a dwelling unit determination for the three properties he owns. After some research and a couple meetings the Village issued a determination recognizing two (2) legally established market rate dwelling units and 3,456 square feet of non-residential floor area. After the determination was issued the applicant requested reconsideration. The Planning Director was on vacation so to preserve their appeal rights the filed an appeal about a week later. As staff is not able to reconsider its decision, the applicant has asked that the appeal proceed.

Analysis:
Staff’s complete analysis of the dwelling unit determination for the three lots owned by the Applicant can be found in the letter dated June 7, 2024. I will highlight some points here. The Village Comprehensive Plan, Chapter 3: Housing Element Data Inventory and Analysis table 3-13: Inventory of Existing Mobile Home Parks did not include or recognize the subject property(s) a lawfully established mobile home park.

The Monroe County zoning regulations did not allow for dwelling units without a primary commercial use. Mobile Homes/Trailer Parks were considered residential under the Monroe County Code.

Village Code Section 30-473 identifies certain criteria used to determine the existence of legally established dwelling units. For property 1 Criteria 1 & 3 have not been met. Property 1 meets the criteria listed in criteria 2 subsections a and b, but not c. The Village does not recognize any legally established dwelling units on Property 1. For property 2 criteria 1 & 3 have not been met. Property 2 meets the criteria listed in criteria 2 subsections a and b, but not c. The Village recognizes one dwelling unit, although the county regulations did not allow it without commercial use, there is some indication a portion of the garage addition was used in conjunction with the auto shop on Property 3. For property 3, Criteria 1 has been met. The Village recognizes one legally established dwelling unit and 3,456 square feet of non-residential floor area on Property 3.

In total the Village recognizes two (2) legally established dwelling units and 3,456 square feet of non-residential floor area.
That Applicant argues that “Howells Trailer Park” was licensed by the Department of Health and FL DBPR as early as 1977. However, our code requires licenses and permits from either Monroe County or the Village and there is a significant lapse in licensing. The licensing stopped sometime in the mid 1980’s until about 2013.
The applicant refers to an email from the Florida Keys Electric Cooperative (FKEC) regarding the number of meters on the property. As many of us know, electric meters can be installed on a property for a variety of reasons. Having a meter does not mean there is a separate dwelling unit. That is why the code requires bills/receipts from the utility company.

Finally, the Applicant makes an estoppel argument based on wastewater connections. As of the writing of this staff report staff has not had time to investigate the validity of this argument. We hope to have more information for you prior to the hearing.

Budget Impact:
None

Staff Impact:
None

Recommendation:
Based on the staff analysis and compliance with the Village Code, Staff recommends denial of the appeal.

Attachments:
1. 4BH150802-PLPRE20230193 Bologna Reso Final
2. Bologna Appeal CC Back up

Prepared by and return to:
Islamorada, Village of Islands
Planning and Development Services Department
86800 Overseas Highway
Islamorada, Florida 33036

A RESOLUTION OF THE VILLAGE COUNCIL OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, FLORIDA, CONSIDERING ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL OF NO. PLPRE20230193 FILED BY JOANNE M. PEREIRA, AGENT FOR GINO AND PIERA BOLOGNA (APPELLANT) RELATING TO A DWELLING UNIT DETERMINATION BY THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 82925 OVERSEAS HWY AND 82929 OVERSEAS HWY, HAVING REAL ESTATE NUMBERS 00403630-000000 AND 00403640-000000, ON UPPER MATECUMBE KEY; AS LEGALLY DESCRIBED HEREIN; PROVIDING FOR THE TRANSMITTAL OF THIS RESOLUTION TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE UPON THE APPROVAL OF THIS RESOLUTION FROM THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

WHEREAS, Gino and Piera Bologna, (the "Appellant") filed Administrative Appeal to Application No. PLPRE20230193 (the "Appeal") pursuant to Section 30-281 of the Code of Ordinances of Islamorada, Village of Islands (the "Village"); and

WHEREAS, the purpose of the Appeal is to seek the Village Council's review of a determination made by the Director of Planning (the "Director") regarding a pre-application conference requesting a dwelling unit determination of the Appellant's property located at 82925 and 82929 Overseas Highway, as legally described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto; and

WHEREAS, on June7, 2024, the Planning and Development Services Department issued a determination recognizing two (2) legally established market rate dwelling units and 3,456 square feet of non-residential floor area; and

WHEREAS, on June 25, 2024, the Appellant requested the Director to reconsider the determination; and

WHEREAS, on July 9, 2024, the Appellant filed the Appeal of the Director's decision; and

WHEREAS, thereafter, the Appellant requested that that the Director delay presenting this Appeal to the Village Council; and

WHEREAS, on July 24, 2025, a public hearing was held before the Village Council pursuant to Section 30-281 of the Village Code of Ordinances (the "Code").

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE VILLAGE COUNCIL OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Recitals. The above recitals are true and correct and incorporated into this Resolution by this reference.

Section 2. Findings of Fact.

The Village Council having considered the testimony and evidence presented by all parties, including the Appellant and the Director, does hereby find and determine:

(1) The Council heard the Appeal on July 24, 2025.

(2) The hearing was duly noticed pursuant to Section 30-213(j) of the Code and all interested parties concerned in the matter were given an opportunity to be heard.

(3) The Director presented the written recommendation of the Village Staff dated July 24, 2025, to the Village Council.

(4) The criteria required pursuant to Section 30-473(d)(1) of the Village Code (**has / has not**) been satisfied by the Appellant.

(5) The criteria required pursuant to Section 30-473(d)(2) of the Village Code (**has / has not**) been satisfied by the Appellant.

(6) The criteria required pursuant to Section 30-473(d)(3) of the Village Code (**has / has not**) been satisfied by the Appellant.

(7) The granting of the appeal **(is / is not)** supported by the facts and documents presented.

Section 3. Conclusions of Law.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact, the Village Council does hereby make the following Conclusions of Law:

(1) The Appeal has been processed in accordance with the Village's Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Regulations, including Section 30-281 of the Village Code; and

(2) In rendering its decision, as reflected in this Resolution, the Village Council has:

(a) Accorded procedural due process; and

(b) Observed the essential requirements of the law; and

(c) Supported its decision by competent substantial evidence of record; and

(3) In accordance with Sections 30-281(d), (e) and (f) of the Village Code, the Administrative Appeal submitted by the Appellant is hereby [**DENIED/GRANTED**].

Section 4. Effective Date.

This Resolution shall not take effect until after thirty (30) days following the date it is filed with the Village Clerk, during which time the Request herein shall be subject to appeal as provided in the Village Code.

Remainder of the page intentionally left blank.

Motion to adopt by_____, second by_____.

FINAL VOTE AT ADOPTION
VILLAGE COUNCIL OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS:

Mayor Sharon Mahoney

Vice Mayor Don Horton

Councilmember Deb Gillis

Councilmember Steve Friedman

Councilmember Anna Richards

PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS 24th DAY OF JULY 2025.

_____
SHARON MAHONEY, MAYOR

ATTEST:

_____
MARNE MCGRATH, VILLAGE CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY FOR THE USE AND BENEFIT OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS ONLY

_____
JOHN J. QUICK, VILLAGE ATTORNEY

This Resolution was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk of this ____ day of _____, 2025.

Islamorada, Village of Islands
Planning & Development Services
86800 Overseas Highway, Islamorada, FL 33036
T: 305-664-6400, F: 305-664-6467

APPLICATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL

Pursuant to Code Chapter 30, Article IV, Division 4

Application Type:	Fee:	*Deposit:	Total Fee:
Residential	$1,800.00	$500.00	$2,300.00
Nonresidential	$3,900.00	$500.00	$4,400.00

* A deposit is required for development approval or permits which necessitate additional review and processing, and/or public hearing and notice requirements. Applicants are required to pay a cost recovery deposit which shall be credited toward the fee charged for such additional review and processing and shall pay additional deposits as may be required from time to time. A debit based upon the actual time expended in reviewing an application and the applicable actual amount charged to the Village shall be charged against the cost recovery deposit.

Any person aggrieved by an administrative decision or interpretation of the Director of Planning and Development Services, the Building Official or other Village administrative official regarding the provisions of Chapter 30, Land Development Regulations, of the Code of Ordinances of Islamorada, Village of Islands, may appeal such decision or interpretation. The appeal shall be initiated within 30 days of the date of the administrative decision or interpretation by filing this application with the Director.

APPELLANT:
Name: Gino Bologna and Piera Bologna
Mailing Address: Joanne Marie Pereira, Esq. 88539 Overseas Highway, Tavernier FL 33070
Primary Phone: 305-852-8440 Fax: 305-852-8848
Email: jpereira@hlylaw.com

AGENT (if applicable): Property owner must submit a **notarized** letter authorizing the applicant/agent to act on their behalf including the agent's name, address and phone number.
Name: Joanne Marie Pereira, Esq.
Mailing Address: 88539 Overseas Highway, Tavernier FL 33070
Primary Phone: 305-852-8440 Fax: 305-852-8848
Email: jpereira@hlylaw.com

PROPERTY OWNER:
Name: Gino Bologna and Piera Bologna
Mailing Address: Joanne Marie Pereira, Esq. 88539 Overseas Highway, Tavernier FL 33070
Primary Phone: 305-852-8440 Fax: 305-852-8848
Email: jpereira@hlylaw.com

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY: If in metes and bounds, attach legal description on separate sheet.
Physical Address: 82935 OVERSEAS Hwy, 82929 OVERSEAS Hwy and 82925 OVERSEAS Hwy Mile Marker: 82
Lot: 15 Block: 1 Subdivision: Ocean View
☐ Plantation Key ☐ Windley Key ■ Upper Matecumbe Key ☐ Lower Matecumbe Key
Real Estate (RE) Number: 00403650-000000 - 00403640-000000 - 00403630-000000 Alternate Key: 1495964 - 1495956 - 1495948
Zoning District: Village Center (VC) Future Land Use Category: MU

Are there any pending codes violations on the property? ☐ Yes (Case # _____) ■ No

A COPY OF THE BASIS FOR THE APPEAL IN THE NATURE OF AN INITIAL BRIEF AND ANY EVIDENCE INCLUDING TESTIMONY, AFFIDAVITS AND THE CURRICULUM VITAE OF ANY EXPERT WITNESS THAT WILL BE CALLED MUST BE ATTACHED TO THIS APPLICATION. The brief must at a minimum state all grounds for the appeal, including but not limited to, the law being appealed and any facts necessary for the interpretation of those laws. (Attach additional sheets of paper.)

All of the following must be submitted in order to have a complete application:

- ■ Correct fee – Total Fee (check or money order to "Islamorada, Village of Islands", or payment online through the portal)
- ■ Proof of ownership (i.e. warranty deed)
- ■ Current property record card(s) from the Monroe County Property Appraiser
- ■ A copy of the document(s), which comprise the administrative decision being appealed
- ■ Any evidence and record which forms the basis for the appeal must be submitted with this application
- ☐ Names and addresses of all expert witnesses that you propose to call at the hearing
- ■ Photograph(s) of site from adjacent roadway(s)
- ■ Notarized agent authorization letter from all owners of the subject property (if applicable)
- ☐ Signed and sealed boundary survey (if applicable)

If deemed necessary to complete a full review of the application, the Planning and Development Services Department reserves the right to request additional information. If new evidence, or the basis for appeal, is submitted at the hearing, Staff shall request that the hearing be continued to the next meeting so that Staff has the opportunity to prepare a response to the new evidence. If the applicant does not submit the basis for the appeal with the application, Staff will recommend denial of the appeal.

I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in this application, and that to the best of my knowledge such information is true, complete and accurate. I certify that all information required has been provided.

Digital signatures do not require notarization when they can be verified by a Trusted Certificate issued by a third-party Certificate Authority. If you are not using a "verifiable digital signature", print this document and have it notarized.

Joanne Pereira — 7/8/2024

Signature of Applicant **Date**

Print Name: JOANNE MARIE PEREIRA

STATE OF Florida
COUNTY OF Miami-Dade

Sworn to and subscribed before me by means of ✓ physical appearance or ____ online notarization, this 8th day of July, 20 24, by Joanne Marie Pereira (name of person signing the application) as Agent of Piera Bologna (type of authority e.g. officer, manager / member, trustee, attorney in fact) for Gino Bologna (name of entity or party on behalf of whom application was executed).

Signature of Notary Public

SEAL: NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF FLORIDA — KEILA VILCHEZ, MY COMMISSION # HH 513675, EXPIRES: April 9, 2028


☑ Personally Known ☐ Produced Identification Type of ID _____


82935 Overseas Hwy
82935 Overseas Hwy
Islamorada, Florida
Google Street View
Jun 2023
See more dates
LEPRECHAUN
LEPRECHAUN
Avacado St
Expand
Google
Image capture: Jun 2023
© 2024 Google
United States
Terms
Privacy
Report a problem

Alternate Key	PARCEL — ACCOUNT	SEC.	TWP	RGE
1495948	004036 30 —			

Subdivision	Lot	Block
OCEAN VIEW	13	SQUARE 1

P.C.	New Construction	Re-Sketch Existing Bldg.	Bldg. Sketch No Change	See Misc. Improvements	
02	X				



BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS

Building Number	Quality Grade	Improvement Type
1 of 1	300	R1 (R1 Single Family, R2 Duplex, R3 Triplex, R4 Quadplex)

Effective Age Group

Residential		Mobile Home *
0 - 4 years	1	0 - 3 yrs.
5 - 12 years	X 2	4 - 6 yrs.
13 - 20 years	3	7 - 9 yrs.
21 - 40 years	4	10 - 12 yrs.
41 - 50 years	5	13 - 15 yrs.
51 + years	6	16 - 20 yrs.

Actual Year Built	— Functional Obsolescence
1978	% (Explain In Notes)

SPECIAL ARCHITECTURAL CODES

Condition (G Good, A Average, P Poor)	Mobile Home	Multi Family	— Locational Obsolescence
A	6	1	% (Explain In Notes)

Appraiser	Date of Appraisal (MONTH / DAY / YEAR)	Perimeter
004	08 / 20 / 86	

BUILDING REFINEMENTS

Roof Type	Heating Type/Method	Foundation
01	0	3

1 Flat or Shed, 2 Gable or Hip, 3 Mansard, 4 Irregular - Custom, 5 Reinforced Concrete, 6 Prestress Concrete, 7 Steel Truss

Heating Type/Method: 1 None, 2 Wall Heaters, 3 Floor Furnace, 4 Convection, 5 Forced Air Non-Ducted, 6 Forced Air Ducted, 7 Electric Radiant, 8 Hot Water/Steam

Foundation: 1 Wood Piers, 2 Concrete Pads - Wood, 3 Concrete Block, 4 Concrete Slab, 5 Continuous Conc. Footer, 6 Conc. Pilings - Auger Holes

Roof Cover	Heating Fuel/Source	Number of Fireplaces
02	0	

1 Asphalt/Composition Rolled, 2 Metal, 3 Asphalt/Composition Shingle, 4 Tar & Gravel, 5 Wood Shingle, 6 Concrete/Clay Tile, 7 Minimum

Heating Fuel/Source: 1 Electric, 2 Gas, 3 Oil, 4 None, 5 Solar

Number of Bedrooms	Interior Flooring	Interior Wall/Ceiling
01	01	05

Interior Flooring: 1 Plywood - Press Board, 2 Softwood - Hardwood, 3 Concrete Slab, 4 Concrete Above Grade, 5 Terrazzo, 6 Ceramic or Clay Tile, 7 Marble

Interior Wall/Ceiling: 1 Masonary - Minimum, 2 Wall Board, 3 Dry Wall, 4 Plastered, 5 Plywood Panel, 6 Wood or Custom

Air Conditioning ("Y" if Yes)
No

Baths:	4 Fixtures	3 Fixtures	2 Fixture	Extra Fixture
		01		03

Built-Ins ("Y" if Yes)	Range/Oven	Garbage Disposal	Compactor	Security
	Dishwasher	Intercom	Vacuum System	

FIELD NOTES

BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS — SECTIONS

Section Type	Section I.D. Number	Exterior Wall Type	Number Stories	Roll Year	Finished Attic ("Y" if Yes)	Ground Floor Area
		8	1	86		

List Misc. Improvements No Existing Misc.	Add to Misc. Improvements	Delete Existing Misc. Improvements Add New Data	X Indicates Flat Value	

Type Code	X If Notes	Number of Units	Unit Type	Economic Life	Year Built	Grade	Length	Width	Depreciated Value

MISCELLANEOUS IMPROVEMENTS

FIELD NOTES

Ocean View Sp. 1 Lot 13

RE: 403 630- 00000 8-20-86

Appraiser

Date of Appraisal MONTH DAY YEAR

NOTES

BUILDING PERMITS

D.P. Number	Permit Number	Date Completed	Date Issued	Amount	Description of Construction

Ocean View #00 403 630 7-4-90

Add Mod Manufactured

(Howells Trailer Park)

FAMILY

12' 32' 10' 32' 44-01

Alternate Key	PARCEL — ACCOUNT	SEC.	TWP	RGE
1495948	00403630-000000			

Subdivision OCEAN VIEW | Lot 13 | ~~Block~~ Sq. 1

P.C.	New Construction	Re-Sketch Existing Bldg.	Bldg. Sketch No Change	See Misc. Improvements	
02	X				

N FLA 32/32 N

BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS

Building Number 2 of 3

Quality Grade: 300

Improvement Type (R1 Single Family, R2 Duplex, R3 Triplex, R4 Quadplex): R1

Effective Age Group

Residential		Mobile Home *
0 - 4 years	1	0 - 3 yrs.
5 - 12 years	2	4 - 6 yrs.
13 - 20 years	3	7 - 9 yrs.
21 - 40 years	X 4	10 - 12 yrs.
41 - 50 years	5	13 - 15 yrs.
51 + years	6	16 - 20 yrs.

Actual Year Built: 1983

— Functional Obsolescence: % (Explain In Notes)

Condition (G Good, A Average, P Poor): A

SPECIAL ARCHITECTURAL CODES

Mobile Home: 6 (6)

Multi Family: 1

— Locational Obsolescence: % (Explain In Notes)

Appraiser: 017

Date of Appraisal: MONTH 04 DAY 04 YEAR 90

Perimeter:

BUILDING REFINEMENTS

Roof Type (1 Flat or Shed, 2 Gable or Hip, 3 Mansard, 4 Irregular - Custom, 5 Reinforced Concrete, 6 Prestress Concrete, 7 Steel Truss): 01

Heating Type/Method (1 None, 2 Wall Heaters, 3 Floor Furnace, 4 Convection, 5 Forced Air Non-Ducted, 6 Forced Air Ducted, 7 Electric Radiant, 8 Hot Water/Steam): 0

Foundation (1 Wood Piers, 2 Concrete Pads - Wood, 3 Concrete Block, 4 Concrete Slab, 5 Continuous Conc. Footer, 6 Conc. Pilings - Auger Holes): 3

Roof Cover (1 Asphalt/Composition Rolled, 2 Metal, 3 Asphalt/Composition Shingle, 4 Tar & Gravel, 5 Wood Shingle, 6 Concrete/Clay Tile, 7 Minimum): 02

Heating Fuel/Source (1 Electric, 2 Gas, 3 Oil, 4 None, 5 Solar): 0

Number of Fireplaces:

Interior Flooring (1 Plywood - Press Board, 2 Softwood - Hardwood, 3 Concrete Slab, 4 Concrete Above Grade, 5 Terrazzo, 6 Ceramic or Clay Tile, 7 Marble): 01

Interior Wall/Ceiling (1 Masonary - Minimum, 2 Wall Board, 3 Dry Wall, 4 Plastered, 5 Plywood Panel, 6 Wood or Custom): 02

Number of Bedrooms: 01

Air Conditioning ("Y" if Yes): Yes Y

Baths: 4 Fixtures | 3 Fixtures: 1 | 2 Fixture | Extra Fixture: 3

Built-Ins ("Y" if Yes): Range/Oven | Dishwasher | Garbage Disposal | Intercom | Compactor | Vacuum System | Security

FIELD NOTES

SITS ON HWY FRONTAGE
US#1
CLASSIC

BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS — SECTIONS

Section Type	Section I.D. Number	Exterior Wall Type	Number Stories	Roll Year	Finished Attic ("Y" if Yes)	Ground Floor Area
		08	01	90		

CLASSIC
12
32
FLA
12

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

D.P. Number	Permit Number	Date Completed	Date Issued	Amount	Description of Construction

BUILDING PERMITS

NOTES

CHECK ON THIS ENTIRE PARCEL

Appraiser

Date of Appraisal

MONTH	DAY	YEAR

Type Code	X if Notes	Number of Units	Unit Type	Economic Life	Year Built	Grade	Length	Width	Depreciated Value

MISCELLANEOUS IMPROVEMENTS

No data

FIELD NOTES

List Misc. Improvements No Existing Misc.	Add to Misc. Improvements	Delete Existing Misc. Improvements Add New Data	X Indicates Flat Value	

Alternate Key	PARCEL — ACCOUNT	SEC.	TWP	RGE
1495948	00403630-			

Subdivision	Lot	Block
OCEAN VIEW	13	~~50~~ 1

P.C.	New Construction	Re-Sketch Existing Bldg.	Bldg. Sketch No Change	See Misc. Improvements	
02	X				

IN FLA 39 39 12

BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS

Building Number: 3 of 3

Quality Grade: 300

Improvement Type: R1 (R1 Single Family, R2 Duplex, R3 Triplex, R4 Quadplex)

Effective Age Group

Residential		Mobile Home *
0 - 4 years	1	0 - 3 yrs.
5 - 12 years	2	4 - 6 yrs.
13 - 20 years	3	7 - 9 yrs.
21 - 40 years	X 4	10 - 12 yrs.
41 - 50 years	5	13 - 15 yrs.
51 + years	6	16 - 20 yrs.

Actual Year Built: 1960

— Functional Obsolescence: % (Explain In Notes)

Condition (G Good, A Average, P Poor): A

SPECIAL ARCHITECTURAL CODES

Mobile Home: 6 (6)

Multi Family: 1

— Locational Obsolescence: % (Explain In Notes)

Appraiser: 017

Date of Appraisal: MONTH 04 DAY 04 YEAR 90

Perimeter:

BUILDING REFINEMENTS

Roof Type (1 Flat or Shed, 2 Gable or Hip, 3 Mansard, 4 Irregular - Custom, 5 Reinforced Concrete, 6 Prestress Concrete, 7 Steel Truss): 01

Heating Type/Method (1 None, 2 Wall Heaters, 3 Floor Furnace, 4 Convection, 5 Forced Air Non-Ducted, 6 Forced Air Ducted, 7 Electric Radiant, 8 Hot Water/Steam): 0

Foundation (1 Wood Piers, 2 Concrete Pads - Wood, 3 Concrete Block, 4 Concrete Slab, 5 Continuous Conc. Footer, 6 Conc. Pilings - Auger Holes): 3

Roof Cover (1 Asphalt/Composition Rolled, 2 Metal, 3 Asphalt/Composition Shingle, 4 Tar & Gravel, 5 Wood Shingle, 6 Concrete/Clay Tile, 7 Minimum): 02

Heating Fuel/Source (1 Electric, 2 Gas, 3 Oil, 4 None, 5 Solar): 0

Number of Fireplaces:

Interior Wall/Ceiling (1 Masonary - Minimum, 2 Wall Board, 3 Dry Wall, 4 Plastered, 5 Plywood Panel, 6 Wood or Custom): 02

Interior Flooring (1 Plywood - Press Board, 2 Softwood - Hardwood, 3 Concrete Slab, 4 Concrete Above Grade, 5 Terrazzo, 6 Ceramic or Clay Tile, 7 Marble): 01

Number of Bedrooms: 1

Air Conditioning: No ("Y" if Yes)

Baths: 4 Fixtures | 3 Fixtures: 01 | 2 Fixture | Extra Fixture: 03

Built-Ins ("Y" if Yes): Range/Oven | Garbage Disposal | Compactor | Security | Dishwasher | Intercom | Vacuum System

FIELD NOTES

UNIT IS CALLED FAMILY HOUSING

BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS — SECTIONS

Section Type	Section I.D. Number	Exterior Wall Type	Number Stories	Roll Year	Finished Attic ("Y" if Yes)	Ground Floor Area
		08	01	90		

For REFERENCE ONLY

List Misc. Improvements No Existing Misc.	Add to Misc. Improvements	Delete Existing Misc. Improvements Add New Data	X Indicates Flat Value	

MISCELLANEOUS IMPROVEMENTS

Type Code	X If Notes	Number of Units	Unit Type	Economic Life	Year Built	Grade	Length	Width	Depreciated Value

FIELD NOTES

Appraiser

Date of Appraisal

MONTH	DAY	YEAR

NOTES

BUILDING PERMITS

D.P. Number	Permit Number	Date Completed	Date Issued	Amount	Description of Construction

DR-402
R. 6/83

AK: 1495948

RE: 00403630

PARCEL OR PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

RP
RP
RP

TAG NUMBER

DECLARATION OF MOBILE HOME AS REAL PROPERTY

To the Appraiser and Collector of ~~Monroe~~ **County, Florida:**

I, the undersigned, request that the mobile home described below be assessed as real property. Said mobile home, of which I am the registered owner, was previously licensed as a motor vehicle.

DESCRIPTION OF MOBILE HOME: **SINGLE WIDE** ☒ **DOUBLE WIDE** ☐ **TRIPLE WIDE** ☐

Does your mobile home have an attachment or utility building? Yes ☐ **No** ☐

NAME OF MODEL	MANUFACTURER	YEAR MANUFACTURED
CLAS		83

IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	SERIAL NUMBER	TITLE NUMBER
GDOCF150829375		~~20302886~~

YEAR PURCHASED	PRICE NEW	PRICE USED	LENGTH & WIDTH	CONDITION
	$	$	36	( ) NEW ( ) FAIR ( ) ( ) GOOD ( ) POOR REPOSSESSED

The said mobile home was permanently affixed on January 1 of the current year, is now permanently affixed, and it is my intention that the same remain permanently affixed to the following lands owned by me. An assessment for ad valorem taxation has been made on said lands for the current tax year.

DESCRIPTION OF LAND:

Ocean View , Upper Matecumbe

lot 13, Square 1

RP: as 1987

Mobile Home Assessed For Year(s) _____

I hereby make application for the issuance of an "RP" License Plate to identify the above described mobile home as real property.

The statements contained are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

3-16-90
DATE

Gino J. Bologna
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

Gino Bologna
~~P. O. Box 451~~
Islamorada, Fl. 33036

PROPERTY APPRAISER TO COMPLETE THIS PORTION

Owner has presented Registration Certificate at time of application. ( ) YES ( ) NO
Owner has presented Bill of Sale at time of application. ( ) YES ( ) NO

I certify that the foregoing return was filed in the office of the property appraiser addressed, and that the above described mobile home is included in an assessment for ad valorem taxation of the property of the person described above as the registered owner of such mobile home.

3-16-90
DATE

Deanne N. Johnson DEputy
PROPERTY APPRAISER

PROPERTY APPRAISER'S COPY

AK:

DR-402
R. 6/83

AK: 1495948

RE: 00403630

PARCEL OR PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

RP
RP
RP

TAG NUMBER

DECLARATION OF MOBILE HOME AS REAL PROPERTY

To the Appraiser and Collector of Monroe County, Florida:

I, the undersigned, hereby request that the mobile home described below be assessed as real property. Said mobile home, of which I am the registered owner, was previously licensed as a motor vehicle.

DESCRIPTION OF MOBILE HOME: SINGLE WIDE ☒ DOUBLE WIDE ☐ TRIPLE WIDE ☐

Does your mobile home have an attachment or utility building? Yes ☐ No ☐

NAME OF MODEL	MANUFACTURER	YEAR MANUFACTURED
Marathon		1955

IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	SERIAL NUMBER	TITLE NUMBER
E493252		8694605

YEAR PURCHASED	PRICE NEW	PRICE USED	LENGTH & WIDTH	CONDITION
	$	$		( ) NEW ( ) FAIR ( ) ( ) GOOD ( ) POOR REPOSSESSED

The said mobile home was permanently affixed on January 1 of the current year, is now permanently affixed, and it is my intention that the same remain permanently affixed to the following lands owned by me. An assessment for ad valorem taxation has been made on said lands for the current tax year.

DESCRIPTION OF LAND:

Ocean View, Upper Matecumbe

Lot 13, Square 1

RP: as of 1990

Mobile Home Assessed For Year(s) _____

I hereby make application for the issuance of an "RP" License Plate to identify the above described mobile home as real property.

The statements contained herein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

3-16-90
DATE

Gino D. Bologna
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

Gino Bologna
P. O. Box 451
Islamorada, Fl. 33036

PROPERTY APPRAISER TO COMPLETE THIS PORTION

Owner has presented Registration Certificate at time of application. ( ) YES ( ) NO
Owner has presented Bill of Sale at time of application. ( ) YES ( ) NO

I hereby certify that the foregoing return was filed in the office of the property appraiser addressed, and that the above described mobile home is included in an assessment for ad valorem taxation of the property of the person described above as the registered owner of such mobile home.

3-16-90
DATE

Jeanne N. Johnson Deputy
PROPERTY APPRAISER

APPRAISER'S COPY

40363

REAL PROPERTY RECORD CARD

MONROE COUNTY, FLORIDA

HOWELLS CHARLES & ANNA M.
P.O. BOX 451
ISLAMORADA FL 33038

R

00

OCEAN VIEW PB 1-193
UPPER MATECUMBE P9/002
LOT 13 SQR 1
~~OR333-245-246~~ OR425-866-867

OR472-735-736

OR 732-374

OR727-484

TRAILER PARK

LAND COMPUTATIONS

QUAN.-TYPE-DESC.	SIZE-AREA	UNIT PRICE	D.F.	C.F.	PRICE PER FRONT FOOT	VALUE
Lot 13	50x150					~~5800~~
						~~6400~~
						9950
				TOTAL		~~V-4000~~

PHOTO
IMP #1

PHOTO
IMP #2

ak 1495948
RE 00103630
-000000

PHOTO
IMP #3

PHOTO
IMP #4

VALUATION TOTALS


1966	LAND	4000
	IMPROVEMENTS	—
	TOTAL	4000
19_71	LAND	5300
	IMPROVEMENTS	—
	TOTAL	5300
19_71	LAND	6400
	IMPROVEMENTS	—
	TOTAL	6400
19_74	LAND	9950
	IMPROVEMENTS	—
	TOTAL	9950
19__	LAND	
	IMPROVEMENTS	
	TOTAL	
19__	LAND	
	IMPROVEMENTS	
	TOTAL	
19__	LAND	
	IMPROVEMENTS	
	TOTAL	

— NOTES —

TRAILERS SIT ON THIS LOT 7/8/77

47,500/77 08 2W5
Re 40363 & 40364

64,500/77 2W5
Re 40363, 40364



CARD /	SCALE 1" =	LAND USE CODE
PLOTTED	FLDWK. BY	DATE
RANDOM	CLASSED BY	DATE

CONSTRUCTION DATA

IMPROVEMENT	1	2	3	4
TYPE OF STRUCTURE				
YEAR BUILT				
ROOMS				
Total Rooms				
Eff. Apts.				
___ Rm. Apts.				
___ Rm. Apts.				
No. of Baths				
No. of Rest Rms.				
___ Fixt. R. Rms.				
___ Fixt. R. Rms.				
Total Fixtures				
FOUNDATION				
Continuous Wall				
Piers				
Piling				
ADJUSTMENTS				
Frame				
Height				
Front & Interior				
Apt. Equiv.				
Partitions				
Special Use				
EXTERIOR WALLS				
Wallboard				
Corr. Metal				
Corr. Asbestos				
Wd. Fr. Stucco				
Wd. Fr. Asbestos				
C.B. Plain				
C.B. Stucco				
Wd. Fr. Siding				
Tile Stucco				
Brick				
Reinf. Conc.				
Panel, Glass, Mtl.				
ROOF TYPE				
Flat, Shed				
Hip, Gable				
Bar Joist				
Wood Truss				
Prestressed				
Steel Truss				
ROOF MATERIAL				
Sht. Mtl. Roll				
T. & G., B.U.				
Shing., Wd., Etc.				
Shing., Asbestos				
Tile, Cement				
Tile, Clay				
Bermuda				
Slate				
Gypsum				

IMPROVEMENT	1	2	3	4
INTERIOR FINISH				
Unfinished				
Wd. or Ceil. Bds.				
Wallboard				
Plaster, No Furring				
Plaster, Furring				
Drywall				
Wood Panel				
FLOORS				
None				
Single Pine				
Concrete				
Conc., Asph. Tile				
Conc., Terrazzo				
Double Pine				
Double Hardwood				
Precast Conc.				
Parquet				
Conc. Q. Tile				
Conc. Cer. Tile				
Marble				
PLUMBING				
None				
Poor				
Good, Plain				
Good, Tile				
HEATING				
None				
Unit Heat				
Cntrl. Heating				
Cntrl. Cooling				
Cntrl. Cool & Heat				
ELECTRICITY				
None				
Poor				
Average				
Good				
CLASS & SCALE				
CONST. UNITS				
CLASS UNITS				
TOTAL UNITS				
BASE RATE				
ADJ. RATE				
AREA				
E.F.				
E.F.				
REP. COST NEW				
CONDITION				
DEP. REP. VALUE				

DEPRECIATION ADJUSTMENT

NO.	PHY.	ADJUSTMENT	%	COND.
1				
2				
3				
4				

TRAILER PARK

00403640

REAL PROPERTY RECORD CARD

MONROE COUNTY, FLORIDA

AK: 1495956

HOWELLS CHARLES & ANNA M.
P.O. BOX 451
ISLAMORADA 33038

OCEAN VIEW PB 1 ~~139~~
UPPER MATECUMBE PB 1-193
LOT 14 SQR 1 PC ~~102~~ 2
~~OR533-245-246~~ OR425-866-867
OR472-735-736
OR727-484

OR 732-374

LAND COMPUTATIONS						
QUAN.-TYPE-DESC.	SIZE-AREA	UNIT PRICE	D.F.	C.F.	PRICE PER FRONT FOOT	VALUE
Lot 14	50x150					~~5300~~ 6400 995
					TOTAL	~~5300~~ 7400



FEB. '61



11-3-66

PHOTO
IMP # 3

PHOTO
IMP # 4

VALUATION TOTALS		
1966	LAND	~~4000~~
	IMPROVEMENTS	~~8390~~
	TOTAL	~~12,390~~
19 67	LAND	~~4000~~
	IMPROVEMENTS	~~14,700~~
	TOTAL	~~18,700~~
19 71	LAND	~~5300~~
	IMPROVEMENTS	~~14700~~
	TOTAL	~~20000~~
19 71	LAND	6400
	IMPROVEMENTS	14700
	TOTAL	21100
19 74	LAND	9950
	IMPROVEMENTS	19,700
	TOTAL	29,650
19__	LAND	
	IMPROVEMENTS	
	TOTAL	
19__	LAND	
	IMPROVEMENTS	
	TOTAL	
19__	LAND	
	IMPROVEMENTS	
	TOTAL	


— NOTES —

[redacted]

7/8/77 W.C.

47,500/77 08 2Lots
Re 40364 & 40363

61,500/77 2Lots
Re 40363, 40364



CARD P5 /	SCALE 1"=	LAND USE CODE
PLOTTED	FLDWK. BY	DATE
RANDOM	CLASSED BY	DATE

CONSTRUCTION DATA

IMPROVEMENT	1	2	3	4
TYPE OF STRUCTURE	Res	Addition 9x26		RES
YEAR BUILT		1966		
ROOMS				
Total Rooms				
Eff. Apts.				
___ Rm. Apts.				
___ Rm. Apts.				
No. of Baths				
No. of Rest Rms.	3			3
___ Fjxt. R. Rms.				
___ Fixt. R. Rms.				
Total Fixtures				
FOUNDATION				
Continuous Wall	FTG			
Piers				
Piling				
ADJUSTMENTS				
Frame				
Height				
Front & Interior				
Apt. Equiv.				
Partitions				
Special Use				
EXTERIOR WALLS				
Wallboard				
Corr. Metal				
Corr. Asbestos				
Wd. Fr. Stucco				
Wd. Fr. Asbestos				
C.B. Plain				
C.B. Stucco	✓ 33			✓ 33
Wd. Fr. Siding				
Tile Stucco				
Brick				
Reinf. Conc.				
Panel, Glass, Mtl.				
ROOF TYPE				
Flat, Shed	✓ 7			✓ 7
Hip, Gable				
Bar Joist				
Wood Truss				
Prestressed				
Steel Truss				
ROOF MATERIAL				
Sht. Mtl. Roll				
T. & G., B.U.	✓ 3			✓ 3
Shing., Wd., Etc.				
Shing., Asbestos				
Tile, Cement				
Tile, Clay				
Bermuda				
Slate				
Gypsum				

IMPROVEMENT	1	2	3	4
INTERIOR FINISH				
Unfinished				
Wd. or Ceil. Bds.				
Wallboard	✓ ~~24~~ ~~20~~			
Plaster, No Furring			✓	✓ 24
Plaster, Furring				
Drywall				
Wood Panel				
FLOORS				
None				
Single Pine	✓ ✓ 45			✓ ✓ 5
Concrete				
Conc., Asph. Tile				
Conc., Terrazzo				
Double Pine				
Double Hardwood				
Precast Conc.				
Parquet				
Conc. Q. Tile				
Conc. Cer. Tile				
Marble				
PLUMBING				
None				
Poor				
Good, Plain	~ 11			✓ 11
Good, Tile				
HEATING				
None				
Unit Heat				
Cntrl. Heating				
Cntrl. Cooling				
Cntrl. Cool & Heat				
ELECTRICITY				
None				
Poor				
Average				
Good	✓ 5			✓ 5
CLASS & SCALE	H/D	HID	Addition	HID
CONST. UNITS	93	93		88
CLASS UNITS	0	0		0
TOTAL UNITS	93	93		88
BASE RATE	12.00	12.00		16.77
ADJ. RATE	11.16	11.16	11.16	14.76
AREA	728	1228	117	1345
E.F.	1700	1200		2000
E.F.				
REP. COST NEW	9,324	14,905	1305	23,197
CONDITION	90	90	98	85
DEP. REP. VALUE	8,392	13,415	1279	19,718


DEPRECIATION ADJUSTMENT

NO.	PHY.	ADJUSTMENT	%	COND.
1				
2				
3				
4				

Alternate Key	PARCEL — ACCOUNT	SEC.	TWP	RGE.
1495956	0040364 0 —			

Subdivision	Lot	Block
OCEAN VIEW	14	SQUARE 1

P.C.	New Construction	Re-Sketch Existing Bldg.	Bldg. Sketch No Change	See Misc. Improvements	
02	X				



BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS

Building Number	Quality Grade	Improvement Type
2 of 4	350	R1 (R1 Single Family, R2 Duplex, R3 Triplex, R4 Quadplex)

Effective Age Group

Residential		Mobile Home *
0 - 4 years		1 0 - 3 yrs.
5 - 12 years	X	2 4 - 6 yrs.
13 - 20 years		3 7 - 9 yrs.
21 - 40 years		4 10 - 12 yrs.
41 - 50 years		5 13 - 15 yrs.
51 + years		6 16 - 20 yrs.

Actual Year Built: 1980

— Functional Obsolescence: % (Explain In Notes)

SPECIAL ARCHITECTURAL CODES

Condition (G Good, A Average, P Poor): A

Mobile Home: 6 (6)

Multi Family: (1)

— Locational Obsolescence: % (Explain In Notes)

Appraiser: 004

Date of Appraisal: MONTH 08 DAY 20 YEAR 86

Perimeter:

BUILDING REFINEMENTS

Roof Type	Heating Type/Method	Foundation
01	0	3
1 Flat or Shed, 2 Gable or Hip, 3 Mansard, 4 Irregular - Custom, 5 Reinforced Concrete, 6 Prestress Concrete, 7 Steel Truss	1 None, 2 Wall Heaters, 3 Floor Furnace, 4 Convection, 5 Forced Air Non-Ducted, 6 Forced Air Ducted, 7 Electric Radiant, 8 Hot Water/Steam	1 Wood Piers, 2 Concrete Pads - Wood, 3 Concrete Block, 4 Concrete Slab, 5 Continuous Conc. Footer, 6 Conc. Pilings - Auger Holes

Roof Cover	Heating Fuel/Source	Number of Fireplaces
02	0	
1 Asphalt/Composition Rolled, 2 Metal, 3 Asphalt/Composition Shingle, 4 Tar & Gravel, 5 Wood Shingle, 6 Concrete/Clay Tile, 7 Minimum	1 Electric, 2 Gas, 3 Oil, 4 None, 5 Solar	

Number of Bedrooms	Interior Flooring	Interior Wall/Ceiling
01	01	05
	1 Plywood - Press Board, 2 Softwood - Hardwood, 3 Concrete Slab, 4 Concrete Above Grade, 5 Terrazzo, 6 Ceramic or Clay Tile, 7 Marble	1 Masonary - Minimum, 2 Wall Board, 3 Dry Wall, 4 Plastered, 5 Plywood Panel, 6 Wood or Custom

Air Conditioning ("Y" if Yes): No

Baths: 4 Fixtures | 3 Fixtures: 01 | 2 Fixture | Extra Fixture: 03

Built-Ins ("Y" if Yes): Range/Oven | Dishwasher | Garbage Disposal | Intercom | Compactor | Vacuum System | Security

FIELD NOTES

BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS — SECTIONS

Section Type	Section I.D. Number	Exterior Wall Type	Number Stories	Roll Year	Finished Attic ("Y" if Yes)	Ground Floor Area
		8	1	87		

List Misc. Improvements No Existing Misc.	Add to Misc. Improvements	Delete Existing Misc. Improvements Add New Data	X Indicates Flat Value	

MISCELLANEOUS IMPROVEMENTS

Type Code	X If Notes	Number of Units	Unit Type	Economic Life	Year Built	Grade	Length	Width	Depreciated Value

FIELD NOTES

Appraiser

Date of Appraisal | MONTH | DAY | YEAR |

NOTES


BUILDING PERMITS

D.P. Number	Permit Number	Date Completed	Date Issued	Amount	Description of Construction

OCEAN VIEW Sq. 1 Lot 14



RE: 403640-000000 8-20-86




(HOWELL'S TRAILER PARK)

Alternate Key	PARCEL — ACCOUNT	SEC.	TWP	RGE
1495956	0040364 0—			

Subdivision	Lot	Block
OCEAN VIEW	14	SQUARE 1

P.C.	New Construction	Re-Sketch Existing Bldg.	Bldg. Sketch No Change	See Misc. Improvements	
02	X			X	

12-4-86
CK





BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS

Building Number	Quality Grade	Improvement Type
3 of 4	350	R1 Single Family, R2 Duplex, R3 Triplex, R4 Quadplex: R1

Effective Age Group

Residential		Mobile Home *
0 - 4 years	1	0 - 3 yrs.
5 - 12 years	X 2	4 - 6 yrs.
13 - 20 years	3	7 - 9 yrs.
21 - 40 years	4	10 - 12 yrs.
41 - 50 years	5	13 - 15 yrs.
51 + years	6	16 - 20 yrs.

Actual Year Built: 1980

— Functional Obsolescence: % (Explain In Notes)

Condition (G Good, A Average, P Poor): A

SPECIAL ARCHITECTURAL CODES

Mobile Home: 6 (6)

Multi Family: (1)

— Locational Obsolescence: % (Explain In Notes)

Appraiser: 004

Date of Appraisal: MONTH 08 DAY 21 YEAR 86

Perimeter:

BUILDING REFINEMENTS

Roof Type (1 Flat or Shed, 2 Gable or Hip, 3 Mansard, 4 Irregular - Custom, 5 Reinforced Concrete, 6 Prestress Concrete, 7 Steel Truss): 01

Heating Type/Method (1 None, 2 Wall Heaters, 3 Floor Furnace, 4 Convection, 5 Forced Air Non-Ducted, 6 Forced Air Ducted, 7 Electric Radiant, 8 Hot Water/Steam): 0

Foundation (1 Wood Piers, 2 Concrete Pads - Wood, 3 Concrete Block, 4 Concrete Slab, 5 Continuous Conc. Footer, 6 Conc. Pilings - Auger Holes): 3

Roof Cover (1 Asphalt/Composition Rolled, 2 Metal, 3 Asphalt/Composition Shingle, 4 Tar & Gravel, 5 Wood Shingle, 6 Concrete/Clay Tile, 7 Minimum): 02

Heating Fuel/Source (1 Electric, 2 Gas, 3 Oil, 4 None, 5 Solar): 0

Number of Fireplaces:

Interior Wall/Ceiling (1 Masonry - Minimum, 2 Wall Board, 3 Dry Wall, 4 Plastered, 5 Plywood Panel, 6 Wood or Custom): 05

Interior Flooring (1 Plywood - Press Board, 2 Softwood - Hardwood, 3 Concrete Slab, 4 Concrete Above Grade, 5 Terrazzo, 6 Ceramic or Clay Tile, 7 Marble): 01

Number of Bedrooms: 01

Air Conditioning ("Y" if Yes): No

Baths: 4 Fixtures | 3 Fixtures: 01 | 2 Fixture | Extra Fixture: 03

Built-Ins ("Y" if Yes): Range/Oven | Garbage Disposal | Compactor | Security | Dishwasher | Intercom | Vacuum System

FIELD NOTES

BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS — SECTIONS

Section Type	Section I.D. Number	Exterior Wall Type	Number Stories	Roll Year	Finished Attic ("Y" if Yes)	Ground Floor Area
		8	1	87		

List Misc. Improvements No Existing Misc.	Add to Misc. Improvements	Delete Existing Misc. Improvements Add New Data	X Indicates Flat Value	
X				

MISCELLANEOUS IMPROVEMENTS

Type Code	X If Notes	Number of Units	Unit Type	Economic Life	Year Built	Grade	Length	Width	Depreciated Value
UB2			SF		1980	2	6	4	

FIELD NOTES

Appraiser

Date of Appraisal: MONTH | DAY | YEAR

NOTES

BUILDING PERMITS


D.P. Number	Permit Number	Date Completed	Date Issued	Amount	Description of Construction

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

Ocean View Sq. 1 Lot 14



403640-000000 8-21-86



PACE MAKER

#1 - UB2(2) ALUM. SHED 6' X 4'

(HOWELLS TRAILER PARK)

Alternate Key	PARCEL — ACCOUNT	SEC.	TWP	RGE
1495956	00403640—			

Subdivision	Lot	Block
OCEAN VIEW	14	SQUARE 1

P.C.	New Construction	Re-Sketch Existing Bldg.	Bldg. Sketch No Change	See Misc. Improvements ?	
02	X			X	

Keyed
BY - Dick
BY - MYERS = OK
PER - BETTY
12-10-86
JE

BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS

Building Number: 4 of 4

Quality Grade: 300

Improvement Type: R1
R1 Single Family
R2 Duplex
R3 Triplex
R4 Quadplex

Effective Age Group

Residential		Mobile Home *
0 - 4 years	1	0 - 3 yrs.
5 - 12 years	2	4 - 6 yrs.
13 - 20 years	X 3	7 - 9 yrs.
21 - 40 years	4	10 - 12 yrs.
41 - 50 years	5	13 - 15 yrs.
51 + years	6	16 - 20 yrs.

Actual Year Built: 1978

— Functional Obsolescence: % (Explain In Notes)

Condition: A
G Good
A Average
P Poor

SPECIAL ARCHITECTURAL CODES

Mobile Home: 6 (6)

Multi Family: 1

— Locational Obsolescence: % (Explain In Notes)

Appraiser: 004

Date of Appraisal: MONTH 08 DAY 20 YEAR 86

Perimeter:

BUILDING REFINEMENTS

Roof Type: 01
1 Flat or Shed
2 Gable or Hip
3 Mansard
4 Irregular - Custom
5 Reinforced Concrete
6 Prestress Concrete
7 Steel Truss

Heating Type/Method: 0
1 None
2 Wall Heaters
3 Floor Furnace
4 Convection
5 Forced Air Non-Ducted
6 Forced Air Ducted
7 Electric Radiant
8 Hot Water/Steam

Foundation: 3
1 Wood Piers
2 Concrete Pads - Wood
3 Concrete Block
4 Concrete Slab
5 Continuous Conc. Footer
6 Conc. Pilings - Auger Holes

Roof Cover: 02
1 Asphalt/Composition Rolled
2 Metal
3 Asphalt/Composition Shingle
4 Tar & Gravel
5 Wood Shingle
6 Concrete/Clay Tile
7 Minimum

Heating Fuel/Source: 0
1 Electric
2 Gas
3 Oil
4 None
5 Solar

Number of Fireplaces:

Interior Wall/Ceiling: 05
1 Masonary - Minimum
2 Wall Board
3 Dry Wall
4 Plastered
5 Plywood Panel
6 Wood or Custom

Interior Flooring: 01
1 Plywood - Press Board
2 Softwood - Hardwood
3 Concrete Slab
4 Concrete Above Grade
5 Terrazzo
6 Ceramic or Clay Tile
7 Marble

Number of Bedrooms: 01

Air Conditioning: No ("Y" if Yes)

Baths: 4 Fixtures | 3 Fixtures: 01 | 2 Fixture | Extra Fixture: 03

Built-Ins ("Y" if Yes): Range/Oven | Garbage Disposal | Compactor | Security | Dishwasher | Intercom | Vacuum System

FIELD NOTES

Keyed
BY - RICHARDS
DICK - MYERS

BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS — SECTIONS

Section Type	Section I.D. Number	Exterior Wall Type	Number Stories	Roll Year	Finished Attic ("Y" if Yes)	Ground Floor Area
		8	1	86		

List Misc. Improvements No Existing Misc.	Add to Misc. Improvements	Delete Existing Misc. Improvements Add New Data	X Indicates Flat Value	
X				

MISCELLANEOUS IMPROVEMENTS

Type Code	X If Notes	Number of Units	Unit Type	Economic Life	Year Built	Grade	Length	Width	Depreciated Value
P T 3			S F		1978	1	38	12	

FIELD NOTES

Appraiser


Date of Appraisal | MONTH | DAY | YEAR |

NOTES

BUILDING PERMITS

D.P. Number	Permit Number	Date Completed	Date Issued	Amount	Description of Construction





(HOWELLS TRAILER PARK)

DR-402
R. 6/83

RE:00403640-000000 Alt. 1495956

PARCEL OR PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

RP
RP
RP

TAG NUMBER

DECLARATION OF MOBILE HOME AS REAL PROPERTY

To the Appraiser and Collector of Monroe **County, Florida:**

I, the undersigned, hereby request that the mobile home described below be assessed as real property. Said mobile home, of which I am the registered owner, was previously licensed as a motor vehicle.

DESCRIPTION OF MOBILE HOME: SINGLE WIDE ☐ DOUBLE WIDE ☐ TRIPLE WIDE ☐

Does your mobile home have an attachment or utility building? Yes ☐ No ☐

NAME OF MODEL	MANUFACTURER	YEAR MANUFACTURED
FAMI		~~1980~~ 1981

IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	SERIAL NUMBER	TITLE NUMBER
FH5268		21161358

YEAR PURCHASED	PRICE NEW	PRICE USED	LENGTH & WIDTH	CONDITION
	$	$	38 L	( ) NEW ( ) FAIR ( ) ( ) GOOD ( ) POOR REPOSSESSED

The said mobile home was permanently affixed on January 1 of the current year, is now permanently affixed, and it is my intention that the same remain permanently affixed to the following lands owned by me. An assessment for ad valorem taxation has been made on said lands for the current tax year.

DESCRIPTION OF LAND:

OCEAN VIEW Square 1 Lot 14

Mobile Home Assessed For Year(s) RP as of 1/1/87

I hereby make application for the issuance of an "RP" License Plate to identify the above described mobile home as real property.

The statements contained herein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Jan. 14, 1987
DATE

X Anna M Howells
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

Anna M. Howells
P.O. Box 451 (Howells Jct.)
Islamorada, Fla. 33036

PROPERTY APPRAISER TO COMPLETE THIS PORTION

Owner has presented Registration Certificate at time of application. ( ) YES ( ) NO
Owner has presented Bill of Sale at time of application. ( ) YES ( ) NO

I hereby certify that the foregoing return was filed in the office of the property appraiser addressed, and that the above described mobile home is included in an assessment for ad valorem taxation of the property of the person described above as the registered owner of such mobile home.

~~Jan. 14, 1987~~
DATE

E. Hanson Dep. Appr.
PROPERTY APPRAISER

APPRAISER'S COPY

DR 402
R. 7/81

RE: 403640-000000 Alt. 1495956

PARCEL OR PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

RP

TAG NUMBER

DECLARATION OF MOBILE HOME AS REAL PROPERTY

To the Appraiser and Collector of Monroe **County, Florida:**

I, the undersigned, hereby request that the mobile home described below be assessed as real property. Said mobile home, of which I am the registered owner, was previously licensed as a motor vehicle.

DESCRIPTION OF MOBILE HOME:

NAME OF MODEL	MANUFACTURER	YEAR MANUFACTURED
EMBA		~~XX~~ 1984

IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	SERIAL NUMBER	TITLE NUMBER
GDOCFL418310178		20954555

YEAR PURCHASED	PRICE NEW	PRICE USED	LENGTH & WIDTH	CONDITION
	$	$	32 L	( ) NEW ( ) FAIR ( ) ( ) GOOD ( ) POOR REPOSSESSED

The said mobile home was permanently affixed on January 1 of the current year, is now permanently affixed, and it is my intention that the same remain permanently affixed to the following lands owned by me. An assessment for ad valorem taxation has been made on said lands for the current tax year.

DESCRIPTION OF LAND:

OCEAN VIEW SQUARE 1 Lot 14

Mobile Home Assessed For Year(s) ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ Jan. 1, 1987

I hereby make application for the issuance of an "RP" License Plate to identify the above described mobile home as real property.

The statements contained herein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Jan. 14, 1987
DATE

X Anna M Howells
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

Anna M. Howells
P.O. Box 451 (Howells Jct.)
Islamorada, Fla. 33036

PROPERTY APPRAISER TO COMPLETE THIS PORTION

Owner has presented Registration Certificate at time of application. ( ) YES ( ) NO
Owner has presented Bill of Sale at time of application. ( ) YES ( ) NO

I hereby certify that the foregoing return was filed in the office of the property appraiser addressed, and that the above described mobile home is included in an assessment for ad valorem taxation of the property of the person described above as the registered owner of such mobile home.

Jan. 14, 1987
DATE

E Hanson Dep. Appr.
PROPERTY APPRAISER

APPRAISER'S COPY

DR-402
R. 6/83

00403640-000000 Alt. 1495956

PARCEL OR PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

RP
RP
RP

TAG NUMBER

DECLARATION OF MOBILE HOME AS REAL PROPERTY

To the Appraiser and Collector of Monroe **County, Florida:**

I, the undersigned, hereby request that the mobile home described below be assessed as real property. Said mobile home, of which I am the registered owner, was previously licensed as a motor vehicle.

DESCRIPTION OF MOBILE HOME: SINGLE WIDE ☐ DOUBLE WIDE ☐ TRIPLE WIDE ☐
Does your mobile home have an attachment or utility building? Yes ☐ No ☐

NAME OF MODEL	MANUFACTURER	YEAR MANUFACTURED
PACE		1982

IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	SERIAL NUMBER	TITLE NUMBER
GDOCFL45818369		21681706

YEAR PURCHASED	PRICE NEW	PRICE USED	LENGTH & WIDTH	CONDITION
	$	$	32 L	( ) NEW ( ) FAIR ( ) ( ) GOOD ( ) POOR REPOSSESSED

The said mobile home was permanently affixed on January 1 of the current year, is now permanently affixed, and it is my intention that the same remain permanently affixed to the following lands owned by me. An assessment for ad valorem taxation has been made on said lands for the current tax year.

DESCRIPTION OF LAND:

OCEAN VIEW Square 1 Lot 14

Mobile Home Assessed For Year(s) Jan. 1, 1987

I hereby make application for the issuance of an "RP" License Plate to identify the above described mobile home as real property.

The statements contained herein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Jan. 14, 1987
DATE

Anna M Howells
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

Anmna M. Howells
P.O. Box 451 (Howells Jct.)
Islamorada, Fla. 33036

PROPERTY APPRAISER TO COMPLETE THIS PORTION

Owner has presented Registration Certificate at time of application. ( ) YES ( ) NO
Owner has presented Bill of Sale at time of application. ( ) YES ( ) NO

I hereby certify that the foregoing return was filed in the office of the property appraiser addressed, and that the above described mobile home is included in an assessment for ad valorem taxation of the property of the person described above as the registered owner of such mobile home.

Jan. 14, 1987
DATE

E. Hanson Dep. Appraiser
PROPERTY APPRAISER

APPRAISER'S COPY

DR 402
R. 7/81
~~XX~~

Alt. 1495956
RE;00403640-000000

PARCEL OR PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

RP

TAG NUMBER

DECLARATION OF MOBILE HOME AS REAL PROPERTY

To the Appraiser and Collector of Monroe **County, Florida:**

I, the undersigned, hereby request that the mobile home described below be assessed as real property. Said mobile home, of which I am the registered owner, was previously licensed as a motor vehicle.

DESCRIPTION OF MOBILE HOME:

NAME OF MODEL	MANUFACTURER	YEAR MANUFACTURED
~~XXXX~~ Fami~~X~~y		1980

IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	SERIAL NUMBER	TITLE NUMBER
FH4416		17577687

YEAR PURCHASED	PRICE NEW	PRICE USED	LENGTH & WIDTH	CONDITION
	$	$	38C	( ) NEW ( ) FAIR ( ) ( ) GOOD ( ) POOR REPOSSESSED

The said mobile home was permanently affixed on January 1 of the current year, is now permanently affixed, and it is my intention that the same remain permanently affixed to the following lands owned by me. An assessment for ad valorem taxation has been made on said lands for the current tax year.

DESCRIPTION OF LAND:

OCEAN VIEW Square 1 ~~XXXXX~~ Lot 14

Mobile Home Assessed For Year(s) RP as of 1/1/87

I hereby make application for the issuance of an "RP" License Plate to identify the above described mobile home as real property.

The statements contained herein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Jan. 14, 1987
DATE

X Anna M Howells
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

Anna M. Howells
P.O. Box 451 (Howells Jct.)
Islamorda, Fla. 33036

PROPERTY APPRAISER TO COMPLETE THIS PORTION

Owner has presented Registration Certificate at time of application. ( ) YES ( ) NO
Owner has presented Bill of Sale at time of application. ( ) YES ( ) NO

I hereby certify that the foregoing return was filed in the office of the property appraiser addressed, and that the above described mobile home is included in an assessment for ad valorem taxation of the property of the person described above as the registered owner of such mobile home.

Jan. 14, 1987
DATE

E. Hanson Dep. Appr.
PROPERTY APPRAISER

APPRAISER'S COPY

MONROE COUNTY
OFFICIAL RECORDS

FILE #1123877
BK#1576 PG#662

RCD May 13 1999 03:37PM
DANNY L KOLHAGE, CLERK

DEED DOC STAMP 490.00
05/13/1999 DEP CLK

RETURN TO:
This Document prepared by:
WILLIAM S. WOOD, ESQ.
Attorney at Law
P.O.Box 3365,
Tequesta, FL. 33469
TITLE TO DEMISED PROPERTY HAS NOT BEEN EXAMINED AT REQUEST OF SELLER AND BUYER

WARRANTY DEED

THIS WARRANTY DEED made and executed the 28th day of April, 1999, by MARION T. BOLOGNA, a single person, also known as MARIAN T. BOLOGNA, hereinafter called the Grantor*, to GINO S. BOLOGNA and PIERA BOLOGNA, Husband and Wife,

whose Social Security Numbers are [redacted] and _____, respectively; and
whose address is: 451 MARS WAY JUNO BEACH, FL, 33408 hereinafter called the Grantee*:

WITNESSETH: That the Grantor, for and in consideration of the sum of $10.00 and other valuable considerations, receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained, and sold to the said Grantee, and Grantee's heirs and assigns forever, the following described land, situate, lying and being in Monroe County, Florida, to-wit:

TAX ID NO.

Lot 15, Block 1, OCEAN VIEW SUBDIVISION, according to the Plat thereof, recorded in Plat Book 1, Page 193, of the Public Records of Monroe County, Florida.

SUBJECT TO: restrictions, reservations, easements of record and taxes levied subsequent to December 31, 1998.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD, the same in fee simple forever.

AND the Grantor covenants with the Grantee that it is lawfully seized of said land in fee simple; it has good right and lawful authority to sell and convey said land; it hereby fully warrants the title to said land and will defend it against the lawful claim of all persons whomsoever.

*"Grantor" and "Grantee" are used for singular or plural, as context requires.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said Grantor has signed and sealed these presents the day and year first above written.

Signed, sealed and delivered by all in our presence:

Sign Name

WILLIAM S. WOOD
Print Name

Suzanne M. Chacona
Sign Name

SUZANNE M. CHACONAS
Print Name

MARION T. BOLOGNA, a/k/a MARIAN T. BOLOGNA

900 Juno Ocean Walk, No. A-83,
Juno Beach, FL 33408
Address

STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF PALM BEACH

I CERTIFY that on this day, before me, an officer duly authorized to take acknowledgments, personally appeared MARION T. BOLOGNA, a single person, a/k/a MARIAN T. BOLOGNA, who is personally known to me or who has produced a driver's license as identification, to be the person(s) described in and who executed the foregoing instrument and she acknowledged before me that she executed the same.

WITNESS my hand and official seal in the County and State last aforesaid this 28th day of April, 19 99.

Suzanne M. Chacona
Sign Name

(NOTARY SEAL)

SUZANNE M. CHACONAS
MY COMMISSION # CC 561675
EXPIRES: July 8, 2001
Bonded Thru Notary Public Underwriters

Print Name
Notary Public, State of Florida at Large

RECEIVED 5/7/99 AI PLC
SENT TO KEY WEST FOR RECORDING

MONROE COUNTY
OFFICIAL RECORDS

600
825.00

524920 OFF REC 1040 PAGE 2477

This instrument was prepared by:
ANDREW M. TOBIN
Attorney at Law
P.O. Box 620
TAVERNIER, FLORIDA 33070

Warranty Deed (STATUTORY FORM—SECTION 689.02 F.S.)

This Indenture, Made this 3rd day of February 19 88, **Between**

ANNA M. HOWELLS, an unremarried widow,

of the County of Monroe, State of Florida, grantor*, and

GINO S. BOLOGNA,

whose post office address is P.O. Box 46, Islamorada, Florida 33036

of the County of Monroe, State of Florida, grantee*,

Witnesseth, That said grantor, for and in consideration of the sum of Ten and no/100 Dollars----------($10.00)---------- Dollars, and other good and valuable considerations to said grantor in hand paid by said grantee, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained and sold to the said grantee, and grantee's heirs and assigns forever, the following described land, situate, lying and being in Monroe County, Florida, to-wit:

Lots 13 and 14, Block 1, OCEAN VIEW, a subdivision of Upper Matecumbe, Florida, the same being part of Lots 2 and 3, in Section 28, Township 63 South, Range 37 East, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 1, at Page 193, of the Public Records of Monroe County, Florida.

Subject to taxes for the current and subsequent years, easements for public utilities, restrictions of record, if any, and a purchase money first mortgage.

Doc Paid 825.00 Date 2-8-88
Monroe County
DANNY L. KOLHAGE, Clerk
By Andrea T. Maduro

and said grantor does hereby fully warrant the title to said land, and will defend the same against the lawful claims of all persons whomsoever.

* "Grantor" and "grantee" are used for singular or plural, as context requires.

In Witness Whereof, Grantor has hereunto set grantor's hand and seal the day and year first above written.

Signed, sealed and delivered in our presence:

Witness

Witness

Anna M Howells (Seal)
ANNA M. HOWELLS

(Seal)

(Seal)

(Seal)

FILED
DANNY L. KOLHAGE
MONROE CO.
88 FEB -8 AM 9:47

STATE OF Florida
COUNTY OF Monroe

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this day before me, an officer duly qualified to take acknowledgments, personally appeared Anna M. Howells

to me known to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged before me that she executed the same.

WITNESS my hand and official seal in the County and State last aforesaid this 3rd day of February, 19 88.

Marlene S. Weeks
Notary Public

My commission expires:

NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF FLORIDA
MY COMMISSION EXP. MAR. 5,1991
BONDED THRU GENERAL INS. UND.

(SEAL)

Recorded in Official Records Book
in Monroe County
Records
Clerk Circuit Court

Monroe County, FL

PROPERTY RECORD CARD

Disclaimer

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the data is intended for ad valorem tax purposes only and should not be relied on for any other purpose.

By continuing into this site you assert that you have read and agree to the above statement.

Summary

Parcel ID	00403650-000000
Account#	1495964
Property ID	1495964
Millage Group	50VI
Location Address	82935 OVERSEAS Hwy, UPPER MATECUMBE KEY
Legal Description	BK 1 LT 15 OCEAN VIEW PB1-193 UPPER MATECUMBE OR591-34 OR1556-1807D/C OR1576-662 (Note: Not to be used on legal documents.)
Neighborhood	10024
Property Class	STORE COMBO (1200)
Subdivision	OCEAN VIEW
Sec/Twp/Rng	28/63/37
Affordable Housing	No



Owner

BOLOGNA GINO S
PO Box 451
Islamorada FL 33036

BOLOGNA PIERA
PO Box 451
Islamorada FL 33036

Valuation

		2023 Certified Values	2022 Certified Values	2021 Certified Values	2020 Certified Values
+	Market Improvement Value	$216,245	$216,245	$216,245	$243,276
+	Market Misc Value	$9,695	$9,695	$9,695	$9,695
+	Market Land Value	$325,238	$325,238	$325,238	$325,238
=	Just Market Value	**$551,178**	**$551,178**	**$551,178**	**$578,209**
=	Total Assessed Value	**$534,534**	**$485,940**	**$441,764**	**$401,604**
-	School Exempt Value	$0	$0	$0	$0
=	School Taxable Value	**$551,178**	**$551,178**	**$551,178**	**$578,209**

Historical Assessments

Year	Land Value	Building Value	Yard Item Value	Just (Market) Value	Assessed Value	Exempt Value	Taxable Value	Maximum Portability
2022	$325,238	$216,245	$9,695	$551,178	$485,940	$0	$551,178	$0
2021	$325,238	$216,245	$9,695	$551,178	$441,764	$0	$551,178	$0
2020	$325,238	$243,276	$9,695	$578,209	$401,604	$0	$578,209	$0
2019	$278,775	$194,621	$9,695	$483,091	$365,095	$0	$483,091	$0
2018	$215,625	$180,377	$8,855	$404,857	$331,905	$0	$404,857	$0

The Maximum Portability is an estimate only and should not be relied upon as the actual portability amount. Contact our office to verify the actual portability amount.

Land

Land Use	Number of Units	Unit Type	Frontage	Depth
(1200)	7,500.00	Square Foot	50	150

Buildings

Building ID	43015	**Exterior Walls**	C.B.S.
Style		**Year Built**	1975
Building Type	APTS-D / 03D	**EffectiveYearBuilt**	1975
Building Name		**Foundation**	
Gross Sq Ft	5610	**Roof Type**	
Finished Sq Ft	3312	**Roof Coverage**	
Stories	2 Floor	**Flooring Type**	
Condition	FAIR	**Heating Type**	
Perimeter	328	**Bedrooms**	0
Functional Obs	0	**Full Bathrooms**	0
Economic Obs	0	**Half Bathrooms**	0
Depreciation %	60	**Grade**	250
Interior Walls		**Number of Fire Pl**	0

Code	Description	Sketch Area	Finished Area	Perimeter
FLA	FLOOR LIV AREA	3,312	3,312	0
OUF	OP PRCH FIN UL	498	0	0
PTO	PATIO	1,800	0	0
TOTAL		**5,610**	**3,312**	**0**

Yard Items

Description	Year Built	Roll Year	Size	Quantity	Units	Grade
CH LINK FENCE	1975	1976	6 x 400	1	2400 SF	2
ASPHALT PAVING	1975	1976	15 x 50	1	750 SF	2
CONC PATIO	1980	1981	0 x 0	1	1400 SF	2


Sales

Sale Date	Sale Price	Instrument	Instrument Number	Deed Book	Deed Page	Sale Qualification	Vacant or Improved	Grantor	Grantee
4/28/1999	$70,000	Warranty Deed		1576	662	K - Unqualified	Improved		
2/1/1974	$16,000	Conversion Code		591	34	Q - Qualified	Improved		

View Tax Info

View Taxes for this Parcel

Sketches (click to enlarge)


PTO
(1800)
50
36
FLA
(1656)
46
42
OUF
(498)
36
FLA
(1656)
34
31
46
52
3
3
7
3
5
8
36
42

Photos


1495964-20230918

Map



TRIM Notice

2023 TRIM Notice (PDF)

No data available for the following modules: Permits.

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the
| User Privacy Policy | GDPR Privacy Notice
Last Data Upload: 6/27/2024, 5:21:59 AM

Contact Us

Developed by Schneider GEOSPATIAL

Monroe County, FL

PROPERTY RECORD CARD

Disclaimer

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the data is intended for ad valorem tax purposes only and should not be relied on for any other purpose.

By continuing into this site you assert that you have read and agree to the above statement.

Summary

Parcel ID	00403640-000000
Account#	1495956
Property ID	1495956
Millage Group	50VI
Location Address	82929 OVERSEAS Hwy, UPPER MATECUMBE KEY
Legal Description	BK 1 LT 14 OCEAN VIEW PB1-193 UPPER MATECUMBE OR425-866/867 OR472-735/736 OR727-484 OR732-374 UNRECORDED D/C ON FILE OR928-15/17 CASE#84-365-CP-23 OR1040-2477 OR1127-654 (Note: Not to be used on legal documents.)
Neighborhood	4450
Property Class	MULTI-FAMILY 5 UNITS (0805)
Subdivision	OCEAN VIEW
Sec/Twp/Rng	28/63/37
Affordable Housing	No



Owner

BOLOGNA GINO S
PO Box 451
Islamorada FL 33036

BOLOGNA PIERA
PO Box 451
Islamorada FL 33036

Valuation

		2023 Certified Values	2022 Certified Values	2021 Certified Values	2020 Certified Values
+	Market Improvement Value	$241,765	$245,939	$181,722	$185,218
+	Market Misc Value	$5,059	$5,059	$7,099	$7,099
+	Market Land Value	$190,369	$158,194	$131,381	$131,381
=	Just Market Value	**$437,193**	**$409,192**	**$320,202**	**$323,698**
=	Total Assessed Value	**$387,444**	**$352,222**	**$320,202**	**$323,698**
-	School Exempt Value	$0	$0	$0	$0
=	School Taxable Value	**$437,193**	**$409,192**	**$320,202**	**$323,698**

Historical Assessments

Year	Land Value	Building Value	Yard Item Value	Just (Market) Value	Assessed Value	Exempt Value	Taxable Value	Maximum Portability
2022	$158,194	$245,939	$5,059	$409,192	$352,222	$0	$409,192	$0
2021	$131,381	$181,722	$7,099	$320,202	$320,202	$0	$320,202	$0
2020	$131,381	$185,218	$7,099	$323,698	$323,698	$0	$323,698	$0
2019	$130,463	$193,717	$7,147	$331,327	$331,327	$0	$331,327	$0
2018	$123,375	$196,719	$6,814	$326,908	$326,908	$0	$326,908	$0

The Maximum Portability is an estimate only and should not be relied upon as the actual portability amount. Contact our office to verify the actual portability amount.

Land

Land Use	Number of Units	Unit Type	Frontage	Depth
MULTI RES HWY (080H)	7,500.00	Square Foot	50	150

Buildings

Building ID	21741	**Exterior Walls**	C.B.S. with 2% WD FRAME
Style	MANUFACTURED HOME	**Year Built**	1963
Building Type	M.F. - R2 / R2	**EffectiveYearBuilt**	1980
Building Name		**Foundation**	CONCRETE SLAB
Gross Sq Ft	1896	**Roof Type**	FLAT OR SHED
Finished Sq Ft	1362	**Roof Coverage**	ASPHALT SHINGL
Stories	2 Floor	**Flooring Type**	CERM/CLAY TILE
Condition	POOR	**Heating Type**	FCD/AIR NON-DC with 0% NONE
Perimeter	252	**Bedrooms**	4
Functional Obs	0	**Full Bathrooms**	2
Economic Obs	0	**Half Bathrooms**	0
Depreciation %	42	**Grade**	500
Interior Walls	DRYWALL	**Number of Fire Pl**	0

Code	Description	Sketch Area	Finished Area	Perimeter
EPB	ENCL PORCH BLK	160	0	52
FLA	FLOOR LIV AREA	1,362	1,362	236
OUF	OP PRCH FIN UL	168	0	52
PTO	PATIO	60	0	33
PUF	SC PRCH FIN UL	50	0	30
SBF	UTIL FIN BLK	96	0	56
TOTAL		**1,896**	**1,362**	**459**

Building ID	21742	**Exterior Walls**	METAL/ALUM
Style		**Year Built**	1980
Building Type	S.F.R. - M1 / M1	**EffectiveYearBuilt**	1980
Building Name		**Foundation**	CONC BLOCK
Gross Sq Ft	448	**Roof Type**	FLAT OR SHED
Finished Sq Ft	448	**Roof Coverage**	METAL
Stories	1 Floor	**Flooring Type**	
Condition	POOR	**Heating Type**	NONE with 0% NONE
Perimeter	92	**Bedrooms**	1
Functional Obs	0	**Full Bathrooms**	1
Economic Obs	0	**Half Bathrooms**	0
Depreciation %	71.4	**Grade**	250
Interior Walls	DRYWALL	**Number of Fire Pl**	0

Code	Description	Sketch Area	Finished Area	Perimeter
FLA	FLOOR LIV AREA	448	448	0
TOTAL		**448**	**448**	**0**

Building ID	21743	**Exterior Walls**	METAL/ALUM
Style		**Year Built**	1980
Building Type	S.F.R. - M1 / M1	**EffectiveYearBuilt**	1980
Building Name		**Foundation**	CONC BLOCK
Gross Sq Ft	448	**Roof Type**	FLAT OR SHED
Finished Sq Ft	448	**Roof Coverage**	METAL
Stories	1 Floor	**Flooring Type**	
Condition	POOR	**Heating Type**	NONE with 0% NONE
Perimeter	92	**Bedrooms**	1
Functional Obs	0	**Full Bathrooms**	1
Economic Obs	0	**Half Bathrooms**	0
Depreciation %	71.4	**Grade**	250
Interior Walls	DRYWALL	**Number of Fire Pl**	0

Code	Description	Sketch Area	Finished Area	Perimeter
FLA	FLOOR LIV AREA	448	448	0
TOTAL		**448**	**448**	**0**

Building ID	21744	**Exterior Walls**	METAL/ALUM
Style		**Year Built**	1978
Building Type	S.F.R. - M1 / M1	**EffectiveYearBuilt**	1978
Building Name		**Foundation**	CONC BLOCK
Gross Sq Ft	456	**Roof Type**	FLAT OR SHED
Finished Sq Ft	456	**Roof Coverage**	METAL
Stories	1 Floor	**Flooring Type**	
Condition	POOR	**Heating Type**	NONE with 0% NONE
Perimeter	100	**Bedrooms**	1
Functional Obs	0	**Full Bathrooms**	1
Economic Obs	0	**Half Bathrooms**	0
Depreciation %	74.8	**Grade**	250
Interior Walls	DRYWALL	**Number of Fire Pl**	0

Code	Description	Sketch Area	Finished Area	Perimeter
FLA	FLOOR LIV AREA	456	456	0
TOTAL		**456**	**456**	**0**

Yard Items

Description	Year Built	Roll Year	Size	Quantity	Units	Grade
CONC PATIO	1977	1978	12 x 38	1	456 SF	1
CONC PATIO	1990	2010	0 x 0	1	318 SF	1
ASPHALT PAVING	1995	2010	0 x 0	1	340 SF	2
FENCES	2000	2010	3 x 28	1	84 SF	2
WALL AIR COND	1998	2010	0 x 0	1	9 UT	1

Sales

Sale Date	Sale Price	Instrument	Instrument Number	Deed Book	Deed Page	Sale Qualification	Vacant or Improved	Grantor	Grantee
2/1/1988	$150,000	Warranty Deed		1040	2477	M - Unqualified	Improved		


Permits


Number	Date Issued	Date Completed	Amount	Permit Type	Notes
PRBLD202300817	9/18/2023		$400	Residential	DEMO 3 RV ELECTRIC HOOK-UP POLES, REMOVE WATER & ELECTRIC FROM SITES, CAPPING OFF THE WASTEWATER BACK AT THE MAILIN LINE OF THE RV SPOTS
200800387	4/14/2008	1/21/2009	$1		INTERIOR RENOVATIONS


View Tax Info


View Taxes for this Parcel

Sketches (click to enlarge)




32
FLA
(448)
14
BUILDING #2


32
FLA
(448)
14
BUILDING #3


38
FLA
(456)
12
BUILDING #4

Photos


1495956-20230918C


1495956-20230918B







Map



TRIM Notice

2023 TRIM Notice (PDF)

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the
| User Privacy Policy | GDPR Privacy Notice
Last Data Upload: 6/27/2024, 5:21:59 AM

Contact Us

Developed by Schneider GEOSPATIAL

Monroe County, FL

PROPERTY RECORD CARD

Disclaimer

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the data is intended for ad valorem tax purposes only and should not be relied on for any other purpose.

By continuing into this site you assert that you have read and agree to the above statement.

Summary

Parcel ID	00403630-000000
Account#	1495948
Property ID	1495948
Millage Group	50VI
Location Address	82925 OVERSEAS Hwy, UPPER MATECUMBE KEY
Legal Description	BK 1 LT 13 OCEAN VIEW PB1-193 UPPER MATECUMBE OR425-866/867 OR472-735/736 OR727-484 OR732-374 OR928-15/17 CASE84-365-CP-23 OR1040-2477 OR1127-654 (Note: Not to be used on legal documents.)
Neighborhood	4450
Property Class	MULTI-FAMILY TRIPLEX (0803)
Subdivision	OCEAN VIEW
Sec/Twp/Rng	28/63/37
Affordable Housing	No



Owner

BOLOGNA GINO S
PO Box 451
Islamorada FL 33036

BOLOGNA PIERA
PO Box 451
Islamorada FL 33036

Valuation

		2023 Certified Values	2022 Certified Values	2021 Certified Values	2020 Certified Values
+	Market Improvement Value	$86,461	$87,479	$67,822	$69,068
+	Market Misc Value	$0	$0	$0	$0
+	Market Land Value	$190,369	$158,194	$131,381	$131,381
=	Just Market Value	**$276,830**	**$245,673**	**$199,203**	**$200,449**
=	Total Assessed Value	**$241,035**	**$219,123**	**$199,203**	**$200,449**
-	School Exempt Value	$0	$0	$0	$0
=	School Taxable Value	**$276,830**	**$245,673**	**$199,203**	**$200,449**

Historical Assessments

Year	Land Value	Building Value	Yard Item Value	Just (Market) Value	Assessed Value	Exempt Value	Taxable Value	Maximum Portability
2022	$158,194	$87,479	$0	$245,673	$219,123	$0	$245,673	$0
2021	$131,381	$67,822	$0	$199,203	$199,203	$0	$199,203	$0
2020	$131,381	$69,068	$0	$200,449	$200,449	$0	$200,449	$0
2019	$130,463	$69,846	$0	$200,309	$200,309	$0	$200,309	$0
2018	$123,375	$70,211	$0	$193,586	$193,586	$0	$193,586	$0

The Maximum Portability is an estimate only and should not be relied upon as the actual portability amount. Contact our office to verify the actual portability amount.

Land

Land Use	Number of Units	Unit Type	Frontage	Depth
MULTI RES HWY (080H)	7,500.00	Square Foot	50	150

Buildings

Building ID	21738	**Exterior Walls**	METAL/ALUM
Style	MANUFACTURED HOME	**Year Built**	1978
Building Type	S.F.R. - R1 / R1	**EffectiveYearBuilt**	1998
Building Name		**Foundation**	CONC BLOCK
Gross Sq Ft	304	**Roof Type**	FLAT OR SHED
Finished Sq Ft	304	**Roof Coverage**	METAL
Stories	1 Floor	**Flooring Type**	CERM/CLAY TILE
Condition	POOR	**Heating Type**	FCD/AIR DUCTED with 0% NONE
Perimeter	92	**Bedrooms**	1
Functional Obs	0	**Full Bathrooms**	1
Economic Obs	0	**Half Bathrooms**	0
Depreciation %	32	**Grade**	350
Interior Walls	DRYWALL	**Number of Fire Pl**	0

Code	Description	Sketch Area	Finished Area	Perimeter
FLA	FLOOR LIV AREA	304	304	0
TOTAL		**304**	**304**	**0**

Building ID	21739	**Exterior Walls**	METAL/ALUM
Style		**Year Built**	1983
Building Type	S.F.R. - R1 / R1	**EffectiveYearBuilt**	1983
Building Name		**Foundation**	CONC BLOCK
Gross Sq Ft	384	**Roof Type**	FLAT OR SHED
Finished Sq Ft	384	**Roof Coverage**	METAL
Stories	1 Floor	**Flooring Type**	SFT/HD WD
Condition	POOR	**Heating Type**	NONE with 0% NONE
Perimeter	88	**Bedrooms**	1
Functional Obs	0	**Full Bathrooms**	1
Economic Obs	0	**Half Bathrooms**	0
Depreciation %	40	**Grade**	350
Interior Walls	MASONRY/MIN	**Number of Fire Pl**	0

Code	Description	Sketch Area	Finished Area	Perimeter
FLA	FLOOR LIV AREA	384	384	0
TOTAL		**384**	**384**	**0**

Building ID	21740	**Exterior Walls**	METAL/ALUM
Style		**Year Built**	1960
Building Type	S.F.R. - R1 / R1	**EffectiveYearBuilt**	1960
Building Name		**Foundation**	CONC BLOCK
Gross Sq Ft	468	**Roof Type**	FLAT OR SHED
Finished Sq Ft	468	**Roof Coverage**	METAL
Stories	1 Floor	**Flooring Type**	SFT/HD WD
Condition	POOR	**Heating Type**	NONE with 0% NONE
Perimeter	102	**Bedrooms**	1
Functional Obs	0	**Full Bathrooms**	1
Economic Obs	0	**Half Bathrooms**	0
Depreciation %	57	**Grade**	350
Interior Walls	MASONRY/MIN	**Number of Fire Pl**	0

Code	Description	Sketch Area	Finished Area	Perimeter
FLA	FLOOR LIV AREA	468	468	0
TOTAL		**468**	**468**	**0**


Sales


Sale Date	Sale Price	Instrument	Instrument Number	Deed Book	Deed Page	Sale Qualification	Vacant or Improved	Grantor	Grantee
2/1/1988	$1	Warranty Deed		1040	2477	M - Unqualified	Improved		

View Tax Info


View Taxes for this Parcel

Sketches (click to enlarge)


38
FLA
(304)
8
BUILDING #1



BUILDING #2



Photos





Map



TRIM Notice

2023 TRIM Notice (PDF)

No data available for the following modules: Yard Items, Permits.

The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office maintains data on property within the County solely for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibility to secure a just valuation for ad valorem tax purposes of all property within the County. The Monroe County Property Appraiser's office cannot guarantee its accuracy for any other purpose. Likewise, data provided regarding one tax year may not be applicable in prior or subsequent years. By requesting such data, you hereby understand and agree that the
| User Privacy Policy | GDPR Privacy Notice
Last Data Upload: 6/27/2024, 5:21:59 AM

Contact Us

Developed by Schneider GEOSPATIAL

ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS
PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT
86800 Overseas Highway • Islamorada, Florida 33036 • 305-664-6400 •
www.islamorada.fl.us

June 7, 2024

Russell Yagel, Esq.
Sent via CityView Portal

Subject: Pre-Application (File No. PLPRE20230193)

Dear Mr. Yagel,

Thank you for your Application for a Pre-Application Conference ("Application"). The following is the Planning and Development Services Department's ("Staff") analysis of your questions and a summary of the meeting held on January 3, 2024. The purpose of the Pre-Application Conference is to familiarize the applicant with the applicable provisions of Chapter 30, *Land Development Regulations (LDRs)*, of the Code of Ordinances ("Code") of Islamorada, Village of Islands, Florida (the "Village"), the processes required to determine the lawfully established dwelling unit rights on the subject property(s).

For the purposes of this dwelling unit determination, each property will be individually assessed and discussed.

Parcel # 00403630-000000, Lot 13 located on Upper Matecumbe Key, FL ("Property 1").
Parcel # 00403640-000000, Lot 14, located on Upper Matecumbe Key, FL ("Property 2").
Parcel # 00403650-000000, Lot 15, located on Upper Matecumbe Key, FL ("Property 3").

The subject Properties are categorized within the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) as Mixed Use (MU) and are within the Village Center (VC) Zoning District.

Background Zoning:
1963 Zoning: BU-2 (Medium Business District) Commercial use as a primary use – living quarters for watchmen and caretakers only permitted as an accessory use. Prohibited any residential use.

1986 Zoning: SC (Sub Urban Commercial District) Commercial uses as a primary use – apartments involving less than six dwelling units in conjunction with a permitted commercial use.

The Village Comprehensive Plan, Chapter 3: Housing Element Data Inventory and Analysis table 3-13: Inventory of Existing Mobile Home Parks did not include or recognize the subject property(s) a lawfully established mobile home park.

TABLE 3-13: INVENTORY OF EXISTING MOBILE HOME PARKS

MOBILE HOME PARK	LOCATION	NUMBER OF MOBILE HOME SPACES
Vacation Village	Plantation Key	26
Plantation Tropical Park	Plantation Key	52
Harris Ocean Park Estates 1st Addition	Plantation Key	45
San Pedro Trailer Park	Plantation Key	41
Seabreeze Trailer Park	Plantation Key	101
Windley Key Mobile Home Park	Windley Key	42
Peaceful Palms Mobile Home Park	Windley Key	15
Coral Bay	Upper Matecumbe Key	17
Key Lantern Motel and Trailer Park	Upper Matecumbe Key	21
Village Mobile Park	Upper Matecumbe Key	32
Pelican Palms Mobile Home Park	Upper Matecumbe Key	35
Total		**427**

Source: Florida Department of Health, 1999

Additional Documentation/Evidence Provided by Applicant:

The applicant provided the following permits from the State of Florida Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services:

- 1977 operating permit for six (6) independent mobile homes
- Approved application for mobile home park in 2013 for five (5) mobile homes and (3) Recreational Vehicles (RV).
- Mobile Home Park operating permit in 2014 for five (5) mobile homes and (3) Recreational Vehicles (RV).
- Mobile Home Park operating permit in 2015 for five (5) mobile homes and (3) Recreational Vehicles (RV).
- Mobile Home Park operating permit in 2015 for five (5) mobile homes and (3) Recreational Vehicles (RV) – expiration date 9/30/2016.

The applicant provided State of Florida Department of Business Regulations License for Lodging of four (4) units – expiration date 10/1/1983.

The applicant provided Monroe County Occupational License for "trailer park serv" for five (5) employees – expiration date of 9/30/1987.

The following questions were presented by the applicant:
What is the number of lawfully established dwelling units associated with the property?

See Dwelling Unit Analysis provided.

What is the character of those lawfully established dwelling units (e.g. residential market rate or transient)?

There are no transient units on the property. See Dwelling Unit Analysis provided.

What is the current allowed density on the property?

Each lot is 7500 square feet. The maximum FAR for each lot is .35.

The allowed use density is up to six dwelling units per acre, or up to 12 dwelling units per acre with TDRs or up to 15 deed restricted affordable housing dwelling units per acre; however, on commercially developed parcels of land, the density requirements for affordable housing provided herein shall not exceed 15 deed restricted affordable housing dwelling units per acre, regardless of any nonresidential floor area or FAR, or market rate residential dwelling units.

If the number of lawfully established dwelling units exceeds the current allowed density, is the historical non-conforming density preserved?

As defined in Village LDRs section 30-732:
Nonconforming use means any use lawfully being made of any land, water or structure (other than a sign) on the effective date of the regulations in this chapter (or of any amendment which renders such use nonconforming), which does not comply with all of the requirements of this chapter as they may be amended. The casual, temporary, or illegal use of land or structures, or land and structures in combination, shall not be sufficient to establish the existence of a nonconforming use or to create rights in the continuance of such use.

Nonconforming structure means any structure (other than a sign) lawfully existing on the effective date of the regulations in this chapter (or of any amendment which renders such structure nonconforming), which does not comply with restrictions on lot area, lot coverage, height, setbacks, location on the lot, or any such requirements of this chapter as they may be amended (other than use regulations).

The Monroe County zoning regulations did not allow for dwelling units without a primary commercial use.

Property 1 and Property 2 have no evidence of existing commercial use, and therefore were not lawful under 1963 or 1986 zoning regulations.

Property 3 had a commercial use and dwelling unit above it allowed by the 1963 and 186 zoning regulations. The current Village LDRs allow for a single-family dwelling unit without commercial use, the building with on market rate (upstairs) and commercial square footage (downstairs) is conforming use of the property. However, a determination by the Building Official must be made as to the conformity of building codes in the existing building.

If the owner obtains affordable allocations for some of the dwelling units on the property and retains some units as market rate units, what is the maximum number of units allowed on the property?

Each lot individually has less density than if the lots were to be unified. Individually each of the lots could accommodate one market rate dwelling unit. Unified, the property can accommodate up to seven (7) deed restricted affordable units.

Dwelling Unit Analysis:

Property 1

Sec 30-473(d) *Criteria*. Criteria for determining the existence of a legally established residential dwelling unit or nonresidential floor area. A dwelling unit or an amount of nonresidential floor area shall be determined to legally exist if the parcel meets at a minimum all of the criteria of either (1) or (2) below:

1. A permit or other official approval was issued by the village or Monroe County for the unit(s) or floor area for residential dwelling units or nonresidential floor area and the unit(s) or floor area was accounted for in the village comprehensive plan building permit allocation system and ROGO [Rate of Growth Ordinance (Monroe County Ordinance 016-1992 as amended)], which shall be proof that the residential units(s) or amount of floor area was in existence and included in the April 1990 Census or was issued a certificate of occupancy subsequent to April 1990.

The Village does not have any building permits for Property 1 for residential or commercial use. There are no official approvals from Monroe County indicating the units were accounted for in Monroe County Ordinance 016-1992 as amended. **Criteria 1 has not been met.**

2. If a permit or other official approval is not available, for residential dwelling units or nonresidential floor area, the criteria listed below shall be used to establish proof that the residential dwelling unit or nonresidential floor area was legally established:

    a. The structure in which the unit or nonresidential floor area is located is currently habitable as determined by the building official, or utility invoices/receipts from Florida Keys Electric Cooperative or Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority are provided that clearly indicate by separate meter that the unit or nonresidential building was being served during April 1990, or Monroe County property record card showing the existence of the unit or nonresidential floor area in 1990, or occupational license or other state license for 1990 for a nonresidential use of a property, or insurance policy records for the building for a nonresidential use of a property that clearly delineate the amount of floor area; and

The Monroe County Property Appraisers property record card from 1986 shows one mobile home on Property 1. The property record card in 1990 shows two mobile homes on Property 1.

The applicant provided a copy of a "Declaration of Mobile Home As Real Property" form submitted to the Property Appraisers office dated 3/16/1990 for two mobile homes.

The applicant provided a copy of an FKAA work order to replace water meter servicing "9 units" dated 1/29/1993. Does not provide detail of how many units were serviced by the water meter on Property 1.

The applicant submitted an email from FKEC stating there was a valid FKEC account dating back to 1988 with 10 meters – this has not been verified and does not designate how many meters were serving units on Property 1.

    b. Aerial photograph(s) or signed and sealed survey(s) clearly showing existence of the dwelling unit or nonresidential structure either in 1990, or if not available for 1990 then both prior to and subsequent to 1990; and

- 1985 aerial shows two mobile homes, and the 1986 property record card states one.
- 1997 aerial shows one, possibly two mobile homes.
- 2000 & 2003 aerial shows one clearly visible in the same location as the 1985 aerial. The lot is mostly covered with vegetation obstructing the view of the aerial.
- 2006 aerial shows possibly three mobile homes on the lot.
- 2009 aerial shows one clearly, however the aerial photo is obstructed by vegetation.

- 2018 aerial shows three units on the lot.

Aerial photographs show the existence of dwelling units on Property 1. There is clear evidence of two mobile homes prior to 1990 and just after.

c. A determination by the village that the use could have been legally permitted under the zoning and building codes in effect for the parcel at the time the structure was constructed, or the business was established. This not only refers to the type of use but also to the parameters of the building construction;

The zoning from 1963 through incorporation of the Village did not allow residential use of the property without commercial use as the primary use of the property. There are no records of permitted commercial use of Property 1.

The current zoning allows for residential use; however, it does not allow construction type of mobile homes or recreational vehicles.

3. Additional information shall be required to establish the number of units for mobile homes or recreational vehicles ("RVs") if such units are located in either a mobile home park or RV park; including:
    a. The number of mobile homes and RVs approved by the State of Florida Department of Health as shown on the approved prospectus or any other documents on file with the State of Florida Department of Health for either the mobile home park or RV park;
    b. Notwithstanding the number of mobile homes and RVs provided in subsection a., the total units recognized shall not exceed the number of mobile home spaces as shown in chapter 3 of the village comprehensive plan data inventory and analysis; and
    c. A demolition permit(s) for each mobile that has been removed.

The units are not recognized in the data inventory and analysis of the village comprehensive plan. **Criteria 3 has not been met.**

Property 1 meets the criteria listed in criteria 2 subsections a and b, but not c. The Village does not recognize any legally established dwelling units on Property 1.

<u>Property 2</u>

Sec 30-473(d) *Criteria*. Criteria for determining the existence of a legally established residential dwelling unit or nonresidential floor area. A dwelling unit or an amount of nonresidential floor

area shall be determined to legally exist if the parcel meets at a minimum all of the criteria of either (1) or (2) below:

1. A permit or other official approval was issued by the village or Monroe County for the unit(s) or floor area for residential dwelling units or nonresidential floor area and the unit(s) or floor area was accounted for in the village comprehensive plan building permit allocation system and ROGO [Rate of Growth Ordinance (Monroe County Ordinance 016-1992 as amended)], which shall be proof that the residential units(s) or amount of floor area was in existence and included in the April 1990 Census or was issued a certificate of occupancy subsequent to April 1990.

The following building permit records were found:

- Permit #4024, dated 1962 for an addition to an existing structure – the file is incomplete and does not include approved plans.
- Permit #1020, not dated, is a site drawing for at 40′x10′ concrete slab, the file is incomplete.
- Permit #10051, dated 1965, electrical permit replacing two metered trailer outlets damaged by the storm – incomplete file.

It is unclear from the permit records exactly how many, if any, units were accounted for in the 1990 census. **Criteria 1 has not been met**.

2. If a permit or other official approval is not available, for residential dwelling units or nonresidential floor area, the criteria listed below shall be used to establish proof that the residential dwelling unit or nonresidential floor area was legally established:

    a. The structure in which the unit or nonresidential floor area is located is currently habitable as determined by the building official, or utility invoices/receipts from Florida Keys Electric Cooperative or Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority are provided that clearly indicate by separate meter that the unit or nonresidential building was being served during April 1990, or Monroe County property record card showing the existence of the unit or nonresidential floor area in 1990, or occupational license or other state license for 1990 for a nonresidential use of a property, or insurance policy records for the building for a nonresidential use of a property that clearly delineate the amount of floor area; and

The Monroe County Property Appraisers property record card from 1961 shows a two-story building on Property 2 indicating a Single-Family Residence (SFR) with three rooms (there were no records of the original building permit to verify the number of rooms).

The property record card from 1966 shows the permitted 9′x26′ addition (permit #4024).

The property record card from 1986 shows a two-story building, garage addition, and two mobile homes on Property 2.

The applicant provided a copy of a "Declaration of Mobile Home As Real Property" form submitted to the Property Appraisers office dated 3/16/1990 for four mobile homes.

The applicant provided a copy of an FKAA work order to replace water meter servicing "9 units" dated 1/29/1993. Does not provide detail of how many units were serviced by the water meter on Property 2.

The applicant submitted an email from FKEC stating there was a valid FKEC account dating back to 1988 with 10 meters – this has not been verified and does not designate how many meters were serving units on Property 2.

b. Aerial photograph(s) or signed and sealed survey(s) clearly showing existence of the dwelling unit or nonresidential structure either in 1990, or if not available for 1990 then both prior to and subsequent to 1990; and

- 1975 aerial shows the 1961-1966 building, garage addition, and three mobile homes.
- 1985 aerial shows the building, garage addition, and three mobile homes – the property record card confirms the building, the garage addition and two mobile homes.
- 1997 aerial shows the building, the garage addition and three mobile homes.
- 2000, 2003, 2006, 2009, 2012 aerials show the building, the garage addition, and three mobile homes.
- 2015 aerial shows the building, the garage addition, and four mobile homes and a smaller unit (possibly an RV).
- 2018 aerial shows the building, the garage addition, four mobile homes and an RV.

Aerial photographs show the existence of dwelling units on Property 2. There is clear evidence of one SFR, one garage addition and three mobile homes prior to and just after 1990.

c. A determination by the village that the use could have been legally permitted under the zoning and building codes in effect for the parcel at the time the structure was constructed, or the business was established. This not only refers to the type of use but also to the parameters of the building construction;

The zoning from 1963 through incorporation of the Village did not allow residential use of the property without commercial use as the primary use of the property. There are no records of permitted commercial use of Property 1.

The current zoning allows for residential use; however, it does not allow construction type of mobile homes or recreational vehicles.

3. Additional information shall be required to establish the number of units for mobile homes or recreational vehicles ("RVs") if such units are located in either a mobile home park or RV park; including:
    a. The number of mobile homes and RVs approved by the State of Florida Department of Health as shown on the approved prospectus or any other documents on file with the State of Florida Department of Health for either the mobile home park or RV park;
    b. Notwithstanding the number of mobile homes and RVs provided in subsection a., the total units recognized shall not exceed the number of mobile home spaces as shown in chapter 3 of the village comprehensive plan data inventory and analysis; and
    c. A demolition permit(s) for each mobile that has been removed.

The units are not recognized in the data inventory and analysis of the village comprehensive plan. **Criteria 3 has not been met.**

Property 2 meets the criteria listed in criteria 2 subsections a and b, but not c. The Village recognizes **one dwelling unit**, although the county regulations did not allow it without commercial use, there is some indication a portion of the garage addition was used in conjunction with the auto shop on Property 3.

Property 3

Sec 30-473(d) *Criteria*. Criteria for determining the existence of a legally established residential dwelling unit or nonresidential floor area. A dwelling unit or an amount of nonresidential floor area shall be determined to legally exist if the parcel meets at a minimum all of the criteria of either (1) or (2) below:

1. A permit or other official approval was issued by the village or Monroe County for the unit(s) or floor area for residential dwelling units or nonresidential floor area and the unit(s) or floor area was accounted for in the village comprehensive plan building permit allocation system and ROGO [Rate of Growth Ordinance (Monroe County Ordinance 016-1992 as amended)], which shall be proof that the residential units(s) or amount of floor area was in existence and included in the April 1990 Census or was issued a certificate of occupancy subsequent to April 1990.

The following building permit records were found:

- Permit #C-233, issued in 1974 permitted a two-story building with a two-bedroom SFR on the top and garage/storage on the ground level.
- Permit #C-1895 issued in 1976 permitted a covered porch on the 2nd floor of the existing building.
- Permit #C-9330, issued in 1981 permitted an addition to the existing ground floor for "Tony's Body Shop" – commercial use.
- Permit #0005131, issued in 2000, permitted a change of copy for commercial use on the lower level for an art gallery.

Criteria 1 has been met. The Village recognizes **one legally established dwelling unit and 3,456 square feet of non-residential floor area** on Property 3.

In summary, the Village recognizes **two (2)** legally established market rate dwelling units and **3,456 square feet** of non-residential floor area.

This pre-application letter is based solely upon the information provided by the applicant and is only intended to familiarize the applicant with the provisions of the land development regulations currently in effect that may be applicable to the development project proposed by the applicant. The information provided herein is subject to change based upon the adoption of new policies or regulations, the repeal of existing policies or regulations, submission of additional information, or a determination that incomplete/inaccurate information or misstatements of fact were provided by the applicant. This letter is not a development approval, order or permit, and nothing contained herein shall be construed or interpreted as conveying, conferring, granting or denying any building, development or vested rights. This is not an administrative decision or interpretation regarding the provisions of the comprehensive plan or land development regulations. A final

decision or interpretation of the applicable policies and regulations cannot be made until the applicant submits a complete development application for review.

Pursuant to Section 30-281(a) of the Code of Ordinances: Any person aggrieved by an administrative decision or interpretation of the director of planning and development services, the building official or other village administrative official regarding the provisions of this chapter may appeal such decision or interpretation. The appeal shall be initiated within 30 days of the date of receipt by the aggrieved person of mailed, or posted, or published notice of the administrative decision or interpretation by filing an appeal with the director in a form specified by the planning and development services department for reviewing the application, and shall be accompanied by an application fee that is established by the village council from time to time to defray the actual cost of processing the appeal. Failure to file an appeal within the timeframe specified, and exhaust all administrative remedies provided for in this chapter, shall constitute a waiver of all rights to appeal any interpretation or determination made by the village.

If you require additional information, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Jamie Terry
Planning & Zoning Technician
86800 Overseas Highway
Islamorada, FL 33036
Telephone: (305) 664-6426
E-mail: jamie.terry@islamorada.fl.us

APPEAL TO THE GOVERNING COUNCIL OF ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

APPELANTS: Gino Bologna &	)
Piera Bologna	)
	)
	)
	)
	)

STATEMENT OF APPEAL AND INITIAL BRIEF

This appeal arises out of a pre-application letter submitted on June 7, 2024 ("LOU") issued by Ms. Jamie Terry - Planning & Zoning Technician following a pre-application conference held on January 3, 2024. For the reasons explained more fully below, such LOU makes an incorrect dwelling unit determination on the following properties:

- Parcel # 00403630-000000, Lot 13 located on Upper Matecumbe Key, FL ("Property 1").
- Parcel # 00403640-000000, Lot 14, located on Upper Matecumbe Key, FL ("Property 2").
- Parcel # 00403650-000000, Lot 15, located on Upper Matecumbe Key, FL ("Property 3").

A. The Elements of the Village Islamorada Code Sec. 30-473 (d) (2)(a) and (b) have been met

First, it is noted that Sec 30-473 (b)1 provides as follows:

Type of residential development not affected.

1. Redevelopment or rehabilitation which replaces, but which does not increase the number of legally established residential dwelling units above

the number existing ***on the site*** prior to redevelopment or rehabilitation as determined pursuant to the criteria contained in subsection (d) below.

In this instance, the ***site*** consists, collectively, of Lots 13 and 14 of Ocean View and should be analyzed as such. Anna M. Howell, the former owner/operator of Howells Trailer Park operated both of such lots as Howells Trailer Park, and we have demonstrated, unequivocally, Howells was licensed to operate as such by the Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services ("Dept. of Health") as early as 1977 (copy enclosed). That license also reveals that the park was authorized to have six (6) mobile homes on the site. We also know that the six (6) mobile home units are separate from the four (4) apartments being operated in the structure located on Lot 14 as the operation of those units had to be and were licensed by the State of Florida, Department of Business and Professional Regulation, Division of Hotels and Restaurants ("DPBR"). A copy of the license issued for the apartment units dated 10/01/1983 is also enclosed[1]. Thus, the evidence is clear at that the time the current owner purchased the site, there were 10 units on the ***site*** (Lots 13 and 14): six (6) mobile homes and four (4) apartments, and these units were undeniably established no later than 1983[2]. This is confirmed by the billing records research provided by the Florida Keys Electric Cooperative (copy enclosed) which revealed there has been a total of ten (10) individual electric meters in service at the above site since at least 1988, the year the current owner purchased the property[3].

Although the LOU states "there is clear evidence of two (2) mobile homes on Lot 13 prior to 1990 (pg. 6 of 11), we respectfully submit the provided property card and other documents clearly reflect three (3) mobile homes existed on that

[1] The subdivision of the building into multiple smaller dwelling units did not require any "allocations" or "development rights" since it pre-dated the establishment of ROGO and was an allowable use in the zoning district as an accessory use to the commercial operation, Howells Junction.

[2] This is not to say the units were not licensed prior to this date; however, records related to this period are not available. The enclosed record was in possession of the current owner.

[3] As noted above, Property 3 was not acquired until 1999, and the Florida Keys Electric Co-Op has confirmed that property is separately metered. A copy of the confirming e-mail is enclosed.

site prior to 1990. The 1990 property card for Lot 13 (copy enclosed) reflects there were three (3) "buildings" on the property (the data sheet for building 1 of 3 was not provided by the property appraiser's office and is not otherwise available). Additionally, the 1985 aerial photograph (copy enclosed) reveals there were three (3) mobile homes on Property 1 in 1985.

With respect to Property 2, which is also part of the Howells Trailer Park, as noted in the LOU (p. 8 of 11), "[t]here is clear evidence of one SFR, one garage addition and 3 mobile homes prior to and just after 1990".

In short, the property cards taken for the 1990 tax roll confirm the existence of three (3) mobile homes on Property 1 as do the aerial photographs. Additionally, the aerial photographs of Property 2 taken in 1975, 1985 and post 1990 (1997) confirm the building, garage and three (3) mobile homes existed on Property 2 as early as 1975. This evidence is corroborated by the total units set forth in the licenses issued to Howells Junction by the Department of Health (six (6) mobile homes), and DBPR (license for the operation of four (4) apartments). These conclusions are further substantiated by the billing data provided by the Florida Keys Electric Co-Operative which stated there were ten (10) separately metered electric connections as early as 1988 (the year the current owner purchased the property).

Clearly, the elements of Sec 30-473 (d) (2)(a) and (b) have been met.

B. The Operations Held At The Site Were Allowed Under Both The 1963 1986 Zoning In Compliance With The Village Islamorada Code Sec. 30-473(d)(2)(c)

With respect to Sec 30-473(d)(2)(c), such section requires a determination by the Village that the use could have been permitted under the zoning and building codes in effect at the time the structure was constructed or the business established. Per the LOU, the zoning history for the site is as follows:

> **1963 Zoning:** BU-2 (Medium Business District) Commercial use as a primary use – living quarters for watchmen and caretakers only permitted as an accessory use. Prohibited any residential use.

1986 Zoning: SC (Sub Urban Commercial District) Commercial uses as a primary use –
apartments involving less than six dwelling units in conjunction with a permitted commercial use.

As noted earlier, the evidence provided included a 1977 license to operate Howells Junction, a trailer park, issued by the Florida Dept. of Health, and a Monroe County occupational license issued in 1983 for the operation of Howells Junction. The Monroe County license reflects t*he business* employed some 5 persons (see enclosed copy). Accordingly, it is clear the ***site*** and both parcels which make up the ***site*** were used for a commercial purpose: the operation of Howells Junction, a trailer park licensed by the State of Florida. This commercial operation was an allowable commercial use under both the 1963 zoning and the 1986 zoning. Likewise, because the parcels were both used for a commercial purpose, the apartments licensed by the State of Florida were also an allowable use under both the 1963 zoning and the 1986 zoning.

C. The Village Islamorada Code Sec. 30-473 (d) (3) Does Not Apply To The Instant Case

The requirements of subsection (3) do not apply to the applicant. Specifically, subsection (3) provides in pertinent part:

> (3) Additional information shall be required to establish the number of units for mobile homes or recreational vehicles ("RVs") *if such units are located in either a mobile home park or RV park*; including:
>
> a. The number of mobile homes and RVs approved by the State of Florida Department of Health as shown on the approved prospectus or any other documents on file with the State of Florida Department of Health for either the mobile home park or RV park;
> b. Notwithstanding the number of mobile homes and RVs provided in subsection a., the total units recognized shall not exceed the number of mobile home spaces as shown in chapter 3 of the village comprehensive plan data inventory and analysis; and
>
> c. A demolition permit(s) for each mobile home that has been removed.

In 1990, Howells Junction apparently did not have a current license to operate as a mobile home or RV park which is precisely why it was not recognized in the inventory of mobile home spaces as contained in chapter 3 of the Village Comprehensive Plan. Furthermore, since it was not licensed as such for that year, the provision has no application since it's apparent that requirement only related to then licensed mobile home and RV parks.

This does not change the outcome, however, since all of the mobile homes were and/or "could have been permitted under the zoning and building codes in effect for the parcel at the time ***the structure was constructed or the business established***". Sec. 30-473 (d)(2)(c). As demonstrated by all of the above, there is no doubt the operation of Howells Junction, which consisted of both Property 1 and Property 2, was a lawful and permissible use under the applicable zoning when the mobile homes and structure were constructed and the business (Howells Junction) was established and had existed there for many years prior to 1990.

D. The Village Of Islamorada Is Estopped From Pursuing With The Determinations Contained In The LOU

In addition to the foregoing, the Village is estopped from taking enforcement action against the Appellant and/or acknowledging the long established development on the site. The elements of estoppel are: (1) the party against whom estoppel is sought must have made a representation about a material fact that is contrary to a position it later asserts; (2) the party claiming estoppel must have relied on that representation; and (3) the party seeking estoppel must have changed his position to his detriment based on the representation and his reliance on it. *Lewis v. Dep't of Health & Rehab. Servs.,* 659 So.2d 1255, 1256–57 (Fla. 4th DCA 1995).

As stated in *Castro v. Miami-Dade County Code Enforcement*:

> Stripped of the legal jargon which lawyers and judges have obfuscated it with, the theory of estoppel amounts

> to nothing more than an application of the rules of fair play. One party will not be permitted to invite another onto a welcome mat and then be permitted to snatch the mat away to the detriment of the party induced or permitted to stand thereon. A citizen is entitled to rely on the assurances or commitments of a zoning authority and if he does, the zoning authority is bound by its representations, whether they be in the form of words or deeds....

Castro v. Miami-Dade Cnty. Code Enforcement, 967 So. 2d 230, 234 (Fla. 3rd DCA 2007), quoting *Coral Springs St. Sys.,* 371 F.3d at 1334-35 (quoting *Imperial Homes,* 309 So.2d at 573)(applying Florida law); *Equity Res.,* 643 So.2d at 1119-20 (quoting *Imperial Homes,* 309 So.2d at 573).

In this instance, the rules of fair play mandate the Village recognize the lawfully established development rights of the Appellant since it lulled the Appellants into believing the development on the property was lawful through its conduct, specifically, on August 30, 2018, the building official specifically approved a site plan for the property. Such site plan, which is attached for your reference, explicitly designates (seven) 7 trailers and a separate apartment building as part of the approved layout. In reliance on that approval from the Village, the Appellants spent significant funds of money to add the required wastewater connections to the Village wastewater system; money it would never have expended has it known the Village would later claim the units serviced by the wastewater connections were not lawful and had to be removed. Additionally, the Wastewater Utility Department has historically collectively 17.21 EDUs for all three (3) properties and has assessed (and continues to assess) over $177,000.00 based upon occupancy.

By refusing to recognize the historical development rights associated with the subject site, the Village is trying to do precisely what the Third District said it was prohibited from doing in the *Castro* decision: it invited the Appellants onto the welcome mat by demanding and approving the Appellants connect to the Village wastewater system, only to now try and snatch the mat away after the

Appellants expended significant funds complying with the Village's prior mandate.

CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing, we respectfully request the LOU be reversed to allow for the following:

(1) Property 1 (Lot 13): three (3) legally established market rate residential dwelling units;
(2) Property 2 (Lot 14): seven (7) legally established market rate residential dwelling units (four (4) apartments and three (3) mobile homes); and
(3) Property 3: two (2) legally established market rate dwelling units on and 3456 square feet of non-residential floor area

Dated: July 8, 2024

Respectfully Submitted,

Hershoff, Lupino & Yagel, LLP
90130 Old Highway
Tavernier, FL 33070
(305) 852-8440 B
By: */s/ Joanne Marie Pereira*
JOANNE M. PEREIRA, ESQ
Florida Bar No. 1015381
RUSSELL A. YAGEL
Florida Bar No. 727090

State of Florida
Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA

OPERATING PERMIT

County: Monroe
Permit No. 44-90-77

This certifies that Trailer Park

Name Howells Jct.
Address of Location M.M. 83, Islamorada, Fl. 33036
Owner or Operator Charles & Anna M. Howells
Address P.O. Box 451, Islamorada, Fl. 33036
STREET AND NO. P.O. BOX OR ROUTE

has been inspected by the undersigned, a duly authorized representative of the Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services and found to conform to the Health Laws and Regulations promulgated under authority of Chapters 381 and 513, Florida Statutes, where applicable.

This permit grants authority to operate the establishment as long as the Health Laws and Regulations of the Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services are observed. This permit is revokable at any time by any authorized representative of this Department for failure to properly operate the same.

6-Independent Mobile Homes

Approval shall be obtained from the County Health Department and Dept. of Health & Rehabilitative Services prior to any increase in number of spaces or other extensions or alterations of this trailer park. (Ref: 513.05 FS and ~~10D-25.33 F.A.C.~~)

Granted this 4th day of November 19 77.

Monroe County Health Department

per _____

Mae B. Rotheuse
District Administrator

THIS PERMIT IS NOT TRANSFERABLE AND IT MUST BE DISPLAYED CONSPICUOUSLY.

DBR 203003294

LICENSE NUMBER 54 00102H

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS REGULATION
DIVISION OF HOTELS AND RESTAURANTS TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301

LICENSE

LODGING	
CLASS	UNITS
APT	4

FOOD SERVICE	
SEATS	SERVICES

HEP FEE $ 3.00
LICENSE FEE $ 30.00
LATE RENEWAL $ .00

TOTAL FEE PAID $ 33.00

ISSUED TO
HOWELLS CHARLES & ANNA M
HOWELLS JCT
OVERSEAS HWY
ISLAMORADA FL 33036

MAILED TO
CHARLES & ANNA M HOWELLS
PO BOX 451
ISLAMORADA FL 33036

EXPIRATION DATE 10/01/83

Sherman S. Winn

Sherman S. Winn, Director

DISPLAY IN A CONSPICUOUS PLACE
THIS LICENSE IS NOT TRANSFERABLE FROM PLACE OR INDIVIDUAL TO ANOTHER

AUDIT CONTROL NO 23654

X 013776

COUNTY OCCUPATIONAL LICENSE 1986-1987
MONROE COUNTY-STATE OF FLORIDA
THIS LICENSE EXPIRES SEPTEMBER 30, 1987

471-147-07
NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES: 5
ENTER CURRENT NBR OF EMPLOYEES HERE: _____

TAX 22.00
COST AND PENALTY
TRANSFER FEE
TOTAL DUE 22.00

STATE CERTIFICATE NUMBER _____

MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO
HARRY F. KNIGHT
TAX COLLECTOR 294-8403
P.O. BOX 1129
KEY WEST, FL 33041-1129

LICENSEE:
HOWELLS JCT
HOWELLS< CHARLES & ANNA M
BOX 451
ISLAMORADA, FL 33036

PLEASE SEE BACK OF FORM

LOCATION: US #1 MM 83

THE ABOVE LICENSEE IS HEREBY LICENSED TO ENGAGE IN THE BUSINESS
PROFESSION OR OCCUPATION OF :
TRAILER PARK SERV

HFK/gkb

THIS FORM BECOMES A RECEIPT ONLY WHEN VALIDATED BY RECEIPTING MACHINE SHOWING TRANSACTION NUMBER, DATE, AND AMOUNT PAID.

ACCOUNT NUMBER IS 02441

PAID*HARRY F.KNIGHT 22.00 CK 09/03/86 19*OC*06*000000*016609

THIS LICENSE MUST BE POSTED CONSPICUOUSLY IN YOUR PLACE OF BUSINESS

THIS LICENSE IS FURNISHED IN PURSUANCE OF FLORIDA STATUTES

From: Veronica Ornelas
To: Vicky Velunza
Subject: Billing Records Research
Date: Tuesday, August 11, 2020 12:33:50 PM
Attachments: image001.jpg

Re: FKEC Billing Records Research Request
82929 Overseas Hwy (Ocean View B1L14)

Good afternoon,

Please find this email as verification that our billing records indicate there is a total of ten (10) individual electric meters in service at the above referenced location dating back 1988.

Feel free to contact me if you need any additional assistance.

Sincerely,

Veronica Ornelas
Member Service Representative

Florida Keys Electric Cooperative Assoc., Inc.
P.O. Box 377
Tavernier FL 33070
305.852.2431 x 216
www.fkec.com



Alternate Key	PARCEL — ACCOUNT	SEC.	TWP	RGE
1495948	00403630-000000			

Subdivision OCEAN VIEW | Lot 13 | Block Sq. 1

P.C.	New Construction	Re-Sketch Existing Bldg.	Bldg. Sketch No Change	See Misc. Improvements	
02	X				

N FLA 32/32 N

FIELD NOTES

SITS ON HWY FRONTAGE US#1
CLASSIC

BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS — SECTIONS

Type	Section I.D. Number	Exterior Wall Type	Number Stories	Roll Year	Finished Attic ("Y" if Yes)	Ground Floor Area
		08	01	90		

BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS

Building Number 2 of 3

Quality Grade: 300

Improvement Type: R1 (R1 Single Family, R2 Duplex, R3 Triplex, R4 Quadplex)

Effective Age Group

Residential		Mobile Home *
0 - 4 years	1	0 - 3 yrs.
5 - 12 years	2	4 - 6 yrs.
13 - 20 years	3	7 - 9 yrs.
21 - 40 years	X 4	10 - 12 yrs.
41 - 50 years	5	13 - 15 yrs.
51 + years	6	16 - 20 yrs.

Actual Year Built: 1983

— Functional Obsolescence: % (Explain In Notes)

Condition: G Good, A Average, P Poor — A

SPECIAL ARCHITECTURAL CODES

Mobile Home: 6 (6) | Multi Family: 1

— Locational Obsolescence: % (Explain In Notes)

Appraiser: 017

Date of Appraisal: MONTH 04 DAY 04 YEAR 90

Perimeter:

BUILDING REFINEMENTS

Roof Type: 01
1 Flat or Shed, 2 Gable or Hip, 3 Mansard, 4 Irregular - Custom, 5 Reinforced Concrete, 6 Prestress Concrete, 7 Steel Truss

Heating Type/Method: 0
1 None, 2 Wall Heaters, 3 Floor Furnace, 4 Convection, 5 Forced Air Non-Ducted, 6 Forced Air Ducted, 7 Electric Radiant, 8 Hot Water/Steam

Foundation: 3
1 Wood Piers, 2 Concrete Pads - Wood, 3 Concrete Block, 4 Concrete Slab, 5 Continuous Conc. Footer, 6 Conc. Pilings - Auger Holes

Roof Cover: 02
1 Asphalt/Composition Rolled, 2 Metal, 3 Asphalt/Composition Shingle, 4 Tar & Gravel, 5 Wood Shingle, 6 Concrete/Clay Tile, 7 Minimum

Heating Fuel/Source: 0
1 Electric, 2 Gas, 3 Oil, 4 None, 5 Solar

Number of Fireplaces:

Interior Flooring: 01
1 Plywood - Press Board, 2 Softwood - Hardwood, 3 Concrete Slab, 4 Concrete Above Grade, 5 Terrazzo, 6 Ceramic or Clay Tile, 7 Marble

Interior Wall/Ceiling: 02
1 Masonary - Minimum, 2 Wall Board, 3 Dry Wall, 4 Plastered, 5 Plywood Panel, 6 Wood or Custom

Number of Bedrooms: 01

Air Conditioning ("Y" if Yes): Yes Y

Baths: 4 Fixtures | 3 Fixtures: 1 | 2 Fixture | Extra Fixture: 3

Built-Ins ("Y" if Yes): Range/Oven | Dishwasher | Garbage Disposal | Intercom | Compactor | Vacuum System | Security

List Misc. Improvements No Existing Misc.	Add to Misc. Improvements	Delete Existing Misc. Improvements Add New Data	X Indicates Flat Value	

MISCELLANEOUS IMPROVEMENTS

Type Code	X If Notes	Number of Units	Unit Type	Economic Life	Year Built	Grade	Length	Width	Depreciated Value

No Data

FIELD NOTES

Appraiser

Date of Appraisal: MONTH | DAY | YEAR

NOTES

RECHECK ON THIS ENTIRE PARCEL

BUILDING PERMITS

D.P. Number	Permit Number	Date Completed	Date Issued	Amount	Description of Construction

FOR REFERENCE ONLY



OCEAN VIEW LOT 13 Sq. 1



00403630 4-11-90

Alternate Key	PARCEL — ACCOUNT	SEC.	TWP	RGE
1495948	00403630—			

Subdivision OCEAN VIEW | Lot 13 | ~~Block~~ SQ 1

P.C.	New Construction	Re-Sketch Existing Bldg.	Bldg. Sketch No Change	See Misc. Improvements	
02	X				

IN FLA 39 39 12

BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS

Building Number 3 of 3

Quality Grade: 300

Improvement Type (R1 Single Family, R2 Duplex, R3 Triplex, R4 Quadplex): R1

Effective Age Group

Residential		Mobile Home *
0 - 4 years	1	0 - 3 yrs.
5 - 12 years	2	4 - 6 yrs.
13 - 20 years	3	7 - 9 yrs.
21 - 40 years	X 4	10 - 12 yrs.
41 - 50 years	5	13 - 15 yrs.
51 + years	6	16 - 20 yrs.

Actual Year Built: 1960

— Functional Obsolescence: % (Explain In Notes)

SPECIAL ARCHITECTURAL CODES

Condition (G Good, A Average, P Poor): A

Mobile Home: 6 (6)

Multi Family: 1

— Locational Obsolescence: % (Explain In Notes)

Appraiser: 017

Date of Appraisal: MONTH 04 DAY 04 YEAR 90

Perimeter:

BUILDING REFINEMENTS

Roof Type (1 Flat or Shed, 2 Gable or Hip, 3 Mansard, 4 Irregular - Custom, 5 Reinforced Concrete, 6 Prestress Concrete, 7 Steel Truss): 01

Heating Type/Method (1 None, 2 Wall Heaters, 3 Floor Furnace, 4 Convection, 5 Forced Air Non-Ducted, 6 Forced Air Ducted, 7 Electric Radiant, 8 Hot Water/Steam): 0

Foundation (1 Wood Piers, 2 Concrete Pads - Wood, 3 Concrete Block, 4 Concrete Slab, 5 Continuous Conc. Footer, 6 Conc. Pilings - Auger Holes): 3

Roof Cover (1 Asphalt/Composition Rolled, 2 Metal, 3 Asphalt/Composition Shingle, 4 Tar & Gravel, 5 Wood Shingle, 6 Concrete/Clay Tile, 7 Minimum): 02

Heating Fuel/Source (1 Electric, 2 Gas, 3 Oil, 4 None, 5 Solar): 0

Number of Fireplaces:

Interior Wall/Ceiling (1 Masonary - Minimum, 2 Wall Board, 3 Dry Wall, 4 Plastered, 5 Plywood Panel, 6 Wood or Custom): 02

Interior Flooring (1 Plywood - Press Board, 2 Softwood - Hardwood, 3 Concrete Slab, 4 Concrete Above Grade, 5 Terrazzo, 6 Ceramic or Clay Tile, 7 Marble): 01

Number of Bedrooms: 1

Air Conditioning: No ("Y" if Yes)

Baths: 4 Fixtures | 3 Fixtures: 01 | 2 Fixture | Extra Fixture: 03

Built-Ins ("Y" if Yes): Range/Oven | Garbage Disposal | Compactor | Security | Dishwasher | Intercom | Vacuum System

FIELD NOTES

UNIT IS CALLED FAMILY HOUSING

BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS — SECTIONS

Section Type	Section I.D. Number	Exterior Wall Type	Number Stories	Roll Year	Finished Attic ("Y" if Yes)	Ground Floor Area
		08	01	90		

List Misc. Improvements No Existing Misc.	Add to Misc. Improvements	Delete Existing Misc. Improvements Add New Data	X Indicates Flat Value	

MISCELLANEOUS IMPROVEMENTS

Type Code	X If Notes	Number of Units	Unit Type	Economic Life	Year Built	Grade	Length	Width	Depreciated Value

No Data

FIELD NOTES

Appraiser

Date of Appraisal: MONTH | DAY | YEAR

NOTES

BUILDING PERMITS

D.P. Number	Permit Number	Date Completed	Date Issued	Amount	Description of Construction

FOR REFERENCE ONLY






238_1985.jpg
MH Unit # 1
MH Unit # 6
Structure
MH Unit #5
MH Unit #2
MH Unit 4
MH Unit # 3
88%

From: Member Service
To: Theresa Davis
Cc: Veronica Ornelas
Subject: FKEC - Property records request 82935 Overseas Highway
Date: Monday, June 24, 2024 11:19:08 AM
Attachments: image003.png

Hi Theresa,

I can confirm that there is a singular separate meter located on the property at 82935 Overseas Highway, Islamorada FL.

If you require more information, we can access our archived records as we clear our software system every couple of years.

Sincerely,

Alie Garcia
Member Service Representative

Florida Keys Electric Cooperative Assoc., Inc.
P.O. Box 377
Tavernier, FL 33070
(305) 852-2431 ext. 217
(305) 433-9316 Direct
(305) 853-8381 Fax
www.fkec.com

From: Theresa Davis <tdavis@hlylaw.com>
Sent: Monday, June 24, 2024 10:11 AM
To: Alie Garcia <alie.garcia@fkec.com>
Subject: [External]82935 Overseas Highway

You don't often get email from tdavis@hlylaw.com. Learn why this is important

This is an EXTERNAL email. Exercise caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email.

Good morning Alie,

I left you a voicemail about this request as well.

We would like to make a public records request as follows:

We need something in writing from FKEC confirming the property at 82935 Overseas Highway, Islamorada, FL is on a separate account or has separate meters from 82929 Overseas Hwy and further we would like to know how many separate meters there are for the 82935 property?

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thank you.


Theresa L. Davis
Legal Assistant to
Russell A. Yagel, Esq.

HL&Y
Hershoff, Lupino & Yagel, LLP
Attorneys at Law
88539 Overseas Highway
Tavernier, FL 33070
(305) 852-8440
tdavis@hlylaw.com

[redacted]

Russell A. Yagel, Esq.
ryagel@hlylaw.com
Hershoff, Lupino & Yagel, LLP
88539 Overseas Highway
Tavernier, Fl. 33070
Phone: 305-852-8440
Fax: 305-852-8848

Untitled



Created with Timeline Maker Pro v4. Produced on Jun 24 2024.

PROPERTY OWNER / ADDRESS:
BOLOGNA GINO S AND PIERA
PO BOX 451
ISLAMORADA, FL. 33036

PROJECT LOCATION:
82929 OVERSEAS HIGHWAY
ISLAMORADA, FLORIDA

RE# - 00403640-000000
ALTERNATE KEY - 1495956

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:
OCEAN VIEW UPPER MATECUMBE LOT 14 SQR 1, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 1, PAGE 193, AS RECORDED IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MONROE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

FLOOD ZONE CRITERIA:
FLOOD ZONE - AE
BASE FLOOD ELEVATION - 10.0 (NGVD 29)

SCOPE OF WORK:
1. CENTRAL SEWER TIE IN CONNECTION


LEGEND:

BACKFLOW VALVE:	⊗
CLEANOUT:	○
EXISTING 4" SEWER LINE:	-----
NEW 6"/8" SEWER LINE:	———

LOCATION OF PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM MAINS
IN ACCORDANCE WITH F.A.C. RULE 62-555.31

OTHER PIPE	HORIZONTAL SEPARATION	CROSSING (1)	JOINT SPACING @ CROSSING (FULL JOINT CENTERED)
STORM SEWER, STORMWATER FORCE MAIN, RECLAIMED WATER (2)	WATER MAIN 1½' MIN.	WATER MAIN 10" IS THE MIN EXCEPT FOR STORM SEWER, THEN 6" IS MIN., 12" IS PREFERRED	3' MIN. WATER MAIN
VACUUM SANITARY SEWER	WATER MAIN 10' PREFERRED 3' MIN.	WATER MAIN 12" PREFERRED 6" MIN.	3' MIN. WATER MAIN
GRAVITY OR PRESSURE SANITARY SEWER, SANITARY SEWER FORCE MAIN RECLAIMED WATER (4)	WATER MAIN 10' PREFERRED 6' MIN. (3)	WATER MAIN 12" IS THE MIN EXCEPT FOR GRAVITY SEWER, THEN 6" IS MIN., 12" IS PREFERRED	3' MIN. WATER MAIN
ON-SITE SEWAGE TREATMENT & DISPOSAL SYSTEM	10' MIN.	—	—

NOTES:
1. WATER MAIN SHOULD CROSS ABOVE OTHER PIPE WHEN MAIN MUST BE BELOW OTHER PIPE, THE MIN SEPARATION IS 12".
2. RECLAIMESD WATER REGULATED UNDER PART II OF CHAPTER 62-610 F.A.C.
3. THREE FEET FOR GRAVITY SANITARY SEWER WHERE THE BOTTOM OF THE WATER MAIN IS LAID AT LEAST 6" ABOVE THE TOP OF GRAVITY SEWER LINE.
4. RECLAIMED WATER NOT REGULATED UNDER PART III OF CHAPTER 62-610 F.A.C.
5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION UNDER PARAGRAPH 3g OF 62-604.500 F.A.C.



SITE PLAN
SCALE @ 1" = 20'-0"

US-1 OVERSEAS HIGHWAY
CENTERLINE

JOB COPY
APPROVED PLANS TO BE AT JOB SITE FOR REQUIRED INSPECTIONS.

APPROVED
FOR CONSTRUCTION
PERMIT NO. 20180691
VILLAGE OF ISLAMORADA
6/30/18
BUILDING OFFICIAL DATE
PLAN APPROVAL DOES NOT AUTHORIZE VIOLATION OF ANY TECHNICAL CODES, LOCAL, STATE, OR FEDERAL LAWS

NOTICE
ALL PERMITS REQUIRE A FINAL INSPECTION.
FAILURE TO OBTAIN A FINAL INSPECTION WILL RESULT IN CODE ENFORCEMENT ACTION

10/22/18

KEYS ENGINEERING
DARYLE L. OSBORN
P.E. No. FL 21428
91700 SUITE "I" OVERSEAS HIGHWAY
TAVERNIER, FLORIDA 33070 - PH. (305) 852-0162

No.	REVISION	DATE
0	READY FOR PERMITTING	5/18/2016
1	REVISION 1	10/10/2016
2	REVISION 2	12/13/2016
3	REVISION 3	10/22/2018

SCALE: AS SHOWN
DATE: 5/10/2016
DWG BY: A.S.
REVISED: J.A.
BIDS DATE:
ACAD FILE:

TITLE: SITE PLAN
PROJECT: BOLOGNA RESIDENCE
82929 OVERSEAS HIGHWAY
ISLAMORADA, FLORIDA

DRAWING No: C-1
PROJECT No: D-16-108

JOB COPY
APPROVED PLANS TO BE AT JOB SITE FOR REQUIRED INSPECTIONS.

APPROVED
FOR CONSTRUCTION
VILLAGE OF ISLAMORADA
PERMIT NO. 20180816 91
BUILDING OFFICIAL
DATE 10/30/18
PLAN APPROVAL DOES NOT AUTHORIZE VIOLATION OF ANY TECHNICAL CODES, LOCAL, STATE, OR FEDERAL LAWS

NOTICE
ALL PERMITS REQUIRE A FINAL INSPECTION.
FAILURE TO OBTAIN A FINAL INSPECTION WILL RESULT IN CODE ENFORCEMENT ACTION

PROPERTY OWNER / ADDRESS:
BOLOGNA GINO S AND PIERA
PO BOX 451
ISLAMORADA, FL. 33036

PROJECT LOCATION:
82929 OVERSEAS HIGHWAY
ISLAMORADA, FLORIDA

RE# - 00403640-000000
ALTERNATE KEY - 1495956


LEGAL DESCRIPTION:
OCEAN VIEW UPPER MATECUMBE LOT 14 SQR 1, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 1, PAGE 193, AS RECORDED IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MONROE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

FLOOD ZONE CRITERIA:
FLOOD ZONE - AE
BASE FLOOD ELEVATION - 10.0 (NGVD 29)

SCOPE OF WORK:
1. CENTRAL SEWER TIE IN CONNECTION

LEGEND:

BACKFLOW VALVE:	⊗
CLEANOUT:	○
EXISTING 4" SEWER LINE:	-----
NEW 6"/8" SEWER LINE:	———



SITE PLAN
SCALE @ 1" = 20'-0"

LOCATION OF PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM MAINS IN ACCORDANCE WITH F.A.C. RULE 62-555.31

OTHER PIPE	HORIZONTAL SEPARATION	CROSSING (1)	JOINT SPACING @ CROSSING (FULL JOINT CENTERED)
STORM SEWER, STORMWATER FORCE MAIN, RECLAIMED WATER (2)	WATER MAIN ¼" MIN.	WATER MAIN 18" IS THE MIN EXCEPT FOR STORM SEWER, THEN 6" IS MIN., 12" IS PREFERRED	3' MIN. WATER MAIN
VACUUM SANITARY SEWER	WATER MAIN 10' PREFERRED 3' MIN.	WATER MAIN 12" PREFERRED 6" MIN.	3' MIN. WATER MAIN
GRAVITY OR PRESSURE SANITARY SEWER, SANITARY SEWER FORCE MAIN RECLAIMED WATER (4)	WATER MAIN 10' PREFERRED 6' MIN. (3)	WATER MAIN 12" IS THE MIN EXCEPT FOR GRAVITY SEWER, THEN 6" IS MIN., 12" IS PREFERRED	3' MIN. WATER MAIN
ON-SITE SEWAGE TREATMENT & DISPOSAL SYSTEM	10' MIN.	—	—

NOTES:
1. WATER MAIN SHOULD CROSS ABOVE OTHER PIPE WHEN MAIN MUST BE BELOW OTHER PIPE, THE MIN SEPARATION IS 12".
2. RECLAIMESD WATER REGULATED UNDER PART II OF CHAPTER 62-610 F.A.C.
3. THREE FEET FOR GRAVITY SANITARY SEWER WHERE THE BOTTOM OF THE WATER MAIN IS LAID AT LEAST 6" ABOVE THE TOP OF GRAVITY SEWER LINE.
4. RECLAIMED WATER NOT REGULATED UNDER PART III OF CHAPTER 62-610 F.A.C.
5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION UNDER PARAGRAPH 3g OF 62-604.500 F.A.C.

10/24/18

KEYS ENGINEERING
DARYLE L. OSBORN
P.E. No. FL 21428
91700 SUITE 9 OVERSEAS HIGHWAY
TAVERNIER, FLORIDA 33070 - PH. (305) 852-0262

No.	REVISION	DATE
0	READY FOR PERMITTING	5/19/2016
1	REVISION 1	10/10/2016
2	REVISION 2	11/13/2016
3	REVISION 3	10/22/2018

SCALE: AS SHOWN
DATE: 5/19/2016
DWG BY: A.S.
REVISED: J.A.
BIDS DATE:
ACAD FILE:

TITLE: SITE PLAN
PROJECT: BOLOGNA RESIDENCE
82929 OVERSEAS HIGHWAY
ISLAMORADA, FLORIDA

DRAWING No: C-1
PROJECT No: D-16-108

From: Veronica Ornelas
To: Vicky Velunza
Subject: Billing Records Research
Date: Tuesday, August 11, 2020 12:33:50 PM
Attachments: image001.jpg

Re: FKEC Billing Records Research Request
82929 Overseas Hwy (Ocean View B1L14)

Good afternoon,

Please find this email as verification that our billing records indicate there is a total of ten (10) individual electric meters in service at the above referenced location dating back 1988.

Feel free to contact me if you need any additional assistance.

Sincerely,

Veronica Ornelas
Member Service Representative

Florida Keys Electric Cooperative Assoc., Inc.
P.O. Box 377
Tavernier FL 33070
305.852.2431 x 216
www.fkec.com



From: Veronica Ornelas
To: Vicky Velunza
Subject: Billing Records Research
Date: Tuesday, August 11, 2020 12:33:50 PM
Attachments: image001.jpg

Re: FKEC Billing Records Research Request
82929 Overseas Hwy (Ocean View B1L14)

Good afternoon,

Please find this email as verification that our billing records indicate there is a total of ten (10) individual electric meters in service at the above referenced location dating back 1988.

Feel free to contact me if you need any additional assistance.

Sincerely,

Veronica Ornelas
Member Service Representative

Florida Keys Electric Cooperative Assoc., Inc.
P.O. Box 377
Tavernier FL 33070
305.852.2431 x 216
www.fkec.com



600
825.00

524920 OFF REC 1040 PAGE 2477

This instrument was prepared by:
ANDREW M. TOBIN
Attorney at Law
P.O. Box 620
TAVERNIER, FLORIDA 33070

Warranty Deed (STATUTORY FORM—SECTION 689.02 F.S.)

This Indenture, Made this 3rd day of February 19 88, **Between**

ANNA M. HOWELLS, an unremarried widow,

of the County of Monroe, State of Florida, grantor*, and

GINO S. BOLOGNA,

whose post office address is P.O. Box 46, Islamorada, Florida 33036

of the County of Monroe, State of Florida, grantee*,

Witnesseth, That said grantor, for and in consideration of the sum of Ten and no/100 Dollars----------($10.00)---------- Dollars, and other good and valuable considerations to said grantor in hand paid by said grantee, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained and sold to the said grantee, and grantee's heirs and assigns forever, the following described land, situate, lying and being in Monroe County, Florida, to-wit:

Lots 13 and 14, Block 1, OCEAN VIEW, a subdivision of Upper Matecumbe, Florida, the same being part of Lots 2 and 3, in Section 28, Township 63 South, Range 37 East, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 1, at Page 193, of the Public Records of Monroe County, Florida.

Subject to taxes for the current and subsequent years, easements for public utilities, restrictions of record, if any, and a purchase money first mortgage.

Doc Paid 825.00 Date 2-8-88
MONROE COUNTY
DANNY L. KOLHAGE, Clerk
By Linda T. Maduro

and said grantor does hereby fully warrant the title to said land, and will defend the same against the lawful claims of all persons whomsoever.

* "Grantor" and "grantee" are used for singular or plural, as context requires.

In Witness Whereof, Grantor has hereunto set grantor's hand and seal the day and year first above written.

Signed, sealed and delivered in our presence:

Marlene S. Weeks
Witness

Witness

Anna M Howells (Seal)
ANNA M. HOWELLS

(Seal)

(Seal)

(Seal)

FILED
DANNY L. KOLHAGE
MONROE CLERK
88 FEB -8 AM 8:45

STATE OF Florida
COUNTY OF Monroe

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this day before me, an officer duly qualified to take acknowledgments, personally appeared Anna M. Howells to me known to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged before me that she executed the same.

WITNESS my hand and official seal in the County and State last aforesaid this 3rd day of February, 19 88.

Marlene S. Weeks
Notary Public

My commission expires:

NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF FLORIDA
MY COMMISSION EXP. MAR. 5,1991
BONDED THRU GENERAL INS. UND.

(SEAL)

Recorded in Official Records Book
in Monroe County
Recorded
Clerk Circuit Court

Search for Permits

Search:

bologna GO!

Begin typing a permit number, address, Parcel number or name/address of a contact on the permit and we will search existing permits. If the item you are searching for appears, please select it from the list.

Permit Applications

Permit Number: PRBLD202300817
Permit Type: BUILDING
Status: Issued
Locations: 82929 OVERSEAS HWY
00403640-000000

Permit Status

Inspections can only be requested online for this permit application by contacts who are on the application. Please sign in if you are a contact on the application and want to request an inspection.

Permit Number: PRBLD202300562
Permit Type: BUILDING
Status: Returned for Correction
Locations: 82929 OVERSEAS HWY
00403640-000000

Permit Status

Inspections can only be requested online for this permit application by contacts who are on the application. Please sign in if you are a contact on the application and want to request an inspection.

Permit Number: PRELT201801796
Permit Type: ELECTRICAL
Status: Closed
Locations: 82929 OVERSEAS HWY
00403640-000000

Permit Status

Inspections can only be requested online for this permit application by contacts who are on the application. Please sign in if you are a contact on the application and want to request an inspection.

Permit Number: PRPLB201801691
Permit Type: PLUMBING
Status: Closed
Locations: 82929 OVERSEAS HWY
00403640-000000

Permit Status

Inspections can only be requested online for this permit application by contacts who are on the application. Please sign in if you are a contact on the application and want to request an inspection.

Permit Number: PRELT201701676
Permit Type: ELECTRICAL
Status: Closed
Locations: 82929 OVERSEAS HWY
00403640-000000

Permit Status

Inspections can only be requested online for this permit application by contacts who are on the application. Please sign in if you are a contact on the application and want to request an inspection.

Permit Number: PRSCP201601591
Permit Type: SEWER CONNECTION
Status: Closed
Locations: 82929 OLD HWY
00403640-000000

Permit Status

Inspections can only be requested online for this permit application by contacts who are on the application. Please sign in if you are a contact on the application and want to request an inspection.

Permit Number: PRSCP201601587
Permit Type: SEWER CONNECTION
Status: Closed
Locations: 82935 OVERSEAS HWY
00403650-000000

Permit Status

Inspections can only be requested online for this permit application by contacts who are on the application. Please sign in if you are a contact on the application and want to request an inspection.

Permit Number: ERE200800504

Permit Type: ELECTRICAL RESIDENTIAL

Status: Closed

Locations: 82929 OVERSEAS HWY
00403640-000000

Permit Status

Inspections can only be requested online for this permit application by contacts who are on the application. Please sign in if you are a contact on the application and want to request an inspection.

Permit Number: INR200800387

Permit Type: INTERIOR & EXTERIOR RENOVATION/RES

Status: Closed

Locations: 82929 OVERSEAS HWY
00403640-000000

Permit Status

Inspections can only be requested online for this permit application by contacts who are on the application. Please sign in if you are a contact on the application and want to request an inspection.

Permit Number: BRE200800329

Permit Type: BUILDING RESIDENTIAL

Status: Cancelled

Locations: 82929 OVERSEAS HWY
00403640-000000

Permit Status

Inspections can only be requested online for this permit application by contacts who are on the application. Please sign in if you are a contact on the application and want to request an inspection.

#003263

INITIATED BY _____

FKAA WORK ORDER

23408
WORK ORDER NO.

DP (CREW) 01 (MO) 06 (DAY) 93 (YR) DATE TO WORK

WORK DESCRIPTION: 9 NO. UNITS

ACCOUNT 03263 30 AREA 04 BOOK 066-4 PAGE 0465

GINO BOLOGNA/DBA/HOWELLS JCT
NAME

L13&14 B1 TRAILER PK MM82.9 O/S HWY
LOT BLK SUB DIVISION
OCEANVIEW, ISLAMORADA

STREET APT

CITY/KEY

MOVED TO OLD ROAD
LOCATION CODE

DELIVERED JAN 29 1993

- [ ] New Installation
- [ ] Turn-On
- [ ] Unlock Mtr
- [ ] Disc-In
- [ ] Tag No. 0043
- [ ] Reread Mtr
- [ ] Transfer to
- [ ] Turn-Off
- [ ] Refund Deposit
- [ ] Turn-Off Red-Active
- [ ] Lock Mtr
- [ ] Remove Mtr
- [ ] Read Only
- [ ] Collect Trip Off
- [ ] Water Used No Deposit
- [ ] Turn-On Newest
- [ ] Turn-Off
- [ ] Delinq. Turn-On
- Date
- From

DC

- [ ] Install Master Meter
- [ ] Change Tap Master Meter
- [ ] Repair Master Meter
- [ ] Repair Service Meter
- [ ] Other
- [X] Change Service Meter
- [ ] Service Relocation
- [ ] Replace Meter Box
- [ ] Replace Meter Lid
- [ ] Reinstall Customer Master Meter
- [ ] Remove Customer Master Meter
- [ ] Change Customer Master Meter
- [ ] Meter Test: Tandem
- [ ] Meter Test: Field

METER DATA

Removed Meter	Installed Meter
Maker: RK	Maker: KARK
Size: 5/8" Type: SR	Size: 5/8" Type: SR
Reading: 185316	Reading: 957750
Meter No.: 348200510	Meter No.: 33962848-9

KKXD

Customer Master Meter Pointer: NONE

B

NEW INSTALLATION

- [ ] Locate Meter Left Side
- [ ] Right Side
- [ ] Where Staked
- [ ] Septic Tank Located Left Side
- [ ] Right Side
- [ ] Other

CONSUMPTION DATA

Date _____ Reading _____ Consumption _____

Final Bill Date _____ Final Bill Reading _____

- [ ] Line Installation
- [ ] Hydrant Installation
- [ ] Distribution Line Reading
- [ ] Line Repair
- [ ] Hydrant Repair
- [ ] Special Inspection
- [ ] Service Line Repair

LEAK INVESTIGATION

- [ ] Transmission
- [ ] Distribution
- [ ] Service

Pipe Size: _____ Pipe Type: [ ] PVC [ ] CI [ ] AC [ ] Galv [ ] Copp [ ] TT [ ] DI [ ] Steel

Break Type: [ ] Hole [ ] Vertical Crack [ ] Lateral Crack

MAINTENANCE

- [ ] Transmission
- [ ] Distribution
- Code:
- [ ] Preventative
- [ ] Daily
- [ ] Weekly
- [ ] Monthly
- [ ] Semi-Annual
- [ ] Annual
- [ ] Unscheduled

DATA

- [ ] Leak Survey
- [ ] Locate Pipe
- [ ] Street Patch
- [ ] Sidewalk Patch
- [ ] Trouble Call
- [ ] Other

JAN 29 1993

Work Description: PROCESSING

~~1833033~~

9 UNITS - ✓

REMARKS: ROUTINE METER CHANGE RS

WORK ASSIGNMENT SUMMARY

ASSIGNED TO: _____

- [ ] Locked
- [ ] Sealed

1 (MO) 27 (DAY) 93 (YEAR) DATE COMPLETED

Monroe County Health Department
102050 Overseas Hwy Key Largo, FL 33037

PAYING ON: PERMIT #: 44-54-1459064 BILL DOC #:44-BID-3251660

RECEIVED FROM: Gino S Bologna AMOUNT PAID: $ 100.00

PAYMENT FORM: CREDIT CARD PAYMENT DATE: 08/30/2016

MAIL TO: **Gino Bologna**
571 Ocean Dr
Juno Beach, FL 33408

FACILITY NAME : Howells Junction Trailer Park

PROPERTY LOCATION:

82929 Overseas Hwy
Islamorada, FL 33036

Lot: _____ Block: _____

Property ID: _____

EXPLANATION or DESCRIPTION:	QUANTITY	FEE
1170 - MHP - Operating Permit	1	$ 100.00

RECEIVED BY: CoxCX AUDIT CONTROL NO. **44-PID-3059488**

Note: GINO BOLOGNA

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
Operating Permit

44-54-1459064

44-BID-2902864

Mobile Home Parks - Mobile Home Park

Issued To: Howells Junction Trailer Park
82929 Overseas Highway
Islamorada, FL 33036

Mail To: Gino Bologna
571 Ocean Drive
Juno Beach, FL 33408

County: **Monroe**
Amount Paid: $100.00
Date Paid: 01/22/2016
Issued Date: 10/01/2015
Permit Expires On: 09/30/2016

Issued By:
Department of Health in Monroe County

(305) 453-8750

Owner: Bologna, Gino

Mobile Home Spaces: 5

Recreational Vehicle Spaces: 3

Migrant Spaces: 0

Tent Spaces: 0

Total Beds: 0

Total Spaces for Billing: 8

Original Customer: Howells Junction Trailer Park (NON-TRANSFERABLE)

DISPLAY CERTIFICATE IN A CONSPICUOUS PLACE

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
Operating Permit

44-54-1459064

44-BID-2902864

Mobile Home Parks - Mobile Home Park

Issued To: Howells Junction Trailer Park
82929 Overseas Highway
Islamorada, FL 33036

Mail To: Gino Bologna
571 Ocean Drive
Juno Beach, FL 33408

County: **Monroe**
Amount Paid: $100.00
Date Paid: 01/22/2016
Issued Date: 10/01/2015
Permit Expires On: 09/30/2016

Issued By:
Department of Health in Monroe County

(305) 453-8750

Owner: Bologna, Gino

Monroe County Health Department
P.O. Box 6193 Key West, FL 33041

PAYING ON: PERMIT #: 44-54-1459064 BILL DOC #:44-BID-2902864

RECEIVED FROM: Gino S Bologna AMOUNT PAID: $ 100.00

PAYMENT FORM: CREDIT CARD PAYMENT DATE: 01/22/2016

MAIL TO: **Gino Bologna**
571 Ocean Dr
Juno Beach, FL 33408

FACILITY NAME : Howells Junction Trailer Park

PROPERTY LOCATION:

82929 Overseas Hwy
Islamorada, FL 33036

Lot: _____ Block: _____

Property ID: _____

EXPLANATION or DESCRIPTION:	QUANTITY	FEE
1170 - MHP - Operating Permit	1	$ 100.00

RECEIVED BY: Whitel AUDIT CONTROL NO. **44-PID-2881363**

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
Operating Permit

44-54-1459064

44-BID-2620097

Mobile Home Parks - Mobile Home Park

Issued To: Howells Junction Trailer Park
82929 Overseas Highway
Islamorada, FL 33036

Mail To: Gino Bologna
571 Ocean Drive
Juno Beach, FL 33408

County: **Monroe**
Amount Paid: $100.00
Date Paid: 08/28/2014
Issued Date: 10/01/2014
Permit Expires On: 09/30/2015

Issued By:
Department of Health in Monroe County

(305) 453-8750

Owner: Bologna, Gino

Mobile Home Spaces: 5

Recreational Vehicle Spaces: 3

Migrant Spaces: 0

Tent Spaces: 0

Total Beds: 0

Total Spaces for Billing: 8

Original Customer: Howells Junction Trailer Park (NON-TRANSFERABLE)

DISPLAY CERTIFICATE IN A CONSPICUOUS PLACE

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
Operating Permit

44-54-1459064

44-BID-2620097

Mobile Home Parks - Mobile Home Park

Issued To: Howells Junction Trailer Park
82929 Overseas Highway
Islamorada, FL 33036

Mail To: Gino Bologna
571 Ocean Drive
Juno Beach, FL 33408

County: **Monroe**
Amount Paid: $100.00
Date Paid: 08/28/2014
Issued Date: 10/01/2014
Permit Expires On: 09/30/2015

Issued By:
Department of Health in Monroe County

(305) 453-8750

Owner: Bologna, Gino

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
Operating Permit

44-54-1459064

44-BID-2254179

Mobile Home Parks - Mobile Home Park

Issued To: Howells Junction Trailer Park
82929 Overseas Highway
Islamorada, FL 33036

Mail To: Gino Bologna
571 Ocean Drive
Juno Beach, FL 33408

County: **Monroe**
Amount Paid: $100.00
Date Paid: 09/16/2013
Issue Date: 10/01/2013
Permit Expires On: 09/30/2014

Issued By:
Department of Health in Monroe County
102050 Overseas Highway Box 10
Key Largo, FL 33037

(305) 453-8750

Owner: Bologna, Gino

Mobile Home Spaces: 5

Recreational Vehicle Spaces: 3

Migrant Spaces: 0

Tent Spaces: 0

Total Beds: 0

Total Spaces for Billing: 8

Original Customer: Howells Junction Trailer Park (NON-TRANSFERABLE)

DISPLAY CERTIFICATE IN A CONSPICUOUS PLACE

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
Operating Permit

44-54-1459064

44-BID-2254179

Mobile Home Parks - Mobile Home Park

Issued To: Howells Junction Trailer Park
82929 Overseas Highway
Islamorada, FL 33036

Mail To: Gino Bologna
571 Ocean Drive
Juno Beach, FL 33408

County: **Monroe**
Amount Paid: $100.00
Date Paid: 09/16/2013
Issue Date: 10/01/2013
Permit Expires On: 09/30/2014

Issued By:
Department of Health in Monroe County
102050 Overseas Highway Box 10
Key Largo, FL 33037

(305) 453-8750

Owner: Bologna, Gino

Florida HEALTH

Key Largo Environmental Health Office
102050 Overseas Hwy Key Largo, FL 33037

PAYING ON: PERMIT #: 44-54-1459064 BILL DOC #:44-BID-2254179

RECEIVED FROM: Gino S Bologna AMOUNT PAID: $ 100.00

PAYMENT FORM: CHECK 525 PAYMENT DATE: 09/16/2013

MAIL TO: **Gino Bologna**
571 Ocean Dr
Juno Beach, FL 33408

FACILITY NAME : Howells Junction Trailer Park

PROPERTY LOCATION:

82929 Overseas Hwy
Islamorada, FL 33036

Lot: _____ Block: _____

Property ID: _____

EXPLANATION or DESCRIPTION:	QUANTITY	FEE
-1 - MHP - Operating Permit	1	$ 100.00

RECEIVED BY: DensonBX AUDIT CONTROL NO. **44-PID-2259035**

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
APPLICATION FOR MOBILE HOME PARK, MOBILE HOME PARK HOUSING MIGRANT FARMWORKERS, LODGING PARK, RECREATIONAL VEHICLE PARK AND RECREATIONAL CAMP
Authority: Chapter 513 & 381, FS, Chapter 64E-15, FAC

DATE 3-4-13 Current Permit Number 44-54-1459064

Check type of permit for which application is made. Application is hereby made to the Department of Health for a ( ) mobile home park, ( ) *mobile home park with farmworkers, ( ) recreational vehicle park, ( ) lodging park, or ( ) recreational camp permit.
*A mobile home park which houses 5 or more migrant farmworkers.

PERMIT IS REQUIRED AS FOLLOWS:	
[✓] Annual Renewal 2 quarters	[ ] Ownership change: From _____ to below
[ ] Capacity Change: From ____ to ____ spaces	[ ] Park or Camp Name Change: From _____ to below
	[✓] New or Modified Park or Camp

Name of Park or Camp: Howells Junction Trailer Park (561) 317-2507 Telephone
Location of Park or Camp: 82929 Overseas Hwy Islamorada FL 33036
Owner's Name & Address: Gino Bologna 571 Ocean Drive Juno Beach FL 33408 (561) 317-2507 Telephone
Manager's Name & Address: (Same)

NUMBER OF SPACES

MOBILE HOME	Migrant Spaces	LODGING	RECREATIONAL VEHICLE		RECREATIONAL CAMPS			
5 ~~8~~ 76			RV	Tent	Barracks	Cabins	Tents	Total Occupants
			3					

SANITARY FACILITIES AT RECREATIONAL VEHICLE PARK OR RECREATIONAL CAMP

	TOILETS	LAVATORIES	SHOWERS	URINALS	WATER SUPPLY STATIONS	SEWAGE DUMP STATIONS
MALE						
FEMALE						

EACH MOBILE HOME/RV HAS IT'S OWN TOILET/SHOWER

It is hereby certified that the water supply system and the sewage system have been installed in accordance with the plans and specifications approved by the Department of Environmental Protection and/or the Department of Health. It is agreed that the undersigned owner and manager is familiar with and will adhere to the provisions of Chapter 513, Florida Statutes, as well as Chapter 64E-15, Florida Administrative Code.

Gino Bologna
Signature of Owner, Manager or Agent

FOR COMPLETION BY HEALTH DEPARTMENT STAFF

INSTRUCTIONS: Circle the appropriate number that applies to the water system and sewage system being used.

WATER SUPPLY	SEWAGE DISPOSAL
[1] Community Offsite	[1] Municipal
[2] Community/Public Drinking Water System Onsite	[2] Central System Serving Only Park or Camp
[3] Non-Community Public Drinking Water System	[3] Septic Tanks or (Other Individual System) A-H
[4] Non-Transient Non-Community	[4] Combination of Central System & Septic Tank
[5] Other Public Drinking Water Systems (10D-4)	[5] Combination of Municipal and Septic Tank

RECOMMENDATION: Approval [X]
Disapproval [ ]

Signature of Health Official

DH Form 4037 Jul 96 (Obsolete Jul 85 edition and HRS-H Form 4034 which may not be used) Revised Sept 98

Monroe County Health Department
102050 Overseas Hwy Key Largo, FL 33037

PAYING ON: PERMIT #: 44-54-1459064 BILL DOC #:44-BID-2149883

RECEIVED FROM: Gino S Bologna AMOUNT PAID: $ 112.50

PAYMENT FORM: CHECK 515 PAYMENT DATE: 03/04/2013

MAIL TO: **Gino Bologna**
451 Mars Way
North Palm Beach, FL 33408

FACILITY NAME : Howells Junction Trailer Park

PROPERTY LOCATION:

82929 Overseas Hwy
Islamorada, FL 33036

Lot: _____ Block: _____

Property ID: _____

EXPLANATION or DESCRIPTION:	QUANTITY	FEE
-1 - MHP - Operating Permit (2Qtr)	1	$ 50.00
-1 - Mobile Home County Fee (2Qtr)	1	$ 62.50

RECEIVED BY: GivenBM AUDIT CONTROL NO. **44-PID-2098922**

ISLAMORADA, VILLAGE OF ISLANDS
PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT
86800 Overseas Highway • Islamorada, Florida 33036 • 305-664-6400 •
www.islamorada.fl.us

June 7, 2024

Russell Yagel, Esq.
Sent via CityView Portal

Subject: Pre-Application (File No. PLPRE20230193)

Dear Mr. Yagel,

Thank you for your Application for a Pre-Application Conference ("Application"). The following is the Planning and Development Services Department's ("Staff") analysis of your questions and a summary of the meeting held on January 3, 2024. The purpose of the Pre-Application Conference is to familiarize the applicant with the applicable provisions of Chapter 30, *Land Development Regulations (LDRs)*, of the Code of Ordinances ("Code") of Islamorada, Village of Islands, Florida (the "Village"), the processes required to determine the lawfully established dwelling unit rights on the subject property(s).

For the purposes of this dwelling unit determination, each property will be individually assessed and discussed.

Parcel # 00403630-000000, Lot 13 located on Upper Matecumbe Key, FL ("Property 1").
Parcel # 00403640-000000, Lot 14, located on Upper Matecumbe Key, FL ("Property 2").
Parcel # 00403650-000000, Lot 15, located on Upper Matecumbe Key, FL ("Property 3").

The subject Properties are categorized within the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) as Mixed Use (MU) and are within the Village Center (VC) Zoning District.

Background Zoning:
1963 Zoning: BU-2 (Medium Business District) Commercial use as a primary use – living quarters for watchmen and caretakers only permitted as an accessory use. Prohibited any residential use.

1986 Zoning: SC (Sub Urban Commercial District) Commercial uses as a primary use – apartments involving less than six dwelling units in conjunction with a permitted commercial use.

The Village Comprehensive Plan, Chapter 3: Housing Element Data Inventory and Analysis table 3-13: Inventory of Existing Mobile Home Parks did not include or recognize the subject property(s) a lawfully established mobile home park.

TABLE 3-13: INVENTORY OF EXISTING MOBILE HOME PARKS

MOBILE HOME PARK	LOCATION	NUMBER OF MOBILE HOME SPACES
Vacation Village	Plantation Key	26
Plantation Tropical Park	Plantation Key	52
Harris Ocean Park Estates 1st Addition	Plantation Key	45
San Pedro Trailer Park	Plantation Key	41
Seabreeze Trailer Park	Plantation Key	101
Windley Key Mobile Home Park	Windley Key	42
Peaceful Palms Mobile Home Park	Windley Key	15
Coral Bay	Upper Matecumbe Key	17
Key Lantern Motel and Trailer Park	Upper Matecumbe Key	21
Village Mobile Park	Upper Matecumbe Key	32
Pelican Palms Mobile Home Park	Upper Matecumbe Key	35
Total		**427**

Source: Florida Department of Health, 1999

Additional Documentation/Evidence Provided by Applicant:

The applicant provided the following permits from the State of Florida Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services:

- 1977 operating permit for six (6) independent mobile homes
- Approved application for mobile home park in 2013 for five (5) mobile homes and (3) Recreational Vehicles (RV).
- Mobile Home Park operating permit in 2014 for five (5) mobile homes and (3) Recreational Vehicles (RV).
- Mobile Home Park operating permit in 2015 for five (5) mobile homes and (3) Recreational Vehicles (RV).
- Mobile Home Park operating permit in 2015 for five (5) mobile homes and (3) Recreational Vehicles (RV) – expiration date 9/30/2016.

The applicant provided State of Florida Department of Business Regulations License for Lodging of four (4) units – expiration date 10/1/1983.

The applicant provided Monroe County Occupational License for "trailer park serv" for five (5) employees – expiration date of 9/30/1987.

The following questions were presented by the applicant:
What is the number of lawfully established dwelling units associated with the property?

See Dwelling Unit Analysis provided.

What is the character of those lawfully established dwelling units (e.g. residential market rate or transient)?

There are no transient units on the property. See Dwelling Unit Analysis provided.

What is the current allowed density on the property?

Each lot is 7500 square feet. The maximum FAR for each lot is .35.

The allowed use density is up to six dwelling units per acre, or up to 12 dwelling units per acre with TDRs or up to 15 deed restricted affordable housing dwelling units per acre; however, on commercially developed parcels of land, the density requirements for affordable housing provided herein shall not exceed 15 deed restricted affordable housing dwelling units per acre, regardless of any nonresidential floor area or FAR, or market rate residential dwelling units.

If the number of lawfully established dwelling units exceeds the current allowed density, is the historical non-conforming density preserved?

As defined in Village LDRs section 30-732:
Nonconforming use means any use lawfully being made of any land, water or structure (other than a sign) on the effective date of the regulations in this chapter (or of any amendment which renders such use nonconforming), which does not comply with all of the requirements of this chapter as they may be amended. The casual, temporary, or illegal use of land or structures, or land and structures in combination, shall not be sufficient to establish the existence of a nonconforming use or to create rights in the continuance of such use.

Nonconforming structure means any structure (other than a sign) lawfully existing on the effective date of the regulations in this chapter (or of any amendment which renders such structure nonconforming), which does not comply with restrictions on lot area, lot coverage, height, setbacks, location on the lot, or any such requirements of this chapter as they may be amended (other than use regulations).

The Monroe County zoning regulations did not allow for dwelling units without a primary commercial use.

Property 1 and Property 2 have no evidence of existing commercial use, and therefore were not lawful under 1963 or 1986 zoning regulations.

Property 3 had a commercial use and dwelling unit above it allowed by the 1963 and 186 zoning regulations. The current Village LDRs allow for a single-family dwelling unit without commercial use, the building with on market rate (upstairs) and commercial square footage (downstairs) is conforming use of the property. However, a determination by the Building Official must be made as to the conformity of building codes in the existing building.

If the owner obtains affordable allocations for some of the dwelling units on the property and retains some units as market rate units, what is the maximum number of units allowed on the property?

Each lot individually has less density than if the lots were to be unified. Individually each of the lots could accommodate one market rate dwelling unit. Unified, the property can accommodate up to seven (7) deed restricted affordable units.

Dwelling Unit Analysis:

Property 1

Sec 30-473(d) *Criteria*. Criteria for determining the existence of a legally established residential dwelling unit or nonresidential floor area. A dwelling unit or an amount of nonresidential floor area shall be determined to legally exist if the parcel meets at a minimum all of the criteria of either (1) or (2) below:

1. A permit or other official approval was issued by the village or Monroe County for the unit(s) or floor area for residential dwelling units or nonresidential floor area and the unit(s) or floor area was accounted for in the village comprehensive plan building permit allocation system and ROGO [Rate of Growth Ordinance (Monroe County Ordinance 016-1992 as amended)], which shall be proof that the residential units(s) or amount of floor area was in existence and included in the April 1990 Census or was issued a certificate of occupancy subsequent to April 1990.

The Village does not have any building permits for Property 1 for residential or commercial use. There are no official approvals from Monroe County indicating the units were accounted for in Monroe County Ordinance 016-1992 as amended. **Criteria 1 has not been met.**

2. If a permit or other official approval is not available, for residential dwelling units or nonresidential floor area, the criteria listed below shall be used to establish proof that the residential dwelling unit or nonresidential floor area was legally established:

    a. The structure in which the unit or nonresidential floor area is located is currently habitable as determined by the building official, or utility invoices/receipts from Florida Keys Electric Cooperative or Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority are provided that clearly indicate by separate meter that the unit or nonresidential building was being served during April 1990, or Monroe County property record card showing the existence of the unit or nonresidential floor area in 1990, or occupational license or other state license for 1990 for a nonresidential use of a property, or insurance policy records for the building for a nonresidential use of a property that clearly delineate the amount of floor area; and

The Monroe County Property Appraisers property record card from 1986 shows one mobile home on Property 1. The property record card in 1990 shows two mobile homes on Property 1.

The applicant provided a copy of a "Declaration of Mobile Home As Real Property" form submitted to the Property Appraisers office dated 3/16/1990 for two mobile homes.

The applicant provided a copy of an FKAA work order to replace water meter servicing "9 units" dated 1/29/1993. Does not provide detail of how many units were serviced by the water meter on Property 1.

The applicant submitted an email from FKEC stating there was a valid FKEC account dating back to 1988 with 10 meters – this has not been verified and does not designate how many meters were serving units on Property 1.

    b. Aerial photograph(s) or signed and sealed survey(s) clearly showing existence of the dwelling unit or nonresidential structure either in 1990, or if not available for 1990 then both prior to and subsequent to 1990; and

- 1985 aerial shows two mobile homes, and the 1986 property record card states one.
- 1997 aerial shows one, possibly two mobile homes.
- 2000 & 2003 aerial shows one clearly visible in the same location as the 1985 aerial. The lot is mostly covered with vegetation obstructing the view of the aerial.
- 2006 aerial shows possibly three mobile homes on the lot.
- 2009 aerial shows one clearly, however the aerial photo is obstructed by vegetation.

- 2018 aerial shows three units on the lot.

Aerial photographs show the existence of dwelling units on Property 1. There is clear evidence of two mobile homes prior to 1990 and just after.

c. A determination by the village that the use could have been legally permitted under the zoning and building codes in effect for the parcel at the time the structure was constructed, or the business was established. This not only refers to the type of use but also to the parameters of the building construction;

The zoning from 1963 through incorporation of the Village did not allow residential use of the property without commercial use as the primary use of the property. There are no records of permitted commercial use of Property 1.

The current zoning allows for residential use; however, it does not allow construction type of mobile homes or recreational vehicles.

3. Additional information shall be required to establish the number of units for mobile homes or recreational vehicles ("RVs") if such units are located in either a mobile home park or RV park; including:
    a. The number of mobile homes and RVs approved by the State of Florida Department of Health as shown on the approved prospectus or any other documents on file with the State of Florida Department of Health for either the mobile home park or RV park;
    b. Notwithstanding the number of mobile homes and RVs provided in subsection a., the total units recognized shall not exceed the number of mobile home spaces as shown in chapter 3 of the village comprehensive plan data inventory and analysis; and
    c. A demolition permit(s) for each mobile that has been removed.

The units are not recognized in the data inventory and analysis of the village comprehensive plan. **Criteria 3 has not been met.**

Property 1 meets the criteria listed in criteria 2 subsections a and b, but not c. The Village does not recognize any legally established dwelling units on Property 1.

<u>Property 2</u>

Sec 30-473(d) *Criteria*. Criteria for determining the existence of a legally established residential dwelling unit or nonresidential floor area. A dwelling unit or an amount of nonresidential floor

area shall be determined to legally exist if the parcel meets at a minimum all of the criteria of either (1) or (2) below:

1. A permit or other official approval was issued by the village or Monroe County for the unit(s) or floor area for residential dwelling units or nonresidential floor area and the unit(s) or floor area was accounted for in the village comprehensive plan building permit allocation system and ROGO [Rate of Growth Ordinance (Monroe County Ordinance 016-1992 as amended)], which shall be proof that the residential units(s) or amount of floor area was in existence and included in the April 1990 Census or was issued a certificate of occupancy subsequent to April 1990.

The following building permit records were found:

- Permit #4024, dated 1962 for an addition to an existing structure – the file is incomplete and does not include approved plans.
- Permit #1020, not dated, is a site drawing for at 40′x10′ concrete slab, the file is incomplete.
- Permit #10051, dated 1965, electrical permit replacing two metered trailer outlets damaged by the storm – incomplete file.

It is unclear from the permit records exactly how many, if any, units were accounted for in the 1990 census. **Criteria 1 has not been met**.

2. If a permit or other official approval is not available, for residential dwelling units or nonresidential floor area, the criteria listed below shall be used to establish proof that the residential dwelling unit or nonresidential floor area was legally established:

    a. The structure in which the unit or nonresidential floor area is located is currently habitable as determined by the building official, or utility invoices/receipts from Florida Keys Electric Cooperative or Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority are provided that clearly indicate by separate meter that the unit or nonresidential building was being served during April 1990, or Monroe County property record card showing the existence of the unit or nonresidential floor area in 1990, or occupational license or other state license for 1990 for a nonresidential use of a property, or insurance policy records for the building for a nonresidential use of a property that clearly delineate the amount of floor area; and

The Monroe County Property Appraisers property record card from 1961 shows a two-story building on Property 2 indicating a Single-Family Residence (SFR) with three rooms (there were no records of the original building permit to verify the number of rooms).

The property record card from 1966 shows the permitted 9′x26′ addition (permit #4024).

The property record card from 1986 shows a two-story building, garage addition, and two mobile homes on Property 2.

The applicant provided a copy of a "Declaration of Mobile Home As Real Property" form submitted to the Property Appraisers office dated 3/16/1990 for four mobile homes.

The applicant provided a copy of an FKAA work order to replace water meter servicing "9 units" dated 1/29/1993. Does not provide detail of how many units were serviced by the water meter on Property 2.

The applicant submitted an email from FKEC stating there was a valid FKEC account dating back to 1988 with 10 meters – this has not been verified and does not designate how many meters were serving units on Property 2.

b. Aerial photograph(s) or signed and sealed survey(s) clearly showing existence of the dwelling unit or nonresidential structure either in 1990, or if not available for 1990 then both prior to and subsequent to 1990; and

- 1975 aerial shows the 1961-1966 building, garage addition, and three mobile homes.
- 1985 aerial shows the building, garage addition, and three mobile homes – the property record card confirms the building, the garage addition and two mobile homes.
- 1997 aerial shows the building, the garage addition and three mobile homes.
- 2000, 2003, 2006, 2009, 2012 aerials show the building, the garage addition, and three mobile homes.
- 2015 aerial shows the building, the garage addition, and four mobile homes and a smaller unit (possibly an RV).
- 2018 aerial shows the building, the garage addition, four mobile homes and an RV.

Aerial photographs show the existence of dwelling units on Property 2. There is clear evidence of one SFR, one garage addition and three mobile homes prior to and just after 1990.

c. A determination by the village that the use could have been legally permitted under the zoning and building codes in effect for the parcel at the time the structure was constructed, or the business was established. This not only refers to the type of use but also to the parameters of the building construction;

The zoning from 1963 through incorporation of the Village did not allow residential use of the property without commercial use as the primary use of the property. There are no records of permitted commercial use of Property 1.

The current zoning allows for residential use; however, it does not allow construction type of mobile homes or recreational vehicles.

3. Additional information shall be required to establish the number of units for mobile homes or recreational vehicles ("RVs") if such units are located in either a mobile home park or RV park; including:
    a. The number of mobile homes and RVs approved by the State of Florida Department of Health as shown on the approved prospectus or any other documents on file with the State of Florida Department of Health for either the mobile home park or RV park;
    b. Notwithstanding the number of mobile homes and RVs provided in subsection a., the total units recognized shall not exceed the number of mobile home spaces as shown in chapter 3 of the village comprehensive plan data inventory and analysis; and
    c. A demolition permit(s) for each mobile that has been removed.

The units are not recognized in the data inventory and analysis of the village comprehensive plan. **Criteria 3 has not been met.**

Property 2 meets the criteria listed in criteria 2 subsections a and b, but not c. The Village recognizes **one dwelling unit**, although the county regulations did not allow it without commercial use, there is some indication a portion of the garage addition was used in conjunction with the auto shop on Property 3.

Property 3

Sec 30-473(d) *Criteria*. Criteria for determining the existence of a legally established residential dwelling unit or nonresidential floor area. A dwelling unit or an amount of nonresidential floor area shall be determined to legally exist if the parcel meets at a minimum all of the criteria of either (1) or (2) below:

1. A permit or other official approval was issued by the village or Monroe County for the unit(s) or floor area for residential dwelling units or nonresidential floor area and the unit(s) or floor area was accounted for in the village comprehensive plan building permit allocation system and ROGO [Rate of Growth Ordinance (Monroe County Ordinance 016-1992 as amended)], which shall be proof that the residential units(s) or amount of floor area was in existence and included in the April 1990 Census or was issued a certificate of occupancy subsequent to April 1990.

The following building permit records were found:

- Permit #C-233, issued in 1974 permitted a two-story building with a two-bedroom SFR on the top and garage/storage on the ground level.
- Permit #C-1895 issued in 1976 permitted a covered porch on the 2nd floor of the existing building.
- Permit #C-9330, issued in 1981 permitted an addition to the existing ground floor for "Tony's Body Shop" – commercial use.
- Permit #0005131, issued in 2000, permitted a change of copy for commercial use on the lower level for an art gallery.

Criteria 1 has been met. The Village recognizes **one legally established dwelling unit and 3,456 square feet of non-residential floor area** on Property 3.

In summary, the Village recognizes **two (2)** legally established market rate dwelling units and **3,456 square feet** of non-residential floor area.

This pre-application letter is based solely upon the information provided by the applicant and is only intended to familiarize the applicant with the provisions of the land development regulations currently in effect that may be applicable to the development project proposed by the applicant. The information provided herein is subject to change based upon the adoption of new policies or regulations, the repeal of existing policies or regulations, submission of additional information, or a determination that incomplete/inaccurate information or misstatements of fact were provided by the applicant. This letter is not a development approval, order or permit, and nothing contained herein shall be construed or interpreted as conveying, conferring, granting or denying any building, development or vested rights. This is not an administrative decision or interpretation regarding the provisions of the comprehensive plan or land development regulations. A final


decision or interpretation of the applicable policies and regulations cannot be made until the applicant submits a complete development application for review.

Pursuant to Section 30-281(a) of the Code of Ordinances: Any person aggrieved by an administrative decision or interpretation of the director of planning and development services, the building official or other village administrative official regarding the provisions of this chapter may appeal such decision or interpretation. The appeal shall be initiated within 30 days of the date of receipt by the aggrieved person of mailed, or posted, or published notice of the administrative decision or interpretation by filing an appeal with the director in a form specified by the planning and development services department for reviewing the application, and shall be accompanied by an application fee that is established by the village council from time to time to defray the actual cost of processing the appeal. Failure to file an appeal within the timeframe specified, and exhaust all administrative remedies provided for in this chapter, shall constitute a waiver of all rights to appeal any interpretation or determination made by the village.

If you require additional information, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Jamie Terry
Planning & Zoning Technician
86800 Overseas Highway
Islamorada, FL 33036
Telephone: (305) 664-6426
E-mail: jamie.terry@islamorada.fl.us



36 2-5-72
































3-A -75 84/311





0
200
400
600


SCALE 1"=200'




0 200 400 600 800



SCALE 1"=200'


0
200
400
600
800




SCALE 1"=200'





0 200 400 600 800





SCALE 1"=200'



0 200 400 600 800



SCALE 1"=200'









Prepared by: **KUCERA SOUTH INC.**
Lakeland, Florida
Phone: (813) 646-9661 Fax: (813) 647-5471
A DIVISION OF
KUCERA INTERNATIONAL INC.
Headquarters - Willoughby, Ohio
Phone: (216) 975-4230 Fax: (216) 975-4238




RSEAS HWY
(1 of 3)
BOLOGNA GINO S
RECHAR 00403630-000000
Name BOLOGNA GINO S
Year Built 1978
Location 82925 OVERSEAS Hwy, UPPER MATECUMBE KEY
Subdivision OCEAN VIEW
Area 1 7500
Type 1 SF
Zoom to


OVERSEAS HWY
OLD STATE RD
(1 of 2)
BOLOGNA GINO S
RECHAR 00403640-000000
Name BOLOGNA GINO S
Year Built 1963
Location 82929 OVERSEAS Hwy, UPPER MATECUMBE KEY
Subdivision OCEAN VIEW
Area 1 7500
Type 1 SF
Zoom to